

‘A comparison of a proprietary and generic dental implant abutment connection using computerised microtomography (micro-CT).

A thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Doctor of Clinical Dentistry (Prosthodontics)

July 2017

Benjamin R Sellick BDent (Hons) (Sydney), BLabMed. (UniSA)

School of Dentistry

University of Adelaide



Contents

Contents.....	2-3
Abstract.....	4
Declaration.....	5
Acknowledgements.....	6
Chapter 1	7
1.1 Introduction.....	7
1.2 Aims of the Study.....	10
Chapter 2 Literature Review	11
2.1 Introduction.....	11
2.2 Predictability and durability of implant treatment.....	12
2.3 Timing of Implant Failures.....	22
2.4 Assessment of significance of componentry misfit.....	32
2.5 Periodontal versus Periimplant.....	59
2.6 Biological effects and the implant-abutment connection.....	60
2.7 Clinical perspectives on generic componentry.....	63
2.8 Anatomy of the Implant Abutment Connection.....	70
2.9 Anatomy of a Dental Implant Abutment.....	78
2.10 Computed Microtomography	81
Chapter 3 Materials and Methods	92
3.1 Components.....	93
3.1.1 Implant specimens.....	93

3.1.2 Abutment specimens.....	94
3.1.3 Retention screws.....	104
3.2 Specimen preparation.....	105
3.2.1 Mounting device.....	105
3.2.2 Installing and setting the specimens for scanning.....	108
3.3 Specimen testing.....	110
3.3.1 Microtomography.....	110
3.3.2 Pre-Processing of raw scan data	110
3.3.3 Qualitative analysis.....	111
Chapter 4 Results.....	115
Chapter 5 Discussion.....	139
Chapter 6 Conclusions.....	167
References.....	170
Appendix A	181

Abstract

Aim: To observe and assess the internal characteristics of a dental implant by comparing and contrasting the abutment-implant connection of a proprietary abutment connected to this implant and a generic abutment connected to this same implant.

Materials and Methods: Fourteen implant specimens (Neoss Dental Implants, North Yorkshire, UK) were used in total across two groups. Seven proprietary titanium abutments were connected to seven corresponding implants with a proprietary abutment screw (proprietary group); seven generic titanium abutments were connected to the remaining seven implants by a proprietary abutment screw (generic group). Specimens were scanned using computed microtomography (Skyscan 1076: Bruker microCT, Kontich, Belgium) and analysed qualitatively using processing software (Avizo 9.0: FEI, Oregon, USA).

Results: Proprietary implant-abutment connections were shown to be closely adapting, with no evidence of marginal gap horizontally or vertically. The unique Neoss implant-abutment connection contacts in half as many places as the generic implant-abutment connection. Generic implant abutment connections displayed marginal discrepancies in all seven specimens.

Conclusion: Within the limitations of this study, proprietary abutments demonstrated a superior fit compared with generic abutments. Marginal discrepancies between generic abutments and implants may have been reduced if implant replicas were not used as a starting point.

Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through the web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Signed by:

Benjamin R Sellick

Acknowledgements

From a professional point of view, I would like to extend my gratitude and thanks to the special, measured, considerate and encouraging mentors I have been fortunate enough to learn from. You have assisted in the shaping my craft and I will be forever grateful and appreciative. I can only hope that I conduct myself during my career with as much grace as you all have and continue to do so.

Professor Lindsay Richards

Associate Professor James Dudley

Dr Thomas Berekally

Dr Peter Muggleton

Ms Ruth Williams

I would like to acknowledge the following people for their love, kindness and support throughout the period of my candidature (and in most cases both before and after it). For it would be without you and your endless patience, I would never be where I am today.

Mrs Emily Sellick

My children: Harry, Fergus, William and Audrey

The Buss and Williams families (in particular Georgine, Greg and Horace)

My parents: Greg and Tracey and siblings: Andrew, Jessica, Curtis and Emily.