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## SUMMARY

1. ecological, physiological and behavioural adaptations of C. eremius to its tenuous and fluctuating environment. 2. A section on morphology presents a re-description of the species, more complete than hitherto given; in which sexual-dimorphic characters are established. The morphological and meristic data demonstrate that a single species is representea by all the widely scattered populations.
2. A section on distribution establishes the widespread occurrence of the species within the Lake Eyre drainage system, and it is argued that floodwaters probably constitute the efficient dispersal mechanism. by which this is effected.
3. A section on reproduction establishes that breeding occurs in two periods, between October to November and January to April. Neither mode of fertilization nor any courtship pattern has been established, but enlargement of the anal papilla in both sexes during the breeding period, suggests this structure may act as a gonopodium. It is shown that population buila-up can be rapid. 5. A section on population structure, densities and growth rates establishes that populations vary greatly in size and that density within the individualnabitatmay difft markedly from locality to locality, where either sex may predominate in any one population. Growth is rapid
within the first six months of life and maximum size attained at about 12 months. Males grow faster than females. Those fish born in October-November probably breed next October-November, those born in January-April possibly not till the following January-April.
4. A section on physical parameters compares inhabited and un-inhabited waters and discusses possible thermal and ionic factors influencing the occurrence of the species. Large thermal and ionic gradients are shown to exist within the inhabited range of habitats, in which inhabited waters are shown to be prone to extremely low oxygen levels. Thermal refuges and thermal acclimations are demonstrated. Remarkable tolerance to changes in salinity are demonstrated.
5. A section on non-physical environmental parameters indicates an omnivorous diet and the significance of vegetation in the life of the species. Fishes found in association with $C_{0}$ eremius and predatory forces are also discussed.
6. A section on behaviour describes movements and orientation in water currents. A series of experiments establishes sensory factors involved in food location and feeding. A series of responses to aspects of the environment are established; the fish is negatively phototactic and prefers fine-textured beds regardless of colour. Rapid changes in body colour, in response to different background colour, are described and shown to
be independent of vision. Activity patterns based on trapping data suggest a possible lunar activity rhythm. Aerial respiration is demonstrated.
7. The discussion concentrates on those adaptive features which appear to have enabled the species to survive and establish itself in an unstable environment.

## DECLARATION
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Chlamydogobius eremius (Zietz, 1896) is the only representative of the family Gobiidae known to inhabit waters of the central Australian region. Prior to this study it had been recorded from only two localities, its morphology was known only superficially and nothing was known of its biology other than that it inhabited bore waters.

This thesis started as an investigation of aspects of the ecology, physiology and behaviour of this animal because it appeared to be adapted to living in the interesting environment formed by artesian bore streams whose saline waters were know to be subject to fluctuations in composition, concentration and temperature and to periods of considerable drying up.

However, as a result of my initial surveys it appeared that the same species occurred much more frequent] and over a far more wide-spread area than was formerly believed. studies of the morphology of the different populations confirmed the wide-spread occurrence of this one species and prompted an investigation of its apparentlj efficient means of dispersion.

During the course of the surveys, aspects of the aquatic environment, of both inhabited and uninhabited waters were investigated, to establish, if possible, what factors determined the occurrence of the fish in some waters and not in others. Concurrently, field and laboratory studies were also made of the species' life cycle, ecology, population structure, growth, physiology and behaviour.
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## 1. PREAMBLE

The Desert Goby, Ghlamydogobius eremius (Zietz 1896), was originally described from material collected by the Horne Scientific Expedition from a railway bore at Coward springs (locality 34, Appendix A) in the far north of South Australia (see fig 7 ) . This type material was subsequently housed in the South Australian Museum (collection registration nos. F524, F525, F526). None of this early collection can now be located and therefore is presumed permanently lost.

Zietz (1896) placed the species in the genus Gobius Linnaeus, 1758, but McCulloch (1917) subsequently considered that it could not be retained within that genus and further that it could not be referred to any of the then known Gobiidae genera although he provisionally rem tained it within the genus Gobius. McCulloch and Ogilby (1919) in extensive revision of the Australian Gobiidae continued to provisionally retain the species within the genus wile whitley (1929) finally erected a new genus, Chlamydogobius, with Gobius eremius as the type species. To date this species is the only recognised member of the genus.

## 2. SYSTEMATIC DIAGNOSES

Family Gobiidae
(partly after Munro (1967)).
Usually small. Body elevated, oval to elongate, compressed or cylindrical. Usually ctenoid or cycloid
scales, occasionally naked. Head naked before and between eyes, sometimes completely naked. Lateral line absent. Two dorsal fins, usually completely separate. second dorsal and anal fins opposite and similar and both free from the caudal fin. Anal with one weak spine. Ventrals usually completely or partly united along their inner margins toform a suction disc. Gill openings moderate to wide, membranes connected to isthmus. Mouth usually large. Teeth in one or more rows in upper jaws, in two or more in lower.

Subfamily Gobiinae
Ventral fins completely or partially united to form a suction disc.

Genus. Chlamydogobius Whitley, 1929.
Chlamydogobius gen. nov. Whitley, Austr. Zool. 6, p. 122, 1929; type species Gobius eremius Zietz, Rept. Horn Exped., ii, 1896, p. 180, pl XVI, Fig。5。

Whitley (1929) gave the generic characters for Chlamydogobius as the relative characters given in McCulloch and Ogilby's (1919) provisional key to the genera and species of the subfamily Gobiinae, namely:-

Soft dorsal and anal shorter, free from the caudal. Body scaiy. Chin and mandibles without barbles. First dorsal with six spines. Head with only microscopic papillae in rows. Opercles naked or nearly naked. Exposed edge of shoulder girdle smooth. Upper pectoral rays not free nor differentiated from the others. Tongue not deeply notched. Head longer
without spines or large papillae．Scales smaller， more than thirty six in a longitudinal row．Mouth normal，maxilla not specially produced．Thirteen or less dorsal and anal rays．Snout normal．Nape naked．

Sole species，Chlamydogobius eremius（Zietz，1896） Gobius eremius Zietz，Rept。Horne Exped。Centr。fustr．in， 1896，p．180，pI。XVI，Fig．5．

Zietz＇s（1896）original abbreviated description of the species from Haterial collected at Coward Springs railway bore（locality 34）was followed by a more complete redescription by incCulloch（1917）from contemporary materiad collected from a nearby locality，Strangways Springs rail－ way bore（locality 29）．This latter description was based on four specimens from a series of thirteen．This early collection from Strangways springs is housed in the Australian Museum collection（Reg．no．I 13661）。

Populations of Co eremius thrive in the surface flows at both the above localities to this day although it appears that water flow from the bores has considerably diminished in recent years partly as a result of a natural drop in artesian flow and partly because of largely un－ successful Government efforts to block the pipes of these now redundant bores．

## 3．DESCRIPTION OF SPECISS

My examination of collections of $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ eremius taken from a number of localities around the Lake Eyre region （Fig． 7 ）and west of Alice Springs（Fig。 8）reveals a uniformity of the various morphological characters（Table｜
between the different populations and insufficient consistent varients to suggest that any sub-species exist. The following species redescription is based on the combined data recorded from a total of 336 specimens, 10-50mm standard length, collected from eighteen localities extending over the full known range of the species. The description agrees essentially with that of McCulloch (1917) except that the latter failed to recognise what I consider to be a single spine associated with the anal fin and a feature which Munro (1967) gives as a characteristic of the family Gobiidae. In addition I usually found a considerably larger number of caudal rays to be present, this apparently being due to the fact that I have taken into account the small rays present at the foremost dorsal and ventral edges of the caudal fin.

The bracketed meristic data are the more typical values for the respective measurements. Accurate scale counts were extremely difficult to estimate due to the thin and delicate form of the species scales. In many instances scales had been shed or considerably softened by immersion in formalin ( $5 \%$ strength) by the time preserved collections reached the laboratory, making counts impossible. Even when intact it usually proved difficult to distinguish individual scales. Various techniques were employed to view scales under a low power binocular microscope including oblique lighting, dehydration in ethyl alcohol (to cause scales to rise off the body surface) and ink prints, but none of these proved very satisfactory.

As with McCulloch's (1917) scale data the values recorded here are therefore no more than approximate. With regard to vertebral counts attempts were made to make these by means of X-ray photographs but the small size of the fish prevented sharp and distinct radiographs being obtained. An alizarin stain technique based on the method of Davis and Gore (1947) was employed on a small number of specimens from several scattered localities and this proved quite satisfactory, although extremely laborious and time consuming in preparing larger series. Consequently most of the vertebral counts were obtai ned by preparing sagittal sections of sampled series ( 10 specimens each) from the various collections.

Chlamydogobius eremius (Zietz, 1896). Meristically no difference between the sexes. (Table compares ranges and means of meristic data of 25 ồ and 25 of from locality 17 as recorded in Appendix It will be seen there is no significant difference between the sexes).


Body rabust, depressed anteriorally, compressed posteriorly (see fig. 1 and 2). Proportions variable according to size and sex. Mouth large, extending to below the midale of eye. Teeth, three rows villiform each jaw, palate toothless. Ventral surface from tip of chin to anal papilla scaleless. Sexes separate, anal papilla of male comparatively long and slender with a shallow convex longitudinal trough on the dorsal surface (fig. 3) whereas that of female is short and stubby (fig. 4), the difference being most marked in fully grown adults. Table 2 compares the ranges and means of the ratio Anal Papilla Iength between the sexes from Standard Length
a collection made at locality 27. It will be noted that the mean ratio for males is greater than for females. Head of adult males conspicuously broader than that of adult females of similar length ( Table 3 ), this again being most marked in fully grown individuals. Table 4 compares the ranges and means of the ratio Head Width Standard Iength
between the sexes from collections made at localities 24 and 27. It will be noted that at both localities the mean ratio for males is greater than for females. Colour variable, light yellow to dark brown background on the dorsal and lateral surfaces and generally cryptically correlated with habitat background. Observations in the field and the laboratory (see p.130) demonstrate that $C_{0}$ eremius has a pronounced ability to acquire cryptic colour changes in several minutes or less. 5-7 darker cross bars usually apparent across the dorsal
surface of the body behind the nape of both sexes. Ventral surface between chin and anal papilla paler to silvery white。 In some instances ventral surface of lower jaw of adult males pigmented bright yellow. Fins of individuals of both sexes less than approximately 25 mm standard length usually transparent. Fins in adults over 25 mm in length variable in colour. Fins of both sexes tend to be more intensely pigmented with increase in size, First dorsal fin pigmentation first to develop and often apparent in individuals less than 25 mm standard length. In live adult males (Fig.5a) the posterior margin of the first dorsal fin generally exhibits a dark or brilliant blue pigment patch with a pale to brilliant yellow margin dorsally, extending to the anterior margin of the fin. The second dorsal, anal and sometimes the ventrals, may be darkly pigmented together with a white or yellow outer margin. The base of the second dorsal sometimes exhibits a yellow band. The caudal and pectorals more usually transparent or not as intensely pigmented, usually uniformly. Generally pigmentation is more intensively developed in larger specimens though in some instances relatively small individuals display maximally intense colours.

In live adult females (Fig. 5b) the posterior margin of the first dorsal fin usually displays a pale to dark blue pigmented patch (rarely as pronounced as in the male) and the other fins are either transparent or banded similarly to the adult males but much more lightly.

In preserved (alcohol or formalin) specimens the blue and yellow pigments are rapidly lost or reduced to tones of grey.

There does not appear to be any significant development or hightening of fin colouring in either sex during the breeding period. Fin colours once developed to the full in the adult appear to remain stable and not subject to seasonal change. Body colour (and fin colour to some extent) does change against different background colour but since the bed surface of most localities is largely uniform and constant most individuals of any one population tend to retain a fairly uniform colouring.

The maximum recorded length of any specimen is of a male collected from Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) Whose standard length was 60 mm . Females usually obtain a maximum size slightly less than that of the largest males in any one population.

When I first comenced this study of Co eremius upon the population at the type locality, Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34), I was unable to distinguish positively the two sexes, principally because of the presence of egg-like structure in the body cavities of nearly all individuals examined. On closer examination these proved to be metacercarian stages of an unidentified trematode and there was nearly a $100 \%$ infection of the population at locality 34. Finally sperms were located in testies tissue and it was then apparent that the sexes
were separate and the sex-linked characters given in Table 5 were found and which served as secondary sex distinguishing characters.

The only other incidence of parasitic infection of C. eremius has been located in the population at Johnson's No. 3 bore (locality 24). Specimens examined on each occasion this locality has been visited have been found to be infected, sometimes heavily, with an unidentified adult trematode in the body and gut cavities.

Table 1

Meristic Data recorded from C. eremius collections sampled from all known populations inhabiting permanent waters.

Vertebral counts were made from a sample of 10 specimens from each collection except where the total collection comprised less than this number in which case estimates were made on the entire collection. Where no range values are indicated for any of the meristic vectors the mean value is common to each individual specimen.
(1) Although fin spine counts less than vi are indicated these were very infrequent and occurred only with very small specimens. In these cases it appears probable that up to vi spines are in fact present but that some are indistinguishable due to the extremely small size of the specimens concerned.


## Figure 1

Male C. eremius from Nunn's Bore (locality 27) population; standard length 49 mm .
a. Lateral view.
b. Dorsal view.
c. Ventral view.




## Figure 2

Female C $\underline{C l}_{\text {eremius }}$ from Nunn's Bore (locality 27) population; standard length 38 mm .
a. Lateral view.
b. Dorsal view.
c. Ventral view.


## Figure 3

Anal papilla of male C. eremius from Nunn's
Bore (locality 27) population; standard
length 49 mm .
a. Lateral view.
b. Ventral view.


## Figure 4

Anal papilla of female $\underline{C}$. eremius from Nunn's Bore (locality 27); standard length 45 mm 。
a. Lateral view.
b. Ventral view.


|  | Anal Papilla <br> Standard Iength |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $00^{\circ}$ | ¢\% |
| Range | 0.05-0.07 | c.02-0.04 |
| Mean | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| Difference <br> of means | 0.03 |  |

## Table 2

Comparison of ranges and means of the ratio
Anal Papilla of $200 \%$ anc Standard Iength
Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 13.IV.70.

## Table 3

Comparison of the ratio $\frac{\text { Head width }}{\text { Standard length }}$ between male and female $\mathbb{C}$. eremius sampled from Nunn's Bore (locality 27), 13.IV.70.

Iocality Nunns Bore (Ioc. 27) Sampled 13.IV. 70

| Males |  |  | Females |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SL} \\ (\mathrm{~mm} .) \end{gathered}$ | Head width (mm.) | $\frac{\text { Head Width }}{\text { SI }}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{Sm}}{(\mathrm{mm}})$ | Head width (mm。) | $\frac{\text { Head width }}{S I}$ |
| 26 | 4.9 | 0.18 | 30 | 5.2 | 0.17 |
| 28 | 5.3 | 0.19 | 30 | 5.5 | 0.18 |
| 31 | 6.3 | 0.20 | 31 | 6.0 | 0.19 |
| 38 | 8.5 | 0.22 | 33 | 6.3 | 0.19 |
| 38 | 8.8 | 0.23 | 33 | 6.8 | 0.21 |
| 39 | 8.2 | 0.21 | 34 | 7.1 | 0.21 |
| 39 | 10.0 | 0.26 | 35 | 7.3 | 0.21 |
| 40 | 9.1 | 0.23 | 35 | 7.5 | 0.21 |
| 41 | 8.6 | 0.21 | 36 | 7.5 | 0.21 |
| 41 | 9.1 | 0.22 | 37 | 7.6 | 0.20 |
| 42 | 8.8 | 0.21 | 37 | 7.7 | 0.21 |
| 42 | 9.3 | 0.22 | 38 | 7.8 | 0.20 |
| 42 | 9.7 | 0.23 | 38 | 8.0 | 0.21 |
| 45 | 10.0 | 0.22 | 39 | 7.8 | 0.20 |
|  |  |  | 39 | 7.9 | 0.20 |
| 45 | 10.3 | 0.23 | 40 | 7.6 | 0.19 |
| 46 | 10.9 | 0.24 | 40 | 8.0 | 0.20 |
| 47 | 11.3 | 0.24 | 40 | 8.6 | 0.21 |
| 48 | 10.0 | 0.21 | 41 | 8.4 | 0.20 |
| 48 | 11.3 | 0.23 | 44 | 8.8 | 0.20 |
| 49 | 11.2 | 0.23 |  |  |  |
| 50 | 11.8 | 0.24 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Range }=0.18-0.26 \\ & \text { Mean }=0.22 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Range }=0.17-0.21 \\ & \text { Mean }=0.20 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Difference of means 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |


| Locality | Head Width Standard Length |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24 ) |  | Nunn's Bore (locality 27) |  |
|  | ôô | 웅 | ôô |  |
| Range | 0.18-0.26 | 0.17-0.21 | 0.20-0.26 | 0.18-0.2i |
| Means | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.19 |
| Difference of means | 0.02 |  | 0.04 |  |

## Table 4

Comparison of ranges and means of the ratio
$\frac{\text { Head Width }}{\text { Standard Length }}$ of $250^{\circ} 0^{\circ}$ and 25 오 collected at each of two localities, namely, Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) and Nunn's Bore (locality 27),

```
Figure 5 a
Live male \(\underline{C}\). eremius (standard length 50mm)
from Nunn's Bore (locality 27); enlarged approximately x 2.3.
```

Figure 5 b
Live female C. eremius (standard length 48 mm )
from Nunn's Bore (locality 27); enlarged
approximately x 2.3.


## Table 5

Sexual-dimorphic characters in C. eremius.*

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chara } \\ & \text { cters } \end{aligned}$ | Males | Females |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Anal papilla comparatively long and slender with shallow convex trough extending longitudinally along the dorsal surface. | Anal papilla comparatively short ance stubby without longitudinal trough depression on dorsal surface. |
| 2 | Head width proportionately greater than in females of comparable size. | Head width proportionately more slender than in males of comparable size. |
| 3 | First and second dorsal and anal fins usually darkly pigmented with pronounced white or yellow marginal banding Yellow pigmentation of lower surface of jaw in some instances. | Second dorsal and anal fins transparent or only lightly pigmented and with only light marginal banding. First dorsal fin darkly pigmented but less intense that in males. |

* Sex distinguishing characters are most pronounced in subjects approx. $\geqslant 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL. In smaller subjects these characters are either absent or poorly developed, and it is frequently difficult to ascertain the sex of the individual.
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## 1. PREMBLE

Prior to the present study the only recorded occurrences of $C_{0}$ eremius were at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34 ) cud Strangways Springs railway bore (locality 29) both of which are situated south west of Lake Eyre south. They were made by the Horne Expedition party in 1894. Three other early collections registered at the South Australian IIUseum lack precise locality data merely being indicated as originating from "Central Australia".

## 2. TOTA GREA

is a.result of a series of surveys I have made in the far north of South Australia during 1968-71 and surveys by the Arid Zone Research Institution (Northern Territory Administration) in the Northern Territory during recent years, it is now established that the species has an extensive distribution within the central Australian region. Figure 6 indicates the occurrences of all known established populations of C. eremius. Figures 7 and 8 show in detail the location of these localities in South Lustralia and the Northern Territory, together with other permanent water sites which have been inspected in South Australia but where the species has not been taken. Figure 9 indicates temporary aquatic sites which have been inspected in South dustralia's far north and where the species has been taken. Appendix $A$ details the names and geographical positions of all localities indicated.

On the basis of these data it is seen that although the species is largely concentrated in the waters of the numerous artesian bores and springs* situated in the western half of the Lake Wyre drainage basin, its range extends well north to the west of Alice Springs where it has been taken in permanent waters in the vicinity of the McDonnell Ranges. The latter are well beyond the immediate vicinity of Lake Eyre but connect with the drainage system entering the Lake Eyre basin.

Further collecting will probably reveal additional permanent populations in the intervening regions within the present known limits but these clearly will be restricted to the limited number of permanent water habitats found in this extremely arid region. The small collections made from ephemeral sites following rain probably represent transient occurrences arising from dispersal from permanent populations by floodwaters. It is possible that further surveying, particularly of the artesian waters of south west Queensland and north west New South Wales, will extend the known range of the species. West of Lake Eyre permanent waters are extremely scarce as they also are immediately north of the Lake where the Simpson Desert is located. The Simpson Desert Expedition of 1939 (Whitley, 1945) reported that the only surface waters encountered were very temporary and shallow waters of claypans and waterholes in the Coglin Creek, Charlotte Waters and the Diamantina River; C. eremius was not amongst the small collection of fishes taken by the Expedition party. In a personal communication from C.R. Fenner Esq., of Darwin I have been advised that although large collcctions of a variety of fishes were made by himself in 1970 from numerous water-holes and springs in the vicinity of the main road between Alice Springs and Darwin, neither Coremius nor any other

[^0]Gobiidae was taken. The Arnhem Land Expedition (Taylor, 1964) similarly failed to locate this species, or related forms, from inland waters east of Darwin in 1948. Only one occurrence east of Lake Eyre is known, when I found the species at the Clayton Bore (locality 44). I did not find the species, or any other fish, elsewhere in the area despite trapping in many bores to the north east of Marree adjacent the Birdsville track.

