



A BIOGEOGRAPHICAL ANALYSIS OF BIRD COMMUNITIES  
IN THE EVERARD RANGES, SOUTH AUSTRALIA

by

Kathleen Sharon Shurcliff

B.A. (Hons.)

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of *Master of Arts* in the Department of Geography.

The University of Adelaide  
May, 1978

*Accepted for publication 1979*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE . . . . .	<i>i</i>
TABLE OF CONTENTS . . . . .	<i>ii</i>
LIST OF TABLES . . . . .	<i>iii</i>
LIST OF FIGURES . . . . .	<i>iv</i>
LIST OF PLATES . . . . .	<i>v</i>
SUMMARY . . . . .	<i>vi</i>
DECLARATION . . . . .	<i>viii</i>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS . . . . .	<i>ix</i>
I. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
1. Theoretical Considerations . . . . .	2
2. The Study Area . . . . .	7
II. THE BIOGEOCOENOSES . . . . .	15
1. Vegetation and Substrate Sampling . . . . .	17
2. The Biogeocoenose Associations: Physiognomic Classification . . . . .	19
3. The Biogeocoenose Associations: Vegetation Parameters . . . . .	27
<i>(i) Floristic composition parameters</i> . . . . .	27
<i>(ii) Physiognomic parameters</i> . . . . .	36
III. THE BIRD COMMUNITIES . . . . .	41
1. Sampling Procedures . . . . .	41
2. Bird Community Characteristics . . . . .	43
3. Bird Species Composition . . . . .	56
4. Feeding Profiles and Spectra . . . . .	67
IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	78
V. APPENDICES . . . . .	88
VI. REFERENCES . . . . .	99

LIST OF TABLES

		<u>Page</u>
TABLE 1	Monthly averages for meteorological data from Ernabella, 1938-73 . . . . .	13
TABLE 2	Areas and sample plot numbers for the eight biogeocoenoses in the two study sites . . . . .	18
TABLE 3	The eight biogeocoenose associations classified according to Fosberg's physiognomic system . . . . .	22
TABLE 4	Cover predominant species of each biogeocoenose association . . . . .	26
TABLE 5	Plant species similarity matrix . . . . .	33
TABLE 6	Number of plant species and plant species diversity for the biogeocoenose associations . . . . .	35
TABLE 7	Life form spectra and life form diversity (L.F.D.) for the biogeocoenose associations . . . . .	37
TABLE 8	Foliage height diversity values for the MacArthur's and Austin's vegetation layers . . . . .	40
TABLE 9	Values of bird community characteristics for standardized mist net data and transect data . . . . .	45
TABLE 10	Variation in transect bird community characteristics over a nine-month period . . . . .	46
TABLE 11	Spearman correlation coefficient matrix between bird community characteristics and vegetation parameters . . . . .	49
TABLE 12	Bird species similarity matrix based on mist net data . . . . .	58
TABLE 13	Bird species similarity matrix based on transect data . . . . .	59
TABLE 14	Discriminating power of the functions for the transect data discriminant function analysis . . . . .	63
TABLE 15	List of bird species as entered on the step-wise discriminant function analysis . . . . .	66
TABLE 16	Feeding spectra diversity values for the biogeocoenoses . . . . .	72
TABLE 17	Feeding spectra of Grey-headed Honeyeater and White-plumed Honeyeater . . . . .	76

LIST OF FIGURES

		<u>Page</u>
FIGURE 1	Map of South Australia showing location of the Everard Ranges with respect to major physical and cultural features . . . . .	9
FIGURE 2	Generalized cross-section of the study area showing the eight landform types and their substrates . . . . .	12
FIGURE 3	Summary table of the first two levels, primary structural group and formation class, in Fosberg's physiognomic classification system . . . . .	21
FIGURE 4	Average foliage cover profiles of each biogeocoenose association . . . . .	24
FIGURE 5	Life form diversity (L.F.D.) graphed against the four transect bird community characteristics:	
a	Number of species . . . . .	52
b	Bird species diversity . . . . .	53
c	Dominance index . . . . .	54
d	Number of individuals . . . . .	55
FIGURE 6	Plot of the positions of the 48 transect cases against the first two discriminat functions . . . . .	65
FIGURE 7	Feeding profiles and spectra represented by relative frequencies per height interval/feeding position category, as plotted against relative foliage cover per height interval . . . . .	69
FIGURE 8	Transect abundance distributions of Grey-headed and White-plumed Honeyeaters . . . . .	75

