Genetic improvement of Australian meat goats

By

Michael Nicholas Aldridge B. Ag. Sc. Hons (Ani. Sc.)

This thesis is presented for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at The

University of Adelaide, School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences

September 2017





Declaration

I certify that this work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in my name, in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. In addition, I certify that no part of this work will, in the future, be used in a submission in my name, for any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution without the prior approval of the University of Adelaide and where applicable, any partner institution responsible for the joint-award of this degree. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library Search and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time. I acknowledge the support I have received for my research through the provision of an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship.

Michael Aldridge Date: 10/02/2018

Dedication

For my loving grandmother Rita Threlfall

who always believed in me.

Abstract

The Australian meat goat industry is rapidly changing. This change is predominantly due to the value of goat meat increasing and production moving from rangeland harvesting to fenced commercial systems. The aim of the project was to determine how goat meat production can be increased through genetic improvement. A meta-analysis and sensitivity analysis in the literature review identified selection for kid survival could increase lean meat production by 12.3 kg per genetic standard deviation and became the main trait of interest for the project.

The national performance recording scheme (KIDPLAN) is a database that was made available by Sheep Genetics. This producer recorded data contained pedigree and phenotypic information on 19,711 Boer goats. The KIDPLAN system provides estimated breeding values for Australian goat breeders and is the best opportunity for genetic improvement of meat goats.

A new kid survival trait was created from the birth and rearing type information. The mean kid survival rate was 0.72, with a phenotypic variance of 0.14 and a heritability of 0.09. As the kid survival trait showed variation and was heritable, bivariate analyses with the growth and carcase traits was done to determine its suitability to be included in a selection index. Birth type had a significant effect on kid survival. Kid survival was positively genetically correlated or not different to zero with all of the production traits. The survival trait was separated into three traits based on birth type for singles, twins and multiples. A multivariate analysis showed they were different traits with genetic

correlations of between 0.46 and 0.72. More work and accurate data is needed for them to be included in an index as separate traits and so kid survival should currently be treated as a single trait with birth type fitted as a fixed effect.

The current KIDPLAN index is based on modified sheep parameter estimates and economic values. Surveys were conducted nationally and were used to calculate economic values for the goat production traits. The results from the parameter estimates and surveys showed that goat genetic parameters are different to sheep and the current index is not representative of the industry. Three breeding objectives were created and simulated with six different recording practices. The key finding and recommendation for industry was to adopt a new index based on goat parameter estimates and economic values, also to include reproductive traits such as kid survival as it would lead to a faster rate of gain in reproductive rate than just focusing on number of kids weaned. It was estimates that this would lead to a \$6.75 improvement per doe joined per year compared to the current index.

Acknowledgments

It is hard to believe that after three years I am finally writing this section. To any PhD, masters or honours student reading this please know that there were many failures and times I wanted to quit but with hard work and the support of others you will write this section too. To all those who supported me I am truly thankful for your help.

I would like to start with the two people I owe the greatest thanks. My supervisors Prof. Wayne Pitchford and Assoc. Prof. Daniel Brown. You have both been an inspiration, you have helped me professionally and personally. I know, I would not be the person I am today without your influence.

To Assoc. Prof Forbes Brien, Dr. Michelle Hebart and Octavia Kelly. All of your lunch time chats, comments, forwarding of papers and ideas have made a significant contribution to my work. Thank you both so much for your input.

I would also like to thank Meat and Livestock Australia for the financial support throughout the project. The networking opportunities and the professional development you offered are also greatly appreciated. Julie Petty and Terry Longhurst I would also like to thank you personally for looking after me during my PhD.

Without the support of Sheep Genetics this work would never have been accomplished. I don't know what I would have done if I hadn't been allowed to use the KIDPLAN data.

The knowledge and networking of people such as Hamish Chandler, Tom Hooke, Will Chaffey and Caris Jones was invaluable.

The Animal Genetics and Breeding Unit and the University of New England have been amazing. The resources, training, support and assistance they provided ensured I had everything I needed. A special thank you to Dr. Andrew Swan, Dr. Rob Banks and Dr. Sam Walkom.

To all the other students I have shared an office, a beer or a cry with, thank you. There are so many of you, I know I will forget some. The Wolf Pack; James, Adam and Thomas. McCulloch Boys; James, Callum, Patrick and Oliver. The Davies Crew; Cathy, Emily, Farrah and Veronica. My genetics buddy; Sarita. And all the others at Davies, Roseworthy, Waite, Postgrad especially Caitlin, Armidale and Fort Kemp.