Thus, to date, the total known range of this species is restricted between latitudes $23^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ south and $29^{\circ} 34^{\prime}$ south and longitudes $132^{\circ} 40^{\prime}$ east and $138^{\circ} 23^{\prime \prime}$ east.

Iredale and Whitley (1938) designated C. eremius one of the characteristic fishes of what they term the Sturtian region (central Australian waters west of the Darling River system) in their proposed classification of Australian inland aquatic zoogeographical regions. Selection of the species for this purpose seems well validated although it appears that the species may be further restricted to the Lake Eyre drainage system.

## 3. DETMRMINANTS OF DISTRIBUTION

## a. Dispersal

The marked morphological uniformity between populations throughout the known range of the species, as mentioned in Section 1, indicates that recent dispersion has occurred and/or that there is sufficient gene flow between populations to ensure that minimal morphological divergence has occurred. In view of the successful
establishment of populations in various pools and streams associated with artesian bores since European settlement it is obvious that an efficient dispersal mechanism is operating and that so long as it continues to operate the species will retain its morphological uniformity and consequently its monotypic status.

The occurrence of small isolated populations in the ephemeral bodies of water following seasonal rains suggests that transport by floodwaters is probably an important, possibly the only, means by which dispersion of stock is effected from centres of permanent population (see p.21). The extensive and substantial floodings (see Appendix $C$ ) and the extensive areas of low topographic relief characteristic of the central Australian region are certainly conducive to efficient and frequent dispersion of aquatic fauna by floodwaters.

The fact that all recorded occurrences, both permanent and transitory, are restricted to within the Lake Eyre drainage system supports the postulate that dispersion is probably effected exclusively by floodwaters and therefore can only occur within that drainage area. The general absence of the species from all but one of the many bore sites east of Lake Eyre and its apparent absence outside the Lake Eyre drainage basin tends to negate the proposition that dispersion is achieveo even in part, by some form of aerial transport eog. transportation of juveniles or adults via thermals (willywillies) or of fertilised eggs via attachment to the feet
of water-wading birds. There are probably other factors, especially of an aquatic chemo/physical nature that prevent the establishment of breeding populations of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {。 eremius }}$ in artesian waters outside the central Australian region (see Section VI) but these are probably secondary to the limitations imposed on distribution by the mode of dispersion.

Further evidence to support the postulate that aquatic birds are not a medium for dispersing $C$. eremius is provided by the observation that, until I successfully introduced a breeding population into the Blanche Cup mound spring (locality 36) in september 1970 (see Section V). this spring pool was devoid of any species of fish and probably always had been. Madigan (1936) made no reference to fish when he inspected the spring in 1927. The Blanche Cup spring is a prominent feature, located within 0.7 Km of the population inhabiting Wobna spring (locality 35) and within 9.0 Km of the populations at Coward Springs proper (locality 33) and Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34). Since the spring mound rises very steeply to approximately 41 m above ground level and there is only a very small run-off of water it appears virtually impossible that fish could enter the spring pool (孖 diameter) via floodwaters transporting stock from the nearby populations. Since all the nearby artesian water bodies and the Blanche Cup spring itself are visited frequently by various species of water birds (see Table 53) it would be expected that if birds did play a role in
dispersion that the Blanche Cup spring would have become populated at some time in the past.
b. Suitable natural habitats.

From the above argument it appears highly probable that permanent populations can only become established where there is suitable permanent water. There are few waterholes in central Australia large enough to hold permanent bodies of water. Neither of the two permanent meteoric water bodies (localities 7 and 31) from which I have collected C. eremius appear to support very substantial populations; despite intensive trapping only a small number of specimens was taken at each locality. Artesian springs (and bores) on the other hand are relatively permanent and since many of them support abundant populations of C. eremius as opposed to the small populations to be found in the few populated waterholes it seems that evironmental conditions in artesian waters, at least those in the central Australian region, are particularly conducive to supporting breeding populations of this species. The artesian waters of central hustralia therefore appear to represent the typical habitat for C. eremius. In spite of chemo/ physical differences between the many central Australian artesian flows they all seem to be suitable to support breeding populations of this characteristically adaptive species (see Section VI). The only critical factor to ensure successful colonization of a permanent artesian water body appears to be the successful introduction of
individuals of both sexes. Thus a locality's potential to harbour C. eremius is largely dependant on its capacity to intercept stock dispersed in floodwaters by virtue of its geographic position and surrounding topography in relation to other populated sites. The occurrences in ephemeral waters appear to be only transitory, representing a stage in the dispersion process.
c. Artesian Bores. Reservoirs and Dams

Artesian springs must have constituted the typical habitat of Co eremius before bores were sunk in the central Australian region. Since then, however, the species has so successfully populated many of the pools and streams associated with artesian bores that it is apparent that man's influence has been one of creating additional habitats thereby increasing the incidence of individual populations within the Lake Eyre basin.
fssuming that most, if not all, of the currently inhabited springs were inhabited before the appearance of bores, it appears that the latter have not so much extended the range of the species as that they have caused the intervening areas to become populated thereby facilitating inter-population gene flow so that any tendency towards sub-speciation through isolation has been slowed down. The drilling dates of some populated bores (see Table 6) indicate that the species is capable of colonising and establishing itself within a relatively short time and it is almost certain that the populations listed in Table 6 were established well before their first
officially recorded occurrences. Efforts to obtain information concerning the earliest appearances of fish at the latter sites from local residents have failed to provide conclusive data.

If effective dispersion is in fact achieved by floodwaters and a newly created habitat is within a reasonably short distance of an existing population with only low relief topography intervening and with drainage directing towards the new habitat it would only require a single substantial flood to potentially colonize the habitat. State and Commonwealth Railway records and my own observations (see Appendix C ) of conditions adjacent the rail track between Marree and Alice Springs, indicate that extensive seasonal flooding frequently occurs in the vicinity of this railway line. Other records (see Appendix $C$ ) indicate that this situation applies to much of the central Australian region including the Lake Eyre drainage area. There are therefore, frequent occasions when dispersion may occur via floodwaters. Chance must also play a role and it may well be that a series of floodings must occur before successful colonization is finally achieved, if at all. Obviously the better the potential habitat's location in relation to drainage from other populations the better is it chances of being successfully colonized. Most of the known populated bores are in relatively close proximity to one or more populated artesian springs (see Table 7 ).

It is possible that in some instances natural seepages existed at the bore sites prior to the bore being sunk and that C.eremius may have been present at the outset but no data are available from the South Australian Mines Department or the State Pastoral Board of South Australia on this aspect.

Only one reservoir, the .railway reservoir at Beresford (locality 31) has been found to be inhabited by C. eremius and since only four specimens were taken from a total of eight traps set for a 24 hour period it is probable that the population is not large. The considerable degree of water turbidity found at this reservoir, and which is characteristic of meteoric water bodies in this region, most certainly inhibits aquatic plant growth. As discussed in Section VII (p.94) filamentous green algae is an important component in the ecology of C . eremius (as a habitat for small animal prey, as a food item itself and as protective cover.) It's absence or restricted abundance would therefore not be conduicive to supporting a large $\mathrm{C}_{\text {。 }}$ eremius population.

Furthermore the high retaining walls enclosing most man-made dams and reservoirs would appear to present an insurmountable barrier to colonization via floodwaters so that they play virtually no part in the occurence of C. eremius.

## a. Fluctuations of Artesian watempows

The little data that are available on artesian flow rates (see Table 8 ) indicates that over a short term of several years, flow is relatively constant and conditions therefore stable. However, comparison of current flows with those apparent from early photographs of such bores as Nunn's (locality 27) and Coward Springs (locality 31) indicates that over a longer time period substantial changes in flow rate may occur. The flows at Nunn's and Coward Springs railway bores are today far less than when they were drilled twelve and eighty years ago respectivelly. (see fig. 12). A number of mound springs in the vicinity of Coward Springs and Strangways Springs are today either extinct or represented by no more than seepages or slight flows and quite inadequate as fish habitats. Since the mounds of some of these springs are quite large it follows that these springs were formally considerably more active and probably capable of supporting fish populations. Long terms fluctuations in the flow of artesian waters can therefore be sufficient to make a site more or less favourable for habitation. Recent Government efforts to block off the flows from certain disused bores, including the type locality of C. eremius (Coward Springs railway bore, locality 34), have resulted in considerable cut-backs in flow but sufficient natural seepages continue and appear to provide bodies of water adequate to maintain small populations.

## Figure 6

Positions of established C. eremius populations located in Australia. The enclosing line depicts the outer margins of the internal drainage basins of Lakes Eyre, Frome and associated lentic waters (based partly after Weatherley, 1967).


## Figure 7

Permanent aquatic habitats inspected in the far north of South Australia.

Open symbols ( $\Delta, O$ ) indicate $\underline{C}$ eremius was not found present. Solid symbols
( $\mathbf{\Delta}$, ) indicate the species was found present.

The numbers adjacent the symbols indicate the localities as listed in Appendix $A$ Key.
$\Delta=$ artesian water.
O = meteoric water.
$S=$ spring.
$B=$ bore.
$D=$ dam.
$W=$ waterhole.


## Figure 8

Positions of $\underline{C}$. eremius populations located
in the Northern Territory, Australia。
The numbers indicate the localities as
listed in Appendix $A$. Both localities
constitute permanent or semi-permanent
meteoric waters.


## Figure 9

Temporary aquatic habitats inspected ir the far north of South Australia:

Open symbols ( $\Delta, \bigcirc$ ) indicate $\underline{C}$. Eremius was not found present. Solid symbols
( , ) indicate the species was found present.

The numbers adjacent the symbols indicate the localities as listed in Appendix $A$

Key.
$\Delta=$ artesian water.
O = meteoric water.
$S=$ spring.
$B=$ bore.
$D=$ dam.
$W=$ waterhole.


## Figure 10

View of section of the stream associated with the Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34), February, 1968. Inhabited by C. eremius:

## Figure ||

View of section of the stream associated with the Wobna Spring (locality 35), April 1968. Inhabited by ́. eremius.


Figure 12

Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34),
photographed in 1890 (above) and 1969
(below).


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loc } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Bore | Date Sunk | $\frac{\text { Date }}{\text { C. eremius first }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { gap } \\ & \text { (years) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | Coward Springs Railway Bore | 1886 | 4.V. 1894 | 8 |
| 29 | Strangways Springs Railway Bore | 1886 | 4.V. 1894 | 8 |
| 13 | Wiood Duck Bore | 1913 | 21.XI. 69 | 56 |
| 17 | Blythe Bore | 1918 | 23.XI. 69 | 51 |
| 24 | $\text { Johnson's Ho. } 3$ Bore | 1918 | Circa 1930 | ~12 |
| 27 | Nunn's Bore | 1956 | 29.VII. 68 | 12 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ioc } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Bore | Date Sunk | Date <br> Last time inspected <br> C. eremius present | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { gap } \\ & \text { (years) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64 | Woolatchi Bore | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Circa } \\ 1895 \end{array}$ | 24.X. 69 | 74 |
| 60 | Montecolina Bore | 1920 | 29.X. 69 | 49 |
| 23 | Honeymoon Bore | 1956 | 30.V.71 | 15 |

Table 6

Drilling dates of some artesian bores in the central Australian region.

## Table 7

Minimum distances between all known $\underline{C}$. eremius inhabited bore waters (also some un-inhabited bores) and the nearest respective inhabited artesian spring.

Table 7

|  | Nearest Spring inhabited by C. eremius | Approx. min. distance apart (Km). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Freeling Springs <br> Freeling Springs <br> Freeling Springs <br> Nilpinna Spring <br> Freeling Springs <br> Coward Springs proper <br> Coward Springs proper <br> Coward Springs proper <br> Wobna Spring | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 16 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 67 \\ 45 \\ 35 \\ 2.5 \\ 153 \end{array}$ |
| ```O. vHoneymoon Bore & & ro gmpalkaninna Bore &@ ¢Palkaninna Bore @ %MOMEtadunna Bore & 我 Kopperamanna No. 1 Bore``` | Coward Springs proper <br> Wobna Spring <br> Wobna Spring <br> Wobna Spring <br> Wobna Spring <br> Wobna Spring | $\begin{array}{r} 71 \\ 130 \\ 180 \\ 204 \\ 212 \\ 228 \end{array}$ |


| Locality No. | Bore Name | Date. flow recorded | Flow rate (gallons/hr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | Cannawaukaninna | $\begin{aligned} & 1964 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,000 \\ & 22,500 \end{aligned}$ |
| 44 | Clayton | $\begin{aligned} & 1946 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33,300 \\ & 28,800 \end{aligned}$ |
| 48 | Kopperamanna | $\begin{aligned} & 1964 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21,000 \\ & 21,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| - | Frome Creek | $\begin{aligned} & 1964 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 380 \\ & 300 \end{aligned}$ |
| 43 | Lake Harry | $\begin{aligned} & 1964 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,500 \\ & 2,400 \end{aligned}$ |
| 50 | Mirra Mitta | $\begin{aligned} & 1964 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22,400 \\ & 19,200 \end{aligned}$ |
| - | Pandi Burra | $\begin{aligned} & 1954 \\ & 1966 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17,000 \\ & 18,600 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 8
Flow Rates of Some Artesian Bores
in the central Australian region.

Data provided by the Hydrology Section, South Australian Department of Mines.
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As describea previously (see p. 9 ) C.eremius is heterosexual and sexually di-morphic. Although a series of groups, ranging from $2-40$ individual adults of both sexes, have been kept under regular surveillance during the period 1969-71 in laboratory tanks under conditions approximating as closely as possible to the natural environment, none have been seen to breed, no released eggs have been located, and at no time have I observed any behaviour suggestive of courtship, spawning, nest building or any other form of behaviour relating to breeding. Furthermore, despite careful examinations including sieving the bottom silt from the stream beds through fine nylon mesh nets during the frequent visits made to Nunn's Bore (locality 27), Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and Wobna Mound Spring (locality 35) between December 1967 and May 1971, I failed to locate any released eggs either attached to the base of aquatic vegetation, in nests, suspended or floating in water or settled in bottom silt.

The enlarged anal papilla ir both sexes during the breeding season (see p. 26 ) suggests that this structure may act as a gonopodium. If this should be so, then fertilization could be internal or spawning could take place with the sexes in close proximity. Since there is no evidence that the species is live-bearing it is therefore more likely that fertilization is external.
2. BREEDING PERIM
(a) Period

In order to establish during which period of the year the species breed, I took monthly samples of 20 males and 20 females from the population at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) during a 14 month period from 13.IV. 70 to $31 . \mathrm{V} .71$ 。

Each such specimen was subsequently examined and the following data recorded using dial calipers observed through a low power binocular microscops - standard length (SL), anal papilla length and maximum length and breadth of each gonad. From these data two indices were calculated, the ratio anal papilla length $\frac{\text { as a measure }}{\text { SI }}$ of anal papilla size and the ratio

as a measure of relative gonad size which I refer to as the "gonad size index". These data are presented in Appendix $T$ and summarized in Table 9 . To get some indication of the reliability of my measurements all measurements on the sample of males taken on 13.IV. 70 (see Appendix $T$ ) were repeated. These gave an identical mean for the ratio $\frac{\text { anal papilla }}{S L}$ and a mean vaiue for the gonad size index within $4.8 \%$ of the original determination. Graph 1 plots the monthly mean values of these indices which are given in full in Table 9 . It will be
noted that the gonads begin to increase rapidly in size, in both sexes, during August with a maximum size of testes occurring in September and of ovaries in October. The pronounced drop in mean ovary size at the end of November reflects the shedding of eggs during the period from October as is established by stripping experiments. A second size peak in both testes and ovaries at the end of January followed by a further drop during the following 3 months indicates a second, more prolonged, phase of egg shedding during the period between the end of January and the end of April. As mentioned earlier, correlated with the enlargement of the gonads is an enlargement of the anal papilla in both sexes.

Figures 13 to 14 illustrates the typical size of the gonads of both sexes, relative to body cavity size, at different times throughout the year.

Thus the breeding season of C.eremius occurs in two periods; the first between October and November, the second between January and April. However, since no sample was obtained in December 1970 it is uncertain whether the first period continues into Decerber or whether the second period commences in that month.
(b) Eggs

Ripe adult females are readily "stripped" during the periods October to November and January to February and a few eggs can be obtained with more difficulty as late as the end of April. Again no data are available for December. On stripping pale orange-amber eggs are
extruded from the tip of the anal papilla. Such freshly extruded eggs possess an adhesive mucus covering which enables them to readily attach to solid surfaces and they sink in artesian water.

The direct count of the number of eggs in the ovaries of dissected female specimens of different sizes which were taken from the population at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) demonstrates (see Table 10 ) that the number of eggs present per female is proportionate to the size of the individual female, as indicated by its SL. The total number present averages between 150-250 eggs (approximately), which when fully developed are spherical in shape with a diameter varying between 1.1 mm and 1.4 mm . (c) Sperm

At no stage have I found any male with running milt nor have I been able to "milk" any specimen.

Sperm have, however, been found in the gonads of males, by microscopic examination, of mounted slides of teased and squashed testes tissue stained with oceinacetic acid solution.

In a collection of 35 males, $20-50 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, taken from Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 3.IX. 68 all specimens were found to possess sperm bearing testes. Of a sample of 20 males, $25-45 \mathrm{~mm}$ SI, taken from Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on $31 . X .70$ sperm was only located in the testes of those 14 specimens $\geqslant 40 \mathrm{mmSL}$; in none of the 6 specimens $\leqslant 39 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL were sperm present. Of a collection of 8 males, $23-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, taken from Blanche Cup

Spring (Iocality 36) on 31.I.71 only one specimen, the largest, 40 mm SL, was found to have sperm. sperm were not located in the testes of any of 6 males, $27-39 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{SL}$, sampled from Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 1。III.71; specimens of this size. range have been established (see p. 38 ) as representing the previous October-November brood and therefore would not be expected to be sexually mature at that time.

Although the data are limited, it appears on the basis of the gonads examined from male specimens taken at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36), when only the largest (40mm SL), possessed sperm, that the remainder presumably represented the generation born during October-November. Therefore it appears that males do not become sexually mature until at least the breeding season following their own hatching that is approximately 10 months later. The single specimen, 40 mm SL, that did possess sperm presumably represented one of the original parent generation introduced on 2.IX.70.

Size in itself, however, is no criterion of sexual maturity since sperm was located in the gonad tissue of all 35 males, $20-50 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{sL}$, taken at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 3.IX.69, that is during the earlier breeding period. Even the smallest specimen in this case possessed well developed testes containing sperm and none gave a gonad size index <1.0. Assuming that the breeding periods established for the population at Nunn's Bore (Iocality 27), that is October-November and

January-April, also apply to the population at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) it is likely that the smaller sexually mature males collected on 3 .IX. 69 represent the brood hatched in the breeding period between JanuaryApril 1969 whilst the larger specimens represent the brood born earlier, i.e. between October-November 1968.
(d) Fecundity

Although the number of eggs produced per female does not appear to be high, there is indirect evidence that, in terms of the numbers of fish that survive to adult size, population build-up can be rapid.

The majority of the populations studied appear to be of quite substantial size and the population of 100 adults ( 50 males, 50 females) introduced into the previously un-inhabited Blanche Cup Spring pool (locality 36) on 2.IX.70 (see p. 36 ) subsequently gave rise to an appreciable population so that a total of 627 fish (439. males, 188 females) were recovered on $31 . V .71$ from 8 traps set during the previous night. This trapping rate is indicative of the size to which the population had grown in a period of 9 months. Furthermore many of the new generation had clearly not yet grown to a size sufficient to be caught in the traps used (see p. 44).

