



THE EFFECTS OF CYCOCEL (CCC) ON TOMATO UNDER WATER STRESS

by

Solomon Amoabin B.Sc. Agric. Hons (Ghana)

Department of Plant Physiology  
Waite Agricultural Research Institute  
The University of Adelaide  
South Australia

Thesis submitted for the Degree of  
Master of Agricultural Science

December, 1983.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page No.</u>
	STATEMENT	i
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
	SUMMARY	iii
	ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	v
	FIGURES	vii
	TABLES	x
CHAPTER I	INTRODUCTION	1
I.1	<u>CCC AND PLANT GROWTH</u>	1
I.1.1	The use of cycocel in general agriculture	1
I.1.2	Physical, chemical and physiological properties of cycocel	2
I.1.3	CCC (and other compounds) as plant growth retardants	2
I.1.4	Effects of CCC on plant growth	4
I.1.4.1	<u>Specificity</u>	4
I.1.4.2	<u>Effect of CCC on vegetative and reproductive growth</u>	6
I.1.5	CCC and nutrient content and uptake	8
I.1.6	Uptake and metabolism of CCC	8
I.1.7	Mode of Action	9
I.1.8	CCC-induced resistance to pests and diseases	11
I.2	<u>PHYSIOLOGY OF PLANT WATER STRESS RESISTANCE</u>	11
I.2.1	Development of water stress	11
I.2.2	Indicators of plant water status	13
I.2.3	Mechanism of water stress resistance	14
I.2.4	Drought avoidance	15
I.2.5	Stomatal response to water stress	16
I.2.6	Chemical induction of stomatal closure	19
I.2.7	Drought tolerance	20
I.2.7.1	<u>Osmoregulation</u>	20
I.2.7.2	<u>Tissue elasticity</u>	22
I.3.1	CCC (and other retardants) and drought resistance	22
CHAPTER II	MATERIALS AND METHODS	25
II.1	<u>PLANT MATERIAL</u>	25
II.2	<u>PLANT GROWTH ENVIRONMENT</u>	25
II.3	<u>CULTIVATION OF PLANT</u>	25
II.4	<u>PREPARATION AND APPLICATION OF CCC</u>	26
II.5	<u>METHODS OF STRESS IMPOSITION</u>	26
II.6	<u>MEASUREMENT OF PLANT WATER STATUS</u>	27
II.6.1	Leaf water potential	27
II.6.2	Leaf osmotic potential	28
II.6.3	Relative water content	28

	<u>Page No.</u>
II.7	<u>MEASUREMENT OF GROWTH PARAMETERS</u> 28
II.7.1	Plant Height 28
II.7.2	Leaf Area 29
II.7.3	Fresh weight 29
II.7.4	Dry weight 29
II.8	<u>LEAF DIFFUSIVE RESISTANCE, STOMATAL COUNTS AND TRANSPIRATION</u> 29
II.8.1	Leaf diffusive resistance 29
II.8.1.1	<u>Calibration of diffusive resistance Porometer</u> 29
II.8.1.2	<u>Measurement of leaf diffusive resistance</u> 30
II.8.2.1	<u>Stomatal counts</u> 33
II.8.3	Transpiration 33
II.9	<u>CHEMICAL PROCEDURES</u> 34
II.9.1	Determination of proline 34
II.9.2	Extraction and assay of quaternary ammonium compounds using the N.M.R. technique 34
II.10	<u>EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS</u> 35
CHAPTER III	<u>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u> 36
III.1	<u>CCC AND WATER STRESS EFFECTS ON WATER STATUS AND GROWTH OF TOMATO</u> 36
III.1.1	Introduction 36
III.1.2	Effects of different concentrations of CCC and water stress on water potential and growth 36
III.1.2.1	<u>Introduction</u> 36
III.1.2.2	<u>Results</u> 37
III.1.2.3	<u>Discussion</u> 39
III.1.3	The effects of 1000 ppm CCC on leaf, stem and root growth 42
III.1.3.1	<u>Introduction</u> 42
III.1.3.2	<u>Results</u> 43
III.1.3.3	<u>Discussion</u> 47
III.1.4	The effects of 1000 ppm CCC applied at different stages of growth and water stress on water potential and growth 48
III.1.4.1	<u>Introduction</u> 48
III.1.4.2	<u>Results</u> 49
III.1.4.3	<u>Discussion</u> 49
III.2	<u>A COMPARISON OF PEG-INDUCED WATER STRESS AND SOIL WATER DEPLETION (BY WITH-HOLDING WATER) COMBINED WITH CCC TREATMENT</u> 52
III.2.1	Introduction 52
III.2.2	Results 53
III.2.3	Discussion 56

