

Explicit Numerical Simulation of Microfluidic Liquid Flows in Micro-Packed Bed

Moein Navvab Kashani

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical Engineering The University of Adelaide Australia

June 2015

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. The author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Moein Navvab Kashani

6 June 2015

Abstract

Microfluidic systems are of tremendous technological interest as demonstrated by their use in chemical analysis (so called 'lab-on-a-chip') and biochemical analysis (e.g. to detect biomarkers for disease), and in process intensification. Packed beds of micro-sized particles possibly utilized for enhancing heat and mass transfer in microfluidic devices, where the flow regime is normally laminar, as well as provide significant increases in surface area per unit volume for analytical chemistry and biochemistry, and for separation and purification. Whilst macro-scale packed beds have long been well understood, the same is not true of their microfluidic counterparts, which we term micro-packed beds or µPBs. Of particular concern is the effect that the small bed-to-particle diameter ratio has on the nature of the bed packing and the hydrodynamics of the flow within them. This lack of understanding stems in part from the challenges that are faced in experimentally assessing µPBs and the flow through to them. The study reported in this thesis addresses these concerns through a two developments. In the first body of work, a new method is proposed for the accurate reconstruction of the structure of a µPB from X-ray micro-computed tomography data for such beds. The porosity obtained from µPB was, within statistical uncertainty, the same as that determined via a direct method whilst use of a commonly used technique yielded a result that was nearly 10% adrift, well beyond the experimental uncertainty. This work particularly addresses the significant issues that arise from the limited spatial resolution of the tomography technique in this context. In the second part of the work reported here, a meshless computational fluid dynamics technique is used to study Newtonian fluid flow through µPBs, including determination of their permeability and the by-pass fraction due to wall effects, which are important in these beds. This use of a CFD allows determination of parameters that are difficult to determine experimentally because of the challenges faced in measuring the small pressure drops involved and the absence of the limited spatial and temporal resolutions of various imaging techniques. The meshless method used here also overcomes the challenges normally faced when seeking to discretise the complex three-dimensional pore space of the packed bed. The developments here open the way to studying more complex µPB configurations, and other processes within them such as non-Newtonian flows and mass and heat transfer.

Achievements

Three following papers were achieved from this work:

- Navvab Kashani, M., Zivkovic, V., Elekaei, H., Biggs, M. J., A new method for reconstruction of the structure of micro-packed beds of spherical particles from desktop X-ray microtomography images. Part A. Initial structure generation and porosity determination, submitted to Chemical Engineering Science, Elsevier, 2015.
- Navvab Kashani, M., Zivkovic, V., Elekaei, H., Herrera, L. F., Affleck, K., Biggs, M. J., A new method for reconstruction of the structure of micro-packed beds of spherical particles from desktop X-ray microtomography images. Part B. Structure refinement and analysis, submitted to Chemical Engineering Science, Elsevier, 2015.
- Navvab Kashani, M., Elekaei, H., Zhang, H., Zivkovic, V., Biggs, M. J., *Explicit numerical simulation-based study of the hydrodynamics of micro-packed beds*, submitted to Chemical Engineering Science, Elsevier, 2015.

A part of this work was also presented in conference with the following titles:

Navvab Kashani, M., Zivkovic, V., Alwahabi, Z., Biggs, M. J., *Particle packing structure in a rectangular micro-capillary*, Chemeca 2012, 23-26 September 2012, Wellington, New Zealand.

Acknowledgements

Over the past four years, I was inspired and supported by many people without whom I would never have been able to finalize this dissertation. This section is therefore dedicated to all those people who have shared their time, enthusiasm and expertise with me, admitting that I will never be able to thank enough those whom I am indebted the most. I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to all of them.

I would like to start by thanking my principal supervisor, Prof Mark J. Biggs for his ongoing advice, encouragement and support during the entire course of my PhD study. Many of the achievements would have not been possible without his support and guidance. Mark, your expertise and detailed critical comments on every part of my research, pushed me to think deeper about my research and shape my ideas. I am also very grateful to the other members of the supervision team, A/Prof Zeyad Alwahabi and Dr Hu Zhang for their valuable feedback and supports.

My heartfelt gratitude goes to Dr Vladimir Zivkovic for all the inspiration and motivation I received from him. Vlad, thank you for all the valuable discussions we had together and for your constructive comments on my research. You have been my great mentor and always cheered me up, when I felt down.

During the four years of my PhD, I have been lucky to discuss my research and collaborate with many bright people. In particular, I wish to extend my appreciation to Dr Milan Mijajlovic and Dr Luis Herrera Diaz. Milan, thanks for your occasional support in computer coding and programming. Luis, I truly enjoyed our collaboration on a joint paper as well as long discussion we had about the Monte-Carlo algorithm.

