

EX3.



**Optical Observations of Gravity Waves  
in the High-Latitude Thermosphere**

M.A. de Deuge, B.Sc.(Hons)

A thesis for the degree of Master of Science

*awarded 26-10-90*

The Mawson Institute for Antarctic Research  
University of Adelaide

June 1990

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University. To the best of the author's knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by another person, except when due reference is made in the text. I consent to this thesis being made available for photocopying and loan by the librarian of the University of Adelaide, upon acceptance of the degree.

(M. A. de Deuge)

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. F. Jacka, Director of the Mawson Institute, for his ideas and guidance offered in the supervision of this work. D. Creighton provided valuable support and training on the operation and maintenance of equipment. Thanks are due also to Dr. B. Briggs, Dr. R. Vincent, and Dr. W. Hocking, of the Atmospheric Physics group, for their advice and constructive criticism of sections of this thesis. I am indebted to my fellow students of the Mawson Institute and Atmospheric Physics group for their many discussions and advice. Data were collected by B. Jarvis (1982), P. Wardill (1983), M. Conde (1984,1986), G. Price (1985), C. Evis (1988), and J. French (1989). Analysis providing gravity wave parameters from 1982-1986 and 1988 data was carried out by Dr. D.Y. Wang and Dr. P. Greet.

The assistance of the University of Adelaide in providing a short term scholarship for the latter part of this project is gratefully acknowledged.

I would like to thank the Australian Antarctic Division, which provided logistic support for this project. Thanks also to Dr. G. Burns, Upper Atmosphere Physics group, for his comments on sections of this thesis, and also for his dedication to the task of managing four Antarctic research laboratories.

Finally, special thanks to my dad, who has always given me unquestioning support.

## Abstract

A three-field photometer was used to measure nighttime intensity variations in the  $O(^1D)$   $\lambda 630$  nm and  $O(^1S)$   $\lambda 558$  nm thermospheric emissions above Mawson, Antarctica ( $67.6^\circ\text{N}$ ,  $62.9^\circ\text{E}$ ). Observations were made by the author in 1987; data from 1982 to 1989 were also examined.

Programs were developed to carry out cross-spectral analysis of the data in order to extract the frequency and horizontal trace velocity of periodic structures. Those satisfying certain criteria were attributed to propagating gravity waves. The parameters calculated for disturbances in the  $\lambda 630$  nm emission were characteristic of large-scale waves, and those for  $\lambda 558$  nm emission were characteristic of medium-scale waves. The results show distinct polarisation of the propagation azimuths. Waves in the  $\lambda 630$  nm emission propagated approximately north-westward throughout the period from 1982 to 1989. Those in the  $\lambda 558$  nm emission propagated approximately north-westward and south-eastward during 1982, 1983, 1988, and 1989, and approximately eastward during 1985, 1986, and 1987; in 1984 waves were polarised towards the northwest and northeast.

These results indicate that the observed propagation azimuths of waves in the  $\lambda 558$  nm emission may have a solar-cycle dependency which is not present in the  $\lambda 630$  nm observations. Directional wind filtering is found to be inadequate in accounting for the observations. It is suggested that waves observed in the  $\lambda 630$  nm emission were of predominantly auroral electrojet origin, whilst those observed in the  $\lambda 558$  nm emission were of both auroral and tropospheric origin. A tentative explanation of the changes in propagation azimuths is given in terms of variation in the height of the  $\lambda 558$  nm emission layer and the auroral electrojet region, with solar and geomagnetic activity.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Atmospheric Gravity Waves</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	History of Theory and Observations . . . . .	7
2.2	Gravity Wave Theory . . . . .	9
2.2.1	Structure of the atmosphere . . . . .	10
2.2.2	Equations of atmospheric fluid motion . . . . .	11
2.2.3	Propagation velocities of a wave . . . . .	15
2.2.4	Perturbation velocities . . . . .	17
2.3	Effects of a Real Atmosphere . . . . .	18
2.3.1	Effects of mean wind and critical layers . . . . .	18
2.3.2	Temperature gradients and reflection . . . . .	19
2.3.3	Viscosity and thermal conduction . . . . .	22
2.3.4	Ion drag . . . . .	23
2.3.5	Wave saturation . . . . .	25
2.4	Sources of Gravity Waves . . . . .	26
2.4.1	Auroral sources . . . . .	28
2.5	Wave Generation and Propagation . . . . .	30
2.6	Airglow and Auroral Emissions . . . . .	33
2.6.1	The $\lambda 630$ nm oxygen emission . . . . .	34
2.6.2	The $\lambda 558$ nm oxygen emission . . . . .	36
2.6.3	The interaction of gravity waves with atmospheric emissions . . . . .	38