LIST OF PLATES

		<u>Facing Page</u>
PLATE 1	Aerial photograph showing the location of the two study sites within the Illbillee massif . . . . .	16
PLATE 2	Stereo pair of aerial photographs showing the biogeocoenoses and their boundaries at Victory Creek . . . . .	inside back cover
PLATE 3a-b	Example of Plain and Plain Creek biogeocoenoses . . . . .	28
PLATE 4a-b	Example of Basin Creek and Basin biogeocoenoses . . . . .	29
PLATE 5a-b	Example of Base and Creek biogeocoenoses . . . . .	30
PLATE 6a-b	Example of Ridge and Gully biogeocoenoses . . . . .	31

## SUMMARY

The relationship between the vegetation and avian components of the biogeocoenoses within a South Australian arid mountain range was investigated. Eight biogeocoenoses, based on landform types and vegetation associations, were delimited in two study areas. Each biogeocoenose was characterized by its plant species composition, foliage cover profile, plant species diversity, plant life form diversity, foliage height diversity, bird species composition, and several bird community characteristics including total number of individuals, number of species, species diversity, and a dominance index. In addition, bird feeding profiles and spectra were constructed for the biogeocoenoses.

Bird species composition was sampled using both mist net captures and transect counts. Since a comparison of the two sets of data indicated that the mist net captures underestimated the total number of individuals and number of species in those biogeocoenoses with a tree layer (>8 m), most analyses were based on the transect data.

Three of the bird community characteristics measured were significantly correlated with life form diversity. However, life form diversity did not accurately predict the total number of individuals for those units in which White-plumed Honeyeaters accounted for over half of the total population sampled. Total number of individuals was predicted by a foliage height diversity index, which is also an index of total foliage cover. These results support those of other arid area bird studies and indicate the difficulties of extending principles developed by extensive work in one vegetation type to other vegetation types.

Although vegetation physiognomy successfully predicted the bird community characteristics, geographic proximity was the main factor affecting the similarity of bird species composition, as indicated by

both a discriminant function analysis and a Motyka similarity matrix. Investigation of the pattern of feeding profiles and spectra indicated that they were not closely related to the foliage cover profiles. Thus, foliage cover does not appear to be a very accurate index of resource availability in the vegetation types sampled. This helps to explain why foliage height diversity is not significantly related to the bird community characteristics. Also, life form diversity was not significantly correlated with feeding spectra diversity. Inadequacies of the procedures used to determine feeding behavior could be largely responsible for these results.

The results of this study indicate that biogeocoenoses, as determined by plant associations, are useful organizing units for detailed investigations of bird communities in a limited geographic area.

I hereby declare that none of the material contained within this thesis has been submitted for a degree at any other university and that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, none of the material has been previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank the Mimili Aboriginal Community who, as guardians of the Everard Ranges, generously shared with me their time, interest, and knowledge of the area. I would also like to thank J. and L. Lester, T. and M. Brown, and J. and A. McClelland for their warm hospitality and assistance.

No field study can be completed without the enthusiastic support of willing field workers. In this case they were D. Close, E. Close, R. Davies, J. Job, B. Riceman, L. Riceman, and M. Whesson. A very special note of gratitude must be paid to A. Shurcliff, who was my invaluable assistant and companion throughout most of the field work.

The following individuals and bodies gave assistance or permits in several stages of the work: Australian Department of Aboriginal Affairs, Australian C.S.I.R.O. Bird Banding Scheme, South Australian Branch of the Bureau of Meteorology, South Australian Institute of Technology and in particular K. McCloy, South Australian National Parks and Wildlife Division, and South Australian State Herbarium, especially D. Whitley.

Financial assistance was received from the Department of Geography at the University of Adelaide, and the author held an Australian Commonwealth Postgraduate Research Award.

Further thanks are due to B. Gepp and J. Kikkawa for helpful discussions on the study; and to M. Andrew, C. Barrington, M. Foale, J. Nolan, W. Sulda, and V. Upton for assistance with the preparation of the manuscript. A very special debt of gratitude is owed to S.G. Taylor, Lecturer in Biogeography at the University of Adelaide, for her thorough supervision of this study in all its aspects.