A special thank you to Colin Ramsey and Nick van den Berg for always wanting to hear what I was doing and for your ideas. Your passion for the goat industry always gives me motivation to work harder. To every goat producer in Australia thank you for making this a great industry to work for, it has been a pleasure.

Finally, to my supporting friends and family I love you all. Especially my Rainbow, Baukje Hollema and my mother Lee Aldridge.

Table of contents

Declarat	tion	ii
Dedicati	ion	iii
Abstract	t	iv
Acknow	ledgments	vi
Table of	f contents	viii
List of abbreviationsx		xi
List of F	igures	xii
List of T	۲ables	xiii
1 Gen	neral introduction: Genetic improvement of Australian meat goats	1
1.1	Thesis motivation	2
1.2	Thesis context within literature and industry	3
1.3	Thesis objectives and questions	5
1.4	Thesis structure	6
	view of literature on parameter estimates for meat goat production traits in son to sheep.	7
2.1	Abstract	
2.1	Introduction	
2.2	Growth traits	
2.3	Meat yield and quality traits	
2.5	Reproductive traits	
2.6	Health traits	
2.7	Sensitivity analysis	
2.8	Conclusion	
	sis methodology.	
3.1	Trait definitions	
3.2	Data cleaning filters	40
3.3	Records available	
3.4	Checking traits are normally distributed	42
3.5	Contemporary group components	
3.6	Summary of fixed effects for kid survival	
3.7	Genetic model definitions	

3.8	Conclusion	
4 Pro	oducer recorded data on Australian Boer goats needs cleaning for genetic	с
parame	ter estimates using univariate analyses.	55
4.1	Abstract	
4.2	Introduction	
4.3	Materials and Method	59
4.4	Results	63
4.5	Discussion	73
4.6	Conclusion	79
	netic and phenotypic relationships between production traits in Australia	
goats		
5.1	Abstract	
5.2	Introduction	
5.3	Materials and Methods	
5.4	Results	
5.5	Discussion	
5.6	Conclusion	
6 Ki	d survival should not be treated as a separate trait for different birth type	es 93
6.1	Abstract	
6.2	Introduction	
6.3	Materials and Method	
6.4	Results	
6.5	Discussion	
6.6	Conclusion	
7 Au	stralian goat producers say they want to sell more meat.	113
7.1	Abstract	
7.2	Introduction	
7.3	Materials and Method	
7.4	Industry Surveys	
7.5	Economic Values	
7.6	Conclusion	
8 An	Australian meat goat selection index to increase growth and reproducti	ve
8.1	Abstract	
8.2	Introduction	140
8.3	Materials and Method	

Genetic improvement of Australian Meat goats

8.4	Results	147
8.5	Discussion	161
8.6	Conclusion	
9 Ge	neral discussion: Genetic improvement of Australian meat goats .	167
9.1	Introduction	
9.2	Key findings	
9.3	Future genetic research for Australian meat goats	
9.4	Extension of genetic evaluation in the meat goat industry	
9.5	Conclusion	
Appendices		
Appendix 7.1 Rangeland survey1		
Appendix 7.2 Commercial survey		
Appendix 7.3 Seedstock survey19		
Appendix 7.4 Processor survey		
Referen	nce List	