<b>3</b>	<b>The Three Field Photometer</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2	The Optical System . . . . .	43
3.3	Electronics and Control System . . . . .	47
3.4	Filters . . . . .	49
3.4.1	Filter characteristics . . . . .	49
3.4.2	Filter calibration techniques and results . . . . .	52
<b>4</b>	<b>Data Analysis</b>	<b>55</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	55
4.2	Data preparation . . . . .	56
4.3	Cross-spectral analysis . . . . .	58
4.4	Estimates of horizontal phase trace speed and propagation azimuth . . . . .	60
4.5	Uncertainty in speed and azimuth estimates . . . . .	61
4.5.1	Estimation of uncertainties using data simulations . . . . .	63
4.5.2	Analytical estimation of uncertainties . . . . .	64
4.5.3	The effect of auroral noise . . . . .	67
<b>5</b>	<b>Results: Wave Characteristics</b>	<b>69</b>
5.1	Period, speed and azimuth distributions for 1987 . . . . .	71
5.2	Comparison of wave scales with other observations . . . . .	73
5.3	The dispersion diagram . . . . .	76
5.4	Results from 1982 to 1989 . . . . .	79
5.5	Combined azimuth distributions from 1982 to 1989 . . . . .	82
5.5.1	Period dependence . . . . .	82
5.5.2	Speed dependence . . . . .	82
5.5.3	Wavelength dependence . . . . .	84
5.6	Comparison of propagation azimuths with other observations . . . . .	84
5.6.1	F region . . . . .	85
5.6.2	D and E regions . . . . .	87
<b>6</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>89</b>

6.1	Thermospheric wind effects . . . . .	89
6.2	Sources of waves in the $\lambda 630$ nm emission . . . . .	93
6.3	Sources of waves in the $\lambda 558$ nm emission . . . . .	97
6.3.1	The auroral electrojet as a source region . . . . .	99
6.3.2	Tropospheric sources . . . . .	100
6.3.3	Discussion of the model . . . . .	105
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Work</b>	<b>109</b>
	<b>Bibliography</b>	

## Symbols

### Chapter 2 symbols:

$\mathbf{B}$	=	magnetic field strength
$c$	=	speed of sound
$\mathbf{E}$	=	electric field strength
$f$	=	inertial frequency
$g$	=	acceleration due to gravity
$h$	=	Planck's constant
$H$	=	pressure scale height
$H_c$	=	pressure scale height of minor constituent
IBC	=	International Brightness Coefficient
$\mathbf{J}$	=	electric current density
$k$	=	Boltzmann's constant
$\mathbf{k}$	=	wave vector
$\hat{\mathbf{k}}$	=	unit wave vector
$k_x, k_z$	=	real wavenumbers, horizontal and vertical components
$K_x, K_z$	=	complex wavenumbers, horizontal and vertical components
$m$	=	mean molecular mass
MS, LS	=	medium-scale, large-scale
$p$	=	pressure
$P, R, X, Z$	=	complex polarisation amplitudes
$R_i$	=	Richardson number
$\mathbf{S}$	=	stress tensor
$t$	=	time
$T$	=	temperature
$T_E$	=	rotation period of earth
TID	=	travelling ionospheric disturbance
$U$	=	mean wind speed
$\mathbf{U}$	=	$(u, v, w)$ = mean wind velocity, also written $\bar{u}$
$v_{px}, v_{pz}$	=	horizontal and vertical trace velocities
$\mathbf{v}$	=	velocity
$\mathbf{v}_p$	=	phase velocity
$x, z$	=	horizontal and vertical cartesian components

When symbols are dashed, a perturbation value is implied; when subscript 0 is used, background values are implied.



