

A Digital Holographic Imager for Cloud Microphysics Studies



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

Thomas Edward Chambers

School of Physical Sciences

University of Adelaide

This thesis is submitted for the degree of

Master of Philosophy

April 2017

I dedicate this thesis to my parents without whom none of this would have been possible

Declaration Of Originality

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis resides with the copyright holder(s) of those works.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed: Date:

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I extend my deepest gratitude to my supervisors; Murray Hamilton and Iain Reid. Throughout this project they have provided an endless source of knowledge, enthusiasm and encouragement and it has been an honour and a pleasure to have worked with them over these years. To Iain, your unique insights and suggestions regarding problems that I have faced along this journey are gratefully acknowledged as well as your support and encouragement along the way. Particular thanks must be extended to Murray for his seemingly limitless patience and wisdom displayed in all discussions throughout this project and for being a constant source of inspiration as both a scientist and a mentor. I have learnt much from this experience and I am deeply grateful for all the opportunities he has provided me with over the course of this project.

To my friends and colleagues in the atmospheric physics group, optics group and physics department; Andrew Spargo, Tuong Cao, Joshua Pease, Nicola Bilton, Andrew Heitmann, Nicky Luo, Simon Curtis, Baden Gilbert, Nadia Steyn, Jarryd Day, Rosa Hoff, Andrew McKinnon, Bob Vincent, Mike Hatch, Steven Saffi, Josh Charvetto, Josh D'Agostino, Peter Veitch, Miftar Ganija, Eleanor King, Myles Clark, Lachlan Harris and David Ottaway as well as the other members whom I have spent time with. It has been a true pleasure meeting and spending time with you all over the years and it has made this journey a rewarding and thoroughly enjoyable experience. I am grateful to Andrew McKinnon, Bob Vincent, Peter Veitch, Won Kim, Neville Wild, Adrian Giffin, Adrian Selby, Blair Middlemiss, Joel Younger, Jesper Munch and Richard White for their useful insights on some of the technical aspects related to this project and to Bob Chivell for his expert technical assistance in the development of the instrument mounts.

I am grateful for the support from Robert Males and Philip Nelson of Tasnetworks for their assistance in installing the holographic instrument at their mountain site. The Bureau of Meteorology is also acknowledged for providing weather station observations during this testing.

Finally, my heartfelt thanks is extended to my external friends and family. Your unwavering support and encouragement has been invaluable to me and has allowed me to persevere in the face of all challenges encountered along this journey.

Abstract

Clouds play a crucial role in regulating the climatic and meteorological systems of planetary atmospheres due to their impact on the radiative transfer of electromagnetic energy through the atmosphere and in governing the hydrological (or equivalent) cycles. The role of clouds is of particular interest within the Earth's atmosphere where they cover approximately 70% of the Earth's surface and also have an impact on aircraft safety considerations due to aircraft icing and associated hazards.

To quantitatively understand the influence of clouds in an atmospheric system, the underlying physics of their formation, evolution and interaction with other atmospheric dynamical processes must be observed and modelled. These processes are governed by the underlying microphysical cloud properties such as the cloud particle shapes, sizes, spatial clustering and thermodynamic phase. Direct observations of these microphysical properties have historically proved challenging with large discrepancies seen between the outputs of climate models and direct observations, suggesting a lack of understanding of these processes.

Digital holography is a three dimensional imaging technique that allows direct measurement of many microphysical observables, such as the particle size distribution, particle shape distribution and spatial distribution, making it an attractive solution to this observational challenge. Previous instruments have been expensive and heavy devices, limiting their use to ground based observations or on board expensive research aircraft flights, which has severely limited the amount of data obtained from these instruments. There is therefore a need for a low cost, light weight digital holographic instrument suitable for deployment on a tower structure or weather balloon to obtain these critically needed measurements in remote and widespread regions. The development of such an instrument is outlined in this thesis.

Table of contents

Declaration Of Originality	v
Abstract	ix
List of figures	xiii
List of tables	xix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview and Motivation	1
1.2 Thesis Organisation	3
2 Cloud Microphysics	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Theory Overview	5
2.2.1 Cloud Microphysics and Climate	10
2.3 Measurement Techniques	13
2.3.1 Remote Sensing	13
2.3.2 In Situ Measurements	15
2.3.2.1 Impaction Techniques	16
2.3.2.2 Optical scattering Probes	16
2.3.2.3 2D Imaging Probes	16
2.3.2.4 3D Imaging Probes	17
2.3.2.5 Digital Holography	18
3 Digital Holographic Imager Design and Optimisation	21
3.1 Introduction	21
3.2 Digital Holographic Imaging Theory	22
3.3 Software Development	25

