

Valid Measurement of Laboratory Learning Experience Quality from the Student Perspective

Samuel James Priest

Department of Chemistry, School of Physical Sciences

July 2016



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

Contents

Abstract	v
Declaration	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 The Advancing Science by Enhancing Learning in the Laboratory (ASELL) project	2
1.1.1 Laboratory work in science education and history of the ASELL project	2
1.1.2 Data analysis and interpretation	5
1.2 Validity and ASELL	11
1.2.1 Quantitative methods: categorical data, parametric statistics	11
1.2.2 Qualitative interpretations: what does ASLE data really reflect?.....	13
1.3 Rasch analysis	16
1.3.1 Measures as opposed to scores.....	16
1.3.2 The Rasch model as a tool of validation.....	17
1.4 Outline of this thesis	19
1.4.1 Immediate aims and hypotheses	19
1.4.2 Long term goals	20
2 General methods	22
2.1 Data collection: surveying first year chemistry laboratory sessions	23
2.1.1 Ethical approval	23
2.1.2 Student cohorts.....	23
2.1.3 Experiments surveyed	23
2.2 Rasch model formulations	28
2.2.1 Unidimensional Rasch models	28
2.2.2 Multidimensional Rasch models	29
2.3 Data treatment: generation of Rasch models	32
2.3.1 Rasch measurement software.....	32
2.3.2 Confirmatory and exploratory applications: treatment of misfit	32
2.3.3 Measurement construct issues: extreme and disconnected responses.....	33
2.4 Data analysis: general statistical procedures	35
2.4.1 Statistical testing and family-wise error	35
2.4.2 The normal distribution assumption.....	35
2.4.3 Z and T statistics.....	37
2.4.4 Chi squared statistics and nonparametric comparisons.....	39
2.4.5 Correlation and linear models.....	41
2.4.6 Factor analysis.....	42

2.5	Data analysis: Rasch model related statistics.....	44
2.5.1	Observed, expected and fair scores	44
2.5.2	Rasch model fit statistics and descriptive values	44
2.5.3	Analysis of bias interactions	47
2.5.4	Model selection	48
3	Quantitative methods and the ASLE survey data.....	50
3.1	Typical score-based analysis of ASLE survey data: an example.....	51
3.1.1	Outline	51
3.1.2	Background: Microcomputer based laboratories	51
3.1.3	Specific methods	53
3.1.4	Results and discussion.....	54
3.1.5	Conclusion.....	59
3.2	Justifying the conclusions of a scored analysis: Rasch techniques applied to the technological interfaces study.....	61
3.2.1	Outline	61
3.2.2	Specific methods	62
3.2.3	Results	63
3.2.4	Discussion	80
3.2.5	Conclusion.....	83
3.3	Scoring responses to individual Likert-type items on the ASLE survey	84
3.3.1	Outline	84
3.3.2	Specific methods	84
3.3.3	Results	89
3.3.4	Discussion	95
3.3.5	Conclusion.....	96
4	Qualitative interpretations and the ASLE survey data	97
4.1	Valid measurement of experiment quality using the ASELL project surveys.....	98
4.1.1	Outline	98
4.1.2	Specific methods	98
4.1.3	Best explanation of ASLE data	101
4.1.4	Investigating comparability between different sample scores.....	104
4.1.5	Other notable features of the equated model	106
4.1.6	Discussion	107
4.1.7	Conclusion.....	109
4.2	Gender differences in the perception of laboratory learning experiences in chemistry	111
4.2.1	Outline	111
4.2.2	Specific methods	111

