Large Scale Antenna Array for GPS Bistatic Radar

Chow Yii Pui

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences The University of Adelaide South Australia

July 2017

Contents

| A | bstra | nct | v |
|----|--------|---|------|
| D | eclar | ation | vii |
| A | ckno | wledgements | ix |
| Li | ist of | Figures | xi |
| Li | ist of | Tables | xix |
| A | bbre | viations | xxi |
| Sy | mbo | bls | XXV |
| Pı | ıblic | ations | xxix |
| 1 | Int | roduction | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Problem Description | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Outline of Thesis and Main Contributions | 4 |
| 2 | GP | S Bistatic Radar Background for Target Detection | 7 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 7 |
| | 2.2 | Background of Passive Bistatic Radar | 7 |
| | 2.3 | PBRs Performance Comparison | |
| | 2.4 | Background of GPS | 11 |
| | | 2.4.1 GPS Signal Detection Techniques | 13 |
| | 2.5 | GPS Bistatic Radar Detection Applications | |
| | 2.6 | GPS Signal Air Target Detection for Passive Bistatic Radar | 20 |
| | 2.0 | | |
| | 2.0 | 2.6.1 Coherent Integration | 21 |
| | 2.0 | 2.6.1 Coherent Integration2.6.2 Non-coherent Integration | 21 |

| | | 2.6.4 Phased-array Technique | 24 |
|---|-----|--|----|
| | | 2.6.5 MIMO Radar Technique | 25 |
| | 2.7 | Proposed Research | 27 |
| | | 2.7.1 Coherent Integration | 27 |
| | | 2.7.2 Phased-array Technique | 29 |
| | | 2.7.3 MIMO Radar Technique | 30 |
| | 2.8 | Conclusion | 30 |
| 3 | Fea | sibility of Target Detection using Phased-array Technique | 33 |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 33 |
| | 3.2 | Estimation of Parameters for GPS Bistatic Radar | 34 |
| | | 3.2.1 Power Measurement of Target Scattering | 34 |
| | 3.3 | Background of Phased-array Technique | 39 |
| | | 3.3.1 Phased-array Receiver for PBR | 40 |
| | | 3.3.2 Null-Steering | 43 |
| | | 3.3.3 Discussion of Phased-array Technique for GPS Bistatic Radar | 43 |
| | 3.4 | Antenna Array Calibration Technique | 45 |
| | | 3.4.1 Background | 45 |
| | | 3.4.2 Phase Error Calibration for GPS Bistatic Radar | 47 |
| | | 3.4.3 Attitude Calibration of Receiving Array for GPS Bistatic Radar | 49 |
| | 3.5 | Target Verification and Identification Process | 50 |
| | | 3.5.1 Target Detection Modelling | 52 |
| | | 3.5.2 Simulation Example of Target Detection | 54 |
| | | 3.5.3 Target Parameter Estimation | 60 |
| | | 3.5.4 Simulation Example of Target Parameters Estimation | 64 |
| | 3.6 | Conclusion | 71 |
| 4 | GP | S Bistatic Radar using MIMO Technique | 75 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 75 |
| | 4.2 | MIMO Radar Target Detection Model for GPS Bistatic Radar | 76 |
| | 4.3 | Performance of MIMO Technique for GPS Bistatic Radar | 79 |

| | | 4.3.1 Target Detection Performance | 80 |
|---|-------------|---|-----------|
| | | 4.3.2 Target Location Estimation Accuracy | 83 |
| | | 4.3.3 Computational Complexity | |
| | 4.4 | Simulation of Target Detection Results for GPS MIMO Radar | 85 |
| | | 4.4.1 Target Detection (SISO vs. MISO) | 86 |
| | | 4.4.2 Target Detection (MISO vs. MIMO) | 93 |
| | | 4.4.3 Detection for Multiple Targets (SISO vs MISO vs MIMO) | 98 |
| | | 4.4.4 Target Tracking for GPS MISO/MIMO Radar | 101 |
| | 4.5 | Conclusion | 108 |
| 5 | F ww | novimental Target Detection Devformance for CDS Pistatic | Dodon 112 |
| 3 | Схļ | permental larget Detection remormance for GFS distance | Rauar 115 |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 113 |
| | 5.2 | Experimental Receiver for Air Search GPS Bistatic Radar | 116 |
| | | 5.2.1 Description of Receiver's Design | 116 |
| | | 5.2.2 Receiver Performance Benchmark | 121 |
| | 5.3 | Direct-path Signal Acquisition | 123 |
| | 5.4 | Experimental Antenna Array Calibration Results | 130 |
| | | 5.4.1 Antenna Array Deployment | 130 |
| | | 5.4.2 Calibration Process and Outcome | 130 |
| | | 5.4.3 Verification of Calibration Results | |
| | 5.5 | Direct-path Signal Interference Cancellation Technique | 137 |
| | | 5.5.1 Background | 137 |
| | | 5.5.2 Simulation Examples of DSI cancellation technique | 140 |
| | | 5.5.3 Experimental results using DSI cancellation technique | 143 |
| | 5.6 | Experimental Results from Air Target Detection | 145 |
| | | 5.6.1 Experiment Scenario for Target Detection | 145 |
| | | 5.6.2 Phased-array Detection Technique | 148 |
| | | 5.6.3 MISO Radar Detection Technique | 160 |
| | 5.7 | Conclusion | 165 |