	<u>Page No.</u>
III.3 <u>CCC AND WATER RELATIONS OF TOMATO</u>	58
III.3.1 Introduction	58
III.3.2 Relative water content	59
III.3.2.1 <u>Method</u>	59
III.3.2.2 <u>Results</u>	59
III.3.3 Osmotic potential and turgor potential	63
III.3.3.1 <u>Method</u>	63
III.3.3.2 <u>Results</u>	63
III.3.3.3 <u>Discussion</u>	67
III.4 <u>CCC AND ACCUMULATION OF PROLINE AND OTHER QUATERNARY AMMONIUM COMPOUNDS</u>	70
III.4.1 Introduction	70
III.4.2 Results	71
III.4.3 Discussion	79
III.5 <u>EFFECT OF CCC ON LEAF DIFFUSIVE RESISTANCE</u>	80
III.5.1 Introduction	80
III.5.2 Results	81
III.5.3 Discussion	87
III.6 <u>EFFECTS OF CCC AND WATER STRESS ON LEAF DIFFUSIVE RESISTANCE</u>	88
III.6.1 Introduction	88
III.6.2 Results	88
III.6.3 Discussion	91
III.7 <u>EFFECTS OF CCC AND WATER STRESS ON TOTAL WATER LOSS</u>	96
III.7.1 Introduction	96
III.7.2 Results	96
III.7.3 Discussion	99
CHAPTER IV      GENERAL DISCUSSION	102
BIBLIOGRAPHY	106
APPENDICES	119

STATEMENT

This thesis has not been previously submitted for a degree at this or any other University, and is the original work of the writer except where due reference is made in the text.

(SOLOMON AMOABIN)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere thanks to Professor L.G. Paleg for his guidance, constructive criticisms and encouragement in the course of the programme. I am also grateful to Dr. D. Aspinall for acting as a second supervisor.

I am also indebted to Dr. C.F. Jenner for his guidance during the absence of my supervisors, and also to Dr. P.E. Kriedemann for his invaluable advice. I am also grateful to Dr. T.J. Douglas for his help and advice during my early days in Australia. My sincere thanks also go to the technical staff and all the other members of the Department of Plant Physiology for their cooperation and help in diverse ways.

I am grateful to Carol Amoabin who helped in typing and showed a lot of patience and understanding during the preparation of this thesis.

Finally, the financial support provided by the Commonwealth Scholarship and Fellowship Plan and the extra support from The University of Adelaide are gratefully acknowledged.

SUMMARY

CCC (2-chloroethyltrimethyl ammonium chloride) a synthetic plant growth retardant, has been previously found to increase the resistance of various plants to drought. Work done on this subject mostly attributes the CCC effect to reduced leaf area and increased root/shoot ratios due to the retardation of shoot growth though there are a few cases where CCC did not retard shoot growth but still induced drought resistance. A few workers have mentioned the involvement of CCC-induced stomatal closure in the drought resistance of CCC-treated plants. Some workers have associated the CCC-induced drought resistance with metabolic changes of CCC per se, and CCC-mediated metabolic changes in plants under water stress, without mentioning how these changes are related to the CCC-induced drought resistance.

This study was conducted to determine whether CCC affected the drought resistance of tomato, which is relatively sensitive to water stress, and, if so, to explore some of the possible mechanisms underlying the effect. Attention was directed towards the effects of CCC on the tomato plants under stress independent of its retardation effect on growth.

At a concentration of 1000ppm, CCC retarded the growth of the tomato plants 6 days after its application as soil drench. CCC reduced height, leaf area, fresh and dry weights of leaves and stem, without any retardation effect on root growth, resulting in an increased root/shoot ratio. Whether CCC retarded the growth of the plant or not before inducing water stress, the CCC-treated plants maintained higher water potential especially within the first few days after with-holding water, such that they did not wilt as quickly as the non-CCC-treated plants. Despite this prolonged survival under water stress due to CCC treatment, growth was not sustained. When PEG was used to induce stress, CCC did not have any effect on water potential and it could not reduce the toxic effect of PEG, in the form of leaflet margin chlorosis.