I am more thankful than I can say to Mr Ron Seidel and Mrs Marilyn Seidel. Ron, I would not be able to describe your big heart in a few words, I can just wholeheartedly thank you for all the endless support I have received from you. You have been a cheerful caring friend and a bullet of energy for me. Your honesty, experience and kindness make you a person with whom talking is nothing else but a pleasure. I have learnt a lot from you, which will leave in my memory forever. Marilyn, you are always nice and kind to me, which makes me feel at home. You two made my PhD journey much more enjoyable. Thanks for everything.

The Government of Australia and the University of Adelaide are gratefully acknowledged for providing me International Postgraduate Research Scholarship (IPRS) and Australian Postgraduate Award (APA) for my study in Australia. Also, The X-ray micro-computed tomography was undertaken at Adelaide Microscopy, a node of the Australian Microscopy & Microanalysis Research Facility (AMMRF). The cluster super-computational facilities and high-performance computing (HPC) system for this work were provided by *eResearch SA organization*, which is warmly appreciated.

I am deeply indebted to my loving parents, who have been always encouraging their children to continue their education and broaden their mind. Dad, thank you for your infinite support. Mum, you showed me why I should study. You created a home environment that encouraged your children to study and learn. Words cannot describe how grateful I am for your never ending love and care and all you have done for me. Without your support, I would never achieve what I have today. I am also thankful to my lovely brothers, Amin and Mohsen, for their encouragements during my study time overseas.

The last word of acknowledgment I have saved for my best friend, greatest partner, and lovely wife, Hamideh. Hamideh, you went through every step of this long PhD journey with me. While you were also going through a similar demanding PhD study, your kind, calm and loving character even during my mood changes carried me through these four years. Whiteout you, I would have struggled to find the inspiration and motivation desired to complete this dissertation. Thank you for your warm presence in my life.

Moein Navvab Kashani

June 2015

List of Figures

regions of a circular cross-sectioned packed bed of channel-to-particle diameter ratio of $D/d_p = 10$ (figure modified from [117]).....10 Figure 2-5 (a) to (f) Cross-sectional view of meshed geometry of the representative packing cells, and (g) arrangement of packed bed composed of unit cells [137]......16

Figure 4-3 Example X-ray microtomography images of cross-sections of µPBs for channel-to-particle diameter ratios, $D/\overline{d_p}$, equal to (see Table 4-1 for more details): (a) 5.2; (b) 5.8; (c) 6.6; (d) 7.6; (e) 10.4; (f) 11.6; (g) 13.2; and (h) 15.2.....64 Figure 4-4 Example cross sections from key stages in the model construction: (a) a closeup of an X-ray microtomography image of a cross-section through the $D/\overline{d_p} = 5.2$ µPB; (b) the particle cross-sections identified through application of the methodology in Part A to the X-ray image, with the particles that are overlapping either each other or the walls shown with red outlines; and (c) the particle cross-sections shown in part (b) after application of the RMC-SA algorithm described herein to eliminate overlaps and obtain a PSD that is in line with the experimental one......64 Figure 4-5 Variation of key structure-related metrics during a typical RMC-SA simulation: (a) objective function, F, (each point is an average over 100 steps); and (b) PSD (note the initial distribution is a single bar centred at 34.5 µm)65 Figure 4-6 A model of the $D/\overline{d_p} = 5.2$ µPB shown from various perspectives: (a) complete bed; (b) a zoom on top third; (c) further zoom on top region of the bed to illustrate its random structure; (d) zoom on middle third; (e) further zoom on middle region of the bed to show the packing structure near the wall; (f) zoom on bottom third of the bed; and (g) further zoom on bottom region of the bed to show two particles that are still Figure 4-7 Porosity character of µPBs as derived from the models: (a) variation of porosity with the bed-to-particle diameter ratio (circles), and the direct measurement as described in Part A for the $D/\overline{d_p} = 6.6 \ \mu PB$ (open square) and data obtained by De Klerk [263] for a macroscale packed beds of cylindrical cross-section (diamonds); and (b) variation of

