

The Structural and Metamorphic
Evolution of the Neoproterozoic
Basement in Jebel Ja'alan, East Oman

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology

Brandon Luke Alessio

November 2015



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

THE STRUCTURAL AND METAMORPHIC EVOLUTION OF THE NEOPROTEROZOIC BASEMENT IN JEBEL JA'ALAN, EAST OMAN

RUNNING TITLE: NEOPROTEROZOIC EVOLUTION OF JEBEL JA'ALAN

ABSTRACT

Jebel Ja'alan (east Oman) displays some of the best exposed and easternmost basement rock in the country. It comprises metasedimentary and intrusive igneous rocks, interpreted to have been generated within the Mozambique Ocean at the margin of Neoproterozoic India. The metamorphic conditions experienced by the basement and implications these conditions have for tectonic models of the region were, until now, poorly understood. The aim of this paper is to constrain these conditions in order to test the hypothesis that the basement of Jebel Ja'alan formed in a Neoproterozoic volcanic arc and unravel the relationship between the structural and metamorphic evolution of the region.

Phase equilibria modelling constrains peak metamorphic conditions to c. 670–700 °C and 4.5–6 kbar, following a clockwise P – T path. These conditions are not exclusive to an arc environment but are suggested to represent one due to current and previous interpretations of basement formation based on its geochemistry. U–Pb monazite age data of Hassan Schist samples yields a weighted average age of 833 ± 15 Ma, interpreted to be the age of near peak metamorphism, and is supported by ^{40}Ar – ^{39}Ar muscovite age data, which yields a plateau age of 830 ± 6 Ma. The age data collected is shown to be older than that previously gathered for basement in the country's south and is interpreted to represent the Tonian accretion of arc terranes. Mapping of structures in Jebel Ja'alan reveals two phases of deformation, the first involving north-south directed compression, interpreted on the basis of field and petrographic observations to have occurred contemporaneous to or slightly after peak metamorphism. The second phase of deformation involved east-west directed compression, timing of this is difficult to constrain though the reported presence of similar structures within the overlying sedimentary rock suggests the deformation occurred after the Maastrichtian.

KEYWORDS

Structure; Metamorphism; Geochronology; Pseudosection; Oman; Arabian-Nubian Shield; Jebel Ja'alan; Neoproterozoic

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures and Tables	3
Introduction	7
Geological Setting	10
Arabian-Nubian Shield and Oman	10
Geology of Oman and Jebel Ja'alan	11
Methods	14
Geochronology	14
LA-ICP-MS in-situ monazite U-Pb geochronology	14
⁴⁰ Ar– ³⁹ Ar muscovite geochronology	15
Bulk-rock and mineral geochemistry	15
Bulk-rock geochemistry using X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF).....	15
Mineral chemistry via electron microprobe.....	16
Phase equilibria modelling	16
Petrography.....	16
Pressure–temperature pseudosections	16
Structural geology	18
Stereographic projections	18
Observations and Results	18
Stratigraphic units.....	18
Structural geology	21
Structural map and cross sections.....	21
Stereonet	25
Geochronology	26
LA-ICP-MS in-situ monazite U-Pb geochronology	26
⁴⁰ Ar– ³⁹ Ar muscovite geochronology	30
Metamorphic geology.....	32
Petrology.....	32
Hassan Schist.....	34
Ali Gneiss	35
Mineral chemistry.....	35
Temperature–Amount Pseudosections	41
Temperature–Amount (Fe ₂ O ₃) Pseudosections	42
Temperature–Amount (H ₂ O) Pseudosections	45
Pressure–temperature pseudosections	48

Discussion.....	53
Pressure–Temperature–time evolution	53
Thermal Gradient and Tectonic Setting.....	56
Structural regime and timing	57
Implications for the Arabian-Nubian Shield and Omani basement.....	58
Conclusions	64
Acknowledgments	65
References	65
Appendix A: Sample summary.....	69
Appendix B: Geochronology	70
Appendix C: Bulk rock and mineral geochemistry	83
Appendix D: Phase equilibria modelling	105
Appendix E: Structural geology	105

