

# Petrogenesis and tectonic significance of Neoproterozoic intrusions in Jebel Ja'alan, East Oman

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the University of  
Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geology

George Gray Fleming Murray  
November 2015



## PETROGENESIS AND TECTONIC SIGNIFICANCE OF NEOPROTEROZOIC INTRUSIONS IN JEBEL JA'ALAN, EAST OMAN

### IGNEOUS INTRUSIONS OF JEBEL JA'ALAN

#### ABSTRACT

Jebel Ja'lan, a basement inlier located in the east of the Sultanate of Oman, hosts an igneous intrusive suite. Oman lies to the south-east of the Arabian-Nubian Shield (ANS), which is a shield constructed mostly of amalgamated Neoproterozoic island arc terranes. This paper aims to calculate the age and tectonic setting of the Jebel Ja'alan intrusive suite and to understand its relationship to the ANS. The earliest intrusion of the field area, a granite batholith, is dated at ~840 Ma using U-Pb geochronology. The batholith is cross-cut by three petrologically distinct generations of dykes which have similar isotopic and geochemical signatures. All generations of the suite have positive  $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$  values between +0.56 to +6.78 and the granite returned positive  $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$  values of +2.30 to +10.17, suggesting a juvenile crustal origin. Rare earth element spider plots and tectonic classification diagrams provide evidence for an island arc setting of emplacement. Other studies of the Neoproterozoic intrusions of the ANS and the Oman basement show similar ages for island arc granite intrusions (850-830 Ma and ~845 Ma). Sm-Nd model ages of the dyke swarm of Jebel Ja'alan give Mesoproterozoic ages greater than the pre-dating granite which indicates contamination of the mantle wedge due to subduction. The dyke swarm has been interpreted from field studies and petrography to have an early stage of syn-collisional or post-tectonic emplacement, followed by a more pervasive anorogenic emplacement, possibly during extensional collapse. The Mirbat region and the arc terranes of Yemen and Sinai are cut by dyke swarms similar to Jebel Ja'alan that show island arc geochemistry and may all be coeval.

#### KEYWORDS

Petrogenesis; tectonics; Oman; Arabian-Nubian Shield; Gondwana; Neoproterozoic.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Petrogenesis and tectonic significance of Neoproterozoic intrusions in Jebel Ja’alan, East Oman.....	i
Igneous intrusions of Jebel Ja’alan.....	i
Abstract.....	i
Keywords.....	i
List of Figures and Tables .....	4
Introduction .....	7
Geological Setting .....	10
Location.....	10
Rock Types .....	10
Age .....	10
History.....	12
Methods .....	14
Observations and Results.....	15
Field and Petrographic Observations .....	15
Ja’alan Granite .....	16
Hornblendite .....	16
Grey Dolerite.....	16
Andesite .....	17
Brown Dolerite .....	17
U-Pb Geochronology .....	20
Zircon Lu-Hf Geochronology .....	24
Sm-Nd Radiogenic Isotopes .....	26
Major Element Geochemistry .....	28
.....	31
4.6 Trace Element Geochemistry .....	31
Discussion.....	38
Age and Origin of the Jebel Ja’alan Igneous Intrusive Suite.....	38
Granite .....	38
Dyke Swarm .....	39
Regional Tectonic Setting of Jebel Ja’alan.....	41
Conclusions .....	44

Acknowledgments .....	45
References .....	46
Appendix A: Methodology .....	48
Mineral Separation and Geochemistry Sample Preparation .....	48
Crushing .....	48
Heavy Liquids .....	49
Zircon Mounting.....	50
Thin Sections .....	50
SEM Imaging .....	51
Loading a sample .....	51
Imaging.....	52
LA-ICP-MS (U-Pb) .....	52
Turning on the gases and inserting samples .....	53
Turning on the plasma .....	53
Load method .....	54
PA factor .....	54
Setting up the laser ablation system.....	54
Saving the positions of standards .....	55
Running the method .....	55
Automatic Acquisition.....	55
Using Glitter .....	56
Exporting data.....	57
Finishing a session and shutting down the system .....	57
LA-MC-ICP-MS (Lu-Hf) .....	57
XRF Analysis of Major Elements.....	58
LA-ICP-MS Analysis of Trace Elements .....	58
Sample Preparation.....	58
LA-ICP-MS Analysis.....	59
Turning on the gases and inserting samples .....	59
Turning on the plasma .....	59
Load method .....	60
PA factor .....	60
Setting up the laser ablation system .....	61