171

| 6.1 | Summary and Contributions | .167 |
|-----|---------------------------|------|
| 6.2 | Further Recommendations | .170 |
| | | |

References

Abstract

GPS passive bistatic radar uses signals transmitted by navigation satellites to perform target detection. This research aims to develop a ground-based receiver that detects the reflected GPS signals from air targets. The main challenge for GPS bistatic radar is the difficulty in detecting the extremely weak power GPS signal reflections from a target since GPS satellites are located at very high altitudes and transmit signals at relatively low power levels.

The research in this thesis investigates the minimum power of the reflected GPS signal that can be reliably detected by applying several techniques for enhancing the receiver detection performance. The proposed techniques for GPS bistatic radar target detection model include: using a large scale antenna array at the receiver, applying long coherent integration times for the captured data and non-coherently summing the power returns of targets from multiple satellites or receivers. This detection model requires the radar system to incorporate the signal information from a large number of receiving channels and non-cooperative transmitters to perform air target detection.

This research also incorporates additional techniques at the pre-detection stage that are essential for the target detection model. Among these techniques include: direct-path GPS signals acquisition that obtains the Doppler frequency component and C/A code pattern from each satellite, array calibration that realigns the inter-element phase errors and orientation of phased-array receiver using the GPS system, and direct-path signal interference cancellation.

The GPS bistatic radar target detection performance was initially investigated using the results produced by computer simulations. Then, a prototype phased-array GPS bistatic radar receiver was built to capture target reflections from an aircraft and investigate the detection performance of the system experimentally. The system was able to successfully detect and locate the position of a nearby aircraft, which demonstrates that the techniques introduced for GPS bistatic radar in this thesis do work in practice. The experimental results also provide a

benchmark that can be used to estimate the scale of the receiver required for detecting objects at a greater distance.

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

I acknowledge the support I have received for my research through the provision of an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

Signature:

Date:

Acknowledgements

Firstly, I would like to thank my first co-supervisor, Mr. Matthew Trinkle, for being willing to spend his precious time with me together investigating the problems addressed in my research and accompany me during the excursions to perform the air target detection experiments. He is also the person who introduced me to study the topic of GPS bistatic radar. I had learnt a lot of skills in RF electronic system, PCB and FPGA designs from him since I did my undergraduate honours project under his supervision. These knowledges are potentially useful for my future career in the electrical and electronic engineering field.

Besides, I would like to thank my second co-supervisor, Prof. Doug Gray, for admitting me as a student member of the Adelaide Radar Research Centre (ARRC), which granted me access to the radar laboratory and numerous resources for designing, testing and building the experimental GPS bistatic radar receiver for my research. Besides, he has provided much useful feedback that greatly improved the writing quality of my thesis.

Also, I would like to thank my principal supervisor, Dr. Brian Ng, for his role in supervising and managing my PhD candidature during these years. He provided useful information and advice before I started writing this thesis. He also encouraged me when I at times lost concentration in writing the thesis.

There are several staff members at the School of Electrical and Electronic that I am indebted for their assistance and advice. Mr. Danny di Giacomo provided me with a wide range of electronic components suitable for my experimental receiver and placed orders when components were required. Mr. Pavel Simcik helped me to fabricate PCBs for several modules in the system. Ian Linke provided materials for building the receiver's structure and performed safety inspection for its worthiness in mounting on a car prior to performing the field test. Ms. Rose-Marie Descalzi managed the paperwork and expenses for my travel to conferences.