On 24.XI. 7025 adult females, presumably of the original introduced population, were trapped in the Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) and marked by clipping off their ventral fins (see p. 37). On 31.V.71 3 of 179 females recovered in a total catch of 508 ( 329 males, 179 females)
were so tagged and employing the formula $P=N \times \frac{M}{R}$ (where $P=$ total population, $M=$ number of marked individuals, $R=$ number of marked individuals recovered in a total of N。 individuals captured) (after Andrewartha, 1961), the population of the pool was estimated to be of the order of 4000 t. Since the Blanche Cup Spring pool is largely enclosed (see p. 18 ) and can therefore be regarded as virtually a self contained habitat and because males and females appear to be equally capable of being trapped (see p. 40 ), this estimate of the size of the population at that time appears to be reasonably acceptable and indicates an extremely rapid build-up in numbers.

|  | ઠิ̂ |  |  | ¢\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date collected | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { AP/SI } \end{aligned}$ | Range gonad size index | Mean gonad size index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \mathrm{AP} / \mathrm{SL} \end{aligned}$ | Range gonad size index | Mean gonad size index |
| $13.1 V .70$ | 0.06 | $0.32-1.06$ | 0.62 | 0.03 | 0.41-1.16 | 0.88 |
| 22.VI.70 | 0.0 | 0.25-0.92 | 0.57 | 0.03 | 0.47-1.48 | 0.94 |
| 31.VII.7 | 0.05 | 0.22-1.32 | 0.60 | 0.03 | 0.44-1.39 | 0.84 |
| 24.VIII. 70 | 0.06 | 0.18-2.07 | 1.17 | 0.03 | 0.71-2.18 | 1.13 |
| 27.IX. 70 | 0.07 | 0.35-2.89 | 2.34 | 0.05 | 0.47-5.08 | 2.38 |
| 31. X .7 | 0.06 | 0.24-1.96 | 0.85 | 0.04 | 0.75-6.04 | 2.54 |
| 25.XI.7 | 0.06 | 0.11-1.82 | 0.83 | 0.04 | 0.40-3.72 | 1.50 |
| 31.I. 71 | 0.06 | 0.11-1.98 | 1.04 | 0.04 | 0.38-4.78 | 2.00 |
| 28.II. 71 | 0.06 | 0.25-2.31 | 1.04 | 0.03 | 0.40-2.55 | 1.49 |
| 31.III.71 | 0.06 | 0.36-1.89 | 0.93 | 0.03 | 0.53-2.64 | 1.14 |
| 25.IV. 71 | 0.05 | 0.03-0.72 | 0.38 | 0.02 | $0.33-1.61$ | 0.88 |
| 31. 7.71 | 0.05 | 0.27-1.29 | 0.64 | 0.04 | 0.43-1.26 | 0.89 |

Table 9

Seasonal Gonad / Anal Papilla Development in Co eremius at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) 1970-71.

Data Summarized from Appendix $T$

## Graph $\mid$

Mean values of "gonad size index" (G.S.I.) and the ratio $\frac{\text { anal papilla length }}{\text { standard length }}$ for male and female $\underline{C}$. eremius sampled from Num's Bore (locality 27) on different occasions between April 1970 and May 1971. Data from Appendix $T$.


## Figure 13

Ventral viewsof dissected $\underline{C}$. eremius males showing gonads. Each selected from collections made at different times from the Nunn's Bore (locality 27) population. Respective collection dates, standard lengths (SL) and gonad size indices (GSI) of specimens noted beneath each photograph. Enlarged approximately x4。



## Figure 14

Ventral views of dissected $\underline{C}$. eremius females showing gonads. Each selected from collections made at different times from the Nunn's Bore (locality 27) population. Respective collection dates, standard lengths (S I ) and gonad size indices (G S I ) of specimens noted beneath each photograph. Enlarged approximately x4.


22.VI. 70

SL $=45 \mathrm{~mm}$
GSI $=0.81$

27.IX. 70
$S I=46 \mathrm{~mm}$
$G S I=1.68$

24.VIII. 70
$S I=4.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
GEI $=1.04$

$31 . \mathrm{X} .70$
SL $=45 \mathrm{~mm}$
$G S I=3.67$


## Table 10

Number of eggs present, their sizes and other data relating to the ovaries of several
different sized C.eremius collected from
Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 31。IX.70.

| SL | AP | Ovary length |  | Ovary width |  | Gonad size Index | No. of eggs |  | Total | Fgg diam. (20 samples <br> Range | (mm。) <br> each) <br> Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | left | right | left | right |  | left ovary | right ovary |  |  |  |
| 25 | 0.9 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.18 | 71 | 84 | 155 | 0.4-0.6 | 0.5 |
| 32 | 2.2 | 11.4 | 11.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 4.48 | 62 | 78 | 140 | 1.1-1.4 | 1.2 |
| 35 | 2.0 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 4.16 | 66 | 98 | 164 | 1.1-1.4 | 1.2 |
| 40 | 2.6 | 12.0 | 12.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 | 4.73 | 99 | 132 | 231 | 1.1-1.4 | 1.3 |
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Scales, otoliths and vertebral structures offer no features which can be used to determine age in $\mathbb{C}$. eremius. Although scale laminae are visible they are nearly always regularly spaced, so giving no indication of periodic changes in growth rate. Similarly, otoliths, vertebrae and operculae are uniform in appearance, without evidence of growth rings. Being unable to make age determinations by such features I decided to attempt to examine the size-age structure of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {。 }}$ eremius populations by length frequency analyses.
2. SIZE-AGE STRUCTURE

A series of collections were made by trapping on different occasions at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) and Nunn's Bore (locality 27) which were then analysed for frequency of standard lengths (see Appendices 1-K and $L$ ). The data so obtained from the larger collections were plotted as histograms and in some instances as probability curves (after Cassie, 1954) using various groupings of standard iengths in order to ascertain which, if any, separated and indicated any different size groups present to best advantage. With respect to Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) populations the most indicative analysis was obtai ned from a collection made on 3.IX.68. Histograms 1 a and 2a depict the length-frequencies of males and females respectively taken on this occasion. In both histograms
the lengths have been grouped in the 2 mm intervals $20-21 \mathrm{~mm}, 22-23 \mathrm{~mm}$ etc. which proved to be the most indicative grouping. In addition, probability curves (Graph 2) were plotted for both sexes from the same data, employing intervals of 2 mm in the following groupings, 19-20mm, 21-22mm etc. These respective length groupings, for both the histograms and probability curves, showed different size groups to best advantage and were the groupings employed for subsequent plotting of standard length-frequencies. However, compared to the histogram, the probability curve proved unsatisfactory as a means of discerning size groups in these collections.

From the histograms $1 a$ and $2 a$ plotted from the data obtained from the Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) collection of $3.1 X .68$ it can be seen that two principal size groups appear to be present in both sexes, ranging from $26-39 \mathrm{~mm}$, and $42-47 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the case of males and $22-34 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $36-38 \mathrm{~mm}$ in the case of females; two size groups are less evident in the male population than in the female population. Both sets of probability curves indicate, though not markedly, size breaks in the male and females populations at about the lengths 40 mm and 34 mm respectively, which coincide approximately with the breaks apparent in the histograms.

The data of two subsequent collections, 14.VI.70, 27.VIII. 70, from, Johnson's No. 3 Bore (local ity 24) plotted in histograms $1 b$ \& $2 b$ and $1 c \& 2 c$ respectively,
also indicate: two size groups in the male populations although not in the females. Note that the probability curves (Graph 3 ), like the histograms, of the data from the 27.VIII. 70 collection indicate for the males a size break at about 37 mm and 46 mm , but for the females no break.

In respect of the analyses of the collection from Nunn's Bore (locality 27), the data obtained from the collection of 13. IV. 70 as depicted in histograms $3 a$ and $4 a$ and the probability curves(Graph4)indicate two reasonably distinct size groups for the female population, $29-36 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $38-41 \mathrm{~mm}$ standard length, but not for the male population. The collection of $22 . \mathrm{VI}$.70 suggests in both the histograms ( $3 b$ and $4 b$ ) and the probability curves (Graph 5 ) that two size groups are present in each sex. The data from two other collections (24。VII. 70, 1.XI. 70) from Nunn's Bore (locality 27) as plotted. in histograms $3 c \& 4 c$ and $3 e \& 4 e$ are difficult to interpret and may indicate that only a single age group is present in both sexes. However a wide size range is represented and it is unlikely that only one age group would be present; the data suggest that a second age group is present in bothmale codlections.

Although it was difficult to clearly differentiate size-age groups in most of the collections, two size-age groups are present on some occasions.

In an attempt to solve the problem I introduced a population of Co eremius into the pool of the previously uninhabited Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) which had been trapped repeatedly on previous occasions and no fish had been caught. The Blanche Cup Spring pool (locality 36) is an enclosed circular body of water 16 mm in diameter with a maximum depth of approximately 1 mm at its centre. The aquatic vegetation in this poolis the same as at Coward Springs proper (locality 33) viz。 Cyperus laevigatus and filamentous green algae (Spirogysa sp.). On 2.IX.70, 50 adult males and 50 adult females collected from Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) were transferred to and released unmarked into the Blanche Cup spring pool (locality 36). Prior to being released the lengthfrequencies of these $f$ ish were recorded. These data are presented in histograms $5 a$ and $6 a$.

Fifty nine days later, 8 baited wire mesh traps (see Appendix D ) were introduced into the pool for a 15 hour over-night setting period. The standard lengths of all fish collected were measured and they were returned to the pool. This procedure was repeated on several occasions over the next 6 months. Appendix $N$ presents the length-frequency data from these measurements and histograms $5 b, 6 b$ to $5 h, 6 h$ indicate the size structure of these successive collections.

The fish were released unmarked because no effective marking technique had been employed up to that time, in spite of attempts made in the laboratory and the field using different methods devised by other workers, including the attachment of nylon threads (Reinboth, 1954), impregnation with fluorescent pigment granules by means of compressed air (Phinney, 1966), (Phinney, Duane, Miller \& Dahlberg, 1967), and the injection of liquid latex (Riley, 1966). Attempts to mark by means of branding with a heated iron usually resulted in infection within a few days, soon followed by death. Finally the fish were marked by clipping off the ventral fins. Tests in the laboratory had shown that provided the fins were not cut too closely to their base that the fish suffered no apparent harmful effect from this operation. Therefore on the morning of $24 . \mathrm{XI} .70$ all female fish (25) collected during the previous night at the Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) were marked by this method. Clipped individuals were recovered but as fin re-growth was rapid the fins were re-clipped each time a marked individual was recaptured. Usually on re-growth the fin was deformed so that it was normally not difficult to ascertain if a fish was in fact one of the original marked specimens, despite subsequent re-growth.

The recovery of each marked female fish is represented on the histograms by means of a small cross (x) above the size range of the panticuzar fish. Similarly, 'a
"d" above a particular size range indicates that a fish of that size range was dead upon being recovered from the trap.

From the histograms $5 b, 6 b$ to $5 h, 6 h p l o t t e d$ from the data obtained from the successive collections taken at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) it is seen that:-
(a) The original populations of both sexes rapidly grew to a maximum length of $50-60 \mathrm{~mm}$ standard length.
(b) Since large numbers of fish shorter than those originally released in the pool began to appear in traps from January 1971 onwards a new generation had apparently been bred sometime between early September (when the parent population was introduced) and that month.
(c) This new generation was probably born over a period of a month or more since on 1. III. 71 its members had a relatively wide size range; for example on 1。III. 71 trapped males of the new generation ranged between 24 mm and at least 39 mm standard length and females between 28 mm and 40 mm standard length. As indicated elsewhere (see p. 26 ), it seems that breeding occurs in two periods, between October-November and January-April respectively, so accounting for the wide range.
(d) The new generation, particularly the males, grew rapidiy since they appear to have become partly absorbed into the parent size range about 5 months after birth.
(e) It appears probable that once the parent generation grows to maximum size mortality rates accelerate, since all dead fish found in traps were in this upper size r ange (see Table 11 ).
(f) Since some of the new generation of males approach maximum size within about the first 6 months of life, it would seem that by the size-frequency technique it is possible to distinguish more than one size-age group of males only for about 6 months following a breeding period. Young females on the other hand appear to grow more slowly and the parent and next generation can therefore be distinguished by length analyses for a longer period.
(g) Since adult mortalities were relatively frequent following the appearance of the new generation and the number of parent population fish that were trapped progressively dropped following their initial introduction (see Table 12 ), it appears that the parent generation progressively drops in numbers after breeding and therefore at least some members of it do not survive until the following breeding season.

On the available data I am unable to estimate the species longevity. However, since small fishes of temperate and tropical regions are reported to frequently have a life span of less than 2 years (Lagler et al, 1962) and reported longevities of different Gobiidae in captivity vary between approximately 6 months and 2 years (Flower,

1925; Flower, 1935; Fry, 1957), it is probable that C. eremius does not live more than about 2 years.

Since growth in C. eremius has been shown to be rapid, at least in the early stages of life, it appears that sexual maturity may be achieved by the first breeding season after being born (that is, 9 to 12 months later). Certainly adult size would be aquired by then but as shown elsewhere (see p. 29 ) size itself is no criteria of sexual maturity.

Until longevity and the number of times breeding occurs in the individual has been established it is not possible to ascertain how many generations are present in an adult population.

## 3. SEX RATIOS

Table 13 lists the sex ratios found in certain trapped collections of Co eremius from various localities. Collecting by a fine nylon mesh dab net at Blanche Cup spring (locality 36) provided a collection in which the ratio of the sexes (see Table 14) was of the same order as that of a trapped collection retrieved the same day, so that it may be concluded that both sexes are equally likely to enter or escape from a trap. It therefore seems probable that the larger trapped collections at least, do indicate the approximate proportion of the sexes in the respective populations.

However, as shown in Table 13 there are instances in which substantial changes in sex ratio occur. For example, there is a marked reversal of the sex ratio between collections made at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 24. VIII. 70 , (when males $=66.6 \%$, females $=33.4 \%$ ), and on $1 . X I .70$ (when males $=34.1 \%$, females $65.9 \%$ ). Again, at Wobna Spring (locality 35) there is a sex-ratio reversal, though less pronounced, which occurred during the period 11.VI. 70 to 23.VIII. 70 when females were dominant ( $53.2 \%$ ) on the first date but males were dominant ( $58.4 \%$ ) on the second.

These fluctuations may indicate possible seasonal fluctuations in activity on the part of the sexes but further study is needed to clarify the issue. Due to the late stage at which it became possible to sex C. eremius I have insufficient data of the sex ratios of trapped collections at any one locality, at different times of the year, to enable possible seasonal fluctuations to be established.

It is therefore apparent that with the data available no more than an approximate estimate can be made of the sex ratios of the respective populations. Thus it appears that either sex may predominate in any one population and that this may be by as much as $40 \%$ or more of the total population (for example, as at Blanche Gup Spring (locality 36)) though usually the difference is apparently far less and in fact there may be virtually no difference.
4. POPULATION DENSITIES

Visual observations made in the field between 1968-71 indicate that the sizes of Co eremius populations vary greatly in terms of both total numbers and density. For example the populations inhabiting the shallow, lightly vegetated, streams at Coward Springs proper (locality 33) and Wobna Springs (locality 35) were clearly relatively small compared to the abundantly populated pools and side-shallows at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) which were deeper and more heavily vegetated.

Trapping data recorded along the streams at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) indicates (see Appendices $E$ and $P$ ) that relative abundance can vary markedly from place to place within the individual habitat. As shown elsewhere (see p. 95 ) abundance in C.eremius correlates with the amount of aquatic vegetation present.

Only one attempt has been made to estimate absolute densities within a habitat. At Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) on 21. II. 68 a galvanised iron quadrat (50cm deep) was placed successively at several stations along the stream. The enclosed fish were collected by means of a nylon mesh dab net which was used to sieve the enclosed water and bed silt (down to a depth of approximately 5 cm sub-bed). Because of the weight of the quadrat and difficulty in traversing the soft silt
bed it took approximately 20 seconds, from the time the water was entered, to set the quadrat in position. Thus any active fish could readily escape upon being disturbed prior to the quadrat area being sealed off. Nevertheless, numbers of from 2-35 fish were successfully trap ped within the quadrat at each station.

Table 15 presents the numbers of fish per square metre trapped at the four stations at which readings were made. These absolute densities follow a pattern similar to that of relative densities obtained by trapping data recorded on $28 . \mathrm{V} .68$ (see Appendix $E$ ) and also given in Table 15 .

Relative densities have been regularly recorded along the streams at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) and the data is given in Appendices $E$ and $P$. These recordings were made by setting a single baited wire mesh trap (see Appendix D) at each station for an approximately 15 hour overnight period. Counts made of the fish so trapped indicated the relative abundance of fish in the vicinity of each station at the time of trapping.

## 5. GROWTH RATES

The data (see Table 12 ) obtained from lengthfrequency analyses from collections made at Blanche Cup Springs (locality 36) has enabled me to obtain some estimate of growth rate in C. eremius at various stages.

Considering, firstly, growth in the early stages:It is estimated, from the gonad size index data (see section IV ) that breeding occurs some time between October-November, followed, apparently, by a later breeding period between January-April. Therefore the small fish first trapped on 1.II.71, which would be derived from the parent population put into the spring on 2.IX.70, would be approximately $3-4$ months of age and born in the first period, as any later born individuals are unlikely to have reached a size to be trapped on 1.II.71. Thus over the $f$ irst $3-4$ months of life, growth in terms of standard length, is 43.3 mm for males and 40.3 mm for females. Subsequent growth however appears to be considerably less. From 1.II.71 to 1.III.71 the increase in mean standard length amongst the male population is calculated to be only 1.4 mm , from 1. II. 71 to 31. III. 71 again only 1.4mm with a further decrease between 31.III.71 and 25.1 V .71 to only 0.7 mm mean increase. The subsequent drop by 0.6 mm in mean length determined for the collection on $31 . \mathrm{V} .71$ is probably due to an increase in the frequency of smaller size-range fish being trapped, presumably those from the brood born in the later January-April period. With regard to the female population the growth from 1.II. 71 to 1. III. 71 appears to be negative but this is probably a result of the relatively few numbers being trapped; between 1.III. 71 and 31. III. 71 there is an apparent increase in mean standard length of $31 . \mathrm{mm}$ but
between 31. III. 71 and 25.IV. 71 only 1.0 mm increase. The apparent drop in mean length between 25.IV。71 and 3.V.71 is again probably due to an increase in the number of smaller size range fish being trapped from the brood born in the January-April period.

With regard to the adult parent population:Assuming the parent population introduced on 2.IX.70 comprises mainly the Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) broods of the previous October-November and January-April then with regard to males the mean increase in standard length over the two months to 31.1 .70 was 11.1 mm , between $31 . X .70$ and $24 . X I .702 .9 \mathrm{~mm}$, between $24 . X I .70$ and 1.II. 71 3.1 mm and between 1.II. 71 and 1. III. $71,0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ increase.

For the females over the same periods, growth in terms of mean standard length was estimated at 13.4 mm , $4.6 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 0.1 mm . The apparent drop in mean length in the following collections (31.III.71, 25.IV.71) is probably due to the small numbers trapped.

On the basis of the above data it is concluded that:-
(a) In fish born in the October-November period growth is rapid in the first $3-4$ months thereafter (coinciding with the summer months and hence higher water temperatures) with both sexes obtaining a mean standard length of approximately $40-44 \mathrm{~mm}$ at the end of this period.
(b) Growth is much reduced in the next 3 months up to April in those individuals born in OctoberNovember and possibly also in those born in January-April. Between April-May it appears there is actually a reduction in size。 Although as pointed out, this may be due partly to increasing numbers of small fish being trapped, it will be noted that this period of reduced growth rate coincides with winter months and hence cooler water temperatures.
(c) Growth later in life, towarās the next summer, appears to accelerate, coinciding with increasing ambient and water temperarures.
(d) A maximum size of approximately 60 mm standard. length is attained about the age of 17 months. Since all the specimens that were taken from the traps dead ranged in size between $50-58 \mathrm{~mm}$ standard length the species possibly does not survive more than 24 months (see p. 39). on the other hand it is possible the species has a greater longevity but does not grow beyond 60 mm standard length.
(e) Growth appears to be more rapid at all stages in males than in females. The mean size for males in any one population is invariably greater than females, though females that do survive are capable of attaining a length as great as the maximum male length。

## Histogram 1a.

C. eremius males trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 3.IX.68.

Histogram 1b。
C. eremius males trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 14.VI.70.

## Histogram 1c.

C. eremius males trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 27.VIII.70.




Histogram 2a.
C. eremius females trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 3.IX.68.

Histogram 2b。
C. eremius females trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 14.VI.70.

Histogram 2c.
C. eremius females trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 27.VIII.70.




## Graph 2

Cumulative percentage length distribution of a collection of male and female
C. eremius trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 3.IX.68.


## Graph 3

Cumulative percentage length distribution of a collection of male and female C. eremius trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 27.VIII.70.

C. eremius males trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 13.IV.70.

Histogram 3b。
C. eremius males trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 22.VI.70.