Under soil water depletion induced by with-holding water from the plants, RWC was higher in the CCC-treated plants but osmotic potential did not decrease as much in the CCC-treated plants as the non-CCC-treated plants. The relationships between water potential and RWC, osmotic potential and turgor potential were not altered by CCC which indicated that CCC did not enhance the treated plants' ability to adjust osmotically. This was supported by the apparent lack of effect of CCC treatment in promoting solute accumulation.

In normal well-watered plants, CCC caused a rapid differential increase in adaxial leaf diffusive resistance but not in abaxial resistance indicating a CCC-induced closure of the adaxial stomata, independent of its effects on growth.

This was consistent with a marked decrease in transpirational water loss from the adaxial leaf surface of the CCC-treated plants. Water stress per se (induced by with-holding water from the plants) also caused stomatal closure but this was quicker in the non-CCC-treated plants than in the CCC-treated plants. The same water potential threshold was found for stomatal closure and effective control of further water loss under stress, and this was unaffected by CCC. This indicated that, because of the initial CCC-induced adaxial stomatal closure and the concomitant reduced transpiration, the water potential of the CCC-treated plants declined less rapidly as the stress progressed, and that the time required to reach the water potential threshold for stomatal closure and effective control of water loss was prolonged by the CCC treatment.

It was concluded that, independent of its growth retardation effect, CCC enabled plants to delay the onset of severe internal water deficit and, therefore, prolonged survival through CCC-induced adaxial stomatal closure and the attendant decreased transpirational water loss. This did not seem to involve any CCC-induced osmotic adjustment. When CCC retarded growth, however, the increased root/shoot ratio and the reduced leaf area could be additional factors contributing to the CCC-induced resistance to water stress.



ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

ABA	abscisic acid
ATP	adenosine triphosphate
$^{14}\text{C}$	radioactive carbon
Ca	calcium
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	degrees centigrade
CCC	cycocel
cm	centimetre(s)
$\text{cm}^2$	square centimetre(s)
$\text{CO}_2$	carbon dioxide
$\text{D}_2\text{O}$	deuterium oxide
$\text{dm}^2$	square decimetre(s)
F.C.	field capacity
Fig.	figure
g or gm	gram(s)
$\text{H}^+$	hydrogen ion
HCl	hydrochloric acid
$\text{H}_2\text{O}$	water
hr(s)	hours
i.e.	that is
ins.	inches
K	potassium
$\text{K}^+$	potassium ion
KCl	potassium chloride
kg	kilogram(s)
l	litre(s)
MeOH	methanol
Mg	magnesium
M.W.	molecular weight
MWC	methanol : chloroform : water
$\mu\text{E.m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$	microeinstein per square metre per second
$\mu\text{g}$	microgram(s)
$\mu\text{l}$	microlitre(s)
mg	milligram(s)
ml	millilitre(s)
mm	millimetre(s)

$N_2$	nitrogen
N	normal
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
No.	number
P	phosphorus
$^{32}P$	radioactive phosphorus
PEG	polyethylene glycol
ppm	parts per million
$^{86}Rb$	radioactive rubidium
rpm	revolutions per minute
RWC	relative water content
Sec.	second(s)
Si	silicone
t	time
t-buOH (tert-BuOH)	tertiary butanol
$\Delta t$	change in time
>	greater than
%	percent
$\psi$	water potential
$\psi_s$	osmotic (solute) potential
$\psi_p$	turgor potential
$\psi_m$	matric potential

FIGURES

		<u>Page No.</u>
Fig. I.1	Structural representation of some plant growth retardants (Cathey, 1964).	5
Fig. I.2	Metabolic scheme for the mode of action of CCC by Stoddart (1964).	12
Fig. II.1	Relationship between the time lapse ( $\Delta t$ ) and diffusive resistance in the calibration of the porometer at 20°C and 24°C.	32
Fig. III.1	Effects of different levels of CCC pretreatment on water potential during 4 days of with-holding water.	38
Fig. III.2	Photographs showing CCC-treated and control plants under well-watered condition and under stress induced by with-holding water. The CCC-treated plants were supplied with 1000 ppm CCC.	40
Fig. III.1.3	Retardation effects of 1000 ppm CCC and water stress.	44
Fig. III.1.4	Effect of 1000 ppm CCC on (a) leaf area and (b) leaf length, with respect to leaf position, 5 days and 16 days after the CCC treatment.	46
Fig. III.1.5	Effects of 1000 ppm CCC supplied at different stages of growth and water stress on water potential.	50
Fig. III.2.1	Effect of CCC on water potential under PEG-induced stress and soil moisture depletion induced by with-holding water.	55
Fig. III.3.1	Effect of CCC and water stress on water potential.	60
Fig. III.3.2	Effects of CCC and water stress on RWC.	61
Fig. III.3.3	Relationship between RWC and water potential (moisture release curve).	62
Fig. III.3.4	Effect of CCC on water potential under stress and recovery.	64
Fig. III.3.5	Effect of CCC on osmotic potential under stress and recovery.	65