In addition, I would like to thank Dr. Abraham du Plooy from Opt-Osl Systems for fabricating the phased-array elements for the radar receiver. Besides, I would like to thank Dr.

James Palmer from DSTO for his invitation to present my research outcome at several passive bistatic radar workshops. These workshops further stimulate my interest in the development work of passive bistatic radar. I had also learnt some useful knowledge related to my research by sharing with other attendees.

I also treasured my friendship with my fellow colleagues, Ruiting Yang, Zili Xu, Kai Yu and Yuexian Wang. We love to share both our work and life together while helping each other when needs arose.

There are also a few distant but sincere friends, Keyi Lu, Sieng Yii Tiong, Maggie Jing Li and Amg Chong Ngu whom I truly appreciated for their concern regarding the progress of my PhD study when they sent me birthday greetings every year.

Lastly, I would like to utterly thank my wife for her truly great love and care since our relationship began, and also my parents for their unconditional love in raising me up and teaching me well. May the success and achievement from my research glorify the name of my Heavenly Father.

List of Figures

| Figure 1.1: Illustration of monostatic radar vs. bistatic radar2 |
|--|
| Figure 1.2: The PBR airborne target detection environment |
| Figure 2.1: A typical block diagram of GPS receiver14 |
| Figure 2.2: The illustration of discrete linear code-Doppler search algorithm. The 'X' symbol |
| in the grid indicates the code-Doppler location of a GPS signal17 |
| Figure 2.3: A down-converted GPS signal located at Doppler offset of 1,900 Hz and sampled |
| code phase of 2,866 is sampled at 4.167MHz and detected using code-Doppler search for an |
| integration period of 5 milliseconds and Doppler resolution of 50 Hz17 |
| Figure 2.4: Schematic of the proposed phased array (Top) and MIMO radar (Bottom) designs |
| for GPS bistatic radar in performing air target detection and parameters estimation28 |
| Figure 3.1: Target detection scenario of a bistatic radar (not to scale) |
| Figure 3.2: Estimated return power (Left) and SNR (Right) of various RCS targets36 |
| Figure 3.3: Given a certain CFAR, a higher SNR of the input signal results in an improvement |
| of receiver performance in terms of probability of detection |
| Figure 3.4: Required GPS bistatic radar receiver gain for detecting targets of various RCS, σB , |
| while satisfying detection performance of $\mathbb{P}D = 90\%$ and $\mathbb{P}FA = 1\%$ |
| Figure 3.5: Illustration of the arrival of plane wave signals at antenna array |
| Figure 3.6: Beam pattern of a 8-element ULA showing 2 null directions using the null-steering |
| technique44 |
| Figure 3.7: Geometry of phased-array antenna panel in 3-D coordinates |
| Figure 3.8: Orientation of phased-array panel with respect to the original configuration48 |
| Figure 3.9: Satellite tracking program "JSatTrak" showing the orbit (Top), range, azimuth and |
| elevation angles information (Bottom Left) and polar plot (Bottom Right) of GPS PRN02 from |
| a receiver position near Adelaide airport (Courtesy Shawn Gano) |
| |
| Figure 3.10: Flowchart of the attitude calibration process for a receiving array incorporating |

Figure 3.11: Normalised CAF of GPS bistatic radar from simulation search process using Figure 3.12: Correlation value at 1st sample delay vs. frequency difference at output of single Figure 3.13: Beampower of 32-element receiver for target scattering signal at $f_{\delta} = -170$ Hz and Figure 3.14: CCAF from a GPS bistatic radar single target detection scenario (Sim 1).......59 Figure 3.15: CCAF from a GPS bistatic radar single target detection scenario (Sim 2)......60 Figure 3.16: Normalised CCAF processed from simulation in a GPS bistatic radar multiple Figure 3.17: Beampower of target 1 return using detection from PRN12 and PRN24. The peaks Figure 3.18: Beampower of target 3 return using detection from PRN12 and PRN24. The peak Figure 3.19: BCAF results (PRN12) of target 1/2 and 3 that are performed during the target Figure 3.20: BCAF results (PRN12) of target 1/2 and 3 that are performed during the target Figure 3.21: BCAF results (PRN12) of target 1/2 and 3 that are performed during the target Figure 3.22: Correlation function that is performed using original vs. interpolated signals. Note that the correlation value is normalised and the sample delay axis is applied with the time scale of interpolated signals. The peak of correlation for PRN04, PRN12, PRN23 and PRN24 appear Figure 3.23: Inverse MSE of localisation for target 3 determined from model (3.51) using sampling delay from original (Left) vs. interpolated (Right) correlation results. The largest inverse MSE of these results appear at position of [38, 174, 95] and [0, 150, 97] metres relative to the radar receiver respectively......70 Figure 3.24: Inverse MSE of localisation for target 3 determined from model (3.53) using its corresponding Doppler readings from BCAF results (Top Left) and the 3-D velocity diagrams Figure 4.1: Illustration of target detection scenario for GPS MISO radar......77 Figure 4.2: Block diagram of GPS MISO radar system (Top) that combines the output from L satellites. The function of the matched filters (Bottom) within the system is also illustrated. 78