Histogran 3c.
C. eremius males trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27)
on 24.VIII.70.




Histogram 3a.
C. eremius males trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 1. XI.70.

Histogran 3e.
C. eremius males trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 31.V.71.



## Histogram 4a

C. eremius females trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 13.IV.70.

Histogram 4b.
©. eremius females trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 22.VI.70.

Histogram 4c.
C. eremius females trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 24.VIII.70.




Histogram 4d.
C. eremius females trapped at Nunn's Bore (Iocality 27) on 1.XI.70.

Histogram 4e.
C. eremius females trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on $31 . \mathrm{V} .71$.



## Graph 4

Cumulative percentage length distribution of a collection of male and female $\underline{\text { C }}$ eremius trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 13.IV.70。
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## Graph 5

Cumulative percentage length distribution of a collection of male and female $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 22.VI.70.


Histogram 5a.
C. eremius males trapped at Rlanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 2.IX.70.

Histogram 5b.
C. eremius males trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 31.X.70.

Histogram 5c.
C. eremius males trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 24.XI.70.

Histogram 5d.
C. eremius males trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 1.II.71.





Histogram 5e.
C. eremius males trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 1.III.70.

Histogram 5f.
C. eremius males trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 31.III.71.



Histogram 5g.
C. eremius males trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 25.IV.71.

Histogram 5h.
C. eremius males trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 31.V.71.


Histogram 6a.
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 2.IX.70.

Histogram 6b.
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 31.X.70.

Histogram 6c.
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 24.XI。70.

Histogram 6d.
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 1.II.71.




Histogram 6e.
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 1.III.71.

Histogram 6f.
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 31.III.71.

Histogram. 6 g .
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 25.IV.71.

Histogram 6h.
C. eremius females trapped at Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36) on 31.V.71.


| Date sampled | S.L's (mm) of fish dead upon <br> recapture. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Males | Females |
| 25. XI. 70 |  | 56 |
| 31. III.71 | 54,55 | $51,54,55,56$ |
| 25. IV.71 | $52,53,54,54$ | $52,53,55,55$ |
| $31 . V .71$ | 50,53 | $52,54,56,57$, |
|  |  | $57,57,58$ |

Table 11

Standard lengths of fish, recovered dead, from traps set in Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36).

## Table 12

Numbers of $\underline{C}$. eremius, their range of standard length and mean standard length, upon introduction and upon each successive trapping, from the Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36).

Table 12

| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Sampled | Introduced pop. |  |  | next generation |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { no } \\ \text { trapped } \end{gathered}$ | SL Range | SL Mean (mm.) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { no } \\ \text { trapped } \end{gathered}$ | SI Range | $\begin{gathered} \text { SL Me } \\ \text { (mm. } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2.IX. } 70 \\ & \text { (introduced) } \end{aligned}$ | 50 | 32-51 | 40.6 |  |  |  |
| 31.X.70 | 24 | 38-62 | 51.7 |  |  |  |
| 24.XI.70 | 21 | 48-60 | 54.6 |  |  |  |
| 1.II. 71 | 6 | 55-59 | 57.7 | 77 | 25-53 | 43.3 |
| 1.III.71 | 6 | 56-60 | 58.3 | 133 | 25-54 | 44.7 |
| 31.III.71 |  |  |  | 439 | 33-60 | 45.1 |
| 25.IV.71 |  |  |  | 363 | 31-56 | 46.8 |
| $31 . \mathrm{V} .71$ |  |  |  | 328 | 28-57 | 46.2 |


| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Sampled | Introduced pop. |  |  | next generation |  |  |
|  | ```Total no trapped``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI Range } \\ & (\min .) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { SL Mean } \\ \text { (mm.) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { no. } \\ \text { trapped } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $S_{\left.(\mathrm{mm})_{0}\right)}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SL Me: } \\ \text { (mm. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 2IX. 70 | 50 | 31-43 | 35.9 |  |  |  |
| $31 . \mathrm{X}$. | 9 | 35-57 | 49.3 |  |  |  |
| 24.XI.70 | 25 | 47-60 | 53.9 |  |  |  |
| 1.II. 71 | 23 | 50-57 | 54.4 | 23 | 31-47 | 40.3 |
| $1.1 I I .71$ | 22 | 49-58 | 54.5 | 41 | 28-45 | 39.8 |
| 31.III.71 | 30 | 51-57 | 54.1 | 158 | 33-50 | 42.9 |
| 25.IV. 71 | 17 | 52-57 | 54.4 | 128 | 28-50 | 43.0 |
| 31.V.71 | 28 | 52-58 | 55.0 | 152 | 27-51 | 41.3 |


| Loc． No． | Locality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Day (D) } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { night (N) } \\ & \text { setting } \end{aligned}$ | Date Sampled | Total no． | \％ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | §̂龴⿵冂人 | ¢¢ |
| 16 | Freeling Springs | N | 23．xi． 69 | 121 | 54.5 | 45.5 |
| 17 | Blyth Bore | N | 23．xi． 69 | 93 | 58.1 | 41.9 |
| 24 | Johnson＇s No． 3 Bore，pool A | N $N$ $N$ $N$ $N$ $N$ $D$ $D$ $D$ $D$ $D$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 3.ix. } 68 \\ 26 . \text { viic. } 70 \\ 27 . v i i i .70 \\ 28 . v i i i .70 \\ 29 . v i i i .70 \\ 30 . v i i i .70 \\ 31 . v i i i .70 \\ 1 . i x .70 \\ 2 . i x .70 \end{array}$ | 255 113 131 124 96 196 108 155 236 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.9 \\ & 41.5 \\ & 34.3 \\ & 37.9 \\ & 45.8 \\ & 36.2 \\ & 28.7 \\ & 30.3 \\ & 38.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56.1 \\ & 58.5 \\ & 65.7 \\ & 62.1 \\ & 54.2 \\ & 63.8 \\ & 71.3 \\ & 69.7 \\ & 61.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | Johnson＇s No． 3 Bore，pool B． | $D \stackrel{D}{\&}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14.vi.70 } \\ 27 . \text { viii.70 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 147 \\ & 725 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39.4 \\ & 34.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.6 \\ & 65.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 24 | ```Johnson's No. 3 Bore, pool C``` | $D \& N$ | 14．i．v． 70 | 335 | 54.2 | 45.8 |
| 27 | Nunn＇s Bore | $\begin{aligned} & D \\ & D \end{aligned} \& \mathbb{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 13.iv. } 70 \\ 22 . \mathrm{vi} .70 \\ 24 . \mathrm{viii} .70 \\ 1 . \mathrm{xi} .70 \\ 31 . \mathrm{v.71} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 158 \\ & 166 \\ & 456 \\ & 302 \\ & 262 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.6 \\ & 72.8 \\ & 66.6 \\ & 34.1 \\ & 62.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.4 \\ & 27.2 \\ & 33.4 \\ & 65.9 \\ & 37.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 35 | Wobna Spring | N N N N N N N N N | $\begin{gathered} 11 . i v .70 \\ 11 . \mathrm{ii} .70 \\ 23 . \mathrm{vi} .70 \\ 30 . \mathrm{vii} .70 \\ 23 . \mathrm{viii} .70 \\ 28 . i x .70 \\ 31 . \mathrm{x} .70 \\ 25 . \mathrm{xi} .70 \end{gathered}$ | 136 113 117 146 101 62 33 80 | $\begin{aligned} & 41.2 \\ & 46.8 \\ & 43.3 \\ & 51.3 \\ & 58.4 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 42.4 \\ & 57.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58.8 \\ & 53.2 \\ & 56.7 \\ & 48.7 \\ & 41.6 \\ & 50.0 \\ & 57.6 \\ & 42.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 36 | Blanche Cup Spring | $\begin{array}{lll} D & \& & N \\ D & \& & N \\ D r \& & N \\ D & \& & I \\ D & \& & N \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1.ii. } 71 \\ \text { 1.iii. } 71 \\ 31 . i 1 i .71 \\ 25 . i v .71 \\ 31 . \mathrm{v.71} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & 225 \\ & 628 \\ & 508 \\ & 508 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.6 \\ & 61.7 \\ & 69.9 \\ & 71.5 \\ & 64.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.4 \\ & 38.3 \\ & 30.1 \\ & 28.5 \\ & 35.2 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 13

Sex Ratios Recorded from some Trapped Collections of $\underline{C}$ ．eremius．

| How sampled | Date and time sampled | Total no's | \% |  | Difference in results obtained by the two methods |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ¢ิઠ | ¢¢ |  |
| Trapped overnight | $\begin{aligned} & 31 . \mathrm{V} \cdot 71 \\ & 1100 \mathrm{hrs} \end{aligned}$ | 508 | 64.76 | 35.24 | 5.61\% |
| Dab net | $\begin{aligned} & 31 . \mathrm{V} \cdot 71 \\ & 1330 \mathrm{hrs} \end{aligned}$ | 164 | 59.15 | 40.85 |  |

## Table 14

Sex ratios of a trapped $\underline{C}$. eremius collection compared with that of a collection obtained by dab net at Blanche Cup Mound Spring (locality 36).

| Station | Absolute densities <br> recorded 21.II.68. <br> (numbers /m | *rrapping rates <br> recorded 28.V.68. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 5 | 25 | 14 |
| 6 | 37 | 25 |
| 8 | 2 | 3 |

## Table 15

Absolute densities and trapping rates recorded at Coward Springs Railmay Bore stream (locality 34) on 21.II. 68 and 28.V. 68 respectively.

* A single wire mesh trap set at each station for a 15 hour period overnight on 27.V.68.
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4. WATER TEMPERATURP.
(a) Preamble
C. eremius has been collected in water over a wide range of temperatures. The maximum temperature at which the species has been taken was $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (locality 24, Johnson's No. 3 bore, $24 . X I .69$ ) and the minimum $9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (locality 12, Algebuckina water hole 31。VII.68). A live collection taken from Nunn's bore (locality 27) survived a water temperature less than this $9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during an overnight stop on the return journey from the field when the water temperature in the holding drums was recorded as $7.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at 0810 hours on 13. VIII. 68 when ambient temperature was $8.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Although the fish displayed no activity whilst the water temperature was being recorded they displayed marked activity upon being disturbed by actual physical contact immediately after.
(b) Comparison between inhabited and non-inhabited waters.

Tabie 16 lists ambient and water temperatures recorded at inhabited and non-inhabited localities when I visited them during the surveys in the north of South Australia. I visited most of these places only once and therefore for these only one set of temperature readings are available. Systematic readings taken over at least a twelve month period have been made along the stream flow at each of two inhabited localities (Coward Springs bore - locality 34, and Wobna spring - locaiity 35), which indicate the general $r$ ange of temperature
fluctuations in the individual water body due to seasonal climatic factors (see later).

At most of the localities listed in Tadle water temperature was recorded at only one station and in the case of artesian flows this was usually near the point of outflow and therefore approaching the maximum value for the particular strean. However at some artesian sites temperature was recorded at different points along the stream and in these instances the maximum and minimum temperatures recorded are shown. These provide a general indication of the range of water temperatures present in the individual artesian fed stream.

Since most of the readings have been taken at different times of the year and at different times of the day, they are not strictly comparable。 Nevertheless the October 1969 and November 1970 readings from non-inhabited waters and November 1969 readings from inhabited waters, both comprising mainly artesian flows, are sufficiently close in time to enable a reasonably meaningful comparison to be made between them. Excluding the particularly high temperature artesian flows of localities 44 to 51 inclusive it will be noted that the maximum temperatures recorded cover approximately the same range and approximate to the same mean values in both inhabited and noninhabited waters (see Table 17). Furthermore where a range of temperature is shown for individual localities the lower value is of the same order in both inhabited and non-inhabited waters, including those of the high
temperature outflows at localities 44 to 51 inclusive (see Table 16 ).

Thus it is concluded that with regard to temperature, similar values and ranges are encountered in the majority of inhabited and non-inhabited waterso With similar thermal conditions thus prevailing the absence of $C$ e eremius from any central Australian artesian fed waters cannot be due to any direct thermal barrier. A statistical analysis of water temperatures demonstrated no overall significant difference between the temperatures of inhabited and non-inhabited waters (Appendix $V$, report $A$.).
(c) Thermal Gradients within the Individual Artesian Stream.
i. Longitudinal Gradients. Regular bottom-water temperature readings have been made at stations along the populated artesian springs at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) over the periods February 1968January 1969 and April 1968-November 1970 respectively. These data, together with other simultaneous measurements, including trapping rates, are presented in Appendices $E$ and $F$ - Table; 21 presents similar data recorded on one occasion at Johnson's No. 3 bore (locality 34)。

With regard to water temperature the above data show:-

1. That in artesian fed streams thermal gradients exist longitudinally along the stream path. During warmer months the water temperature tends to rise within increasing distance from the point of outrlow whereas during cooler months water temperature tends to drop.
2. The maximum temperature range present at any one time tends to occur during the cooler months. Table 18 indicates the maximun ranges of temperature of water in which $C_{\text {。 eremius }}$ has been observed while the measurements were taken at three different localities.
3. Although the species does therefore on occasions simulianeously occupy a wide range of water temperatures it has never been recovered alive from traps set for any prolonged period in water in excess of $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Observations and tests conducted at Johnson's No. 3 Bore during summer and at other times, have shown that individuals make brief excursions into water at or in excess of $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and survive. Nevertheless when held for a period, within traps, in water close to or in excess of $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ they rapidly collapse and subsequently die (see p. 60). Thus it appears that $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or just below this value, is the upper thermal limit to which the species can tolerate prolonged exposure although it can enter water several degrees warmer for brief periods and still survive.
4. Within the range of water temperatures normally present along the stream at Coward Springs Bore (locality 34) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) there appears to be no correlation between temperature and the number of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {e eremius }}$ trapped.

For example, the trapping rates at Coward Springs Bore stream show no correlation with water temperature at the respective trap sites on 31. VIII. 68 (see Graph 6 ) which varied between $13.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $29.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a range of $16.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; nor at Wobna Spring on 25.VI. 69 (see Graph 7 ) when water temperature at the trap sites in the main streams varied between $22.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $30.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a range of $7.70^{\circ}$, thus, over these temperature ranges and values it appears that $C$. eremius exercises little or no thermal selectivity and that water temperature within such ranges is of no direct significance in determing local abundance or paucity in numbers.

On the other hand the trapping and water temperature data recorded along the Johnson's No. 3 Bore stream on 3.IX. 68 (see Table 21 and Graph 8 ) does suggest that the species exercises thermal selectivity when a particularly wide range of water temperatures is present. In this set of data water temperatures at the trap sites range between $14.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a range of $21.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As a consequence it appears that there is a significantly greater abundance of the species at temperatures ranging between $20.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $30.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than at temperatures $<20.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $>30.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Other trapping/temperature data
recorded at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (see Table 25 and Graph 9 ) indicate greater abundance at temperatures of the order of $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a pronounced drop in numbers at temperatures $<25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $>35.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
ii. Lateral Gradients.

Water temperature transects made across the stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) and between open water and the interior of stands of vegetation at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) have demonstrated the existance of pronounced thermal gradients.

Table 20 presents data recorded at Wobna Spring (locality 35) in April 1969 from which it is apparent that water temperature within patches of filamentous green algae (Spirogyra sp.) was up to $4.2^{\circ}$ less than in open mid-stream water.

Tables 21 to 25 and Graphs 12 to 15 present data recording bottom-water temperatures between mid-stream or open-water pools and varying distances within stands of mixed vegetation (Typha domingensis $L_{0}$, Cyperus . laevigatus $L_{0}$ and Scirpus $s p$.) in pools or shallows adjacent the main stream at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). These data indicates some very pronounced thermal gradients in which water temperature drops with increasing distance into stands of vegetation and vegetated shallows. Table 26 presents data comparing bottom-water temperature in an open pool and adjacent vegetated side shallows at Johnson's Bore in May and November 1969. It will be
noted that the larger gradient occurred during the cooler May period when water temperature averaged $17.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ less in the vegetated side shallows than in an open pool associated with the main channel. Such thermal gradients probably exist in all other similarly vegetated artesian water bodies.

Visual observations made of the Co eremius population at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) in September 1968, May and November 1969 and June, August and November 1970, indicated that the species was more abundant within the vegetated standsco side shallows, at any one time, than they were in open water in pools or the main stream. During November 1969 and 1970 this was particularly noticeable when far fewer individuals were sighted, at any one time, in the open water of the pool than at other times.

In November 1970 an attempt was made to time the period continuously spent by individuals in the open water of a large pool (mean-bottom water temperature $40.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) before returning to the adjacent shallows. Due to difficulty in distinguishing a particular individual for any extended period from the time after it entered open water to the time it withdrew to the vegetated shallows little objective data were obtained. Nevertheless five individuals were successfully maintained in sight for the time each spent in open water, namely 105, 202, 257, 290 and 330 .seconds.

It is not known how frequently they re-entered open water but laboratory observations of individuals maintained in various sized tanks (see p. 103 ) provided with vegetation cover (Cyperus laevigatus $L_{0}$ ) showed that under these conditions individuals maintain themselves almost continuously under cover, making at most no more than three or four brief incursions of several minutes duration each into open water during daylight hours. Field observations of individual movements into the flowing main stream at Johnson's bore, where water 'temperatures in the higher reaches are $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+$, showed that such incursions were very infrequent and of extremely short duration of no more than 10 seconds.

As demonstrated later (see p. 124) Co eremius is negatively phototactic, characteristically spending considerably more time under cover than under open illumination. As suggested this probably constitutes a pre-dator-defence and food searching process. However in the field, due to the characteristically lower temperatures (especially in summer months) in vegetated shallows, than in open water, it appears highly probable that such cooler vegetated shallows also constitute a thermal refuge.
(c) Vertical Thermal Gradients

Included in the routine data، recorded at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) (see Appendices $E$ and $F$ ) are bottom-water temperatures and the temperatures of the silt 2.5 cm
beneath the bed surface at each station. At Coward Springs railway bore temperature was also recorded at 9.0cm depth. Appendices $G$ and $H$ show these bottom water temperatures and the differences between them and the recorded sub-bed temperatures.

Considering the Coward Springs data it is noted that, excluding station 1 (Where sub-bed temperature was always higher than bottom-water temperature), sub-bed temperature at either depth was with few exceptions less than the bottom water temperature except in June 1968 when the thermal gradient was inverted. Furthermore this difference was usually greater at 9.0 cm depth than at 2.5 cm depth. Table 27 and Graph 16 depict the mean water and sub-bed temperatures at the Coward Springs bore stream on each occasion data was recorded. It is seen that the maximum dipference between bottomwater and sub-bed temperatures tends to occur during the warmer months when bottom-water temperatures are higher and when the sub-bed temperature at 2.5 and 9.0 cm depth averaged 4.4 and $5.80^{\circ}$ Iess than the average bottom-water temperature. The maximum differences recorded was on 21.II. 68 when at station 8 the sub-bed temperature at 2.5 cm depth was $7.80^{\circ}$ less than bottom-water temperature ( $37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and at station 3 at 9.0 cm depth was $9.8 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ less than bottom-water temperature $\left(37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. As will be shown later (see p.67) the mean critical thermal maxima of specimens of $C_{0}$ eremius sampled at the bore stream on the same date was deterrnined to be $40.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since
specimens were collected at both stations 3 and 8 on this date, it is clear that those fish inhabiting these stations were subject to a bottom-water temperature very close to the maximum tolerance level for that time of the year. The pronounced thermal gradient between the bottom-water and beneath the fine silt bed offers a potential thermal refuge as was confirmed on this date (and on two other occasions, viz. 14.XII. 67 and 15.XI.68) when a number of C. eremius specimens were sifted from bed silt, between stations 3 and 8; sampled down to a depth of approximately 5 cm 。

The data recorded at Wobna Spring (locality 36) (see Table 28 and Graph 17 ) agree in part with those from Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34). At the Wobna Spring head (station 1) the sub-bed temperature, with two minor exceptions, exceeded or equalled bottom-water temperature. Beyond station 1 there were similar differences between bottom-water and sub-bed temperatures but generally these were not as large as those recorded at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34), In addition, although the sub-bed temperature was more of ten less than bottom-water temperature there were many more zero gradients and temperature inversions than at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and these were not restricted to the cooler months of the year. Graph 17 plots the mean values given in Table 28 . The pattern of this graph although far less distinct is similar to that of Graph 16 of the

Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) data. These smaller differences and more frequent inversions appear to be due to the faster flowing stream and the different texture of the bed silt of the main channel at Wobna Spring (locality 35), (a coarse sand rather than a fine mud). When one considers the data (see Table 29 ) recorded at Stations 12 and 13 (a large side pool which occasionally contai ned standing water and has a fine silt bed unlike the main channel) it is seen that the differences between sub-bed and bottom-water temperatures are generally far larger and temperature inversions far fewer than recorded in the main channel. In addition when the data in Table 29 was graphed (Graph 18a) the very pronounced pattern is clearly similar to that of Graph obtained from the Coward Springs Bore (locality 34) data. Furthermore on 30.III. 69 at station 10, Wobna Spring (locality 35), several C. eremius were found immediately below the stream bed surface where the differences between bottom-water temperature $\left(28.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and at 2.5 cm sub-bed depth was $-1.5 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$.