Saving the positions of standards .....	61
Running the method.....	61
Automatic Acquisition.....	61
Using Glitter .....	62
Exporting data.....	62
Finishing a session and shutting down the system .....	63
 TIMS Analyses (Sm- Nd, Sr) .....	63
Cleaning the vials .....	63
Preparing the sample powders .....	64
Preparing the filaments.....	65
Loading the samples .....	65
Processing the data.....	65
Field Work .....	66
 Appendix B: Results .....	67
Sm-Nd Analysis.....	67
Zircon Lu-Hf Analysis.....	69
 Appendix C: Samples .....	71

## LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1: a) Reconstruction of Gondwana at 540 Ma (modified from Allen 2007) showing outcrops of Neoproterozoic rock and sutures and the main orogens and shields of Gondwana. b) A map of the Arabian Peninsula showing the location of the Arabian-Nubian Shield, Oman and the study area of this project (modified from Rantakokko et al. 2014). ....	9
Figure 2: Image taken from Google Earth™ showing Jebel Ja’alan. The area of basement rock (green), the unconformable cenozoic sediments (yellow) at the summit of the mountain and the study area (red) are outlined. ....	11
Figure 3: Field photos showing the cross-cutting relationships of each intrusion. UTM: Zone 40Q 0744600, 2450370. a) Shows the relationship between the Ja’alan Granite, andesite and brown dolerite. b) Shows the relationship between the Ja’alan Granite, grey dolerite and brown dolerite.....	18
Figure 4: Geological map of field area located in the southern foothills of Jebel Ja’alan. The dominant lithology in pink represents the granite batholith, bounded in the south-east by the hornblendite and in the north by the gneiss. The brown streaks represent 2 <sup>nd</sup> generation dolerite drawn on from interpretation of Google Earth™ images.....	19
Figure 5: U-Pb concordia graph for sample O14-37 of the Ja’alan Granite showing the most concordant ages from the data. The data point error ellipses are to 2 $\sigma$ . ....	21