| Figure 4.3: Block diagram of GPS MIMO radar system that combines the output from <i>B</i> MISO | |
|---|--|
| receivers | |
| Figure 4.4: The probability of detection vs. pre-integrator stage SNR using different numbers | |
| of non-coherent integration | |
| Figure 4.5: Comparison of gain level at different numbers of integration | |
| Figure 4.6: Simulated geolocation of target detection (4TX and 1RX). Note that the unit 'Mm' | |
| denotes Mega (10 ⁶) metre | |
| Figure 4.7: Target location estimation results (normalised) from $L \times 1$ GPS MISO radar systems | |
| with 10 m search resolution using integration of different numbers of SV | |
| Figure 4.8: Errors between the magnitudes of true target velocity (i.e. 54.78 m/s) and the | |
| readings from the measurements of $L \times 1$ GPS MISO radar target location estimation results. | |
| Figure 4.9: Histograms of $\mathcal{H}0$ and $\mathcal{H}1$ compared with the theoretical chi-squared and non- | |
| central chi-squared PDFs model respectively for $L \times 1$ GPS MISO configurations | |
| Figure 4.10: Comparison of CDFs for different numbers of non-coherent integration between | |
| the histograms given by the MCE of MISO detection results (o) and the theoretical chi-squared | |
| models (continuous lines) | |
| Figure 4.11: Comparison of pRMSE and vRMSE measurements obtained from the MCE | |
| between <i>L</i> ×1 MISO configurations at different input SNR levels | |
| Figure 4.12: Simulated geolocation of target detection (4TX and 4RX) | |
| Figure 4.13: Target location estimation results (normalised) from GPS $L \times B$ MIMO radar with | |
| 10 m search resolution using integration of different numbers of receivers95 | |
| Figure 4.14: Errors between the magnitudes of true target velocity (54.78 m/s) and the readings | |
| from the measurements of $L \times B$ GPS MIMO radar target location estimates | |
| Figure 4.15: Histograms of $\mathcal{H}0$ and $\mathcal{H}1$ compared with the theoretical chi-squared and non- | |
| central chi-squared PDFs model respectively for $L \times B$ GPS MIMO configurations | |
| Figure 4.16: Comparison of CDFs for different numbers of non-coherent integration between | |
| the histograms given by the MCE of MIMO detection results (o) and the theoretical chi-squared | |
| models (continuous lines) | |
| Figure 4.17: Comparison of pRMSE and vRMSE measurements obtained from the MCE | |
| between 4×1 MISO, 4×2 and 4×4 MIMO configurations at different input SNR levels97 | |
| Figure 4.18: Simulated geolocation of target detection (4TX, 4RX and 3 targets) | |