porosity with normal distance from the wall (solid line) and diagonal distance from the corner (broken line) for $D/\overline{d_p} = 7.54$ (similar data for all the other µPBs are provided in the Supplementary Information). The lines are a guide for the eye only......69 Figure 4-8 Coordination number variation with: (a) bed-to-particle diameter ratio; and (b) normalized distance from the bed wall for $D/\overline{d_p} = 5.2$ (open diamonds); 5.8 (solid triangles); 6.6 (open squares); 7.5 (solid circles); 10.4 (solid diamonds); 11.6 (open Figure 5-1 An illustration of an SPH weighting function with compact support that is used to evaluate quantities at a point r such as, for example, the density as shown in Equation Figure 5-3 Variation of the drag coefficient of a sphere with Reynolds number as evaluated Figure 5-4 The schematic geometry of µPB, computational cells and quasi-periodic Figure 5-5 Pressure drop variations against superficial fluid velocity for different bed-toparticle diameter ratios equal to: 5.2 (solid diamonds); 5.8 (solid triangles); 6.6 (solid squares); 7.5 (solid circles); 10.4 (open diamonds); 11.6 (open triangles); 13.1 (open Figure 5-6 Permeability change of µPBs with bed-to-particle diameter ratio as predicted here (open circles) and from the Ergun equation, Equation 2, (open squares); the corresponding dependence of bed porosity is shown as an insert [272]. The uncertainties in the permeability data is less than the size of the symbols. The broken and solid lines are a Figure 5-7 Comparison of the µPB permeability obtained by simulation with those determined via existing correlations determined from macroscale beds: Eisfeld & Schnitzlein (solid triangles); Reichelt (open triangle); Raichura (solid circles); Cheng (open circles); Di Felice & Gibilaro (solid squares) and Mehta & Hawley (open squares) and Foumeny (solid diamonds)......90 Figure 5-8 The variation of µPB porosity (solid circles) and dimensionless velocity (solid squares) for $d_p = 26.5 \ \mu m$ and $D/d_p = 15.1 \dots 91$

List of Tables

Table 3-1 The X-ray microtomography system and scanning parameters	48
Table 3-2 Porosity values (%) obtained with different methods	50
Table 4-1 Characteristics dimensions of the μ PBs considered in the study reported	l here62
Table 5-1 Details of benchmark SPH simulation	86
Table 5-2 Details of SPH-based simulation of flow in the µPBs	

Abbreviations

- BGAF Basic Gradient Approximation Formula
- CFD Computational Fluid Dynamics
- CT Computed Tomography
- DEM Discrete Element Method
- DGAF Difference Gradient Approximation Formula
- DNS Direct Numerical Simulation
- ENS Explicit Numerical Simulation
- FDM Finite Difference Method
- FEM Finite Element Method
- FVM Finite Volume Method
- HPC High-Performance Computing
- HT Hough Transform
- LBM Lattice Boltzmann Method
- LGA Lattice Gas Automata
- LOC Lab-On-a-Chip
- LoG Laplacian of Gaussian
- MC Monte Carlo
- MD Molecular Dynamics
- MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging
- NMR Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
- NNP Nearest Neighbouring Particles
- NNPS Nearest Neighbouring Particle Searching
- PPE Pressure Poisson Equation
- PSD Particle Size Distribution
- Re Reynolds Number
- RMC Reverse Monte Carlo
- RTD Residence Time Distribution
- SA Simulated Annealing
- SD Standard deviation
- SGAF Symmetric Gradient Approximation Formula
- SPH Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics
- 3D Three-dimensional
- 2D Two-dimensional
- μPB Micro-Packed Bed
- µTAS Micro Total-Analysis-System

Nomenclature

а	$[m/s^2]$	Acceleration
А	[-]	Scalar quantity
А	$[m^2]$	Area
A_{w}	[-]	Wall correction parameter
$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{w}}$	[-]	Wall correction parameter
С	[m/s]	Sound speed
CD	[-]	Drag coefficient
D	[m]	Bed diameter
D_{ij}	[m]	Particle-particle overlap
D_{iw}	[m]	Particle-wall overlap
d_{ij}	[m]	Minimum distance between particles in packed-bed
dp	[m]	Sphere diameter
f	[-]	General function
F	[-]	Objective function
g	$[m/s^2]$	Gravitational acceleration
h	[m]	Cut-off distance (smoothing length)
Ι	[-]	Unit tensor
V	ГI	Number of sequential circular planes partitioning of a spherical
K	[-]	particle
k	$[m^2]$	Permeability
K_1	[-]	Experimental coefficient in Reichelt model
L	[m]	Bed length
L ₀	[m]	Initial distance between particles
Μ	[-]	Particle-to-bed size ratio
m	[kg]	Mass of particle
Ν	[-]	Number of computational cells
N_p	[-]	Number of SPH particles
Р	[-]	Probability
Р	[Pa]	Pressure
ΔP	[Pa]	Pressure drop
r	[m]	Position
r_{ij}	[m]	Distance between particles <i>i</i> and <i>j</i>
ŕ	[m]	Location of individual particle
R	[-]	Inter-plane resolution of x-ray microtomography
R_h	[m]	Hydraulic radius
Ro	[-]	Roundness
t	[s]	Time
t	[-]	Time step
Δt	[s]	Time step size
Т	[K]	Temperature