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1. Location map of Jebel Ja'alan, with study area indicated by the black dashed box and roads indicated by the red dashed lines. Red areas represent Neoproterozoic crystalline basement, while blue areas represent Phanerozoic sedimentary cover sequences. Inset (adapted from Shackleton and Ries (1990)) shows the greater east Oman area, location of outcropping basement rock within the region, and location of Jebel Ja'alan within Oman (adjacent to red star).....	9
Figure 2. Field photographs from the study area. a) Parasitic folding of Hassan Schist with limb, axial plane, and hinge measurements displayed; b) Hassan Schist showing large observable change in foliation direction; c) Deformed face of Ali Gneiss, displaying small scale folding of a pre-existing gneissic foliation; d) Rock face of Ali Gneiss perpendicular to the one shown in c), containing a sigma clast that indicates a top to the northeast sense of movement.....	20
Figure 3. Structural and lithological map of the study area. The area is located 50km south of Sur and 15km north of Jalan Bani Buali, within Jebel Ja'alan, east Oman. Grid references refer to WGS84 datum (Zone 40N) and UTM coordinates. Average U–Pb monazite ages are displayed within boxes and are shown on the map proximal to the location where the corresponding sample was taken. Accompanying lithological descriptions are provided in Table 2, while accompanying cross sections are provided in Figure 4. Sampling localities, with accompanying coordinates are provided in Appendix A.	22
Figure 4. North-south (top right) and west-east (bottom) cross sectional diagrams of Jebel Ja'alan study area, with geological map (top left). Subsurface foliation direction, interpreted from field measurements is indicated by dashed grey lines. North-south cross section shows Ali Gneiss being thrust over Hassan Schist, with interpreted subsurface intrusion of Kamil Granodiorite. West-east cross section shows Hassan Schist being thrust over Ali Gneiss, with intrusions of Ja'alan Granite.....	24
Figure 5. Stereographic projections (Lower hemisphere, equal area) of structural features for domains in the Jebel Ja'alan study area. a) Dip and dip-direction of Hassan Schist foliations plotted as great circles (grey) and poles (black). Profile plane and pole is shown in red; b) Dip and dip-direction of Hassan Schist axial planes (minor folds) plotted as great circles; c) Plunge and plunge direction of Hassan Schist aggregate mineral lineations plotted as points; d) Dip and dip-direction of Ali Gneiss foliations plotted as great circles (grey) and poles (black). Profile plane and pole is shown in red; e) Dip and dip-direction of Ali Gneiss axial planes (minor folds) plotted as great circles; f) Dip and plunge direction of Ali Gneiss aggregate mineral lineations plotted as points.	25
Figure 6. Mineral Liberation Analysis (MLA) thin section map of sample JA15-06, showing a backscattered electron mosaic of the sample (left), and MLA map of the sample (right). The map shows that monazite is present within the matrix of the sample, rather than as inclusions. Also note that minerals in the interpreted peak assemblage of qtz–pl–ms–sil–bt–ilm–mt ± st can all be observed to have been subjected to deformation.....	28
Figure 7. U–Pb Concordia diagrams for monazite grains with a 2σ data point error ellipses. Individual data points are indicated by blue ellipses. Samples correspond to: a) Hassan Schist (JA15-04) with weighted mean ²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²⁰⁷ Pb age of 845 ± 19 Ma; b) Hassan Schist (JA15-06) with weighted mean ²⁰⁶ Pb/ ²³⁸ U age of 830.1 ± 7.3 Ma; c)	

Hassan Schist (JA15-40) with weighted mean $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age of 838 ± 11 Ma, obtained by excluding two young analyses interpreted to represent either radiogenic Pb loss or a limited phase of monazite growth; d) Hassan schist (JA15-43) with no weighted mean calculated due to low number (N=3) of grain analyses. 29

Figure 8. Probability density plot for monazite grains found within Hassan Schist. Ages are plotted as: a) $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{207}\text{Pb}$, weighted average of 845 ± 19 Ma; b) $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$, weighted average of 830.1 ± 7.3 ; c) $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ weighted average of 838 ± 11 ; d) $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$, with no weighted average calculated due to low number (N=3) of grain analyses. 30

Figure 9. ^{40}Ar - ^{39}Ar muscovite age data plot for Ja'alan Granite sample JA15-03. The sample displays a weighted average plateau age of 831 ± 15 Ma (MSWD 0.30), which is interpreted as the crystallisation age of the granite. 31

Figure 10. ^{40}Ar - ^{39}Ar muscovite age data plot for Ja'alan Granite sample JA15-04. The sample displays a weighted plateau age of 830 ± 6 Ma (MSWD 0.22). 32