| Figure 4.19: Position estimation and velocity error results of multiple targets (normalised) from |
|--|
| GPS SISO/MISO radar systems with 10 m search resolution using the 1×1 , 2×1 and 4×1 |
| configurations |
| Figure 4.20: Position estimation results of multiple targets (normalised) from GPS MIMO radar |
| systems with 10 m search resolution using the 4×2 and 4×4 configurations |
| Figure 4.21: Simulated geolocation of target detection (4TX, 1RX and 3 targets)102 |
| Figure 4.22: Variations in targets positions, Doppler frequency, sample delays and DOAs due |
| to their corresponding motions in 100 ms |
| Figure 4.23: Illustration of matched filter for tracking target in the detection process using the |
| TBD (Top) and integration with fragmentised data samples (Bottom) methods103 |
| Figure 4.24: MIMO radar target location estimation results (normalised) at 10 m search |
| resolution (Top Left) and their corresponding 3-D velocity components using the TBD |
| technique. The velocity vector estimates for all identified targets are [50; 20; -10], [500; 200; |
| -10] and [2000; 2000; 0] m/s respectively |
| Figure 4.25: MIMO radar target location estimation results (normalised) at 10 m search |
| resolution using the integration of the first sub-block fragmentised data of 10 ms, 25 ms, 50 ms |
| and full integration process of 100 ms (i.e. no fragmentisation) |
| Figure 4.26: The sequence of MIMO radar target location estimation results (normalised) at 10 |
| m search resolution using the integration of 25 ms fragmentised data sub-blocks out of 100 ms |
| data snapshot |
| Figure 4.27: The 2-D velocity result corresponding to the integration of fragmentised data sub- |
| block 0 - 25 ms |
| Figure 4.28: The true target path and the recorded target (Tgt2) positions where peak returns |
| appeared at all the time frames using the fragmentised data integration of various sub-block |
| lengths out of 100 ms data snapshot |
| Figure 4.29: Performance chart of tRMSE vs. integration sub-block lengths109 |
| Figure 5.1: Overall process of GPS bistatic radar system for performing target detection114 |
| Figure 5.2: Outline of the experimental GPS bistatic radar receiver |
| Figure 5.3: Picture of the front-end's PCB |
| Figure 5.4: Picture of the 32-elements array and the schematic of the 8-element circular grid |
| sub-array (Courtesy of Opt-Osl Systems)118 |
| Figure 5.5: Comparison of beam pattern of 8-element sub-array ($\theta_s = 0^\circ, \phi_s = 45^\circ$)119 |
| Figure 5.6: Comparison of beam pattern of 32-element antenna array ($\theta_s = 0^\circ, \phi_s = 45^\circ$) 119 |

| Figure 5.7: Illustration of data captured from 4 FPGAs stored into PC, translated into decimal |
|--|
| complex numbers form and sorted into 64 blocks based on the configuration of antennas in the |
| array121 |
| Figure 5.8: Analysis of GPS signal correlation sample lag across time and its rate of change |
| modelling using linear regression method. Note that PRN12 and PRN24 possess a negative (- |
| 4.1 Hz) and positive (3.6 Hz) Doppler respectively |
| Figure 5.9: Phase analysis of GPS signal after the removal of C/A codes decimation filtering. |
| Each phase change of π radians in the figures indicates a data-bit transition of the navigation |
| message126 |
| Figure 5.10: Phase reading of residual Doppler component in the GPS signal |
| Figure 5.11: Comparison of the signal phase of PRN12 after residual Doppler removal |
| modelled by various polynomial orders. The variances of these phase readings in the ascending |
| polynomial order are 0.0051, 0.0049, 0.0046 and 0.0043. These parameters show that a slightly |
| smoother phase reading is achieved as the time varying Doppler component is removed using |
| higher order polynomial regression modelling |
| Figure 5.12: Phase reading of residual Doppler component in the GPS signal128 |
| Figure 5.13: Comparison of the signal phase of PRN24 after residual Doppler removal |
| modelled by various polynomial orders. The variances of these phase readings in the ascending |
| polynomial order are 0.0307, 0.0175, 0.0170 and 0.0168. These parameters show a |
| significantly smoother phase reading is achieved as the time varying Doppler component is |
| removed using the polynomial regression modelling |
| Figure 5.14: Block diagram of navigation message and residual Doppler component extraction |
| from a GPS signal |
| Figure 5.15: Illustration of the GPS bistatic radar receiver's deployment for the air target |
| detection experiment (Courteousy Google Map's satellite view) |
| Figure 5.16: Phase measurement of direct-path signals correlation peaks at 62 channels from 5 |
| GPS satellites (PRN12, 14, 24, 25 and 29) |
| Figure 5.17: Normalised inverse MSE determined by the array attitude calibration process. The |
| highest inverse MSE value was located at $\phi e = -42.5^{\circ} \& \theta e = 183.5^{\circ}$ |
| Figure 5.18: Antenna positions relative to the reference before attitude correction, u , and after |
| attitude correction, <i>u</i> ^{''} 133 |
| Figure 5.19: Normalised correlation values (dB scale) of phased-array receiver vs single |
| channel from every element using an integration length of 980 ms (PRN12 & PRN24)134 |