Whether the fish actively burrow into the silt bed is unconfirmed since laboratory observations have not indicated any burrowing behaviour by Coeremius in tanks provided with fine silt beds. Resting individuals do however tend to settle passively into bed surfaces composed of fine silt and thereby become partially buried. It is conceivable that a sufficiently large thermal gradient
between the surface and beneath the silt bed might stimulate the species to burrow, but this has not been tested.
(d) A Thermal Refuge.

The following field experiments and observations at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (Iocality 24) indicate that the thermal gradient discussed in the previous section ( $p .57$ ) provides an important thermal refuge for $C_{\text {。 }}$ eremius. This is particularly so during summer months when the temperature of open pools and other bodies of water may rise to lethal levels towards the middle of the day.
i. On 3.IX. 68 at station 1 (see Table 21 ) several individuals were observed to make brief excursions, from amongst the stands of mixed vegetation, into the swiftly flowing open water of the main channel (bottomwater temperature $41.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Aster some rapid swimming movements lasting no more than approximately 5 seconds the individuals darted back into the vegetated shallows. A trap containing 50 fish selected from a large number trapped nearby during the previous night (bottom-water temperature at trap site at time of collecting $=36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) was set in water at the edge of the main stream, just out from the vegetation fringe where bottom-water temperature was $41.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At the end of 7 minutes all but three of the fish were either dead or in a state of collapse. The trap was immediately reset in the cooler water at the original collecting point. Within a minute 10 of the comotose
fish appeared to recover but the remainder had not recovered after a period of 5 minutes.
ii. Immediately following the previous experiment a similar test was conducted at station 2. Firty fish collected in nearby shallows in traps set during the previous night (bottom-water temperature at trap site at time of collecting $=34.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) were transferred in a trap into water at the side of the main channel where bottom-water temperature was $40.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at time of setting. All but 5 had collapsed after 10 minutes. Upon being reset at the original collecting point the majority appeared to recover within several minutes.
iii. On the morning of 2.IX. 70 fish collected during the previous night in several traps at each of three sites in shallows were transferred, in traps, into water in the middle of the main channel adjacent the respective sites. Table 30 details the effects on the fish as a result of being transferred into the warmer water. It will be noted that the lower the temperature into which the fish were transferred at each of the sites the longer the period taken for the initial deaths to occur and the greater the survival capacity of the remaining individuals.
iv. On 26.XI. 69 at 0800 hours, 9 wire traps were set in a large open pool. Upon being collected after 4 hours all the fish taken in 3 of the traps were found to be dead, whilst those in the remaining traps were all alive.

Table 22 details the number trapped in each of these traps and the bottom-water temperature recorded at each trap site when each trap was collected. From the data it appears that temperatures in excess of $40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prove fatal to C. eremius, at least when exposed to these temperatures from a period varying up to 4 hours (the setting time). There is no way of telling how long the fish were in the traps before aying. It is quite feasible that if the period of exposure had been longer that the fish collected alive at the lower water temperatures would have eventually died. Nevertheless it is clear that the fish do enter and will survive varying periods in water at, or in excess of, temperatures which would eventually prove fatal.
V. On 29.XI. 69 three traps were set at 0830 hours in a pool in open water immediately adjacent a stand of mixed vegetation. Eight hours later at 1630 hours the traps were withdrawn and 27 of the 39 trapped fish were found to be dead. At the time the traps were collected the bottom-water temperature at the trap sites was recorded as $39.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at 15 and 30 cms within the adjacent stand of vegetation, as $32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $31.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively, i.e. 6.5 and $8.0 C^{\circ}$ less than in the open water. It is probable that water temperatures went beyond these levels earlier in the day, nevertheless this clearly demonstrates the thermal refuge available to fish at quarters close to open unshaded water that attains lethal temperatures.
(e) Thermal Acclimation.

The thermal tolerance studies, reported below, that I have made upon $C$. eremius in the field and the laboratory demonstrate that within the individual waterway the species is acclimated to the temperature of the water at the section inhabited, that the species undergoes seasonal thermal acclimation correlated with changes in water temperature and that it acclimates rapidly to increases in water temperature. Technique.

The technique I devised for measuring upper thermal tolerance is not a formerly standardised procedure therefore the results are not strictly comparable with those obtained from similar investigations on other species.

The technique entails subjecting fish to progressively increasing water temperature and noting at What level they collapse. Initially it was intended that this test would measure the upper thermal death point but this was not feasible, since in many instances apparently dead subjects revived, at least temporarily, upon being transferred back to cooler water following the conclusion of a test. Owing to this difficulty in ascertaining at just what point death occurred, I decided to measure critical thermal maxima (cotomo) the criteria for which I took as the temperature at which the test subject undergoes total collapse and cessation of all externally visible body movements (paritly after Cowles (1942)) concept. Four fish selected
at random were employed for each test and the critical thermal maximum. was determined as the mean of the four individual readings taken. In the field the test was usually conducted within an hour of the fish being collected.

The equipment used in these tests comprised a 500 ml . capacity polythene beaker set in a large water bath ( 23 litre metal drum) and held in position by means of a wire frame (see fige 15 ). Prior to the start of a test the water in the bath was raised to near $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by means of a single-burner butane camp stove. When this had been achieved the burner was extinguished, and the polythene beaker was placed in the water bath. The beaker contained the four test subjects and was filled to approximately three-quarter capacity with water, at or near ambient temperature, which had been taken from the locality from which the test subjects themselves came. As the temperature of water in the beaker rose bottled medical grade air was passed through the beaker water via an aquarium air stone. The purpose of the rising stream of small air bubbles was to ensure an efficient mixing of the water so that heating was relatively uniform and that dissolved oxygen was maintained at the maximum possible saturation point. This was to minimize possible asphyxiation effects upon the test subjects due to the progressive drop in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ solubility levels as water temperature rose. By this means it was hoped that the principal stress and ultimate collapse of the fish would
be due primarily to direct heat action. A polythene baffle was attached to the inside wall of the beaker (see fige 16 ) to prevent rising air bubbles spreading across the water surface and obscuring the test subjects. Polythene flywire around the aperture at the base of the baffle prevented fish moving into close proximity around the air stone and out of view of the observer. In addition, polythene flywire was fitted over the top of the beaker to prevent fish leaping out and at the same time allow an uninterrupted view of their condition. The temperature of the water in the beaker was kept under constant surveillance by means of a $0-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ glass bulb thermometer. By this technique a fairly rapid and uniform transfer of heat took place between the water bath and the test beaker enabling lethal levels to be reached in approximately 10 minutes. The general tendency was for subjects to collapse in order of increasing size i。e。 smaller fish more often collapsed at lower temperatures than larger specimens.

The number of test subjects employed for each test was few in order to avoid excessively depleting the populations upon which relative density and tagging and recovery studies were simultaneously being conducted. Nevertheless a statistical analysis (see p. 67) of the data obtained has indicated that samples of four subjects were adequate to provide valid data.
(i) Acclimatization along a Thermal Gradient. Data have been obtained from thermal tolerance tests conducted upon samples taken from the C. eremius populations at different stations at wobna Springs (locality 35) and Johnson's No. 3 bore (locality 24) which indicate that the species acclimates to local water temperature differences which occur simultaneously within individual waterways.

At Wobna Spring (locality 35) on 26.VII. 68 four subjects were selected from collections trapped at stations 7 and 12 respectively. Within an hour of being collected these samples were subjected to therinal tolerance testing. Table 31 presents the results of these tests together with the water temperature at each of the collecting sites. It is seen that the two samples taken from water differing by $9.9 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ showed a significant difference of $4.00^{\circ}$ in their respective mean critical thermal maxima.

At Johnson's No. 3 bore (locality 24) on 3.IX. 68 test samples were taken at five stations along the bore stream which extended over a wide thermal gradient of $22.5 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$. Within an hour of being collected the samples were tested in turn to establish their respective critical thermal maxima. The: results of these observations, presented in Table 32 and Graph 11 , suggest a correlation exists between water temperature at the collecting sites and the critical thermal maxima.

## (ii) Seasonal Acclimation.

Monthly field determinations of upper thermal tolerance have been made with fish sampled from the Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) population over the period February 1968 to March 1969 inclusive. These data, together with bottom-water temperatures recorded at the sampling sites, are presented in Table 33 and Graph 18b.

It is seen that as the water temperature rises in sumer relative to winter levels, the cotomo of C. eremius also rises by 5:9C ${ }^{\circ}$. Thus the species acclimates to seasonal changes in water temperature. A similar phenomenon has been found to occur in other fishes including Carassius auratus (Linnaeus) (Hoar 1955) and Ameiurus nebulosus (Le. Sueur) (Brett, 1944) in which an upward shift in the upper (and lower) lethal temperatures in summer months relative to winter levels has been noted.

With regard to the data in table 33 statistical analysis has shown a simple linear correlation of 0.73 between water temperature and critical thermal maxima and a significant difference (at $5 \%$ level) between the critical thermal moxima means for February and June 1968 (Appendix $V$, report. B.). .

## (iii) Acclimation Rates.

In order to gauge the order of rapidity with which C. eremius acclimates in response to changes in water temperature I conducted a series of thermal tolerance tests in which the progressive change in critical thermal maxima levels was measured of stock exposed to increases in water temperature.

## 1. Technique

Laboratory stock were maintained in a near constant temperature in a relatively cool basement room for fourteen days prior to each trial in order to acclimate the fish to a known cool temperature. A daily morning and afternoon check was made of the water temperature in the holding tanks to determine the range of fluctuation over the acclimating period. This did not exceed $3.0 C^{\circ}$ and therefore it may be considered that a fairly stable acclimating temperature was maintained over the pre-trial periods. Immediately prior to a trial commencing 4 fish were selected at random from the holding tanks and tested for their mean critical thermal maxima in the manner previously described (see p. 63 ). Twenty five fish were then selected at random from the holding tanks and directly introduced into water in a test tank maintained at approximately
$11.10^{\circ}$ and $14.30^{\circ}$ higher than the respective pre-trial acclimating temperature. At predetermined time intervals after being introduced into the higher temperature water test subjects were removed from the tank and their critical thermal maxima determined.

## 2. Results.

Trial A。 In this trial 4 fish were used for each set of determinations which were made at 24 hour intervals. Table 34 and Graph 20 present the results of this trial. It will be noted that since the graph more or less levels off at +24 hours it appears that thermal acclimation occurs within the first 24 hours exposure to the rise in temperature from $\sim 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $31.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For a water temperature rise of $\sim 11.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mean $c_{0} t_{0} \mathrm{~m}_{\text {. }}$ rose $1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Trial Bo
This trial commenced with 4 fish being used for each set of determinations. However due to the aerator failing some time prior to the third set of readings being taken several deaths occurred prematurely. It was therefore necessary to reduce the number of readings taken at subsequent determinations to two. Thus the accuracy of these mean values is somewhat reduced. The determinations in this trial were made at

5 hour intervals. From the results presented in Table 35 and Graph 19 it appears that acclimation occurs and is completed between 5 and 10 hours after exposure to the higher water temperature For a water temperature rise of $\sim 14.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ mean critical thermal maxima rose $0.96^{\circ}$.

Fry (1958) has pointed out that acclimatization by fish to increasing temperature is a relatively rapid process and the results of these artifically induced acclimatizations upon C. eremius confirm that this species is no exception. Doudoroff (1942) and Brett (1944) found that in many fishes maximum acclimatization to thermal increase was achieved within less than twenty four hours at temperatures above $20^{\circ} \mathrm{G}$. This appears to be the case with Co eremius since the results of the second acclimatization trial indicate that the species fully acclimated within ten hours to an approximately $14.3 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ rise, from $\sim 19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $33.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Since the typical artesian fed habitat of C. eremius, such a Johnson's No。 3 bore (locality 24), displays substantial thermal gradients over relatively short distances e.g. $21.10^{\circ}$ and $16.10^{\circ}$ over 60 cm and 20 cm distances respectively (see p. 54 ), it is obviously to the species: advantage to be able to readily adapt to sudden changes in water temperature, as it can.
2. CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF THE INLAND AQUATIC ENVIRONFENT AND SAL INITY TOLERANCE IN C。 EREMIUS。
(a) Preamble

In order to compare the chemical characteristics of waters inhabited by C. eremius with those of non-inhabited waters in the central Australian region and elsewhere I collected water samples from a number of the localities inspected during the course of field surveys. These samples were subsequently analysed by the Australian Mineral Development Laboratories (Adelaide). Appendix $Q$ lists ANDEL reference numbers of these analyses which are detailed in Table 36 , to show the comparison between inhabited and non-inhabited waters.
(b) Characteristics of Water Inhabited by Co eremius.

## (i) Ionic Content

It is evident from the data given in Table 36
and summarized in Table 37 that the located populations of C. eremius typically occur in permanent bodies of surface waters, usually of artesian origin associated with either natural springs or bores. The ions found in all of these artesian waters are similar but the proportions of the ions vary and the total salinities extend over a relatively wide range (i.e. 3478435 p.p.m.). All except three of the populated artesian water sites have a salinity greater than or equal to 3,000 p.p.m.g a value which williams (1964) arbitrarily classified as "saline".

Considering the minor occurrences recorded from ephemeral waters (small water holes, rivers, creeks); in such habitats Co eremius is subject, at least temporarily, on some occasions to far lower salinities than would normally be encountered (following heavy rainfall) and on other occasions to considerably higher salinities (during periods of high evaporation), especially in small or isolated standing bodies of water. These minor occurrences appear to result from the dispersion of individuals away from the principal population sites by floodwaters. Although such waters cannot be regarded as permanent habitats for $C_{0}$ eremius populations they nevertheless must be taken into account because they possibly constitute a method for the dispersal of the species (see p. 17 ).

In addition to such small ephemeral waters it is clear that the larger more permanent water bodies (waterholes, reservoirs, dams, artesian pools and streams) are also subject to significant temporary fluctuations of salinity due to the same climatic factors. Thus even the permanently established $C_{0}$ eremius populations are exposed to occasional marked changes of salinity. Salinity in factis probably constantly changing, certainly in standing bodies of water.

Within individual artesian fed surface waters salinity gradients and differences in ionic contents may occur along the stream path and between the main stream and associated bodies of water at any one time.

Water samples taken simultaneously at several points along the artesian streams at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) indicate that ionic content and total salinity gradients exist along the paths of artesian surface flows. The data from these samplings (see Tables $38-39$ ) shows that total salinity can rise quite markedly in a slowly flowing stream ( $28 \%$ over a distance of 453 metres at locality 34) or only slightly in a swiftly moving stream ( $2 \%$ over a distance of 126 metres at locality 35), and that the proportion of individual ions may change so that some (e.g. $\mathrm{Cl}_{,} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}, \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}$ ) may increase whilst others (e.g. $\mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{Ca}$, ) decrease. In addition the ionic composition and total salinity of outflowing artesian water may change significantly over a varying period of time (see Table 40 ) and it has been found that, depending on climatic factors, marked temporary variations in salinity can occur in an artesian body of water, particularly in standing water to the side and at the terminal section of the flow (see Table 38 )。 C. eremius is therefore subject to, and clearly successfully adapted to, wide fluctuations in water salinity and composition in time and space, even in apparently permanent bodies of water.
(ii) Water $\mathrm{pH}_{0}$

The nydrogen ion concentration of inhabited waters was recorded (by means of 'Oxyphen' papers) over the range pH 6.8-11.0. The pH levels of meteoric waters (originating from rainfall) and of artesian waters at the point of outflow were close to pH 7.0 , ranging between pH 6.8 and 7.6 (see Table 36 ). In contrast, data recorded along the artesian streams at localities 34 and 35 (see Appendices $E$ and $F$ ) and elsewhere shows that hydrogen ion concentration typically increases with increasing distance from the outflow point. The maximum recorded pH range at any one time within an individual body of water was a range of 4.0 pH units at locality 34 (26.VII.68) from pH 7.0 to pH 11.0 over a distance of 460 metres along the stream flow. I have found such a hydrogen ion gradient to be a normal feature of artesian fed streams and the rising values appear closely correlated with the density of aquatic vegetation, particularly filamentous green algae, in association with standing or minimally flowing water. In addition, transects of water temperature, depth and pH made on 30.IV. 69 at several stations across the stream at Wobna Spring (see Table 20) indicate that hydrogen ion concentration is higher amongst stands of filamentous green algae than in adjacent open flowing water, by as much as 0.9 pH units. However I have found no evidence of vertical hydrogen ion gradients. At Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) on $30 . \mathrm{VI} .68$ I recorded no differences in pH between
surface and bottom-water samples taken at each station. Water samples were obtained by means of a 25 ml pipette。 Table 41 indicates the water depth and surface and bottom-water pH readings made at each station.

A comparison of trapping rates with water pH at different stations along the streams at localities 34 and 35 (see Appendices $E$ and $F$ ) does not indicate any apparent correlation between species abundance and water pH within the ranges encountered.

Doudoroff and Katz (1950) reviewing literature on water toxicity in relation to fish concluded that most adult fish are able to live indefinitely in waters with pH above 5.0 and up to 9.0 ; furthermore that much more extreme pH values, possibly below 4.0 and above 10.0 can be tolerated for long periods by more resistant species and for shorter periods by less resistant ones. At localities 34 and 35 water pH frequently rose above 9.0 at stations towards the end of the streams. At locality 34 (see Appendix $E$ ) fish have been trapped in water at pH 10.0 on two occasions, (30.VI.68, 26.VII.68), at pH $9.2-9.8$ on three occasions ( $28 . \mathrm{V} .68,30 . \mathrm{VI} .68$, 31.VIII.68), but not in water above pH 10.0 on the two occasions these levels were recorded (30.VII.68, pH 11.0; 31.VIII.68,pH $\geqslant 10.0$ ) At locality 35 (see Appendix ) fish have been trapped in water at pH 9.1 - 9.6 on four occasions (24.I.69, 13.XI.69, 27.IX.69, 31.X.70).
(c) Comparisons of Inhabited Waters with Non-inhabited Waters.

The localities I inspected from which Coremius was absent included both artesian fed pools and streams and permanent and ephemeral water bodies of meteoric origin. An initial comparison of the analyses for these non-inhabited localities (see Tables 36 and 37) indicate a similar range ô̂ ions (including pH) ion proportions and salinity as recorded from inhabited waters, so there is no obvious correlation of such parameters with the presence or absence of $C$ 。eremius.

Prompted by Hedgpeth's (1959) bio-aquatic classification of inland waters based on certain anionic proportions, a closer examination of ionic proportions shows a partial correlation with the known occurrences of C.eremius. Hedgpeth (1959) distinguished between waters in which $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$or $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-}$ion content exceeds $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion content and those in which $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$exceeds either $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$or $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion content. Tables 42 and 43 list the relavent ionic values for those permanent waters (artesian and meteoric) for which detailed analyses are given in Table 36 . In 13 of the 14 inhabited waters (see Table 42) $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion exceeds both $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$respectivelly, while in the remaining water mass $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion exceeds the $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$but is less than the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-}$content. Similarly, in 8 of the 15 non-inhabited (see Table 43) waters $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ content exceeds both $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$respectivelly, and in 6 exceeds $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$only; in the remaining sample the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
content is less than $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content and equals $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$content. Thus in all permanent habitats of $\mathrm{C}_{\text {。 eremius }}$ the $\mathrm{Cl}{ }^{-1}$ content of the water exceeds either or both, more usually both, the $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$contents. However since in all but one of the non-inhabited waters cited Cl content similarly exceeds at least one or other of the two ions, it does not appear that there is a chemical barrier, in terms of Hedgpeth's ionic criteria, preventing the occurrence of C . eremius.

A partial correlation exists between the species distribution and Jack's (1923) hypothetical neutral line (see figure 17 ) dividing the South Australian portion of the Great Australian Artesian Basin into eastern and western parts. Jack (1923) estabiished this line on the basis of the proportion of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$to $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content in artesian waters and suggested that the differences either side of the line were due to different areas of intake. West of the neutral line $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$content is greater than $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content whereas east of it $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$concent is less than $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content. As figure 17 shows the general eastern limit of $C_{\text {。 eremius }}$ is almost Jack's (1923) neutral line with three apparent exceptions; Dalhousie Springs (locality 1/1) approximately 1 km east of the line, localities at or near Coward Springs (localities 33, 34, 35) approximately 24 km east of the line and Clayton Bore (locality 44) 10 km east of the line.