Figure 11. Photomicrographs of petrological relationships within the Hassan Schist and Ali Gneiss units. a) JA15-04 (Hassan Schist) showing fabric defined by biotite and muscovite, biotite and muscovite in contact with quartz, and inclusions of biotite within quartz. b) JA15-06 (Hassan Schist) showing large muscovite grains, interpreted to form part of the peak assemblage, sillimanite rosettes, separation of muscovite and sillimanite by quartz, and the replacement of large muscovite grains with very fine muscovite grains, interpreted to signify the breakdown of muscovite. c) JA15-06 (Hassan Schist) showing staurolite within the muscovite-biotite-quartz-sillimanite matrix, sillimanite rosettes, and fabric defined by biotite and muscovite. d) JA15-36 (Ali Gneiss) showing inclusions of biotite and muscovite within quartz, replacement of large muscovite grains with finer muscovite grains, crosshatch twinning in plagioclase, and separation of biotite and muscovite by quartz. e) JA15-36 (Ali Gneiss) showing fabric defined by muscovite, biotite and sillimanite, inclusions of biotite within quartz, inclusions of quartz within biotite and muscovite, and replacement of large muscovite grains with finer muscovite grains. f) JA15-36 (Ali Gneiss) showing separation of biotite and plagioclase by quartz, and replacement of large muscovite grains with finer muscovite grains. g) JA15-41 (Hassan Schist) showing replacement of large muscovite grains with finer muscovite grains, sillimanite rosettes, and separation of muscovite and sillimanite by quartz. h) JA15-43 (Hassan Schist) showing fabric defined by biotite, muscovite and sillimanite wrapping around quartz and larger muscovite grains, plagioclase featuring simple twinning and quartz inclusions, and randomly oriented biotite separated by quartz. i) JA15-43 (Hassan Schist) showing a strong fabric defined by biotite, muscovite and sillimanite which wraps around quartz grains, replacement of large muscovite grains with finer muscovite grains, separation of muscovite and biotite by quartz, and inclusions of quartz in biotite. Abbreviations from Whitney and Evans (2010). 33

Figure 12. Microprobe image showing the compositional transect undertaken on a garnet grain in Hassan Schist sample JA15-41. Accompanying end-member cation values are provided in Table 4. 38

Figure 13. Microprobe image showing the compositional transect undertaken on a garnet grain in Hassan Schist sample JA15-43. Accompanying end member cation values are provided in Table 5. 40

Figure 14. T - M_{O} pseudosection for sample JA15-36. Compositions given are in mol%. The red line represents the Fe_2O_3 value used for P - T modelling of sample JA15-36. ... 42

Figure 15. T - M_{O} pseudosection for sample JA15-04 (and by proxy JA15-43). Compositions given are in mol%. The red line for JA15-04 represents the Fe_2O_3 value

used for P - T modelling of sample JA15-04, while the blue line represents the Fe_2O_3 value used for P - T modelling of sample JA15-43. 43

Figure 16. T - $M_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ pseudosection calculated for samples JA15-04. Compositions given are in mol%. The red line represents the H_2O value used for P - T modelling of sample JA15-04. Abundance contours for muscovite and biotite are plotted within the interpreted peak field, and show that this assemblage is compositionally relatively insensitive to the amount of H_2O present. 45

Figure 17. T - $M_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ pseudosection calculated for samples JA15-43. Compositions given are in mol%. The red line represents the H_2O value used for P - T modelling of sample JA15-43. 46

Figure 18. T - $M_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ pseudosection calculated for samples JA15-36. Compositions given are in mol%. The red line represents the H_2O value used for P - T modelling of sample JA15-36. 47

Figure 19. Calculated P - T pseudosection for sample JA15-04 from Hassan Schist. Bulk composition used for modelling is given in the top of the diagram and expressed as mole%. The field muscovite–biotite–ilmenite–plagioclase–magnetite–sillimanite–quartz– H_2O indicates the peak assemblage, with the dashed white oval indicating inferred peak P - T conditions based on the measured chemistry of muscovite. Interpreted prograde and retrograde P - T paths are shown by the grey arrows, with a solid line indicating increased confidence of path trajectory. Coloured dashed lines represent compositional variable contours of muscovite $x(\mu)$ ($= \text{Fe}^{2+}/(\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{Mg})$ cations), $y(\mu)$ ($= \text{Al}^{\text{VI}}$) and biotite $y(\text{bi})$ ($= \text{Al}^{\text{VI}}$). 49

