

The Use of 3D Magnetotellurics in
Mineral Exploration: Synthetic Model
Study and Inversion of 3D MT Survey
Data from the Wirrda Well IOCG (SA,
Australia)

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geophysics

James David Komenza

November 2013



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

ABSTRACT

As a consequence of diminishing shallow mineral resources, the exploration industry has turned its focus to deeper targets. For this reason, the magnetotelluric (MT) method has gained much attention due to its unique penetration in regions of thick cover sequences. As the setting and geometries of mineral deposits are often complex, three-dimensional (3D) models are required for their interpretation. Though still computationally demanding, 3D inversion is now becoming a practical and common tool for presenting MT data. However, there has been little critical analysis of the ability of 3D MT surveys to recover structural geometry. To assess the value of 3D MT in the exploration of mineral deposits, this study compares results of synthetic model studies with a 3D MT survey from an iron oxide copper gold (IOCG) deposit in South Australia. Synthetic data sets are presented for two scenarios incorporating a conductive 3D target, with and without conductive cover. A comparison of model responses demonstrate that while MT is greatly sensitive to conductive and symmetrical bodies at depth, its resolution for detecting finite 3D bodies is significantly reduced under conductive cover. Although 2D inversions can recover the geometry of finite conductive bodies, it is possible to successfully interpret 2D survey data using 3D inversion algorithms. Utilising all components of the impedance tensor, off-profile 3D conductive structure can be obtained from 2D survey data alone. Results of the synthetic studies were applied to a 3D MT data set acquired across the Wirrda Well IOCG deposit (SA, South Australia). Although the thickness of conductive cover sequences were resolved from 2D and 3D inversion, conductivity structure associated with alteration and mineralisation could not be recovered. Thus, although 3D MT shows promise for recovering 3D conductivity structures at depth, its use in delineating deposit scale targets under conductive cover is greatly limited.

KEYWORDS

Magnetotellurics, mineral exploration, two dimensional inversion, three-dimensional inversion, synthetic model studies, Wirrda Well, IOCG

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	6
THE MAGNETOTELLURIC METHOD	8
Geomagnetic Depth Sounding (GDS) and Parkinson Induction Arrows.....	10
Phase Tensors	11
SYNTHETIC MODEL STUDY	11
Model Design and Forward Calculations.....	12
Apparent Resistivity and Phase Curves	15
Phase Tensor and Induction Arrows.....	17
2D MT Inversion for an Isolated 3D Body.....	18
2D MT Inversion for an Extended Body.....	21
3D MT Inversion	24
3D Inversion of a 2D Profile Line	27
3D MT SURVEY FROM THE WIRRDA WELL IOCG DEPOSIT	30
Background.....	30
Phase Tensors	33
2D MT Inversion	35
3D MT Inversion	37
DISCUSSION	41
Synthetic Model Study	41
3D MT Survey from the Wirrda Well IOCG.....	42
CONCLUSIONS	45
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	46
REFERENCES	46

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Forward model geometry. 1a) Vertical cross section (top) through the centre of Model B. Resistivity values and model geometries are shown, including; a conductive regolith, resistive basement, and conductive target. 1b) Horizontal slice of synthetic models A and B from 550 m to 2550 m below the survey area. The shaded triangles represent MT station sites at the surface within a 5 x 5 km grid. The lateral extent of the target anomaly is shaded for reference and Profile 1 is annotated.13

Figure 2: Rectilinear mesh used in 3D F-D modelling. 2a) Horizontal cross section (top) consisting of 54 • 54 cells corresponding to a geographical area of 50 km². At the core of the grid, the cell dimensions are 200 m x 200 m in size. 2b) Vertical cross section (bottom) with 28 layers extending down 5 km. Boundary conditions are imposed by a 1D base model shown in Table 1. This grid should be imagined as a cube consisting of 81648 cells.14