Chapter 2 symbols continued...

$\alpha$	=	temperature lapse rate
$\alpha^*$	=	adiabatic temperature lapse rate
$\gamma$	=	ratio of specific heat capacities, $C_p/C_v$
$\lambda$	=	true wavelength
$\lambda_x, \lambda_z$	=	horizontal and vertical trace wavelength
$\mu$	=	coefficient of molecular viscosity
$\eta$	=	kinematic viscosity, $\frac{\mu}{\rho}$
$\nu_{ni}$	=	neutral-ion collision frequency
$\nu_{558}$	=	frequency of electromagnetic radiation
$\rho$	=	density
$\tau$	=	wave period
$\tau_a$	=	acoustic cutoff period
$\tau_b$	=	Brunt-Väisälä period
$\tau_i$	=	inertial period
$\omega$	=	ground-based angular frequency
$\omega_a$	=	acoustic cutoff frequency
$\omega_b$	=	Brunt-Väisälä frequency
$\Omega$	=	intrinsic frequency
$\phi$	=	latitude
$\psi$	=	angle between mean wind and horizontal wave vector
$\Phi$	=	elevation angle of energy propagation

Chapter 3 symbols:

$A(m)$	=	Airy function
$d$	=	diameter of field stops
$D$	=	diameter of fields at emission height
$l$	=	focal length of telecentric lens
$L$	=	emission height
$m$	=	order of interference
$r$	=	distance between centre of field stop and optical axis
$\mathcal{R}$	=	reflectance at plate surface
$S$	=	separation of fields at emission height
$\mathcal{T}$	=	transmittance at plate surface
$t$	=	geometric separation of reflecting surfaces
$t_e$	=	effective thickness of spacer
$\theta$	=	angle of incidence of rays between etalon plates
$\theta'$	=	angle of incidence of rays at filter surface; tilt angle
$\Theta$	=	zenith angle of centre of field stop
$\lambda$	=	vacuum wavelength
$\lambda_m$	=	wavelength transmitted at order $m$
$\phi$	=	phase change upon reflection at plate surfaces
$\Phi$	=	field of view
$\mu$	=	refractive index of spacer medium
$\mu_e$	=	effective refractive index from air to spacer medium

Chapter 4 symbols:

$G_{mn}(f)$	=	cross-power spectrum
$C_{mn}(f)$	=	cospectrum spectrum
$Q_{mn}(f)$	=	quadrature spectrum
$A_{mn}(f)$	=	cross-amplitude spectrum
$\Phi_{mn}(f)$	=	phase spectrum
$K_{mn}(f)$	=	coherency spectrum
$\tau_{mn}(f_i)$	=	time difference between fields
$v_{mn}(f_i)$	=	apparent speed from field $m$ to $n$
$a, b$	=	coefficients defining line of best fit
$f$	=	frequency
$f_i$	=	discrete frequency components
$S$	=	separation of fields at emission height
$t$	=	time
$T$	=	record length
$\mathbf{v}$	=	horizontal trace velocity
$v$	=	horizontal trace speed
$x_m(t)$	=	continuous finite time series, $m$
$X_m(f)$	=	$a_m(f) - ib_m(f)$ = Fourier transform of $x_m(t)$
$\theta$	=	azimuth of wave propagation
$\nu$	=	degrees of freedom
$\sigma^2()$	=	variance of a parameter
$\tau$	=	time lag