Lithogeochemical characterisation of cover sequence on Yorke Peninsula,

South Australia, and identification of pathfinder elements for IOCG exploration.

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology.

Rebecca Louise Hill November 2015



LITHOGEOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISATION OF COVER SEQUENCE ON YORKE PENINSULA, SOUTH AUSTRALIA, AND IDENTIFICATION OF PATHFINDER ELEMENTS FOR IOCG EXPLORATION.

GEOCHEMICAL EXPLORATION OF YP COVER ROCKS

ABSTRACT

Discoveries of major ore deposits have declined as the average depth of cover material overlying mineralisation has increased, resulting in a marked increase in the cost of greenfields exploration. In the past, the cover material has been discarded as it was not thought to be of use in exploration, however recently cover sequence geochemistry has been utilised in the exploration for various commodities, including base metals and gold. The Yorke Peninsula, South Australia, hosts economic and sub-economic IOCG deposits within the basement rocks which are overlain by Cambrian – Quaternary cover. Background geochemistry of whole rock samples were statistically determined, and pathfinders As, Co, S, Sb, Cu, Au, Ce and La were identified as potential vectors towards IOCG mineralisation on the Yorke Peninsula. Using the prospectivity index proposed by Fabris et al (2013), with a modified threshold of 3x average crustal abundance for cover rocks, areas of potential expression of basement mineralisation on the Yorke Peninsula were identified. Evidence of mechanical transport of elements from the basement was identified in diamictite samples, while chemical transport is seen in conglomerate samples. Pathfinder elements As and Sb were hosted in remobilised pyrite, while Co and Ni were hosted within primary pyrite grains. If the depositional and post-depositional environment of the cover material is understood, sulphide chemistry is a potential exploration tool for the Yorke Peninsula.

KEYWORDS

IOCG, Yorke Peninsula, exploration, lithogeochemistry, geochemistry, pyrite, pathfinders

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Australia, and identification of pathfinder elements for IOCG exploration | |
|---|----|
| Geochemical exploration of YP cover rocks | |
| Abstract | |
| Keywords | |
| List of Figures and Tables | |
| Introduction | |
| Background | 5 |
| Methods | 9 |
| Logging and sampling | 9 |
| Petrological analysis | 12 |
| Geochemistry | 12 |
| Elemental mapping | 12 |
| Mineral analysis | 13 |
| Results | 13 |
| Logging | 13 |
| Whole rock geochemistry | 16 |
| Major Element Chemistry | 17 |
| Trace Element Chemistry | 20 |
| Elemental mapping and back-scatter electron (BSE) imaging | 23 |
| Mineral chemistry | 26 |
| Diamictite | 27 |
| Conglomerate | 27 |
| Discussion | 29 |
| Geochemical characterisation of cover lithologies | 29 |
| Pathfinder elements for potential IOCG mineralisation | 31 |
| Element deployment | 34 |
| Cover sequence prospectivity index | 35 |
| Implications on exploration | 38 |
| Recommendations for further work | 40 |
| Conclusions | 40 |
| Acknowledgments | 41 |
| References | 41 |

| Appendix A: Drill Hole Details | |
|---|----|
| Appendix B: Whole Rock Geochemistry | 4 |
| Appendix C: Elemental Maps (SEM IMages) | 12 |
| Appendix D: LA-ICP-MS Data | 20 |