4.2.3	Results	112
4.2.4	Discussion	117
4.2.5	Conclusion	118
4.3	Empirical estimation of a Linear Logistic Test Model Q-matrix	119
4.3.1	Outline.....	119
4.3.2	Specific methods	120
4.3.3	Results	123
4.3.4	Discussion	136
4.3.5	Conclusion	142
4.4	Recipes for a positive laboratory experience: pedagogical implications of the ASLE data LLTM.....	143
4.4.1	Outline.....	143
4.4.2	Skills-based versus theory-based laboratory activities.....	143
4.4.3	Collaborative and independent learning	146
4.4.4	Different factors may apply for different student groups.....	148
4.4.5	Supporting laboratory skills development through data interpretation	152
4.4.6	High quality written material is broadly beneficial	155
4.4.7	Engaging the students: interest and positive overall experience	156
4.4.8	Conclusion	159
5	Conclusions and future opportunities	160
5.1	How ASLE survey data should be analysed	161
5.1.1	Use of integer scoring methodology	161
5.1.2	Interpretation of ASLE survey results	163
5.1.3	Recommended research methodology.....	165
5.2	Issues in the design of learning activities	167
5.2.1	Key factors in student perception	167
5.2.2	The need for compromise between students and teachers	167
5.2.3	There is no single best way to design a learning activity	168
5.3	Achievements in measurement	171
5.3.1	Reaffirmation of the advantages of Rasch methodology.....	171
5.3.2	Novel approaches to measurement problems.....	173
5.3.3	In pursuit of a specification equation	176
5.4	Future investigation with the Linear Logistic Test Model	179
5.4.1	Uniting the broader ASELL database	179
5.4.2	Improving the current LLTM.....	181
6	References	184
7	Supporting Information	202
7.1	Information provided to participants	203

7.1.1	Excluding the option to provide student identification number	203
7.1.2	Including the option to provide student identification number	204
7.2	Supporting information for sections 3.1 and 3.2.....	205
7.2.1	Responses to Likert-type items.....	205
7.2.2	Comparative tests for the Biological Buffers experiment data	208
7.2.3	Comparative tests for the Vapour Pressure experiment data	214
7.2.4	Comparative tests for the Copper (II) Ion Concentration experiment data	220
7.3	Supporting information for section 3.3	226
7.3.1	Sample sizes	226
7.3.2	Matlab codes for population level expected score distributions.....	227
7.3.3	Equality of response scales between different student cohorts	229
7.3.4	Item 1: "This experiment helped me to develop my data interpretation skills" ...	230
7.3.5	Item 2: "This experiment helped me to develop my laboratory skills"	232
7.3.6	Item 3: "I found this to be an interesting experiment"	234
7.3.7	Item 4: "It was clear to me how this laboratory exercise would be assessed" ...	236
7.3.8	Item 5: "It was clear to me what I was expected to learn from completing this experiment"	239
7.3.9	Item 6: "Completing this experiment has increased my understanding of chemistry"	242
7.3.10	Item 7: "Sufficient background information, of an appropriate standard, is provided in the introduction"	244
7.3.11	Item 8: "The demonstrators offered effective supervision and guidance"	246
7.3.12	Item 9: "The experimental procedure was clearly explained in the lab manual or notes"	248
7.3.13	Item 10: "I can see the relevance of this experiment to my chemistry studies"	250
7.3.14	Item 11: "Working in a team to complete this experiment was beneficial"	252
7.3.15	Item 12: "The experiment provided me with the opportunity to take responsibility for my own learning"	255
7.3.16	Item 13: "I found the time available to complete this experiment was"	258
7.3.17	Item 14: "Overall, as a learning experience, I would rate this experiment as" ...	260
7.4	Supporting information for section 4.1	262
7.4.1	Rasch model derivations	262
7.4.2	Data tables	270
7.5	Supporting information for section 4.2	275
7.6	Supporting information for sections 4.3 and 4.4.....	282
7.6.1	Correlations used for equating prior to factor analysis.....	282
7.6.2	Estimating the final LLTM within Facets software	284
7.6.3	Full matrices comprising the final LLTM.....	288
7.6.4	Measures for basic factors contributing to ASLE survey responses.....	290