List of abbreviations

AGBU	Animal genetics and breeding unit	LWT	Live weight (kg)
AI	Artificial insemination	MLA	Meat and Livestock Australia
AWT	Adult weight (kg)	MMG	Maternal Meat Goat index (\$)
Boer	Boer goat breed	MWW'	T Maternal weaning weight (kg)
BT	Birth type (Single, twin, multiple)	NDK/E	DJ Doe fertility (Number of does
BWT	Birth weight (kg)		kidding per doe joined)
CPLUS	Carcase Plus index (\$)	NKB	Number of kids born per doe joined
CFA	Cast for age doe	NLB	Number of lambs born per ewe joined
CWT	Hot carcase weight (kg)	NKW	Number of kids weaned per doe joined
DJ	Number of does joined	NKW/I	NKB Literature kid survival estimate
DP	Dressing percentage (%)	NLW	Number or lambs weaned per ewe joined
	(CWT / LWT x 100)	NS	Not significant ($p \ge 0.05$)
EBV	Estimated breeding value	Р	Post-weaning (7 to 10 months of age)
EMA	Eye muscle area (cm^2)	PEMD	Post-weaning eye muscle depth (mm)
EMD	Eye muscle depth (mm)	PFAT	Post-weaning C-site fat depth (mm)
ET	Embryo transfer	PWEC	Post-weaning worm egg count (#/gram)
FAT	C-site fat depth (mm)	PWT	Post-weaning weight (kg)
G x E	Genotype by environment	RT	Rearing type (Single, twin, multiple)
HWT	Hogget weight (kg)	RT/BT	Calculated KSV as a trait of the dam
K+	Kid Plus index (\$)	SE	Standard Error
KSV	Kid survival (RT / BT)	SRC	Self-replacing Carcase index (\$)
LM	Lean meat (kg)	WEC	Worm egg count (No. / gram)
LMP	Lean meat production (kg) (LM/DJ)	WWT	Weaning weight (kg)
LMG	Lean Meat Goat index (\$)	Y	Yearling (10 to 13 months of age)
LMY	Lean meat yield (%) (LM/CWT)	YEMD	Yearling eye muscle depth (mm)
	Lamb 2020 index (\$)	YFAT	Yearling C-site fat depth (mm)
LSB	Litter size at birth (No. kids born	YWEC	Yearling worm egg count (No. / gram)
	per doe kidding)	YWT	Yearling weight (kg)
	·		

List of Figures

Figure 3-1: Distributions of the records for growth traits in the KIDPLAN dataset43 Figure 3-2: Distributions of the records for scanned carcase traits in the KIDPLAN dataset
Figure 3-3: Distributions of the records for health traits in the KIDPLAN dataset45
Figure 3-4: Distributions of the records for reproductive traits in the KIDPLAN dataset
Figure 3-5: Differences in kid survival rate by year of birth
Figure 3-6: Relationship between birth weight and kid survival. Data is filtered based on
Dam Filter 2 and Site Filter 1
Figure 3-7: Effect of birth type on kid survival (solid) and birth weight (diagonal line).
Figure 3-8: Relationship between birth weight (kg) and kid survival rate for different birth
types; singles (green), twins (blue), multiples (red)
Figure 3-9: Relationship between doe age and kid survival rate
Figure 3-10: Relationship between doe age and litter size
Figure 3-11: Relationship between doe age and birth weight
Figure 3-12: Relationship between sex, kid survival rate (white) and birth weight (black
diagonal line)
Figure 4-1: Correlation of EBVs for kid survival as a trait of the kid (KSV) and kid survival as a trait of the dam (RT/BT)72
Figure 6-1: Correlation of EBVs for birth weight traits separated by birth type, with a sire
model
Figure 6-2: Correlation of EBVs for birth weight traits separated by birth type, with an
animal model
Figure 6-3: Correlation of EBVs for kid survival traits separated by birth type, with a sire
model
Figure 6-4: Correlation of EBVs for kid survival traits separated by birth type, without
and with logistic transformation, using animal models
Figure 8-1: Summary of index values (\$ / doe joined / generation) for each index type and
under Growth (white), Carcase (grey), Reproduction (black), Standard practice
(green), Best practice (blue) and Gold standard (red) recording scenarios148