Figure 6: A weighted average plot illustrating the average $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age for O14-37 according to the five most concordant data spots. The heights of the box plots are to $1\sigma$ . The average $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age is $843 \pm 20$ Ma.....	21
Figure 7: CL images of zircons from O14-37. Red circles represent U-Pb LA-ICP-MS spot locations. Blue circles represent Lu-Hf MC-LA-ICP-MS spot locations. Zircons show oscillatory zoning symptomatic of formation within a magma chamber. Dark zones in grains suggest higher uranium concentrations.....	22
Figure 8: U-Pb concordia graph for sample JA15-33 of the Ja’alan Granite showing the most concordant ages from the data. The data point error ellipses are to $2\sigma$ . .....	23
Figure 9: A weighted average plot illustrating the average $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age for JA15-33 according to the five most concordant data spots. The heights of the box plots are to $1\sigma$ . The average $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age is $837 \pm 36$ Ma.....	23
Figure 10: CL images of zircons from JA15-33. Red circles represent U-Pb LA-ICP-MS spot locations. Blue circles represent Lu-Hf MC-LA-ICP-MS spot locations. Zircons show oscillatory zoning symptomatic of formation within a magma chamber. Dark zones in grains suggest higher uranium concentrations.....	24
Figure 11: $\epsilon\text{Hf}$ versus $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age plot. The green line along the x-axis represents the chondritic uniform reservoir. The red line represents the depleted mantle. The orange population is zircon laser spots from sample O14-37. The blue population is zircon laser spots from sample JA15-33. a) Shows the data points plotted at an arbitrary 840 Ma age to represent the interpreted age of Ja’alan Granite crystallisation. b) Shows the data plotted according to their respective zircon $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages. ....	26
Figure 12: $\epsilon\text{Nd}$ versus time plot showing samples JA15-14 (grey dolerite), JA15-18 (Ja’alan Granite), JA15-25 (hornblendite), JA15-34 (andesite), JA15-42 (brown dolerite). The red line represents the evolution of the depleted mantle. The green line represents CHUR. The isotopic evolution of JA15-18 (Ja’alan Granite) has been plotted in pink where the DM model age = 1291 Ma and $\epsilon\text{Nd}$ ( $t=0$ ) = -8.23.....	28
Figure 13: TAS plot for plutonic rocks (Middlemost 1994). Concentrations are based off X-ray fluorescence data. Granodiorite is plotted as a cross rather than a circle because, according to this plot, it has been misclassified.....	30
Figure 14: R1-R2 plutonic chemical variation diagram (De la Roche et al. 1980). Here, rock compositions are recalculated as cations. The weight percentage of the oxide is divided by the equivalent weight of the oxide set to one cation (Rollinson 1993). For example, the weight percentage of $\text{SiO}_2$ is divided by 60.09. The granodiorite sample has been plotted as a cross because it would appear not to be a granodiorite by this classification.....	31
Figure 16: A ternary plot for tectonic classification of basalts measuring Ti/100, Zr, and Yx3 (Pearce and Cann 1973). There are four tectonic classifications shown on the diagram: “calc-alkaline basalt (CAB)”, “within-plate basalt (WPB)”, “mid-ocean ridge basalt (MORB)”, island-arc tholeiite (IAT), CAB” and “IAT”. Only the mafic rocks of the suite have been plotted because of the specificity of the diagram.....	35
Figure 15: Rare earth element (REE) spider plot normalised to the average chondrite meteorite (Boynton 1984). Plotted above are all the samples analysed for trace elements including: 3 granites, 4 grey dolerites, 4 brown dolerites, 2 hornblendites, 2 andesites and 1 mafic enclave from within the granite pluton.....	35
Figure 17: A basalt tectonic discrimination plot that uses the HFS elements Th, Hf and Ta (Wood 1980). The fields where the majority of the samples plot are volcanic arc basalt fields (VAB), which are separated into calc-alkaline basalt (CAB) and island arc	

tholeiite (IAT). It was decided to add the granite and andesite samples to this plot because the Wood (1980) discrimination diagram can be applied to intermediate and siliceous liquids too (Rollinson 1993).....	36
Figure 18: Basalt tectonic classification diagram (Cabanis and Lecolle, 1989). Samples plotted by Y/15, La/10 and Nb/8.....	36
Figure 19: Tectonic classification diagram for granite. Y+Nb on the x-axis and Rb on the y-axis (Pearce et al. 1984). The fields displayed are “syn-collisional granites”, “within-plate granites”, “ocean-ridge granites” and “volcanic arc granites”.....	37
Figure 20: A granite tectonic classification diagram with Yb on the x-axis and Ta on the y-axis (Pearce et al. 1984). Classification fields are the same as figure 19.....	37
Figure 21: Map showing the terranes of the ANS across north-eastern Africa and the western Arabian Peninsula. The speckled areas are juvenile crust and plain grey areas are older reworked crust (modified from Yeshanew et al. 2015).....	43
Figure 22: The set up for the separation of zircons via the use of heavy liquids.....	50
Table 1: A table of zircon Lu-Hf results shown with each zircon’s respective $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ age.....	25
Table 2: A table of results for Sm-Nd analysis of unknowns and standards. The U-Pb age of the granite has been used for all samples as a maximum age of crystallisation..	27
Table 3: Results table of Sm-Nd data, including Nd model assumptions and sample measurements.....	67
Table 4: Results table of Lu-Hf analysis, including ratios, epsilon values and model ages.....	69
Table 5: A table of all the samples, their field locations, rock type and undertaken analyses.....	71