

**Effects of Optimism, Message Framing and Self-Relevance on Intention to change
Vegetable Consumption**

Wai Wa Lau

*This thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment of the Honours degree of the Bachelor of
Psychology*

School of Psychology

University of Adelaide

October 2016

Word Count: 10,224

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|------|
| Table of Contents..... | i |
| List of Tables | iv |
| List of Figures..... | v |
| Abstract..... | vi |
| Declaration..... | vii |
| Acknowledgements..... | viii |
| CHAPTER 1 – Introduction..... | 1 |
| 1.1 Australians’ vegetable consumption and health..... | 1 |
| 1.2 Message Framing | 1 |
| 1.3 Evidence of Framing Effect on nutrition and diet education messages | 2 |
| 1.4 Moderating factors of Framing Effect on nutrition and diet messages | 3 |
| 1.5 Optimism..... | 3 |
| 1.6 Optimism and Framing..... | 6 |
| 1.7 Aim 1: The Framing Effect on promoting vegetable consumption..... | 7 |
| 1.7.1 Hypothesis 1: Framing Effect..... | 7 |
| 1.8 Aim 2: To explore the role of Optimism as potential influence on Framing Effect | 8 |
| 1.8.1 Optimism and Self-Relevance of the message | 8 |
| 1.8.2 Optimism and the Frame of the message | 8 |
| 1.9 Significance of the current study..... | 9 |

| | |
|--|----|
| CHAPTER 2 – Method..... | 10 |
| 2.1 Participants..... | 10 |
| 2.1.1 Recruitment..... | 10 |
| 2.1.2 Inclusion Criteria..... | 11 |
| 2.2 Measures..... | 11 |
| 2.2.1 Primary Outcomes..... | 11 |
| 2.2.2 Independent Variables..... | 14 |
| 2.2.3 Measures for Manipulation Checks and Covariates..... | 15 |
| 2.2.4 Filler Items..... | 16 |
| 2.3 Procedure..... | 17 |
| 2.3.1 Data Collection..... | 17 |
| 2.3.2 Data Screening..... | 18 |
| 2.3.3 Data Analysis..... | 19 |
| CHAPTER 3 - Results..... | 21 |
| 3.1 Normality Checks..... | 21 |
| 3.2 Manipulation Checks and Covariates..... | 21 |
| 3.3 Descriptive Statistics..... | 24 |
| 3.4 Testing hypothesis 1 and aim 1: The sole Framing Effect on promoting vegetable Consumption..... | 26 |
| 3.4.1 Repeated Measure Outcomes..... | 26 |
| 3.4.2 The amount and favorableness of cognitive elaboration..... | 26 |

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.5 Testing Aim 2 and Hypotheses 2 and 3..... | 27 |
| 3.5.1 Cognitive Elaboration..... | 28 |
| 3.5.2 Repeated Measure Outcomes | 32 |
| CHAPTER 4 – Discussion | 35 |
| 4.1 The Null Effects of Framing on promoting Vegetable Consumption | 36 |
| 4.2 The Null Effects of Self-Relevance | 40 |
| 4.3 Optimism and Framing | 43 |
| 4.4 The interaction effect between Framing and Individual Characteristics | 47 |
| 4.5 Strengths and Limitations | 49 |
| 4.6 Conclusion | 51 |
| Reference List | 53 |
| Appendices..... | 63 |
| Appendix A: Example of instructions and items of the Life-Orientation Test-Revised..... | 63 |
| Appendix B: Example of items to measure positive outcome expectancies..... | 64 |
| Appendix C: Example of instructions and items from the Nutritional Self-Efficacy Scale..... | 65 |
| Appendix D: Example of the Filler Items | 66 |
| Appendix E: Randomization Messages (The four messages)..... | 67 |
| Appendix F: Copy of the Information Sheet Given to Participants | 69 |
| Appendix G: Effects of optimism, self-relevance and framing on repeated-measures outcomes..... | 72 |

List of Tables

Table 1: *Reliability Testing: Cronbach's alpha for variable subscales*

Table 2: *Independent t-tests, for self-relevance across Self-relevance messages*

Table 3: *Frequency and Means for Credibility of the message across 4 groups*

Table 4: *Frequency and Means for the interest of the message across 4 groups*

Table 5: *Repeated outcome measures: Frequency and Percentage for participants across messages*

Table 6: *Frequency Table of vegetable serves of the participants*

Table 7: *Cognitive elaboration outcomes: Frequency and Means (Standard Deviations) for Participants across Conditions*

Table 8: *Model outcomes for optimism, self-relevance and framing on cognitive elaboration outcomes*

Table 9: *Effects of optimism, self-relevance and framing on repeated-measures outcomes*

List of Figures

Figure 1. *Participants Consort Figure depicting data collection process.*

Abstract

Only 7% of Australians met the guidelines for recommended daily serves of vegetables in 2014 -15, suggested a trend of under-consumption of vegetables which is associated with greater risk of various health problems. It is necessary to improve our nutrition education in order to improve our health. Framing effect has been widely studied in various health contexts and it has been shown that gain-framed messages are more effective than loss-framed messages to promote preventive behaviors. However, a subsequent systematic review has found that framing effect can be moderated by different dispositional factors. On the other hand, dispositional optimism has also been studied in various health contexts and found to be associated with better physical and psychological outcomes. The selective information processing enables optimists to pick up the most relevant and important information, suggested that self-relevance leads to better information processing on health messages. To study how to promote vegetable consumption and to investigate the underlying mechanism between optimism and health outcomes, the current study explored the effect of Optimism, Self-relevance and Message Framing, as well as the interaction of the levels of optimism, self-relevance and message framing. One-hundred and nineteen six participants completed an online survey including one of four manipulated message. Persuasiveness of the message was assessed and compared across different manipulated messages. Overall results indicated no significant effect or interaction of the effect of optimism, self-relevance and message framing on promoting vegetable consumption. The limitations of the current study and considerations for future research are discussed.

Declaration

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University, and, to the best of my knowledge, this thesis contains no materials previously published except where due reference is made.

Wai Wa LAU

October, 2016

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my supervisor, Emily Brindal. Thank you for sharing your time, knowledge and experience with me. Your guidance and enlightenment has been crucial. Your contribution to the project has been irreplaceable and invaluable. Thank you for your generosity and value for tolerating my bad English and teaching me how to conduct a research. It has been such an honor and pleasure to learn from you and your positive participation. I cannot thank enough for having you as my supervisor this year.

I would also like to thank to Haidee Lease at CSIRO. Thank you for taking the time to advise us on research design, analyses, and review. Your guidance and attitude has been generous and extremely helpful to me and this project. I could not make it without any of you. I wish I could keep learning from you and your wisdom.