List of Tables

Table 2-1. Weighted mean parameter estimates for across goat breeds (a), Boer goats (b) and across sheep breeds (c), including; the trait mean, heritability (h ²), maternal heritability (m ²) and phenotypic variance (σ_P^2), weighted mean standard error of the estimate (S.E.), and number of references used in parentheses ()
Table 2-2. Weighted mean parameter estimates for all goat breeds (a), Boer goats (b) and all sheep breeds (c), including; the trait mean, heritability (h ²) and phenotypic variance (σ_P^2) weighted mean standard error of the estimate (S.E.), and number of references used in parentheses ()
Table 2-3. Summary of the means for each trait used in the sensitivity analysis and the references used for the estimate
Table 2-4. Summary of the phenotypic standard deviations for each trait used in the sensitivity analysis and the references used for the estimate
Table 2-5. Summary of the heritabilities for each trait used in the sensitivity analysis and the references used for the calculation
Table 2-6. Summary of the heritabilities (diagonal) and genetic correlations (below diagonal) for each trait used in the sensitivity. 33
Table 2-7. Sensitivity analysis of the key traits for goat lean meat production (LMP) per doe joined (DJ). Phenotypic standard deviation (σ_P), heritability (h ²), additive genetic standard deviation (σ_G)
Table 3-1: Summary of the raw data for each of the analysed traits. 42 Table 4-1. Summary of the number of records for each production trait submitted to 60 KIDPLAN before data cleaning. 60
Table 4-2. Filter combinations used to analyse KIDPLAN data, ticks represent filters used in each analysis. 62
Table 4-3: Birth weight genetic parameters of Boer goats, phenotypic variance (σ_P^2) , heritability (h ²), maternal heritability (m ²), and maternal permanent environmental variance (MPE), with various data cleaning filters. Standard errors are in parentheses ()
Table 4-4. Kid survival genetic parameters of Boer goats, phenotypic variance (σ_P^2) , heritability (h ²), maternal heritability (m ²), and maternal permanent environmental variance (MPE), with various cleaning filters. Standard errors are in parentheses ().
Table 4-5. Genetic parameters of Boer goats without data filtering and with data filtering by Site filter and Dam filter 2 (^a), phenotypic variance (σ_P^2), heritability (h ²), maternal heritability (m ²), and maternal permanent environmental variance (MPE). Standard errors are in parentheses ()
Table 5-1: Data summary for production traits. Total number of records for each trait (diagonal), number of progeny with both traits (above diagonal), the number of sires with both traits recorded (below the diagonal). 85
Table 5-2: Bivariate parameter estimates of Boer goat production traits. Including; mean phenotypic variance (σ_P^2), mean additive genetic variance (σ_A^2), mean residual variance (σ_e^2), mean maternal permanent environmental variance (MPE), heritability on the diagonal (mean), genetic correlation below the diagonal, and phenotypic ^A correlation above the diagonal

Table 6-1: Tri-variate analysis for separate birth type traits of birth weight and kid
survival with a sire and animal model. The heritability is on the diagonal and genetic
correlation below the diagonal103
Table 6-2: Tri-variate analysis for separate birth type traits of weaning weight (WWT)
and post-weaning weight (PWT) with an animal model. The heritability is on the
diagonal and genetic correlation below the diagonal105
Table 6-3: Genetic correlations between birth weight (BWT) and kid survival (KSV) birth
type traits with a sire and animal model105
Table 6-4: Genetic correlations with animal model between kid survival birth type traits
and individual production traits107
Table 7-1: Summary of the number of responses and annual herd size for each survey by
production system and survey group118
Table 7-2: Summary of management questions asked and the results of tests of significant
difference for those questions
Table 7-3: Summary of categorical reproductive questions and the χ^2 tests of significant
difference production systems and groups125
Table 7-4: Summary of the proportion of producers for the age which does are first joined.
Table 7-5: Summary of the age (months) that does and bucks are first joined126
Table 7-6: Summary of marketing questions asked and the results of tests of significant
difference for those questions
Table 7-7: Summary of age and value of animals sold130
Table 7-8: Summary of breeding objective questions asked and the results of tests of
significant difference for those questions
Table 7-9: Summary of reproductive inputs, from survey responses and KIDPLAN data,
for SheepObject2132
Table 7-10: Summary of survey responses for male and female progeny sold, as inputs
used for SheepObject2
Table 7-11: Summary of meat goat economic values from SheepObject2135
Table 8-1: Summary of parameter estimates. Genetic variance (σ_A^2) , residual variance
(σ_e^2) and maternal permanent environmental variance (MPE). The genetic
correlations are below the diagonal the phenotypic correlations above
Table 8-2: Summary of economic values used for each index (values in \$AUD per trait
unit)145
Table 8-3: Summary of own / half-sib traits recorded for each scenario146
Table 8-4: Summary of each trait change with different indexes and under different
recording scenarios151
Table 8-5: Summary of the relative emphasis placed on traits for each index under
Growth, Reproductive and Gold standard recording practices
Table 8-6: Trait and index superiority of the top 10% of KIDPLAN sires selected on each
index155
Table 8-7: Sensativity analysis of economic values for number of kids born159
Table 8-8: Sensitivity analysis of economic values for number of kids weaned159
Table 8-9: Sensitivity analysis of economic values for kid survival
Table 8-10: Sensitivity analysis of economic values for worm egg count160