

Petrophysical Controls on Effective Thermal Conductivity Estimates

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the
University of Adelaide for an Honours Degree in Geophysics

Alicia Pollett
November 2015



THE UNIVERSITY
of ADELAIDE

PETROPHYSICAL CONTROLS ON EFFECTIVE THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY ESTIMATES

PETROPHYSICAL CONTROLS ON THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the Thermal Optical Scanner devised by Popov (used for thermal conductivity estimates) and whether this method should use more than one type of means calculation when using individual measurements made upon a core sample to produce a single mean, representative thermal conductivity for the sample. The study stems from the well-known theory that different means can be used to calculate the mean thermal conductivity for variations in grain and bedding orientations exhibited by varying lithologies and investigates whether the use of different means can result in more physically accurate and representative thermal conductivity averages for various lithologies. Through the analysis of the individual measurements made upon each of the samples, the arithmetic, geometric and harmonic means were calculated to determine whether a significant difference could be observed between the three means. The largest difference observed was 0.19W/mK^{-1} , which was considered to not substantiate a significant enough difference between the means to make recommendations of changes to how the computer program associated with the scanner calculates the final mean thermal conductivity output. As this analysis included the measurement of thermal conductivity upon 85 samples across three drill holes from central Southern Australia, the study also investigates the links between particular petrophysical characteristics including porosity and grain size and the exhibited thermal conductivities of these samples. The strongest correlation was observed between porosity and dry thermal conductivity, where porosities greater than 10% (total sample volume) resulted in evident decreases in exhibited thermal conductivity. No correlation was determined between average grain size and the standard deviation of the thermal conductivity and they also displayed no correlation with thermal conductivity measurements.

KEYWORDS

Thermal Conductivity, Thermal Optical Scanner, Petrophysics

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Petrophysical controls on effective thermal conductivity estimates i
Petrophysical controls on thermal conductivity i
Abstract..... i
Keywords..... i
List of Figures..... 2
List of Tables 2
Introduction 3
Background..... 6
 THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY..... 6
 THERMAL OPTICAL SCANNER 7
 THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY AND PETROPHYSICAL
 CHARACTERISTICS..... 8
 CALCULATION OF MEAN THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY 9
Methods 13
Observations and Results 16
Conclusions 33
Acknowledgments 34
References 35
Appendix 36

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: The expected range of thermal conductivity values for a common set of sedimentary rocks. (Modified from Beardsmore & Cull, 2001).....7

Figure 2: Conceptual model of in-situ conditions and components (for thermal conductivity calculation) and hence the most suitable means.....12

Figure 3: Schematic of the output received from the thermal optical scanner once it has completed measurements of the sample/s and the two standards. (Modified from Popov et al., 1999b).....15

Figure 4: Range of measured thermal conductivities for sample PHDD1202-05.....16

Figure 5: Depth/Thermal Conductivity Profile for sample BHDD01-08.....18

Figure 6: Depth/Thermal Conductivity Profile for sample BHDD01-35.....19

Figure 7: Depth/Thermal Conductivity Profile for sample SDDD01-22.....20

Figure 8: The relationship between measured dry and saturated thermal conductivity of samples and their porosity.....22

Figure 9: The relationship between dry and saturated thermal conductivity.....22

Figure 10: The relationship between the measured dry thermal conductivity of samples and their average grain size.....24

Figure 11: The relationship between the standard deviation and the average grain size of samples.....24

Figure 12: The relationship between the standard deviation and the porosity of the sample.....25

Figure A1: Sample BHDD01-10 - Depth/TC Plot, Core Image & Histogram.....51

Figure A2: Sample BHDD01-29 - Depth/TC Plot, Core Image & Histogram.....52

Figure A3: Sample SDDD01-03 - Depth/TC Plot, Core Image & Histogram.....53

Figure A4: Sample SDDD01-28 - Depth/TC Plot, Core Image & Histogram.....54

Figure A5: Sample PHDD1202-05 - Depth/TC Plot, Core Image & Histogram.....55

Figure A6: Sample PHDD1202-16 - Depth/TC Plot, Core Image & Histogram.....56

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Thermal conductivity of full set of lithological samples.....25

Table 2: Variance of calculated arithmetic, harmonic and geometric means.....26

Table A1: Calculated Arithmetic, Harmonic & Geometric Means for hole BHDD01..39

Table A2: Calculated Arithmetic, Harmonic & Geometric Means for hole SDDD01...40

Table A3: Calculated Arithmetic, Harmonic & Geometric Means for hole PHDD120241

Table A4: Stratigraphic logging of core for hole BHDD01.....41

Table A5: Stratigraphic logging of core for hole PHDD1202.....46

Table A6: Stratigraphic logging of core for hole SDDD01.....48