However, all my chemical analyses disagree with Jack's (1923) data to some extent (see Table 40) and
there are some quite large discrepancies in total salinity figures (total dissolved salts) at some localities, in particular localities 18, 29, 36, 54, and 60. In addition, individual ion contents are different in most cases and in two instances (localities $1 / 1,33$ ) the proportions of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-}$are actually reversed, and quite markedly so that whereas according to Jack's (1923) data $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is in excess of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$, in my data $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ is in excess of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. It therefore appears that the composition of outflowing artesian water can change quite drastically over a period to time (see p. 86) if both sets of data are correct. Assuming the accuracy of my data, Jack's (1923) neutral line now lies further east than he showed it so as to encompass Dalhousie Springs (locality 1/1) and Coward Springs proper (locality 33). It is unlikely that either Jack's (1923) data or my own would be so inaccurate as to account for at least the larger differences and for the large reversal in dominance of certain ions. A possible reason for the difference in the data may be due to different sampling techniques. At least my results are internally consistent since, wherever practicable, I sampled as near as possible to the outflow point of the spring or bore. The samples from which Jack's (1923) data were obtained may not necessarily have been collected in such a fashion and, as has been seen (see p. 73 ), quite marked gradients in water composition and changes in ion content can occur along artesian surface flows.

Although there appears to be a tendency for permanent $C$. eremius population to occur most frequently in waters in which $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$is greater than $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content, there are, as we have seen, some exceptions. Thus it does seem that the relative proportions of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ ions might not, within the values recorded in the central Australian region necessarily have any direct limiting effect on the occurrence of the species.

The apparent correlation between the distribution of the fish and the ion content ratios of the waters west of Jack's (1923) line may be a fortuitous accident of geological and biological history rather than an indication of any direct biological dependence of the fish on the ion ratios of their aquatic environment.

In order to determine whether natural populations living in water in which $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$is greater than $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ content are so adapted to these ionic proportions that they are unable to survive reversed ratios in their aquatic environment I carried out the following test. Selecting 20 of the smallest available individuals (20-25mm SL.) from laboratory stock (these presumably being more susceptable to environmental changes than larger fish) collected at Nunn's bore (locality 27), where water $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$exceeds $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content by 472.5 p.p.m., I placed 10 subjects in a tank containing 2 litres of artesian water collected at Gason's Bore (locality 51) in which $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$is less than $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content by 311.9 p.p.m. The other 10
subjects were placed in a similar tank containing an equal quantity of artesian water from Nunn's Bore (locality 27) to act as a control. The subjects were introduced into the respective tanks on $4.1 I .71$ which were set side by side in the laboratory, fed an equal quantity of tubifex worms every second day and a fresh supply of the respective waters provided every 7 days. Thus both batches of fish were exposed to virtually identical environments except for the differences in the ion proportions of the two sets of waters. The tanks were inspected every day to see if any deaths had occurred. The test continued for a period of 28 days at the end of Which no deaths had occurred in either tank. It was concluded that $C_{0}$ eremius is able to tolerate without apparent harmful effect exposure to water whose $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (H) $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion proportions are the reverse to the water in which it is: bred, at least for a limited period of time. Whether they could withstand prolonged exposure or would be able to establish a breeding population in water of this composition cannot be stated on the available evidence.

Whereas Hedgpeth (1959) regards anionic proportions, in particular $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$with respect to $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, of at least partial significance as a limiting factor in inland waters, Beadle $(1943,1959)$ emphasises the importance of total salinity. Table 44 lists the total salinities of the same localities given in Tables 42 and 43.

Since the salinities of both inhabited and non-inhabited waters of the central Australian region are of the same range, it does not appear that this factor either has any direct influence on the occurrence of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{e}}$ eremius within the Lake Eyre drainage system. Howeverg as Bayly (1967) states, the relative biological significance of ionic proportions and total ionic concentration as limiting factors in inland saline waters has yet to be clearly established. In the case of C. eremius, ionic proportions and total salinities do not appear to be of direct signiricance in limiting the species occurrence within its present known total range. Other factors at least undoubtedly are involved in limiting distribution including the ability to disperse,accessibility of other waters,food availability, competitiveness and predatory pressure. In fiact, as Kinne (1960) believes, the complexity of environmental factors that interact to limit faunal distribution may well be almost infinite. Undoubtedly further information on the occurrence or absence of the species and the accummulation of environmental data from aquatic habitats outside the areas so far surveyed will assist in establishing the limiting factor/s involved but I believe the most productive line of study would be to introduce sample populations of C. eremius into some carefully selected permanent waters both within and outside the Lake Eyre drainage system and establish in which, if any of them, the species is able to survive and subsequently reproduce.
(d) Salinity Tolerance in Co eremius.

In order to gauge the tolerance of Co eremius to salinities well below and above the range normally inhabited by the species experimental material for these and other live observations was collected from the Nunn's bore (locality 27) population by means of wire mesh traps (see Appendix ) and transported by road to the laboratory in 23 litre capacity plastic drums, approximately three-quarter filled with artesian water collected at the same locality, together with a quantity of aquatic plant (Cyperus sp.) to dampen movement during transportation. A total of approximately 50 adult fish could be successfully carried in each contai ner without aerating the water during the average 24 hour return journey to the laboratory, except during the warmer months. In the very warm summer months (November - February) the temperature of the water in the containers usually rose to in excess of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after only a few hours travelling during daylight and resulted in almost a total mortality rate. It was doubtful if aeration would have been of any benefit with temperatures of this order. As a result an alternative technique was employed to transport live material during the hot weather, by placing up to 40 adult $f i s h$ in a 9 litre capacity canvas water bag attached to the exterior of the vehicle. Filled to half capacity with artesian water and containing aquatic vegetation to dampen movement the temperature of the water

Within the bag was kept below $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and enabled an almost mortality-free return journey on each occasion it was used. Upon return to the laboratory the fish were placed in holding tanks for a minimum period of seven days before being used in experiments. During this period and throughout captivity the fish stock were fed with tubifex worms (Tubifex spo) every second day and the tank water continuously aerated.

Salinity tolerance was tested by directly and immediately transferring batches of 10 fish from the holding tanks to each of several smaller tanks ( 36 x 18 x 20en deep) each containing 2 litres of water of different salinities. In selecting the fish an effort was made to ensure that those in each batch covered approximately the same size range. Before placing them into the test solutions each batch was briefly rinsed with distilled water to remove holding tank water fron the body surfaces. The salinity of the test solutions was adjusted by diluting with distilled water or concentrating by evaporation the artesian water obtained at the bore head at Nunn's bore (locality 27). In one test the fish were placed into seawater. With each test a control batch was maintained in "normal" artesian water from Nunn's Bore。 The tests were run for a period of 20 to 60 days duration. Every second day the distilled, diluted and saturated waters were replaced with freshly prepared solutions. The "normal" bore water in the control tanks
was only replaced if obvious signs of fouling appeared. During the test periods the fish were fed every second day with tubifex worms (Tubifex spo) for several hours prior to the replacement of fresh solutions. Concentrations were maintained by regular topping up with distilled water if water levels fell significantly. Since the tests were conducted in a constantly cool room and the fish were not crowded aeration of the test tanks was not considered to be necessary.

During the trials the test tanks were inspected every morning and afternoon and any mortalities noted. Dead subjects were removed from the tanks and their standard lengths noted. The trials were conducted at room temperature and water temperatures were noted daily each afternoon between 1600 and 1700 hours. The maximum recorded temperature fluctuation during any 20 day period did not exceed $4.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The following series of trials were conducted:-
A. Five trials during each of which one test group was exposed to distilled water, a second group to saturated artesian water, and a third group to "normal" artesian water (the control group).
B. One trial during which a test group was exposed to artesian water diluted by nineteen parts to one with distilled water. This trial was held simultaneously with one of the series A trials.
C. One trial during which a test group was exposed. to sea water and a control group to "normal" artesian water。

The data recorded from these trials are presented
in Appendix $S$ and the results there-from summarised in Table 45. Appendix $R$ details the chemical analyses of the various test mediums used in the trials.

The sumnarised results of these salinity tolerance trials show that Coeremius is capable of tolerating minimal water salinities approaching zero, far less than any level recorded in the field, for periods of up to a maximum of at least 27 days exposure. More indicative however is the period, ranging between day 9 - day 22, during which $50 \%$ of mortalities occurred in each of the trials.

At salinity 147 p.p.m. no mortality occurred up to the time the single trial was terminated after 60 days duration, indicating the extremely low salinity level to which the species will withstand prolonged exposure.

Similarly, at salinity 9797 p.p.m., which was well in excess of the control and $15.9 \%$ higher than any field record, only one mortality occurred during the four trials which were terminated after varying periods ranging up to 60 days. Furthermore when exposed to seawater with a total salinity approximately $X 7$ that of the control medium and $X 4.5$ the maximum field record, tolerance was equally remarkable; only one mortality occurring during
the single trial which was terminated arter 60 days. These results demonstrate the ability of C . eremius to withstand sudden pronounced changes and prolonged exposure to wide extremes of salinity. However, as Beadle (1943, 1959) points out, salinity tolerance determined in the laboratory does not necessarily give a reliable indication of a species ability to become permanently established under natural conditions. Salinity outside the natural range but within the experimental range may cause it to be less resistant to other environmental factors not present under laboratory conditions. Nevertheless the ability to withstand such extreme changes in salinity, particularly reductions, at least temporarily, undoubtediy constitutes an important factor in survival. As discussed earlier ( P .72 ) the temporary fluctuations in salinity to which $C_{0}$ eremius is subject in nature are primarily due to rainfall and evaporation.

But salinity of outflowing artesian water also Varies from one locality to another and within any one Iocality (see p. 71 ) Williams (1967) suggests that the chemical composition and the salinity of water flowing from mound springs and bores probably has as little temporal variation as the lentic waters of the Great Australian Artesian Basin. However a comparison of water analyses of samples taken at different points in time from certain localities (see Table 40) indicates that the composition of artesian water can change significantly and sometimes quite dramatically over a time interval.

It was noted during the cuurse of the tolerance trials that shortly before death, fish in distilled water appeared unable to maintain an upright posture and tended to lie on one side of the tank bottom, simuitaneously exhibiting a series of sposmadic body convulsions in the form of momentary twitching movements and with the mouth remaining agape.

## 3. DISSOLVED OXYGEN LEVELS.

(a) Preamble

A number of studies on other fishes have
indicated that a dissolved oxygen concentration of the order of 4-5 parts per million is probably the critical level for most warm water fishes. Ellis (1937), for example, in his study of stream pollution stated that fish faunas were not found in diluted waters containing less than 4 p.p.m. of oxygen. He concluded that 5 pop.m. is probably the limiting level. Moore (1942) in his study of the oxygen requirements, under field conditions, of various North American freshwater fishes, found that oxygen tensions of less than 3.5 p.p.m. at summer temperatures of $15-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were fatal, within 24 hours, to most species. On the other hand oxygen concentrations of $5.0 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and over were completely non-lethal to all species tested. Moore (1942) therefore concludedthat dissolved oxygen concentrations of at least 3.5 to 5.0 p.p.m. are essential to the survival of most warm water fish at summer temperatures $\left(15-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ 。

On the other hand Brown (1957) pointed out that Ellis (1937) failed to conclusively show that much lower oxygen levels did not in fact occur at any time in the waters studied. In addition Kaetz and Gaufin (1953) in their study of the effects of sewage pollution on fishin mid-western North American streams reported that populations of various warm water species occurred in waters in which widely fluctuating oxygen levels sometimes dropped well below 4 p.p.m. for short periods. Brown (1957) in summarizing experiments on young salmonids (a cold water group) by Townsend and Earnest (1940), Townsend and Cheyne (1944), Graham (1949), Davison (1954) and shepard (1955) states that whilst oxygen concentrations in the region of $2 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p}$.m. may be critical for some forms others may survive concentrations less than 1 popom. for long periods. Furthermore comparative tests by Burdick et al (1954) indicates that warm water fishes are more resistant to low oxygen levels than cold water $f$ ishes.
(b) Field Measurements

In the light of the above observations I decided to gauge the order of dissolved oxygen concentrations to which Coremius is subject. By means of Alsterberg's (1925) method of determining oxygen levels as described by Chamberlin (1967) I made fiela measurements at several stations at Wobna Springs (locality 35), together with other routine data, and at Nunn's Bore
(locality 27). Tables 46-47presents these data. It will be noted that at Wobna Spring the recorded levels varied between 2.0 and 4.0 p.p.m. and at Nunn's Bore the single reading was 0.8 p.p.m. At all sites at which water was sampled for the purpose of these determinations C. eremius was simultaneously present. All these concentrations are quite low when compared, for example, with oxygen concentrations characteristic of the Murray River waters (\% of the order of $8 \mathrm{pop} . \mathrm{m}_{0}$ ). Thus it is seen that, in summer at least, G 。 eremius is subject to, and able to tolerate at least temporarily, water with very low dissolved oxygen concentration. However as Brown (1957) pointed out in relation to other studies this does not necessarily indicate the minimum levels that may be present at the localities studied. Pollution (for example by virtue of cattle urine and faeces and putrifying carcasses) may well lower oxygen levels considerably for short periods of time, at least in some sections of the habitat.

Within the range recorded at Wobna Spring there appears to be no relationship between oxygen concentration and the species abundance (see Table 46 ). Brown

[^1](1957) states that avoidance reactions by fish in natural gradients may limit dispersal but with regard to the available data relating to Co eremius I do not necessarily know the full extent of the oxygen concentration gradient that may be present at any one time.

Since Co eremius has shown to be able to withstand at least temporary exposure to otherwise lethal levels of water temperature and salinity it is conceivable that the species can similarly survive exposure to excessively low oxygen concentrations, probably less than the 0.8 p.pom. recorded at Nunn's Bore.

It has been observed (see p. 143) that C. eremius is apparently able to perform aerial respiration, and thereby able to survive, at least temporarily, low dissolved oxygen levels. This would certainly be an asset to any fish inhabiting waters prone to such low oxygen levels as indicated here.

## Table 16

Bottom water temperatures recorded at different water masses, both inhabited and uninhabited by C. eremius, in the central Australian region. In the case of artesian waters, at least one reading was taken at, or as close as practicable to, the outflow point. Where two readings are given the second one was that taken some considerable distance. from the outflow point and gives some indication of the range of water temperature at the particular locality.

* C. eremius successfully introduced 2.IX.70.

Table 16

| INHABITED |  |  |  |  | NOIT-INHABITED |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loc. No. | Locality | Date Recorded | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ambient } \\ & \text { temp. } \end{aligned}$ | Water temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loc } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Locality | Date Recorded | Ambient temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Water <br> temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 75 | Finke River, Hermannsburg H.S. | 12.III. 70 | - | 20.0 | 8 | Hookeys Waterhole | 2.V. 69 | 25.5 | 19.5 |
| 14 | Peake Creek | 30.VII. 68 | 20.0 | 21.9 | 9 | Cramps Camp | 2.V. 69 | 26.0 | 26.0 |
| 12 | Algebuckina Waterhole | 31.VII. 68 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 5 | Waterhole Alberga Crossing | 1.VIII. 68 | 21.0 | 20.5 |
| 1/3 | Dalhousie Spring 3 | 5.VIII. 68 | 15.4 | 36.0 | 36 | *Blanche Cup Mound | 1.1X. 68 | 17.4 | 13.7 |
| 27 | Nunn's Bore | 11.VIII. 68 | 18.0 | 48.1-15.0 |  | Spring |  |  | 13.7 |
| 30 | Coward Springs proper | 1.XI. 68 | 22.2 | 26.0-18.7 | 32 | Warburton Springs | 2.IX. 68 | 19.0 | 21.7 |
|  | proper |  |  |  | 62 | Mulligan Springs | 24.X. 69 | 28.7 | 25.3 |
| 35 | Wobna Spring | 1.XI. 68 | 20.1 | 30.5-22.3 | 63 | Twelve Springs | 24.X. 69 | 33.1 | 25.5 |
| 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Johnson's No. } 3 \\ & \text { Bore } \end{aligned}$ | 3.XI. 68 | 13.3 | 36.5-17.0 | 60 | Montecolina Bore | 29.X. 69 | 27.5 | 31.0 |
| 34 | Coward Springs Bore | 15.XI. 68 | 28.9 | 31.5-22.0 | 64 | Woolatchi Bore | 29.X. 69 | 25.0 | 30.7-25.1 |
| 1/1 | Dalhousie Main Spring | 18.XI. 69 | -- | 44.0-21.6 | 54 | Paralana Hot Springs | 30.X. 69 | 21.5 | 51.5-24.4 |
| 1/4 | ho | XI |  |  |  | Paralana Overflow | 30.X. 69 | 20.0 | 25.9 |
| $1 / 4$ | , | XI | 24.0 | 34.5 outlet | 55 | Balcanoona Creek | 30.x. 69 | 23.0 | 24.8 |
| 7 | Forrest's Waterhole | 20.XI. 69 | 23.7 | 18.0 | 20 | Cardajalbarrana | 20.XI. 69 | 26.5 | 21.6 |
| 18 | Birribirriana Spring | 21.XI. 69 | 27.5 | 24.5 | 43 | Spring Lake Harry Bore | 22.XI. 70 | 36.5 | 30.0 |
| 19 | Nilpinna Spring | 21.XI. 69 | 26.8 | 25.6 | 44 | Clayton Bore | 22.XI. 70 | 38.0 | 53.1-34.6 |
| 13 | Wood-Duck Bore | 21.XI. 69 | 29.0 | 29.5 | 45 | Dalkaninna Bore | 22.XI. 70 | 33.7 | 60.0 |
| 15 | Old Peake H.S. Bore | 22.ẊI. 69 | 32.3 | 35.6-29.6 | 46 | Cannawaukinanna | 22.XI. 70 | 36.6 | 45.5 |
| 16 | Freeling Springs | 23.XI. 69 | 32.0 | 27.4-26.4 |  | Bore |  |  |  |
| 17 | Blyth Bore | 23.XI. 69 | 31.8 | 26.0 | 50 | Mirra Mitta Bore | 22.XI. 70 | 36.5 | 81.3-30.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 51 | Gason Bore | 22.XI. 70 | 34.9 | 91.0-24.6 |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|c|c|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { Inhabited } \\ \text { Waters }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Non-inhabited } \\ \text { Waters }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{ll}\text { Higher } \\ \text { temp. } \\ \text { range }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Range of maximum } \\ \text { temperatures } \\ \text { (excluding } \\ \text { localities 44-51). }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Mean value of } \\ \text { maximum temps. } \\ \text { (excluding } \\ \text { localities 44-51). }\end{array} & 24.0-18.0\end{array}\right) 51.5-21.6$

Table 17

Comparison of thermal conditions prevailing in
Inhabited and Non-inhabited waters (mainly
artesian). Based on data recorded during
November 1968 and 1969 and October-November 1970
as given in table 16 All values in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Table 18

Maximum ranges of bottom water temperature which $\underline{C}$. eremius has been observed to simultaneously occupy.

## Table 18

| Locality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loc. } \\ & \text { no. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Date } \\ & \text { recorded } \end{aligned}$ | Amb. temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Max. temp at which C. eremius collected or sighted | Min. temp at which C. eremius collected or sighted | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ther } \\ & \text { mal } \\ & \text { rang } \\ & \text { occu } \\ & \text { pied } \\ & c^{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Johnson's Bore | 24 | 3.IX. 68 | ? | 36.5 | 14.7 | 21.8 |
| Coward Springs Bore | 34 | 15.XI. 68 | 28.9 | 32.0 | 22.3 | 9.7 |
| Wobna Spring | 35 | 26.VII. 68 | 19.9 | 29.9 | 19.0 | 10.9 |

## Graph 6

Numbers of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped in water at varying temperatures at Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34) on 31.VIII.68.


Graph 7

Numbers of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped in water at varying temperatures at Wobna Spring (locality 35) on 25.VI.69.


## Graph 8

Number of C . eremius trapped in watier at varying temperatures at Johnson's No. 3 Bore on 3.IX.68.

Graph 9

Number of C. eremius trapped in water at varying temperatures at Johnson's No. 3 Bore on 31.VIII.70.



## Graph 10

Numbers of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped in water at varying temperatures at Johnson's No. 3 Bore on 2.1X.70.