Figure 3: TE mode apparent resistivity (ρ_a) and phase (ϕ) curves of Model A and B for two station locations. 3a, b) Model A ρ_a and ϕ . 3c, d) Model B ρ_a and ϕ . For reference, a 5% error bar in ρ_a magnitude and corresponding $\approx 1.4^\circ$ absolute error in phase are shown. 3e) Phase error Argand diagram demonstrating the relationship between phase and apparent resistivity standard errors. 3f) Station location map at the surface with station 01 and 60 highlighted. The lateral extent of the target anomaly is represented by a black outline.16

Figure 4: Map view phase tensor and real component Parkinson induction arrow plots for Model A and B. 4a) Model A with no cover. 4b) Model B with 250 m of 10 Ω m cover. Phase tensors: circular tensors indicate mostly 1D structure, whereas the major axes of elongate tensors indicate a strong induction polarisation. The colour of the phase tensor represents the invariant minimum phase value. Induction arrows: the real part of the induction arrow is plotted following the Parkinson convention (points towards conductor). Induction arrows point towards the centre of the target anomaly for models A and B. A normalised induction arrow with magnitude of 0.1 is shown for reference. The lateral extent of the target anomaly is indicated by a black outline.18

Figure 5: 2D inversion results for models A (5a) and B (5b). The profile inverted (c.f. Figure 1, Profile 1) has a site spacing of 250 m oriented west to east. The horizontal and vertical extent of the anomalous body is shown as a black outline. When no conductive overburden exists, the top and sides of the target are recovered.21

Figure 6: 2D inversions incorporating a tear at the regolith basement contact for an elongated 2D target (6a), and isolated 3D target (6b). The horizontal and vertical extent of this anomaly is shown as a black outline.24

Figure 7: Results of 3D WSINV3DMT inversions of Model A and B data sets. 7a) Y-slice through the centre of Model A after 3 iterations. 7b) Depth slices of Model A at 550 m, 1000 m, and 2000 m. 7c) Y-slice through the centre of Model B after 6 iterations and 2 runs. 7d) Station map demonstrating the model station grid and lateral extent of target anomaly.27

Figure 8: Model A 3D inversion result for a 2D station profile above the southern edge of the target anomaly. 8a) Vertical cross section through the centre of the target anomaly orientated S to N. 8b) Horizontal cross sections at depths of 550 m, 1000 m, and 2000 m. 8c) Station map showing the position of the target anomaly and survey profile.29

Figure 9: Regional topographic map of the Stuart Shelf indicating the location of the Wirrda Well 3D MT survey. MT station locations are marked by black triangles.31

Figure 10: Map view of Wirrda Well phase tensors for three periods; 0.1 s, 1 s and 10 s. 10a) Phase tensor plots with colour fills corresponding to minimum phase (ϕ_{\min}). 10b) Phase tensors plots with colour fills corresponding to skew angle. $|\beta_0| \geq 5$ indicate 3D structure (Blue and Red).34

Figure 11: Wirrda well 2D profile station map. MT stations are represented by black and red triangles. Red triangles indicate active stations utilised for 2D inversions.35

Figure 12: 2D inversion results of Wirrda Well station data for a northwest to southwest profile of 28 sites (Figure 11). 12a) $m_0 = 10 \Omega\text{m}$. 12b) $m_0 = 1000 \Omega\text{m}$37

Figure 13: Station location map of 3D inversion sites. MT stations are shown as black and red triangles. Red triangles indicate active sites utilised in 3D inversions.38

Figure 14: 3D inversion results of the Wirrda Well survey utilising two starting models (m_0). 14a) m_0 : 100 Ωm half-space. Depth slices at 250 m, 500 m, 1000 m, 2000 m, 3000 m and 4000 m after 7 iterations. 14b) m_0 : 400 m of 10 Ωm cover and 1000 Ωm basement. Depth slices at 250 m, 500 m, 1000 m, 2000 m, 3000 m and 4000 m after 6 iterations.40

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Synthetic 1D layered basal model utilised in F-D 3D modelling.14

Table 2: 46 Magnetotelluric stations deployed at the Wirrda Well IOCG deposit. This table shows the name, location and the elevation (meters) of all survey sites. The stations utilised in 2D and 3D inversions are shown in columns 5 and 6.33