3.3.1	Image Reconstruction Software	26
3.3.2	Holographic Imaging Simulation	28
3.3.3	Automated Analysis Software	31
3.4	Resolution and Design Constraints	34
4	Instrument Characterisation	45
4.1	Introduction	45
4.2	Electro-optical Performance	45
4.2.1	Laser Diode Characterisation	46
4.2.2	Pulsing Characterisation	48
4.3	Resolution Testing	51
4.3.1	USAF Resolution Target Testing	52
4.3.2	Calibration Microsphere Testing	54
4.4	Temperature Control and Power Supply	60
4.5	System Automation and Stability	62
4.6	Enclosure Design	67
5	Field Testing Results	73
5.1	Introduction	73
5.2	Field Site Details	73
5.3	Preliminary Preparation	74
5.4	Preliminary Data Analysis and Future Work	76
6	Conclusion	81
6.1	Conclusion	81
6.2	Future Work	82
	References	85
	Appendix A 3D Image Reconstruction Software	101
	Appendix B Refereed Conference Paper	115

List of figures

2.1	Variety of ice crystal shapes observed in clouds. Figure reproduced from Magono and Lee (1966).	9
2.2	Difference between observed and modeled CRF_{SW} and CRF_{LW} across the globe. Figure adapted from Kay (2012).	11
2.3	Comparison of the modelled CRF as a function of cloud particle shape and cloud optical depth. Figure reproduced from Schumann et al. (2012).	12
2.4	Effect of particle shape assumption on MODIS retrievals of cloud optical thickness and effective particle size. Figure reproduced from Yang (2015).	14
3.1	In line holographic recording geometry. The laser produces a coherent wavefront which is then scattered from the object to be imaged. The interference pattern between the scattered object wavefront and the background reference wavefront is then recorded on the camera detector placed in line with the laser and objects.	24
3.2	Generated double slit aperture diffraction patterns using the reconstruction software for: (a) a near field observation distance and (b) a far field observation distance.	30
3.3	Simulated three dimensional object distribution for validation of the holographic imaging theory for the detector designed in this project. The colour bar shows the image intensity and the x and y axes are the physical size of the camera grid in units of metres. Two particles are placed at a depth of 1cm from the camera, one is located at a depth of 1.2cm and another is placed at a depth of 1.4cm.	32
3.4	Reconstructed object wavefront at a range of depth positions. The original object field has been reconstructed successfully at the appropriate depths of 1cm, 1.2cm and 1.4cm. The field at 1.6cm highlights the clear difference between true reconstructed objects and out of focus background diffraction terms.	33

3.5	Spectral response at a single wavelength with the colour filter on the sensor (right of image) and with the filter removed (left of image).	35
3.6	The left image shows the hologram recorded in the uncompressed raw file format, the right image shows the output using JPEG compression. A clear reduction in the overall number of resolvable interference fringes is observed in the compressed output.	36
3.7	Geometry used in the resolution simulation. Figure adapted from Jericho et al. (2006).	37
3.8	One dimensional simulated hologram from adjacent point source scatterers as a function of particle distance from sensor. Note that at larger distances, information about the interference modulation is lost.	38
3.9	Resolution and sampling limit comparison between Raspberry Pi and See3 cameras. Resolution is the size of the smallest resolvable particle and object distance is defined relative to the camera sensor. Vertical lines show the sampling limits for each diffraction implementation.	40
3.10	Summary of the in line recording geometry used in this system. The laser, sampling volume and camera are collinear and holograms are recorded by a low cost Raspberry Pi computer for further processing and analysis.	41
3.11	Temperature dependence of the L405P20 laser diode as extrapolated from datasheet values.	42
3.12	Comparison between the simulated hologram of a spherical point source (left) and experimental measurement of the hologram of a spherical scattering particle recorded under the same conditions (right).	43
4.1	Intensity spectrum for the L830 laser diode along with an 830nm LED for comparison.	46
4.2	Mean camera pixel value for illumination from the L405 laser diode as a function of applied voltage to the laser diode driver circuit and distance between the laser and camera. Measurements are taken with a 500ns exposure time.	47
4.3	Mean intensity as a function of exposure time measured in microseconds. Nonlinear effects are due to pixel saturation and the noise floor of the system.	48
4.4	Circuit diagram for the pulsing circuit which consists of a high speed triggering circuit and a laser pulse amplifier. The connections to the camera and laser diode are also shown to highlight the operation of this circuit.	49