Graph 11

Critical thermal maxima of $\underline{C}$. eremius
trapped in water at different temperatures
along the stream at Johnson's No. 3 Bore
(locality 24) on 3.IX.68.



## Table 19

Bottom-water temperature and trapping rates recorded at several stations at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 2.IX.70. Single trap at each station set 20 hours overnight. Temperatures and trapping rates recorded simultaneously 1320 hours 2.IX.70.

Table 19

| Station <br> no. | Bottom- <br> water <br> temp. <br> at trap <br> site <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | No. fish <br> trapped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 35.0 | 4 |
| 2 | 39.3 | 2 |
| 3 | 28.0 | 3 |
| 4 | 34.5 | 11 |
| 5 | 24.0 | 2 |
| 6 | 35.9 | 0 |

## TABI用 20

Temperature, pH and depth transects made across the stream, between open water and algal stands, at Wobna Spring (locality 35) on 30.IV.69.

|  |  | Algal Stand adjacent left bank |  | Open Mid Strèam | Algal Stand adjacent right bank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Centre } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { stand. } \end{aligned}$ | Idge of stand adjacent mid stream |  | Edge of stand adjacent mid stream | ```Centre of stand``` |
| $\frac{\text { Station }}{5}$ | Bottom $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ temp ( ${ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{C}$ ) 2.5 cm . sub-bed temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ depth (cm) pH | $\begin{gathered} 28.5 \\ 29.0 \\ 10 \\ 8.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 4 \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \\ & 30.0 \\ & 10 \\ & 7.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 28.8 \\ 1 \\ 7.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 29.5 \\ 4 \\ 8.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Station } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Bottom $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 2.5 cm . sub-mbed temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ depth ( cm ) pH | $\begin{gathered} 28.6 \\ 28.8 \\ 5 \\ 7.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \\ 29.8 \\ 6 \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.6 \\ 30.1 \\ 13 \\ 7.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 29.5 \\ 5 \\ 7.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.9 \\ 29.7 \\ 3 \\ 7.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Station 7 | Bottom $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ temp ( ${ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{C}$ ) 2.5 cm . sub-bed temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ depth (cm) pH | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ 29.0 \\ 1 \\ 7.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.6 \\ 30.0 \\ 5 \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.7 \\ 30.0 \\ 11 \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.5 \\ 29.6 \\ 7 \\ 7.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.3 \\ 26.1 \\ 4 \\ 7.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| Station 11 | Bottom $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ temp ( ${ }^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{C}$ ) 2.5 cm . sub--bed temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ depth (cm) pH | $\begin{gathered} 28.9 \\ 28.0 \\ 1 \\ 8.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.5 \\ 28.5 \\ 1 \\ 8.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.4 \\ 28.5 \\ 1 \\ 8.0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |

## Table 21

Water temperature transects made between mid-stream and adjacent vegetated shallows at several stations at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). Recorded 0800 hours 3.IX.68. Single trap set in vegetated shallows adjacent main stream at each station for 14 hours overnight and collected 0900 hours 3.IX. 68. All temperatures bottom water readings.

Station 1 situated approximately 1,300m. along stream away from bore outflow; the remaining stations situated downstream from station 1 by the following distances (from station 1), stn. 2, 19m; stn. 3, 55m; stn. 4, 190m; stn. 5, $330 \mathrm{~m} ;$ stn. 6, 485m.

Table 21


| Trap <br> No. | Traps in which all fish col. alive. |  | Traps in which all fish col. dead. |  | *Mean bottom water temps. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bottom water temp. OC. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { fish } \\ & \text { trapped } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Bottom water temp. C. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { fi sh } \\ & \text { trapped } \end{aligned}$ | Open pool | Veg. fringe | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { with- } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { veg. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { with- } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { veg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 |  |  | 43.9 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 41.4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 38.4 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 38.0 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 41.7 | 8 | 40.8 | 39.4 | 35.1 | 33.1 |
| 6 |  |  | 41.2 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 40.0 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 39.5 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 40.0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 22

Numbers and conditions of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped in a pool at Johnson's No. 3 Bore 26.XI.69. Traps set 0800 hours, collected 1200 hours. Water temperatures recorded at collecting time.

* Data from table


## Table 23

Water temperatures recorded at varying distances into the interior of stands of mixed vegetation and at 1 metre into open water adjacent the respective stands within a large pool at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). Recorded 1200 hours 26.XI.69. All values ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Table 23

| Stand | Distance within veg. stand (cms). |  |  |  |  |  | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fringe | 1.5 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 30.0 | 40.5 | pool bottom water temp. and minimum water temp. recorded within veg. stand $\mathrm{C}^{\circ}$. |
| 1 | 30.5 | 29.5 | 28.3 |  |  |  | 4.2 |
| 2 | 31.5 | 31.0 | 30.4 |  |  |  | 2.1 |
| 3 | 29.9 | 28.9 | 26.1 | 22.7 |  |  | 9.8 |
| 4 | 28.0 | 26.5 | 24.2 |  | 19.4 | 19.0 | 13.5 |

Table 24

Water temperatures recorded at varying distances into the interior of stands of mixed vegetation situated in a pool at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). Bottom water temperature at centre of pool $=32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Recorded 1330 hotirs, 21.Vi.70. All values in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Table 25

Water temperature, depth and trapping transects made between mid-stream and the adjacent vegetated shallows at three sites at Johnson's No. 3 Bore locality 24). Recorded 1600 hours 31.VIII.70. Single trap set at each station for 24 hour period.

Table 25

| Distance from mid stream (ms) | Mid | Transect 1 |  |  | Transect 2 |  |  | Transect 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temp } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Depth } \\ & (\mathrm{cms}) \end{aligned}$ | No's trapped | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Temp } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | Depth <br> (cms) | No's trapped | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Depth (cms) | No's trap ped |
|  |  | 40.3 | 5.0 | 0 | 39.3 | 10.0 | 0 | 39.5 | 9.5 | 0 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | 37.9 | 8.0 | 3 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 35.5 | 5.0 | 7 | 29.0 | 10.0 | 11 | 38.2 | 10.0 | 1 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  | 19.4 | 4.0 | 1 | 26.9 | 6.0 | 12 |
|  | 7 | 22.4 | 4.0 | 5 |  |  |  | 18.5 | 5.0 | 0 |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 | 13.3 | 2.5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Graph 12

Aquatic thermal gradients between the fringe (0) and the interior of mixed stands of vegetation at 4 stations along the stream at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). Mid stream open water temperatures adjacent the stands were as follows: st. $1,41.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; st. $2,40.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; st. $3,26.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; st. $4,21.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Recorded 3.IX.68.

Graph 13

Aquatic thermal gradients between the fringe (0) and the interior of 3 stands of mixed vegetation at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). Recorded 26.XI. 69.



## Graph 14

Aquatic thermal gradients between the fringe (O) and the centres of 4 stands of mixed vegetation in a pool at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). Recorded 21.VI.70. Mean bottom water temperature at centre of pool = $32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ 。

## Graph 15

Aquatic thermal gradients between mid stream (O) and adjacent vegetated side shallows at 4 transect stations along the stream at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). Recorded 2.IX.70. For transects 1 and 4 vegetation fringe is at 1 m distance from mid stream; for transects 2 and 3 at 2 m distance.



|  | Water Temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date and <br> time <br> recorded | Open pool | Vegetated <br> side <br> shallows | Difference |
| $6 . V .69$ <br> 0600 hours <br> $28 . X I .69$ | 29.5 | 12.3 | 17.2 |
| 1100 hours | 37.7 | 24.7 | 13.0 |

Table 26

Comparison of the difference in bottom water temperatures in an open pool and adjacent vegetated side shallows at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) in winter and summer. Each value is a mean determined from 40 random readings.

## Table 27

Mean bottom water temperature and difference between it and mean sub-bed temperature (at 2.5 and 9.0 cm depths) at the various stations at Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34) on different occasions between December 1967 and December 1968.

|  | Mean Water Temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Recorded | Bottom <br> water | 2.5 cm <br> sub-bed <br> (mean <br> difference) | 9.0 cm <br> sub-bed <br> (mean <br> difference) |
| 14.XII.67 | 33.5 | -0.9 | -3.8 |
| 21.II.68 | 24.5 | -3.7 | -5.1 |
| 22.III.68 | 31.4 | -0.6 | -1.6 |
| 26.IV.68 | 24.3 | -0.4 | -0.7 |
| 28.V.68 | 19.9 | -0.4 | -0.8 |
| 30.VI.68 | 16.2 | +0.3 | +0.4 |
| 26.VII.68 | 17.2 | -0.9 | -1.2 |
| 31.VII.68 | 20.9 | -2.4 | -3.4 |
| 28.X.68 | 28.1 | -1.6 | -2.7 |
| 15.XI.68 | 28.2 | -2.2 | -3.4 |
| 19.XII.68 | 30.8 | 0 | -1.2 |

Table 27

## Graph 16

Mean bottom water temperature and difference between it and mean sub-bed temperature (at 2.5 cm and 9.0 cm depths, respectively) at the various stations at Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34) on different
occasions between December 1967 and
December 1968.

MEAN DIFF. SUB-BED/BOTTOM WATER TEMP ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


## Table 28

Mean bottom water and mean difference in sub-bed temperatures recorded at the stations at Wobna Spring (locality 35)。

Table 28

| Date Recorded | Mean Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom water | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub-bed } \\ & \text { (mean difference) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 27.IV. 68 | 29.1 | -0.3 |
| 29.V. 68 | 24.0 | -0.3 |
| 30.VI. 68 | 23.5 | +0. 1 |
| 26.VIII. 68 | 25.7 | -0.5 |
| 1.IX. 68 | 26.5 | -0.7 |
| 28.X. 68 | 29.5 | 0 |
| 15.XI. 68 | 30.5 | -1.5 |
| 24.I. 69 | 31.8 | -0.8 |
| 24.II. 69 | 32.2 | -0.3 |
| 30.III. 69 | 29.3 | -0.8 |
| 30.IV. 69 | 29.9 | -0.1 |
| 25.VI.69 | 26.4 | -0.3 |
| 13.XI. 69 | 30.7 | -0.1 |
| 22.II. 70 | 29.9 | +0.3 |
| 11.IV.70 | 28.0 | +0.3 |
| 10.VI.70 | 29.4 | +0.1 |
| 29.VII.70 | 28.2 | +0.1 |
| 22.VIII.70 | 28.8 | -0.1 |
| 27.IX. 70 | 25.1 | -0.2 |
| 31. K .70 | 31.5 | -0.4 |
| 25.XI. 70 | 30.8 | +0.2 |

## Graph 17

Mean bottom water temperature and difference between it and mean sub-bed temperature (at 2.5 cm depth) at the various stations at Wobna Spring (locality 35) on different occasions between April 1968 and November 1970.


## Table 29

Combined mean bottom-water and sub-bed temperatures recorded at stations 12 and 13 in a side pool associated with the stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35).

## Table 29

| Date Recorded | Mean Temperature ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottom <br> water | 2.5 cm <br> (meand diffed |
|  | 13.5 | +0.5 |
| 26.VII.68 | 19.0 | -2.0 |
| 1.IX.68 | 19.8 | -2.7 |
| 28.X.68 | 29.7 | -1.2 |
| 15.XI.68 | 30.0 | -2.8 |
| 24.I.69 | 33.4 | -4.8 |
| 30.III.69 | 25.2 | -2.2 |
| 25.VI.69 | 17.4 | -1.7 |
| 13.XI.69 | 30.5 | -0.4 |
| 27.IX.70 | 18.1 | -0.1 |
| 31.X.70 | 31.0 | -0.5 |

## Graph 182

Mean bottom water temperature and difference between it and mean sub-bed temperature (at 2.5 cm depth) at stations 12 and 13 (ephemeral side pool) at wobna Spring (locality 35) on different occasions between June 1968 and October 1970.

MEAN DIFF. SUB-BED/BOTTOM WATER TEMP. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


| Site | Collecting site's water temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$ | Mid stream water temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Total INo's trapped. | Effect of introduction into mid stream. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 24.0-35.9 | 40.7 | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { st death at }+1.5 \text { min. } \\ & \text { 2nd death at }+3.0 \text { min. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 28.0-34.5 | 40.0 | 14 | First two deaths at +5.0 min . Extreme stress and opercular movements in remainder at +10.0 min. but alive at + 75.0 min . |
| 3 | $35.0-39.3$ | 39.9 | 6 | All display stress at +5.0 min. First death at + 7. Remainder displaying stress but alive at + 15 min . |

## Table 30

Results of transferring $\mathbb{C}$. eremius, trapped (24 hour setting) in cooler water of side shallows, into warmer mid-stream water at Johnson's No. 3 Bore 2.IX.70.

## Figure 15

Equipment employed to measure critical. thermal maxima.


## Figure 16

Equipment employed to measure critical thermal maxima - baffle and airstone arrangement.


| Stn. | Bottom water <br> temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Subject | c.t.m。 | Mean <br> c.t.m。 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $28.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | $40.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
|  |  | 2 | $41.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $41.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | 3 | $41.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 12 | 4 | $41.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
|  | $19.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | $37.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
|  |  | 2 | $37.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
|  |  | 3 | $37.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $37.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

Table 31

Comparison of upper thermal tolerance levels of
C. eremius sampled from two stations at Wobna Spring (locality 35) on 26.VII.68. Recordings made within an hour of collecting.

## Table 32

Comparison of critical thermal maxima (c.t.m.)
levels of C . eremius sampled from several
stations along the stream at Johnson's No. 3
Bore (locality 24) on 3.IX.68. Recordings
made within an hour of collecting.

Table 32

| Stn <br> No. | Distance along stream (m) | Bot. water temp. at collecting site | Subject | c.t.m. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { c.t.m. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | $39.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 41.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 42.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 42.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $41.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 2 | + 21 metres | $35.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 41.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 42.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 42.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $41.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 3 | + 61 metres | $21.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 40.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 41.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 42.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $40.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 4 | + 211 metres | $17.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 40.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 41.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 42.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $39.5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 5 | + 381 metres | $17.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 38.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $37.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  | Range | $22.5 \mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ |  |  | $6.20^{\circ}$ |

## Table 33

Critical thermal maxima recorded of C. eremius sampled from Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 35) on different occasions between February 1968 and March 1969.

Table
33

| Date Recorded | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bottom Water } \\ & \text { Temp. at } \\ & \text { Collecting } \\ & \text { Site. } \end{aligned}$ | Subject | c.t.m. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { c.t.m. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21.II. 68 | $34.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $40.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 22.III. 68 | $31.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $41.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 26.IV. 68 | $23.7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Not Recorded | - |
| 28.V.68 | $16.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 38.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $37.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 30.VI. 68 | $12.8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $36.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 26.VII. 68 | $16.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $36.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 31.VIII. 68 | $21.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 37.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $37.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 28.X. 68 | $26.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 38.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $39.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 15.XI. 68 | $28.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $39.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 19.XII. 68 | $31.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $40.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 24.I. 69 | $30.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 2 3 4 |  | $41.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 24.II. 69 | $29.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 | $42.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $42.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 30.III. 69 | 29.4 | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 40.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 40.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 41.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ & 42.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $41.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |

## Graph 18b

Seasonal changes in critical thermal maxima of $\underline{\text { © }}$. eremius and bottom water temperature at Coward Springs Railway Bore, February 1968 March 1969.


| Time maintained at higher water temperature (hours) | Subject | Standard <br> length <br> (mm) | $c_{o_{C}}^{t_{0}} \cdot m$ | Mean $c \cdot t \cdot m$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 29 \\ & 29 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.3 \\ & 40.5 \\ & 40.5 \\ & 41.0 \end{aligned}$ | 40.6 |
| 24 | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \\ & 27 \\ & 29 \\ & 33 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.5 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | 41.8 |
| 48 | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 26 \\ & 27 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.9 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 42.2 \end{aligned}$ | 41.7 |
| 72 | 1 2 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \\ & 29 \\ & 33 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.7 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 42.0 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | 41.9 |

Table 34

Progressive changes in critical thermal maxima of C. eremius directly exposed to and maintained in water (at $31.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\sim 110^{\circ}$ higher than water kept in for fourteen days previously (19-20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Test conducted 16.III.70.

| Time maintained at higher water temperature (hours) | Subject | Standard <br> Length <br> (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { c.t.m. } \\ & o_{\mathrm{C}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & c_{{ }_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~m}} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \\ & 45 \\ & 46 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.4 \\ & 41.4 \\ & 41.5 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | 41.6 |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \\ & 42 \\ & 42 \\ & 49 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.0 \\ & 41.5 \\ & 41.5 \\ & 42.0 \end{aligned}$ | 41.5 |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \\ & 47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.5 \\ & 42.5 \end{aligned}$ | 42.5 |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.2 \\ & 42.7 \end{aligned}$ | 42.4 |
| 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.5 \\ & 42.5 \end{aligned}$ | 42.5 |

Table 35

Progressive changes in critical thermal maxima of C. eremius directly exposed to and maintained in water (at $33.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) $\sim 14.00^{\circ}$ higher than water kept in for fourteen days previously (18.0-20.0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Test conducted 28.IV.70.

## Graph 19

Thermal acclimation rate recorded when
C. eremius was exposed to an $\sim 14 C^{\circ}$ rise in water temperature (from $\sim 19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\left.33 \cdot 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

## Graph 20

Thermal acclimation rate recorded when C. eremius was exposed to an $\sim 110^{\circ}$ rise in water temperature (from $\sim 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $31.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.



## Table 36

Chemical Analyses of water samples taken at various localities principally in the central Australian region. Analyses carried out by Australian Mineral Development Laboratories (see Appendix $Q$ ).