Abstract

Since the early 2000s, improvement of the student learning experience in university level laboratory activities in Australia has been sought by the Advancing Science by Enhancing Learning in the Laboratory (ASELL) project. The nation-wide project has made use of the ASELL Student Learning Experience (ASLE) survey to gather data and draw conclusions regarding student perspectives of their learning experiences, using trends observed in the data to inform pedagogy. Analyses of rating scale response format items on the ASLE survey have typically involved an integer value scoring system applied to the response categories. The appropriateness of such integer scoring techniques and the subsequent application of parametric statistical methods to ordered categorical data in this way is contested in statistical literature, which raises questions regarding the validity of ASELL project conclusions drawn in the past.

In this thesis, Rasch measurement is applied to a data set of ASLE survey responses, using the true interval scale measures gained to test the validity of the scoring techniques and parametric methods more typically applied to ASLE data. The role of student biases in survey response and 'objectivity' of any measures associated with learning experience quality are explored, yielding quantitative models of the student perception of laboratory learning experiences. The thesis culminates in the use of factor analysis to develop a Linear Logistic Test Model for a data set of over 9000 completed ASLE surveys, explaining the responses received as linear combinations of a small number of major factors in the student laboratory learning experience. The model is used to draw pedagogical conclusions from the ASLE survey data set uninfluenced by limitations of the integer scoring techniques usually applied.

The work has major implications for valid interpretation of ASLE survey data received both in the past and in future, suggesting that whilst integer scoring methods may be amenable to parametric statistics, the conflation of student dependent and student independent factors limits the generality of any conclusions drawn. Student independent measures obtained from Rasch analysis, however, reveal that the perceived relative quality of a laboratory exercise is largely consistent through the student population sampled. The Linear Logistic Test Model generated reveals a wide range of connections between different facets of the laboratory learning experience and this general perceived learning experience quality, informing effective science pedagogy. Pedagogical conclusions include strong connections between group work and understanding of theoretical content, the advantages of data analysis and individual work in development of more technical or practical skills, evidence for the importance of structuring activities appropriate to the ability level of the students, as well as ways to generate student interest and foster perceptions of a positive overall laboratory learning experience. A need for compromise between teaching objectives and learner preferences is highlighted, noting that the "best" way to design a laboratory activity largely depends on the intended purpose of the exercise.

Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint award of this degree.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I wish to thank my supervisors Simon Pyke, Natalie Williamson and John Willison for their continued and valued support throughout my writing of this thesis. I greatly appreciate their willingness to allow me to exercise freedom and creativity in my research, even following paths that are relatively unfamiliar for all concerned, whilst still being able to provide constructive feedback and guidance along the way.

I would also like to thank the many practical demonstrators who have assisted in the distribution of “my horrible surveys” over the course of numerous years, without whom I could never have gathered the wide data set which has been integral to the strength of this work’s conclusions. I wish to particularly thank Annelie Karssen, who took over the collection of survey data whilst I was away briefly during 2015. Data gathered during that time has been some of the most useful for my final and most interesting conclusions, and I am grateful for her help. Laboratory technicians Peter Roberts and later Catherine Margach have also been of great help in accommodating my presence in the first year chemistry laboratory, and I am very grateful to them.

Another person deserving of particular thanks is Lyron Winderbaum, who readily offered his assistance in the dreaded art of MATLAB coding when I was in need. Without his help, I’m sure I would never have had it working. Continuing the mathematical theme, I wish to give thanks to Sivakumar Alagumalai, who first introduced me to Rasch analysis and kindly spared his time to provide me some advice in the earliest stages of my research.

I also wish to thank my friends and family for their continual support and kindness throughout my studies. My friends for giving me much needed distractions from my working life as well as sharing my dread of the editing process, and my family for continuing to support and encourage my continued study, even as a few expected deadlines sailed past.

Lastly, I would like to thank all of the first year chemistry students who have passed through the laboratory over the few years I have been present. Without them, my entire project would have been impossible and my working days would never have been so enjoyable.