4.5	a) Oscilloscope display of the laser diode pulse shown on the blue trace and the pulse used to delay the laser pulse relative to the camera exposure pulse is shown on the green trace. b) Oscilloscope output of a train of pulses generated by the triggering circuit. The laser pulse on the green trace is synchronised to the centre of the camera exposure pulse on the yellow trace. The time between pulses determines the sampling frequency of the system.	50
4.6	Effect of wire length on the output laser voltage pulse shape shown on Channel 2. The left image shows the laser pulse with large wires used to connect the laser diode to the pulsing circuit and the right image shows that with shorter wires. A significant reduction in pulse ringing is seen in the image on the right.	51
4.7	Standard USAF resolution test target used for resolution testing.	52
4.8	Reconstructed USAF resolution test target recorded with the 405nm laser diode (left) and the 830nm laser diode (right). Note the improvement in resolution obtained by using a shorter wavelength in the left image.	53
4.9	Geometry used to test the 3D image reconstruction software. From left to right: Camera, Raspberry Pi computer, objects on glass microscope slides and a laser diode connected to a thermoelectric heater/cooler.	54
4.10	Raw interference pattern recorded for the detector geometry shown in Figure 4.9.	55
4.11	Reconstructed 3D image at a range of consecutive depth positions from image a) through to image f) as displayed by the Z parameter. Images b) and e) show the in focus particle images at locations corresponding to the glass microscope slides in Figure 4.9.	56
4.12	Perspective views of the 3D image reconstructed from the hologram in Figure 4.10 for a) a front viewing looking down the sampling volume and b) a side view highlighting the different depth positions of the two sets of particles.	57
4.13	Particle sizes measured by the system as a function of their depth position within the sampling volume. Results agree to the expected value shown by the blue line to within the uncertainty.	59
4.14	Analogue PID temperature controller circuit diagram. The temperature can be sensed using either a high precision thermistor or a digital Lm335 temperature sensor. The output control signal is then amplified using the circuit shown in Figure 4.15.	61
4.15	Circuit diagram for the overall system highlighting the power supply componentry along with the connections between each of the system components.	63

4.16	a) In focus reconstructed intensity image for a set of calibration test spheres placed at a single depth position. Red circles indicate particles that have been successfully identified and sized by the automated analysis algorithm. b) Automatically extracted particle size distribution for the detected spheres in Figure 4.16a.	64
4.17	Difference between the observed and expected image recording times for each successive image.	65
4.18	a) Time difference between successively recorded images as a function of operation time. b) Zoomed in view of Figure 4.18a to highlight the periodicity of the variation in sampling period.	66
4.19	a) The top row shows the intensity recorded on the camera for a single pulse of the laser diode along with the corresponding two dimensional fast Fourier transform. The bottom row shows the next consecutive pulse recorded from the laser with the corresponding Fourier transform. Note the appearance of curved interference fringes between pulses. b) Laser intensity distribution after applying spatial filtering to remove the time varying curved fringes and fixed horizontal background fringes.	67
4.20	Variation between consecutive laser pulses with a higher laser power and larger sampling volume. The effect of the background fringes is now significantly reduced relative to the fixed fringes produced from the test objects. .	68
4.21	Image of the final developed instrument before the boxes were sealed. Each of the electronic control stages can be independently adjusted and then all components are mounted within water proofed plastic boxes.	69
4.22	a) Box in which the camera and optional optical filter are mounted. Resistive heaters are used to avoid condensation effects on the sampling window. b) Box in which the laser and pulsing circuit are mounted. The laser position can be varied to adjust the magnification and the temperature is controlled with a heatsinked TEC.	70
4.23	Modelled instrument sampling volume size as a function of the sampling window spacing and laser-sampling window spacing.	71
4.24	Mounting configurations developed to control the spacing between the instrument sampling windows. The left configuration is light weight and suitable for balloon deployment and the right configuration is more sturdy, as required for deployment on a tower.	72

5.1	Photo of the region surrounding the Mt Read field site at which the holographic instrument was tested. The presence of clouds around the tower highlights the suitable elevation and climate for cloud studies.	74
5.2	Tower structure on which the holographic instrument and Polarsonde were deployed. A zoomed in view of the holographic instrument is shown in the bottom right inset and the Polarsonde is shown in the top right.	75
5.3	Reconstructed 3D image at two depth positions at which cloud particles were identified. The particles are identified by the in focus dark regions against the lighter background and some of the larger particles are highlighted with red bounding circles.	77
5.4	Various pristine cloud particles observed during the identified cloud events.	79
5.5	Polarsonde observations taken at the same time as the holographic observations shown in Figure 5.3. The green and grey traces show the raw backscattered signal for each polarisation channel and the red and blue traces show the depolarisation for each channel. The dotted blue line shows the temperature observations from the weather station. Figure courtesy of M. Hamilton. . .	80

List of tables

- 3.1 Differences between the Angular Spectrum diffraction method and Fresnel diffraction method. 28
- 3.2 Comparison between the See3 camera and Raspberry Pi camera features. . . 39
- 4.1 USAF resolution testing results for the 405nm laser and 830nm laser. 54