Figure 20. Calculated P - T pseudosection for sample JA15-43 from Hassan Schist. Bulk composition used for modelling is given in the top of the diagram and expressed as mole percent. The field muscovite–biotite–ilmenite–plagioclase–magnetite–sillimanite–garnet–quartz– H_2O corresponds to the peak assemblage, with the dashed white oval indicating inferred peak P - T conditions. Interpreted prograde and retrograde P - T paths are shown by the grey arrows, with a solid line indicating increased confidence of path trajectory. Coloured dashed lines represent compositional variable contours of muscovite $x(\mu)$ ($= \text{Fe}^{2+}/(\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{Mg})$ cations), $y(\mu)$ ($= \text{Al}^{\text{VI}}$) and garnet $x(\text{g})$ ($= \text{Fe}^{2+}/(\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{Mg})$ cations). 50

Figure 21. Calculated P - T pseudosection for sample JA15-36 from Ali Gneiss. Bulk composition used for modelling is given in the top of the diagram and expressed as mole percent. The field muscovite–biotite–sillimanite–ilmenite–plagioclase–K-feldspar–quartz–melt indicates the peak assemblage. The interpreted retrograde P - T path is shown by the grey arrow. Coloured dashed lines represent compositional variable contours of muscovite $x(\mu)$ ($= \text{Fe}^{2+}/(\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{Mg})$ cations), $y(\mu)$ ($= \text{Al}^{\text{VI}}$) and biotite $y(\text{bi})$ ($= \text{Al}^{\text{VI}}$). 52

Figure 22. Summary of interpreted P - T paths from the Arabian-Nubian Shield, Oman, and locations related to the Mozambique belt. Paths are displayed upon background adapted from (Brown 2007a). Abbreviations: BS = blueschist; AEE = amphibole-epidote-eclogite facies; E-HPG = eclogite-high-pressure granulite; G = granulite facies; UHTM = ultrahigh-temperature metamorphic part of the granulite facies. P - T path references: Saudi Arabia: Abu-Alam *et al.* (2014); Kenya: Hauzenberger *et al.* (2004); Tanzania: Herms and Schenk (1998); Zambia: John *et al.* (2004); Southern India (a): Collins *et al.* (2007); Southern India (b): Brandt *et al.* (2011); Egypt (c): Fritz *et al.* (2002); Egypt (d): Abu El-Enen (2011). 61

Figure 23. Time-space plot for Terranes in the Arabian-Nubian Shield and locations within Oman, with a gap between locations signifying a >800 km gap between the closest basement outcrops. A location map of terranes displayed in the plot is presented in Figure 24. It can be observed that both protolith ages and timing of suturing of terranes decreases to the modern day east of the ANS, reflecting amalgamation of younger arc terranes. The opposite trend can be seen within the Omani basement, with ages decreasing towards the modern day west. Furthermore, it can also be seen that accretion in Oman finished earlier than in the ANS, reflected in the earlier deposition of sediments. Ages in the ANS are from Johnson *et al.* (2011), Mirbat ages from

Rantakokko <i>et al.</i> (2014)	62
Figure 24. Location map of the Arabian-Nubian Shield (ANS) and Oman (adapted from Blades <i>et al.</i> (2015)). The map shows terranes and locations used for the time-space plot presented in Figure 23.	63
Table 1. Summary of previous geochronological data for crystalline basement lithologies within Oman, adapted from (Rantakokko et al. 2014). Ages in Ma \pm 2 σ . WR: Whole rock, Mineral abbreviations from (Whitney & Evans 2010). References: a) (Bowring et al. 2007); b) (Mercolli et al. 2006); c) (Rantakokko et al. 2014); d) (Gass et al. 1990); e) (Worthing 2005).....	13
Table 2. Summary and description of lithologies in the Jebel Ja'alan study area.....	23
Table 3. Average mineral chemistry values measured for biotite, muscovite, and garnet in samples used in phase diagram calculations accompanied by relevant average compositional variable values.....	37
Table 4. End-member cation values for spot analyses in the compositional transect of a garnet grain in Hassan Schist sample JA15-41.....	39
Table 5. End-member cation values for spot analyses in the compositional transect of a garnet grain in Hassan Schist sample JA15-43.....	41