| Figure 5.20: Peak correlation value (dB scale) of each channel relative to their phased-array |
|--|
| correlation peak (PRN12 & PRN24) at zero sampled code phase134 |
| Figure 5.21: Comparison of direct-path signals acquisition results between a single (reference |
| element) and beamformer: Phase readings of data after the removal of C/A codes PRN02 (Left); |
| Coarse Doppler search for GPS signal PRN04 (Right) |
| Figure 5.22: Normalised beampowers (dB scale) vs. DOA of PRN04, 12 and 14 from the |
| phased-array GPS receiver DOA search process with an angle resolution of 1° for both θ and |
| <i>φ</i> 136 |
| Figure 5.23: Illustration of a Wiener filter for estimating and cancelling the interferences from |
| the captured data |
| Figure 5.24: Normalised CAF results from a simulation detection process without Wiener filter |
| (Top), with Wiener filter to remove the DSIs only (Middle) and to remove both DSIs and their |
| multipath (Bottom). Both the CAFs from the Wiener filter are compressed to a dynamic range |
| of 30 dB142 |
| Figure 5.25: Squared correlation results (dB) for PRN02 and PRN24 from the beamformer's |
| output applying (i) No filter; Wiener filter for DSI cancellation with number of taps, $\mathfrak{M} = (ii)$ |
| 20, (iii) 40 and (iv) 60 |
| Figure 5.26: Experiment scenario (Courtesy Google Map's satellite view)146 |
| Figure 5.27: Deployment of phased-array receiver (Left); Power supply and data acquisition |
| PC for the receiver system (Right)146 |
| Figure 5.28: Pictures of landing aircraft extracted from the footage recorded by a digital video |
| camera at the target detection experiment site147 |
| Figure 5.29: Positions of GPS satellites during the aircraft detection experiment |
| Figure 5.30: Normalised CCAF results of PRN02, 04, 12 and 24 from the experiment detection |
| process for data period 200 - 300 ms |
| Figure 5.31: Normalised beampower of peak returns from the CCAF results of PRN02, 04, 12 |
| and 24 for data period 200 - 300 ms |
| Figure 5.32: Normalised beampower of 3 rd peak return from the CCAF result of PRN24 for |
| data period 200 - 300 ms. The DOA for the highest beampower is indicated150 |
| Figure 5.33: Normalised beampower results of peak return from the CCAF results of PRN02 |
| along the captured data. Among the results are those from frame 1, 3, 5 and 8152 |
| Figure 5.34: Comparison of flight path and the azimuth angles measured from the beamformer |
| results of PRN02 along the captured data of approximately 1000 ms. Google Earth was used |
| to perform the angles and distance measurements |

| Figure 5.35: Variations of azimuth and elevation angles corresponding to the peak returns from |
|--|
| PRN02, 04 and 24 at different time |
| Figure 5.36: Normalised BCAF results of PRN02, 04, 12, 24, 25 and 29 from the experiment |
| detection process for data period 200 - 300 ms at $\phi_d = 63^\circ$ and $\theta_d = -157^\circ$ 154 |
| Figure 5.37: Normalised inverse 2-D position MSE results estimated by the TDOAs from 4, 5 |
| and 6 satellites. The red lines resemble the flight path determined from Google Earth157 |
| Figure 5.38: Normalised inverse 2-D position MSE results estimated by the Doppler offsets |
| from 4, 5 and 6 satellites. The red lines represent the flight path determined from Google Earth. |
| |
| Figure 5.39: Normalised 2-D target positioning results from frame 3, 6, 9, 12, 15 and 18 at 50 |
| m altitude using 7×1 MISO configuration (i.e. PRN02, 04, 12, 14, 24, 25 and 29). Each frame |
| represents the result processed from the data integration process of 50 ms and 10 m search |
| position resolution. The red lines represent the predicted flight path162 |
| Figure 5.40: SNR of target return peaks at different time for MISO configurations: 4×1 , 5×1 , |
| 6×1 and 7×1 . Note that the 6×1 results are overlapped by the 7×1 results due to their extremely |
| small SNR differences across the whole data block. The average SNR for each configuration |
| is recorded in the legend box163 |
| Figure 5.41: Results of various MISO configurations showing the 2-D positions of peak target |
| returns from different time frames at 50m altitude. The red lines represent the predicted flight |
| path164 |