Fig. III.3.6	Relationship between water potential and osmotic potential.	66
Fig. III.3.7	Effect of CCC on turgor potential under stress and recovery.	68
Fig. III.3.8	Relationship between water potential and turgor potential.	69
Fig. III.4.1	Effect of CCC and water stress on water potential.	72
Fig. III.4.2	Effects of CCC and water stress on proline accumulation.	73
Fig. III.4.3	Effects of CCC and water stress on water potential.	74
Fig. III.4.4	Effects of CCC and water stress on proline accumulation.	75
Fig. III.4.5	NMR spectrum of an extract of a CCC-treated plant's leaf, showing choline, CCC and proline peaks.	76
Fig. III.4.6	NMR spectrum of an extract of a non-CCC-treated plant's leaf, showing choline and proline peaks.	77
Fig. III.5.1	Diurnal pattern of (a) adaxial and (b) abaxial diffusive resistances in CCC-treated and control plants, in the glasshouse.	82
Fig. III.5.2	Diurnal pattern of (a) adaxial and (b) abaxial diffusive resistances in CCC-treated and control plants, in the growth room.	83
Fig. III.5.3	Effect of CCC on adaxial diffusive resistance within a period of 7 days.	84
Fig. III.6.1	Effect of CCC on adaxial diffusive resistance under stress and recovery.	89
Fig. III.6.2	Effect of CCC on abaxial diffusive resistance under stress and recovery.	90
Fig. III.6.3	Relationship between water potential and adaxial diffusive resistance.	92
Fig. III.6.4	Relationship between water potential and abaxial diffusive resistance.	93
Fig. III.6.5	Relationship between water potential and adaxial conductance.	94

		<u>Page No.</u>
Fig. III.6.6	Relationship between water potential and abaxial conductance.	95
Fig. III.7.1	Effects of CCC and water stress on water loss/day.	97
Fig. III.7.2	Effects of CCC and water stress on cumulative water loss.	98
Fig. III.7.3	Relationship between water potential and water loss/day.	100
Fig. III.7.4	Relationship between water potential and cumulative water loss.	101

#### APPENDIX FIGURES

Fig.1	Photograph showing the method of determination of transpiration rate in excised leaves as described in Section II.8.3	119
Fig.2	Photographs showing stomatal distribution on the adaxial surface.	122
Fig.3	Photographs showing stomatal distribution on the abaxial surface.	123

TABLES

		<u>Page No.</u>
Table I.1	Physical and Chemical Properties of CCC.	3
Table II.1	Calibration values of the diffusive resistance porometer at 20°C and 24°C.	31
Table III.1	Effects of different levels of CCC and water stress on growth characteristics after 4 days of with-holding water.	41
Table III.2	The effects of 1000 ppm CCC on various growth characteristics of well-watered tomato plants.	45
Table III.3	Effects of 1000 ppm CCC applied at different times (Early and Late) on growth characteristics before and after stress.	51
Table III.2.1	Effects of CCC on various growth characteristics before the imposition of stress.	54
Table III.2.2	Effects of CCC and PEG-induced stress on various growth characteristics.	57
Table III.4.1	Proline, choline and CCC content of leaf estimated by the NMR technique.	78
Table III.5.1	Relationship between plant size, diffusive reistance and transpiration.	85
Table III.5.2	Diffusive resistance of intact plant and transpiration rate of the Adaxial and Abaxial surfaces of excised leaves. Measurements were taken 5 days after CCC treatment.	86

APPENDIX TABLE

Table 1	Number of stomata/mm <sup>2</sup>	121
---------	-----------------------------------	-----