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: Geological map of the Gawler Craton showing major IOCG deposits within the Olympic Domain, South Australia, and the Yorke Peninsula study area. Modified Figure 2: Geological map of the Yorke Peninsula showing the Pirie (Cambrian), Troubridge (Permian), St Vincent and Stansbury (Cenozoic) Basins. Map locality shown in Figure 1. Modified from Drexel & Preiss (1995). Figure 3: Map of Yorke Peninsula study area showing available and sampled drill holes and locations of historic and current mines and guarries. Figure 4: Representative thin section blocks of lithologies sampled; a) Sandstone i. dry, natural light, ii. wet, natural light; b) Carbonate i. dry, natural light, ii. wet, natural light; c) Diamictite i. dry, natural light, ii. wet, natural light; d) Conglomerate i. dry, natural Figure 5: a) SiO2 v. MgO graph illustrating separation of carbonates from sediments and clustering of diamictite samples; b) Al2O3 v. SiO2 graph showing overlap of clay and sandstone lithologies; c) MnO v. CaO graph showing separation of dolomitic carbonates and limestone samples, positive linear trending of diamictite samples, and Figure 6: Variations in trace element chemistry; a) Al2O3 (wt%) v. As (ppm) graph showing outliers from carbonate, conglomerate, diamictite and clay lithologies demonstrating potential enrichment of As; b) Al2O3 (wt%) v. Cu (ppm) graph showing potential enrichment of Cu in samples from the carbonate, conglomerate and diamictite lithologies; c) Al2O3 (wt%) v. S (ppm) showing enrichment in carbonate lithology, indicating a potential lithological feature rather than potential enrichment; d) Al2O3 (wt%) v. Li (ppm) showing slightly elevated Li as a potential lithological feature of Figure 7: Selected qualitative element maps showing back scatter electron images (BSE), Ca, Fe, Si, S, Co and Cu content; a) Sulphide grains present in a quartz, feldspar and dolomite rich matrix of a diamictite; b) Sulphide grains present within a vein through quartz and dolomite within a diamictite sample; c) Sulphides present around the boundary of quartz grains, with coexisting chalcopyrite, in a conglomerate sample; d) BSE image of monazite grains within the matrix of a diamictite sample......25 Figure 8: LA-ICP-MS data for pyrite samples; a) S (mol) v. Fe (mol) graph showing primary and remobilised sulphide samples, with stoichiometric value for pyrite included; b) Graph of Fe (ppm) v. As (ppm) showing elevation of As within remobilised pyrite samples compared to primary pyrite samples; c) Graph of Fe (ppm) v. Sb (ppm) showing elevation of Sb within remobilised pyrite samples; d) Graph of Fe (ppm) v. Co

| (ppm) showing elevation of Co predominantly within primary pyrite samples; e) Graph of Fe (ppm) v. Ni (ppm) showing elevation of Ni predominantly within primary pyrite samples |
|---|
| Figure 9: Lithogeochemical workflow demonstrating the methods of determination of lithologies based on their whole rock geochemistry; a) Data from all lithologies on SiO ₂ v. MgO graph used to separate carbonaceous lithologies from sediments; b) Graph of SiO ₂ v. MgO with carbonaceous lithologies removed, illustrating grouping of lithologies by geochemistry, as well as substantial overlap of lithologies; c) SiO ₂ v. Al ₂ O ₃ + K ₂ O graph showing sandstone and clay lithologies as endmembers rather than separate lithologies, with an area of mixed composition between the two endmembers; d) MgO v. MgO graph used to separate diamictite lithology from clays and sandstones; e) K ₂ O v. Al ₂ O ₃ graph showing overlap of conglomerate lithology and sandstone endmember, illustrating the difficulty separating these lithologies geochemically; f) CaO v. MgO graph used to separate dolomitic carbonates from limestones |
| c)iii. Co/SiO ₂ ; d)iii. La/SiO ₂ ; e)iii. S/SiO ₂ ; f) Sb/SiO ₂ |
| remobilised pyrite; b) Fe (mol) v. S (mol) showing high As values in relation to plotted stoichiometric value; c) Fe (ppm) v. Sb (ppm) graph highlighting high Sb values in remobilised pyrite; d) Fe (mol) v. S (mol) showing high Sb values in relation to plotted |
| stoichiometric value. |
| Figure 12: Map of the Yorke Peninsula study area (including drill hole location and current and historic mines and quarries) with gridded cover prospectivity index map overlain, showing potential presentation of basement mineralisation west of Bute and near Wallaroo |
| Table 1: Major element chemistry from available drill holes, with averages of identified stratigraphic units shown along with overall lithological average, minimum and maximum |