List of Tables

| Table 3.1: List of simulation cases using different expected target SNR |
|---|
| Table 3.2: Positions of targets and transmitters relative to the radar receiver |
| Table 3.3: Rounded number of samples (time in picoseconds) delays of target returns relative |
| to direct-path signals65 |
| Table 3.4: Measured parameters of each return from the detection process. 67 |
| Table 3.5: Summary of parameters for target 3 from simulated detection and estimation71 |
| Table 4.1: Summary of the input and pre-integrator SNR for various MISO configurations. 88 |
| Table 4.2: Summary of the input and pre-integrator SNR for MIMO configurations |
| Table 4.3: Summary of the normalised correlation values between Tgt1 and Tgt2 using the |
| fragmentised integration process of various lengths105 |
| Table 5.1: Specification chart for essential parameters of the experimental GPS bistatic radar. |
| |
| Table 5.2: Summary of target detection range for a 32-element GPS bistatic radar receiver. |
| |
| Table 5.3: Summary of DOA of GPS satellites to the GPS bistatic radar receiver |
| Table 5.4: Comparison of DOA readings between the results from ephemeris information and |
| the beamformer's search process135 |
| Table 5.5: Summary of signal parameters for DSI cancellation simulation case. 141 |
| Table 5.6: SNR of target return peaks from 6 GPS satellites at data period 200-300 ms155 |
| Table 5.7: List of PRNs applied for each MSE configuration |
| Table 5.8: Comparison of target velocity between the estimations from the predicted from the |
| flight path and the results from the MSE solution159 |
| Table 5.9: Comparison between the target parameters determined by simulation and |
| experiment |
| Table 5.10: List of PRNs applied for each MISO configuration and their average SNRs161 |
| Table 5.11: Target velocity determined by the MISO positioning results |

Abbreviations

| 2-D/3-D | Two/Three-dimensional space |
|---------|--|
| ADC | Analogue-to-digital converter |
| ARM | Anti-radiation missile |
| AWGN | Additive white Gaussian noise |
| BCAF | Cross ambiguity function (Beamformer) |
| BDS | BeiDou navigation satellite system |
| BPSK | Binary phase-shift keying |
| C/A | Coarse/acquisition |
| CAF | Cross ambiguity function |
| CCAF | Cross ambiguity function (Combined elements) |
| CDF | Cumulative distribution function |
| CDMA | Code division multiple access |
| CFAR | Constant false alarm rate |
| CRPA | Controlled radiated pattern antenna |
| CW | Continuous wave |
| DAB | Digital audio broadcasting |
| DOA | Direction-of-arrival |
| DOP | Dilution of precision |
| DSI | Direct-path signal interference |
| DSP | Digital signal processor |
| DSSS | Direct-sequence spread spectrum |
| DVB-S | Digital video broadcasting-Satellite |
| DVB-T | Digital video broadcasting-Terrestrial |
| ECM | Electronic countermeasure |
| EIRP | Effective isotropic radiated power |
| | |

| FFT | Fast Fourier transform |
|-------|---|
| FIFO | First in, first out |
| FM | Frequency modulation |
| FPGA | Field-programmable gate arrays |
| FRPA | Fixed radiated pattern antenna |
| GEMS | GNSS environment monitoring system |
| GNSS | Global navigation satellite system |
| GPS | Global Positioning System |
| GSM | Global system for mobile communications |
| HDOP | Horizontal dilution of precision |
| IF | Intermediate frequency |
| JPALS | Joint precision approach and landing system |
| LEO | Low Earth orbit |
| LHCP | Left-hand, circularly polarized |
| LNA | Low noise amplifier |
| LOS | Line-of-sight |
| LP | Linearly polarised |
| LSE | Least squares estimator |
| MCE | Monte Carlo experiments |
| MEO | Medium Earth orbit |
| MIMO | Multiple-input & multiple-output |
| MISO | Multiple-input & single-output |
| MMSE | Minimum mean square error |
| MSE | Mean squared error |
| MVDR | Minimum variance distortionless response |
| PBR | Passive bistatic radar |
| Р | Precision |
| PC | Personal computer |
| PDF | Probability density function |
| PDOP | Position dilution of precision |
| PLL | Phased-locked loop |
| PMR | Passive MIMO radar |
| PRN | Pseudo-random noise |
| RCS | Radar cross-section |

| Radio frequency |
|--|
| Right-hand, circularly polarised |
| Root-mean-square error |
| Synchronous dynamic random access memory |
| Single-input & single-output |
| Signal-to-noise ratio |
| Space vehicle |
| Track-before-detect |
| Time-difference-of-arrival |
| Time-of-arrival |
| User equivalent range error |
| Vertical dilution of precision |
| VHSIC hardware description language |
| Very high speed integrated circuit |
| |