u	[m/s]	Volume averaged fluid velocity	
U_0	[m/s]	Superficial velocity	
U_B	[m/s]	Bulk velocity	
U_{max}	[m/s]	Maximum characteristic velocity	
V	$[m^3]$	Particle volume	
V	[m/s]	Velocity vector	
W	[m ⁻³]	Smoothing kernel	
Х	[m]	Distance vector	
\mathbf{X}_{i0}	[m]	Position of the particle in the initial 3d structure	
\overline{Z}	[-]	Mean coordination number	
γ	[-]	Coefficient for fluid properties	
δ	[-]	Dirac delta function	
3	[-]	Porosity	
ç	[_]	User-defined parameter in SPH particle-particle interaction	
ε	LJ	model	
η	[-]	Arbitrarily small quantity	
μ	[Pa.s]	Dynamic viscosity	
ρ	$[kg/m^3]$	Density	
σ	N/m^2	Stress	
τ	N/m^2	Shear stress	
φ	[%]	Porosity	
ξ	[-]	Random parameter	
δx	[m]	Displacement	
σ_{i}		Standard deviation	
к		Skewness	
∇		Gradient operator	
Subscri	ipt		
i		Value for particle of interest	
j		Value for neighbouring particles	
b		Related to bed or bulk	
D		Value for direct measurement	
MC		From Monte Carlo	
р		Value for particle	
W		Related to wall	
α		α -coordinate direction	
β		β -coordinate direction	
Superscript			
α		Number of dimensions	
β		Number of dimensions	

* Intermediate state

Table of contents

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Achievements	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	Х
Abbreviations	xi
Nomenclature	xii
Table of contents	xiv
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
Chapter 2: Literature Review	7
2.1 Microfluidics	7
2.1.1 Microfluidics technology	7
2.1.2 Micro-packed beds (µPB)	7
2.1.3 Pressure drop and flow models for packed beds	11
2.2 Explicit Numerical Simulation (ENS) of flow in porous media	15
2.2.1 Unit cell method	15
2.2.2 Particle packing algorithm method	17
2.2.3 Reconstruction method	18
2.2.4 Direct method	19
2.3 Computational Fluid Dynamics approaches	22
2.3.1 Background	22
2.4 Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) method	26
2.4.1 Background	26
2.4.2 Fluid flow equations	27
2.4.3 General SPH formulation	28
2.4.4 Smoothing kernel	29
2.4.5 Spatial derivatives	29
2.4.6 Solid boundary treatment	

2.4.7 Numerical time integration	31
2.4.7.1 Euler scheme	31
2.4.7.2 Verlet scheme	31
2.4.7.3 Leap-Frog scheme	32
2.4.7.4 Neighbour searching algorithm	33
2.4.7.5 SPH algorithm	33
2.5 Conclusion	34
Chapter 3: A new method for reconstruction of the structure of micro-packed	beds
of spherical particles from desktop X-ray microtomography images, Part A. In	tial
structure generation and porosity determination	
3.1 Introduction	
3.2 Description of the new method	41
3.2.1 Step 1. Pre-processing	42
3.2.2 Step 2. Identifying particle cross sections in the cross-sectional images	44
3.2.3 Step 3. Initial reconstruction of the bed particles	45
3.2.4 Step 4. Estimating the centroid of the bed particles	45
3.3 Experimental details for demonstrating the new technique	46
3.3.1 Experimental setup	47
3.3.2 Evaluating the porosity from the reconstructed μPB	48
3.3.3 Direct measurement of the porosity of the μPB	49
3.4 Results and Discussion	49
3.5 Conclusion	52
Chapter 4: A new method for reconstruction of the structure of micro-packed	beds
of spherical particles from desktop X-ray microtomography images, Part B.	
Structure refinement and analysis	54
4.1 Introduction	57
4.2 Description of the new method	58
4.3 Application of the new method	61
4.4 Results and Discussion	63
4.4.1 Overview of initial interpretation of X-ray microtomography images of μ F	Bs63
4.4.2 Structural change during a RMC-SA simulation	63

4.4.3 Qualitative analysis of packing structure in µPBs	65
4.4.4 Quantitative analysis of porosity in µPBs	66
4.5 Conclusions	71
4.6 Supplementary information	72
Chapter 5: Explicit numerical simulation-based study of the hydrod	ynamics of
micro-packed beds	74
5.1 Introduction	77
5.2 Model	80
5.2.1 Governing equations	80
5.2.2 SPH formulation	81
5.2.3 Solution technique	83
5.2.4 Boundary and initial conditions	84
5.2.5 Benchmarking	86
5.3 Micro-packed bed	87
5.4 Results and Discussion	
5.5 Conclusion	91
Chapter 6: Conclusion	93
References	96