



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE

Regional high-grade metamorphism  
during rift basin development:  
implications for burial mechanisms to  
lower crustal depths

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of Adelaide for  
an Honours Degree in Geology

Naomi Marie Tucker

November 2013

**REGIONAL HIGH-GRADE METAMORPHISM DURING RIFT BASIN DEVELOPMENT: IMPLICATIONS FOR BURIAL MECHANISMS TO LOWER CRUSTAL DEPTHS****RUNNING TITLE: HIGH-GRADE METAMORPHISM DURING BASIN DEVELOPMENT****ABSTRACT**

Crustal-scale exhumation during the intraplate Alice Springs Orogeny (c. 450–300 Ma) in central Australia has exposed the medium-pressure, high-grade metasedimentary and metabasaltic rocks of the Harts Range Group (HRG). Similarities in the detrital zircon age spectra and Lu–Hf-isotopic composition between the HRG and surrounding unmetamorphosed late Neoproterozoic–Cambrian Amadeus and Georgina basin successions indicate the HRG is a highly metamorphosed equivalent to these basin successions. Calculated phase equilibria modelling and thermobarometry constrain peak metamorphic conditions to ~880°C and 10.5 kbar and ~670°C and 7 kbar in the structurally lowest and highest parts of the HRG, respectively. Peak metamorphic assemblages are associated with extensive mafic magmatism, a coarse layer-parallel fabric and NE-side-down kinematics, the combination of which points to an extensional setting. Metamorphic conditions indicate a high geothermal gradient regime also existed during burial, manifested by the prograde development of andalusite-bearing metapelite mineral assemblages. Monazite within prograde-zoned garnet and the enclosing fabric yield a U–Pb age of c. 442 Ma which is interpreted to record the timing of high-grade metamorphism of the upper HRG during continuation of the late Ordovician Larapinta Event (c. 480–460 Ma). Burial and metamorphism was synchronous with Centralian Superbasin sedimentation in central Australia and accordingly the deep burial, metamorphism and deformation of the HRG to mid-lower crustal depths must be justified in the context of the broader intraplate basin evolution. The HRG seems consistent with burial by sediment loading and associated high-grade metamorphism driven by elevated heat flows in a super-deep rift. This suggests that regional medium-pressure, high-grade metamorphic terranes may be generated in deep intraplate rift basins during extension and therefore are not necessarily reflective of compressional thickening of the crust.

**KEYWORDS**

Deep-rift basin; intraplate deformation; regional high-grade metamorphism; zircon provenance; geochronology; Lu–Hf; pseudosection; Harts Range; Ordovician

**Table of Contents**

List of Figures and Tables .....	4
1. Introduction .....	5
2. Geological Background.....	9
2.1. Regional geology.....	9
2.2. Study area .....	11
2.2.1. Structural architecture.....	11
2.2.2. Field-based metamorphic geology.....	13
3. Analytical methods.....	19
3.1. Geochronology .....	19
3.1.1. SHRIMP zircon U–Pb geochronology .....	19
3.1.2. LA-ICP-MS monazite U–Pb geochronology.....	20
3.1.3. Comparative age modelling .....	21
3.2. Lu-Hf isotope analysis.....	21
3.3. Bulk-rock and mineral chemistry .....	23
3.4. Mineral equilibria modelling.....	23
3.4.1. Thermobarometry .....	23
3.4.2. Pressure–temperature pseudosections.....	24
4. Results .....	25
4.1. Provenance of the HRG.....	25
4.1.1. SHRIMP zircon U-Pb geochronology .....	25
4.1.2. Comparative age modelling .....	29
4.1.3. Lu-Hf isotopic analysis .....	31
4.2. Metamorphic geology.....	35
4.2.1. Metamorphic petrology.....	35
4.2.2. Geochemistry and mineral chemistry .....	40
4.2.3. Pressure–temperature conditions .....	42
4.2.3.1. Thermobarometry.....	42
4.2.3.2. Pressure–temperature pseudosections .....	42
4.2.4. LA-ICP-MS monazite U–Pb geochronology.....	44
5. Discussion .....	50
5.1. Provenance.....	50
5.2. Structural architecture.....	51
5.3. Pressure-temperature-time evolution.....	53
5.4. Implications for mechanisms of burial to mid–lower crustal depths.....	54

6. Conclusions .....	56
Acknowledgments .....	57
References .....	58
Appendix A: Summary of samples.....	63
Appendix B: Lu–Hf Isotopic analysis data tables .....	64
Appendix C: Whole-rock geochemistry .....	69
Appendix D: Representative electron microprobe analyses.....	70
Appendix E: Additional garnet elemental x-ray maps .....	73
Appendix F: LA-ICP-MS monazite U-Pb geochronology standard analyses.....	75
Appendix G: Supplementary data tables – Zircon element analyses .....	77
Appendix H: THERMOCALC average P-T calculations .....	82
Appendix I: Multidimensional scaling (MDS).....	85
Appendix J: Extended analytical methods.....	87

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Relative probability density plots of detrital zircon U–Pb age data from metasedimentary rocks of the Harts Range and select Neoproterozoic–Palaeozoic sedimentary units of the NE Amadeus and SW Georgina basins. ....	8
Figure 2. Regional geological map of the Harts Range region and surrounding areas ..	12
Figure 3. Simplified geological map of the study area.....	15
Figure 4. Field photographs from the study area.....	16
Table 1. Summary and description of lithological units in the study area .....	17
Figure 5. SHRIMP zircon U–Pb geochronology for the Arumbera Sandstone.....	26
Table 2. SHRIMP zircon U–Pb geochronology results.....	27
Figure 6. Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) maps of detrital zircon age data for proposed stratigraphic correlative samples from the upper, mid and lower parts of the Harts Range Group (HRG), and Amadeus and Georgina basin successions .....	29
Figure 7. Comparative age modelling between arrays of detrital zircon age data from the entire Harts Range Group (HRG), Amadeus Basin and Georgina Basin successions ...	31
Figure 8. Lu–Hf isotope results: $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values plotted against U–Pb ages .....	32
Figure 9. Lu–Hf isotope results: relative probability density plots for $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values .....	34
Figure 10. Photomicrographs of key petrological relationships.....	36
Table 3. Summary of garnet mineral chemistry from the Brady Gneiss and Harts Range Meta-Igneous Complex .....	40
Figure 11. Electron microprobe elemental x-ray maps of Mg, Mn, Ca and Fe for garnet from the Brady Gneiss and Irindina Gneiss metapelite .....	41
Table 4. THERMOCALC average P–T results for peak metamorphic assemblages of the Brady Gneiss and Harts Range Meta-Igneous Complex .....	43
Figure 12. Calculated P–T pseudosection for sample UBG-4 from the Upper Brady Gneiss .....	45
Figure 13. Calculated P–T pseudosection for sample IG-10 from mid-lower metapelitic Irindina Gneiss.....	46
Figure 14. Calculated P–T pseudosection for sample IG-222 from the lower metapelitic Irindina Gneiss.....	47
Figure 15. <i>In situ</i> LA-ICP-MS monazite U–Pb geochronology.....	48
Table 5. <i>In situ</i> LA-ICP-MS monazite U–Pb geochronology results.....	49