Symbols

| <i>x</i> [*] | complex conjugate |
|-----------------------|--|
| x^{T} | transpose |
| x ^H | Hermitian/conjugate transpose |
| f(t) | continuous function |
| f(k) | discrete function |
| n(t), n(k) | white noise |
| a | array steering vector |
| b | receiver index |
| С | speed of light |
| $f_{\rm B}$ | signal bandwidth |
| f_0 | signal carrier frequency |
| fD | direct-path signal Doppler frequency |
| f_{δ} | target Doppler frequency |
| Ø | function of Doppler frequency |
| j | complex number |
| k | sample index |
| k | Boltzmann constant |
| k | data sub-block time frame index |
| l | transmitter/satellite index |
| m | antenna element index |
| m | multipath signal index |
| n | noise |
| p | position vector |
| u | coordinate of sensor's element relative to reference |
| v | velocity vector |
| W | weigh vector |
| | |

| В | number of base stations/receiver sites |
|---|---|
| C(t), C(k) | PRN code sequence |
| $\mathcal{C}(k, \boldsymbol{p}_{\delta})$ | function of PRN code sequence |
| $\mathrm{E}(H)$ | expectation of random variable |
| G | gain |
| ${\mathcal H}$ | hypothesis of a statistical model |
| Ι | identity matrix |
| Κ | number of samples |
| \mathbb{K} | total number of data sub-blocks |
| \overline{K} | size of each data sub-block |
| L | number of illuminators/satellites |
| М | number of antenna elements |
| M | number of multipath signals |
| ${\mathcal N}$ | number of Monte Carlo experiments |
| P_x, P_y, P_z | position search range in x , y and z dimensions |
| \mathbb{P} | probability |
| ${\cal P}$ | subspace projection of signals |
| P_{R} | receiving power at the receiver |
| P_{T} | transmitting power of illuminator |
| R _D | direct propagation path |
| R _T | transmitter-to-target/transmission path |
| R _R | receiver-to-target/reflected path |
| $\mathcal{R}(t)$, $\mathcal{R}(k)$ | Cross-correlation function |
| RX | receiver |
| TX | transmitter |
| V_x, V_y, V_z | velocities search range in x , y and z dimensions |
| V | velocity magnitude |
| Г | Gamma function |
| Λ | chi-squared distribution non-centrality parameter |
| α | number of beams |
| β | bistatic angle |
| γ | lower incomplete Gamma function |
| δ | target index |

Symbols

| ϵ | inter-element phase error |
|------------|------------------------------|
| θ | azimuth angle |
| λο | signal wavelength |
| ρ | pseudorange |
| Ψ | target velocity aspect angle |
| μ | signal amplitude |
| σ | noise amplitude |
| σ_B | bistatic radar cross section |
| τ | detection threshold |
| ϕ | elevation angle |

xxviii

Publications

- 1. C. Pui, M. Trinkle, "GPS Bistatic Radar Research and Experimental Results", in Progress in Radar Research (PIRR) Workshop, Adelaide, Australia, 2011.
- C. Pui, M. Trinkle, "GPS Bistatic Radar for Target Detection and Estimation using Antenna Arrays", in International Global Navigation Satellite Systems (IGNSS), Sydney, Australia, 2011.
- C. Pui, M. Trinkle, "Experimental Results Investigating the Feasibility of GPS Bistatic Radar for Target Detection and Estimation", in Proceedings of the 24th International Technical Meeting of The Satellite Division of the Institute of Navigation (ION GNSS 2011), Portland, OR, September 2011, pp. 3165-3171.
- C. Pui, M. Trinkle, B. Ng, "Passive Bistatic Radar for Aircraft Detection using GPS as a Source of Opportunity", in Defence Science Institute (DSI) Passive Radar Workshop, Melbourne, Australia, 2013.
- C. Pui, M. Trinkle, B. Ng, "Aircraft Detection Experimental Results for GPS Bistatic Radar using Phased-array Receiver", in International Global Navigation Satellite Systems (IGNSS), Gold Coast, Australia 2013.
- C. Pui, M. Trinkle, "GPS Bistatic Radar using Phased-array Technique for Aircraft Detection", in Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Radar, Adelaide, Australia, 2013, pp. 274-279.
- C. Pui, M. Trinkle, B. Ng, "Progress in GPS Bistatic Radar for Air Target Detection", in Progress in Radar Research (PIRR) Workshop, Adelaide, Australia, 2014.
- 8. C. Pui, M. Trinkle, "Aircraft Detection using GPS Bistatic Radar incorporating MIMO Techniques", in IEEE Two-Day Workshop on Passive Radar, Adelaide, Australia, 2015.