* Data from Williams and Siebert (1963).
+ Uninhabitē until $\underline{C}$. eremius introduced 2.IX.70.

|  |  |  |  | Antow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ITo．} \\ \text { no．}}}^{\text {coid }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { pate } \\ \text { sampled }}}^{\substack{\text { a }}}$ | ${ }^{\text {ci }}$ | $\mathrm{so}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{meO}_{3}$ | ${ }^{\text {ro3 }}$ | F | Na | ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | ${ }^{\text {ca }}$ | $\mathrm{ws}_{8}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}(0)_{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {ass }} 4$ | $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | ${ }^{800}$ | ${ }^{1 / 850} 4$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{VCO}_{2}}$ | Na（CO3） |  | ${ }^{\text {anc }}$ | кc1 | dided |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\text { Pormit }}$ | ${ }_{\text {coid }}^{\text {¢ }}$ | ${ }_{\text {x }}^{\text {xo }}$ | \％ | and |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \substack{\text { trace } \\ \text { trace } \\ \hline \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \text { triae } \\ \text { trace } \\ \text { trace }} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ＂ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢0． 5 |
| Her |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { trace } \\ \text { trace } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\overline{{ }_{2}^{2}}$ |  | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 6 \\ 6 \\ 80 \\ 8020 \\ 72 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ |  | $\stackrel{262}{262}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 304 \\ & 204 \\ & 24 \\ & 24 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{288}^{134}$ | $\stackrel{9 \overline{9} 6}{956}$ | $\overline{\overline{3}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{14}^{120}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 品品品 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \overline{40} \\ & \text { trace } \\ & \text { trace } \\ & \text { trace } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{233}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 590 <br> 570 <br> $\vdots$ <br> $\vdots$ <br>  <br>  <br>  |  |  | \％ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{33}{4} \\ & \frac{2}{20} \\ & 39 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | ${ }_{8}^{987}$ | $\stackrel{\substack{3 \dot{2} 2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots}}{ }$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{68}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | － |

## Table 37

Range of content of major ions and total salinities of permanent waters known to be inhabited by $\underline{C}$. eremius (14 sites) compared with those of some permanent non-inhabited waters (15 sites), all in the central Australian region. Quantities expressed as parts per million and summarized from Table 36.

|  |  | Inhabited waters | Non-inhabited waters |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ion | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Cl} \\ & \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\ & \mathrm{HCO}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{Na} \\ & \mathrm{~K} \\ & \mathrm{Ca} \\ & \mathrm{Mg} \\ & \mathrm{Fe} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80-3350 \\ & 25-1770 \\ & 119-1045 \\ & 0-3 \\ & 0 \\ & 98-2515 \\ & 0-100 \\ & 59-211 \\ & 0.6-188 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25-4270 \\ 6-640 \\ 75-1095 \\ 0-40 \\ 0 \\ 45-2997 \\ 0-80 \\ 1.7-219 \\ 0-201 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total dissolved solids (salinity) |  | $347-8435$ | 142-8448 |

## Table 38

Content of major ions and total salinity of water sampled at different stations along the stream flowing from Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34) on 26.IV.68. Quantities expressed as parts per million.

| Station | Distance from bore head (metres) | Salinity | Anions |  |  |  | Cations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cl | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | Na | K | Ca | Mg |
| 1 | 0 | 3719 | 1310 | 140 | 1005 | trace | 1200 | - | 34 | 30 |
| 2 | 37 | 3859 | 1370 | 150 | 1025 | trace | 1249 | - | 34 | 31 |
| 6 | 287 | 4020 | 1450 | 165 | 1030 | nil | 1325 | - | 17 | 33 |
| 8 | 453 | 4765 | 1720 | 215 | 1195 | nil | 1586 | - | 13 | 36 |

## Table 39

Content of major ions and total salinity of water sampled at two stations along the stream flowing from Wobna Mound Spring (locality 35) on 27.IV.68. Quantities expressed as parts per million.

| Station | ```Distance from bore head (metres)``` | Salinity | Anions |  |  |  | Cations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Cl | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | Na | K | Ca | Mg |
| 1 | 0 | 3717 | 1280 | 135 | 1045 | trace | 1192 | - | 36 | 29 |
| 7 | 126 | 3923 | 1380 | 140 | 1070 | nil | 1275 | - | 26 | 32 |

## TABLE 40

Comparison of major ions and total salinities from samples taken on different occasions from certain artesian waters in the central Australian region。

| Loc. No. | . Locality | Date Sampled | Sampling. time gap. (years). | Ion content (ppm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total dissolved salts (ppm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ | - $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{+}$ | Mg ${ }^{+}$ |  |
| $1 / 1$ | Dalhousie Spring main spring (probably = Jack's "Dalhousie Hot Spring" | $\begin{aligned} & * 1913 \\ & 6 . \text { VIII. } 68 \end{aligned}$ | 55 | $\begin{aligned} & 340 \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 103 \\ 69 \end{array}$ | trace | 252 |  | 58 | 24 | 891 894 |
| 26 | Wunn's Bore | $\begin{array}{r} * 24 . X I .64 \\ 22 . V I .70 \end{array}$ | $5 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\left.\begin{aligned} & 2614 \\ & 2720 \end{aligned} \right\rvert\,$ | $5 \begin{aligned} & 608 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 156 \\ & 152 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nil } \\ & \text { nil } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 1797 \\ 1815 \end{array}$ | 70 | 208 | 40 37 | $\begin{aligned} & 5423 \\ & 5609 \end{aligned}$ |
| 29 | Strangways Springs Railway Bore | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8.III.15 } \\ & 31 . \mathbb{X} \cdot 70 \\ & 31 . I_{0} 70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & \frac{1}{4} \end{aligned} 54 \frac{1}{4}$ | 2744 2790 332 | $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 500 \\ & 580 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 216 \\ & 445 \\ & 450 \end{aligned}$ | - - | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 1916 \\ 2232 \end{array}$ | - | 183 200 | 1 -38 36 | 5609 5624 5903 6678 |
|  | Coward Springs proper | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *1891 } \\ & \text { 3.XI. } 61 \end{aligned}$ | 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 1392 \\ & 1595 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & 297 \end{aligned}$ | $445$ $202.1$ | träce | 1344 | - | 64 | 40 | $\begin{aligned} & 3417 \\ & 3751 \end{aligned}$ |
| 34 | Coward Springs Railway Bore | $\begin{aligned} & 26 . I V . ~ \\ & \text { April } 1969 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 1310 \\ & 1305 \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | $494$ $494$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { trace } \\ 30 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} 1200 \\ 1192 \end{array}$ | - | 34 33 | 30 29 | $\begin{aligned} & 3719 \\ & 3199 \end{aligned}$ |
| 35 | Wobne. Spring | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \cdot I V .68 \\ & 31 \cdot \mathrm{X} .70 \end{aligned}$ | $2 \frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1280 \\ & 1255 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} 135 \\ 115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 514 \\ & 477 \end{aligned}$ | trace nil | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 1192 \\ 1126 \end{array}$ | 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | 29 28 | 3717 <br> 3044 |
| 36 | Blanche Cup Spring | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *1 }_{291}^{2 . X I .61} \\ & \text { 1. II. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} 70 & 79 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | 1894 2110 2310 | $\begin{array}{r} 149 \\ 346 \\ 375 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 417 \\ 198 \\ 452 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { trace } \\ & \text { trace } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r}  \\ -7 \\ 1726 \\ 1849 \end{array}$ | -- | 16 <br> 48 <br> 48 |  <br> 53 <br> 49 | $\begin{aligned} & 3044 \\ & 4034 \\ & 4654 \\ & 5131 \end{aligned}$ |
| 43 | Iake Harry Bore | $\begin{aligned} & * 1896 \\ & 23 . X I .70 \end{aligned}$ | 74 | $\begin{aligned} & 259 \\ & 265 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nil } \\ & >5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 505 \\ & 511 \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots$ | 553 | - | $\overline{-}$ | - | 1315 1874 |
| 44 | Ilayton Bore | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30.IV. } 22 \\ & 23 . \mathrm{XI} .70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 47 | $\begin{aligned} & 154 \\ & 150 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ >5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 456 \\ & 462 \end{aligned}$ | - | 436 | 12 | $\overline{6}$ | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1087 \\ & 1549 \end{aligned}$ |
| 45 | Dalkaninna Bore | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *1898 } \\ & 23 . X I .70 \end{aligned}$ | 72 | 117 110 | $\underset{>5}{\operatorname{nil}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 428 \\ & 433 \end{aligned}$ | - | $3 \overline{3} 3$ | 19 | - 6 | $\overline{1}$ | 942 1403 |
| 46 | Cannawaukininna Bore | $\begin{aligned} & * 5 . X .16 \\ & 23 . X I .70 \end{aligned}$ | 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 252 \\ & 330 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 406 \\ & 381 \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | 473 | 18 | $\overline{16}$ | $\overline{3}$ | 1226 1621 |
| 48 | Kopperemanna No. 1 Bore | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *1898 } \\ & 23 . X I .70 \end{aligned}$ | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & 143 . n \\ & 1307 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { nil }}{>5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 314 \\ & 374 \end{aligned}$ | - | 352 | 18 | - 6 | - | 786 1272 |
| 54 | Paralana Hot Springs | $\begin{aligned} & * 1.913 \\ & 30 . X .69 \end{aligned}$ | 56 | 3211 5651 | 151 | $\begin{aligned} & 148 \\ & 675 \end{aligned}$ | - | 606 | - | $\overline{70}$ | 36 | 1110 2197 |
| 60 | Montecolina Bore | $\begin{aligned} & * 11 . I X .20 \\ & 29 . \mathrm{X} .69 \end{aligned}$ | $48 \quad 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2237 \\ & 3765 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 125 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 144 \\ 64 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 2387 | - | - | $2 \overline{3}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4005 \\ & 6528 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Woolatchi Bore | $\begin{gathered} *_{1} 2 . \mathrm{VII} .16 \\ 24 . \mathrm{X} .69 \end{gathered}$ | 52 ? | $\stackrel{?}{530}$ n | $\begin{gathered} \text { nil } \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800 \\ & 396 \end{aligned}$ | - | 543 | - | $\overline{10}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2017 \\ & 2003 \end{aligned}$ |


| Station | Water depth cm. | pH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Surface water | Bottom water |
| 1 | 22 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 2 | 12 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 3 | 21 | 7.2 | 7.2 |
| 4 | 22 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 5 | 17 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| 6 | 30 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| 7 | 19 | 9.4 | 9.4 |
| 8 | 9 | 10.0 | 10.0 |

## Table 41

Surfiace \& bottom-water pH values recorded at Coward Springs Bore (locality 34) on 30.VI.68.

| Loc. NO. | Iocality | Ion content (ppm.) |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ <br> >or <br> than <br> $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$orthan$\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | OI | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$ | $\because \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-}$ |  |  |
| $1 / 1$ | Dalhousie Springs, main spring. | 370 | 150 | 68.8 | : $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| $1 / 3$ | Dalhousie Springs, spring 3 | 415 | 180 | 66.4 | $\mathrm{PSO}_{4}{ }^{\circ}>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 12 | Algebuckina waterhole | 750 | 435 | 130.3 | $\mathrm{SSO}_{4}$ : $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 18 | Birribirriana Spring | 3350 | 1770 | 162.3 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 24 | Johnson's No. 3 Bore | 1600 | 500 | 113.1 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | ${ }_{>} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 27 | Nunn's Bore | 2625 | 620 | 147.5 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}:>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 29 | Strangways Springs Railway Bore | 2790 | 500 | 218.8 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4} ; \quad>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 31 | Beresford Reservoir | 80 | 70 | 63.9 | $\mathrm{SSO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | ${ }^{>} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 33 | Coward Springs proper | 1595 | 297 | 202.1 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 34 | Coward Springs Railway Bore | 1310 | 140 | 494.3 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 35 | Wobna Spring | 1280 | 135 | 513.9 | $\mathrm{SSO}_{4}:>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 36 | Blanche Cup Spring | 2310 | 375 | 452.5 | $\mathrm{PSO}_{4}: \quad>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<_{<\mathrm{CO}_{3}}$ |
| 44 | Clayton Bore | 150 | 5 | 462.3 | $\cdots \mathrm{SO}_{4}:<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 68 | Glen Helen waterhole | 1114 | 542 | 58.5 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |

TABIE 42
$\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion contents of permanent water masses inhabited by $\mathbb{C}$. eremius.

* $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content values derived from $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$values given in Table 36 by the conversion $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}=0.4918 \times \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$

| Ioc NO | \% Locality | Ion content (ppm.) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\ \text {or }< \\ \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-} \text {and } \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-} \\ & >\operatorname{or} \\ & \text { than } \\ & \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-2}$ | ${ }^{+} \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{-}$ |  |  |
| 23 | Honeymoon Bore | 2405 | 640 | 115.6 | $\mathrm{SSO}_{4} ; \quad>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 30 | Strangways Springs Mound Spring | 2980 | 585 | 221.3 | $>\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 32 | Warburton Springs | 1687 | 300 | 181.5 | $\mathrm{SSO}_{4} ; \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |
| 42 | Callana Reservoir | 25 | 25 | 36.8 | $=\mathrm{SO}_{4} ; \quad<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CCO}_{3}$ |
| 43 | Lake Harry Bore | 265 | $<5$ | 511.5 | $>\mathrm{SO}_{4}:<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CCO}_{3}$ |
| 45 | Dalkaninna Bore | 110 | $<5$ | 432.8 | $>\mathrm{SO}_{4}: \quad<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CCO}_{3}$ |
| 46 | Cannwaukininna Bore | 330 | 5 | 381.1 | $>\mathrm{SO}_{4} \mathrm{O} \quad<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CCO}_{3}$ |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kopperamanna No. } 1 \\ & \text { Bore } \end{aligned}$ | 130 | $<5$ | 373.7 | $7 \mathrm{SO}_{4} ; \quad<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{COO}_{3}$ |
| 50 | Mirra Mitta Bore | 75 | 25 | 331.9 | $\mathrm{SSO}_{4}: \quad<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CCO}_{3}$ |
| 51 | Gason Bore | 70 | $\therefore 20$ | 331.9 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;<\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<\mathrm{CO}^{2}$ |
| 54 | Paralana Hot Springs | 665 | 145 | 331.9 | $>\mathrm{SO}_{4} ;>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $<\mathrm{CO}^{2}$ |
| 60 | Montecolina Bore | 3765 | 125 | 61.5 | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}:>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>0$ |
| 62 | Mulligan Springs | 4270 | 535 | 250.8 | $>\mathrm{SO}_{4}:>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}$ |
| 63 | Twelve Springs | 820 | 175 | 538.5 | $\mathrm{XSO}_{4} ; \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $>\mathrm{CO}$ |
| 64 | Woolatchi Bore | 530 | 15 | 395.9 | $>\mathrm{SO}_{4} ; \quad>\mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{COO}_{3}$ |

TABLE
$\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion contents of permanent water masses not inhabited by $C$. eremius.

* $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$content values derived from $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$values given in Table 36 by the conversion $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}=0.4918 \times \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$


## Figure 17

Jack's (1923) 'neutral' line, Take Eyre basin (after Wiliiams, 1967)。


## Table 44

Total salinities (expressed as parts per million) of some permanent waters in the central Australian region, both inhabited and un-inhabited by C. eremius.

|  | Inhabited Waters |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Loc. | Locality | Salinity |
| INo. |  |  |
| $1 / 1$ | Dalhousie Main Spring | 994 |
| $1 / 3$ | Dalhousie Spring 3 | 1084 |
| 12 | Algebuckina Waterhole | 2181 |
| 18 | Birribirriana Spring | 8435 |
| 24 | Johnson's No. 3 Bore | 3614 |
| 27 | Nunn's Bore | 5619 |
| 29 | Strangways Springs Railway Bore | 6678 |
| 31 | Beresford Reservoir | 347 |
| 33 | Coward Springs Proper Bore | 3751 |
| 34 | Coward Springs Railway Bore | 3719 |
| 35 | Wobna Spring | 3717 |
| 36 | Blanche Cup Spring | 5131 |
| 44 | Clayton Bore | 1549 |
| 69 | Glen Helen Waterhole | 2784 |
| Range |  |  |
| Mean |  | $347-8435$ |


| Non-inhabited Waters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loc. No. | Locality | Saininity |
| 23 | Honeymoon Bore | 5064 |
| 30 | Strangways Spring Mound Spring | 6411 |
| 32 | Warburton Springs | 3825 |
| 42 | Callana Reservoir | 142 |
| 43 | Lake Harry Bore | 1874 |
| 45 | Dalkaninna Bore | 1403 |
| 46 | Cannawaukinna Bore | 1621 |
| 48 | Kopperamanna No. 1 Bore | 1272 |
| 50 | Mirra Mitta Bore | 1096 |
| 51 | Gason Bore | 1080 |
| 54 | Paralana Hot Springs | 2197 |
| 60 | Montecolina Bore | 6528 |
| 62 | Mulligan Springs | 8448 |
| 63 | Twelve Springs | 3112 |
| 64 | Woolatchi Bore | 2003 |
| Range |  | 142-8448 |
| Mean |  | 3071 |

Table

## Table 45

Sumarized results of salinity tolerance trials upon $\underline{C}^{\circ}$ eremius. (from Appendix S ).

| Medium | Salinity (Total dissolved salts in ppm) | No. of trials conducted | Duration of trial (days) | Period elapsed to first mortality (days) | Period elapsed to 50\% mortality (days) | Period elapsed to 100\% mortality (days) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distilled Water | >1.25<8.3 | 5 | 13-60 | 1-12 | 9-22 | 17-27 |  |
| Diluted 1:19 <br> Artesian Water | 147 | 1 | 60 | -- | - | - | No mortalities recorded up till time trial discontinued |
| Control Artesian Water | 5,609 | 6 | 13-60 | 6-46 | - | - | Total of 11 mortalities recorded in 6 trials |
| Saturated Artesian Water | 9,797 | 5 | 13-60 | 5 | - | - | 1 mortality recorded throughout all 5 trials. |
| Sea Water | 37,580 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 44 | - | ```8 mortalities recorded up till time trial discontinued.``` |

Dissolved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentrations of water samples taken at several stations along the stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) together with other simultaneously recorded data, made 22.II.70.

## Table 47

Dissolved $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration and water temperature recorded in shallows at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 22.II.70.

TABIE
46

| Ambient temp. $=31.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  | Readings commenced 1615 hrs . |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bottowatertemp.${ }_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub-bed } \\ & \text { temp }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | ```Diff. sub-bed bottom temp``` | pH | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { Water } \\ \text { depth } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{array}\right\|$ | Stream width (cm) | $\qquad$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trapping } \\ & \text { rate } \end{aligned}$ | Dissolved $0_{2}$ concentration p.p.m. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { Cyperus }}{\text { sp. }}$ | $\frac{\text { Spirogyra }}{\text { sp. }}$ |  |  |
| 1 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 0 | 7.0 | 3 | 900 | 1 | 1 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \text { (but } \\ \text { C. eremius } \\ \text { present) } \end{array}\right\|$ | 3.0 |
| 2 | 30.5 | 30.9 | +0.4 | 7.2 | 5 | 117 | 1 | 2 | 0 | - |
| 3 | 30.4 |  |  | 7.2 | 6 | 90 | 3 | 1 | 3 | -- |
| 4 | 30.1 | 30.3 | +0.2 | 7.2 | 5 | 75 | 1 | 1 | 13 |  |
| 5 | 30.2 | 30.4 | +0.2 | 7.3 | 6 | 160 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 3.0 |
| mid-way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 \& 6 | 31.0 | - | -- | - | - | - | 0 | 4 | - | 2.0 |
| $6{ }^{-}$ | 30.1 | 30.4 | +0.3 | 7.4 | 6 | 180 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 3.0 |
| 7 | 30.0 | 30.1 | +0.1 | 7.5 | 6 | 90 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 3.0 |
| 8 | 29.5 | 30.0 | +0.5 | 7.7 | 8 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 3 | O |
| 9 | 29.5 | 30.0 | +0.5 | 7.7 | 9 | 50 | 1 | 3 | 6 | - |
| 10 | 29.2 | 30.0 | +0.8 | 7.8 | 6 | 100 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 14 | . |
| 11 | 29.0 | 29.1 | +0.1 | 7.9 | 6 | 73 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4.0 |

TABIE 47

| Sampling Station | Water temp. <br> C | Dissolved 02 <br> concentration 0.D.m. | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In shallows (2-3 cms) <br> depth amongst vegetation | $35.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.8 | C. eremius <br> present |
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To establish what $C$. eremius eats and the relative importance of the different components of diet I examined and scored the contents of the alimentary canals of 100 fish (40-50mm standard length) selected from a collection made at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on $31 . V .71$ (see Table 48). These fish were killed and preserved immediately upon being retrieved from traps (set for 15 hours overnight) by placing them in $5 \%$ formalin in order to halt digestion.

It is clear from Table 48 that, at the adult stage at least, C.eremius is omnivorous. Insects, ostracods and unidentified eggs constituted the main animal diet (at he time of collecting); filamentous algae the main vegetable diet.

As Haines (1968) reports for Ellogobius olorum (Sauvage, 1880), filamentous algae were found in the majority of the Ceremius and direct laboratory observation showed that it was deliberately eaten.

The presence of detritus and small rock particles in many instances correlates with the fish's demeral habit and the laboratory observation that it sifts bottom silt, taking silt into the mouth and ejecting it, presumably in search of detritus. With regard to the fish scales located amongst the gut contents, laboratory observations have shown that C. \#remius is necrophagic.

Diatoms have also been found in the alimentary canals of fish collected from Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24); at least 16 diatom species (see Table 49), mainly benthic or periphytic forms, were identified amongst the gut contents of a $C$. eremius collection made in March 1969.

In the laboratory Co eremius readily feeds upon Tubifex sp.

## 2. AGUATIC VEGETETION

(a) Influence on the geographic distribution of C. eremius.

Although small numbers of $C$. eremius have
been encountered at some sites devoid of aquatic vegetation these have always been ephemeral waters and therefore do not represent permanent habitats.

A characteristic plant community is associated with all artesian waters in the Lake Eyre basin. This usually consists of one or more species of filamentous green algae and the spear grass; Cyperus laevigatus I。 In addition one or more species of the following may also be present - bull rush, Typha sp.; reed, Scirpus sp .; Potamogeton pectinatus $I$. and Chara $\operatorname{sp}$. Table 50 indicates the aquatic plants located at some localities where C. eremius is either present or absent. It will be noted that the plant communities of both inhabited and non-inhabited waters usually possess both filamentous green algae and spear grass, Cyperus laevigatus L. . Further, there are no plant species which are consistantly found in inhabited waters and not in non-inhabited waters, or vice versa. Thus within the known range of $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ eremius it appears there is little difference in aquatic plant communities to influence the presence or absence of the species at particular permanent habitats.
(b) Influence on the occurrence and abundance of C. eremius within the habitat.
i. As Protective Cover.

Within the individual habitat $\mathrm{C}_{\text {。 eremius }}$ is
most frequently found amongst or in close proximity to aquatic vegetation. The marked preference of the species for algae as a habitat rather than open water has been demonstrated in the laboratory (see p.129). Individuals disturbed in open water, either in the field or the laboratory, swiftly seek cover amongst the nearest available vegetation. It is thus apparent that vegetation is at least important in that it affords protective cover.

It has become apparent from field observations and trapping rates that the distribution and local concentrations of $C$ 。 eremius within the individual waterway tends to correlate with the occurrence and local abundance of vegetation, in particular algae, along the waterway. I attempted to correlate the species abundance with vegetation during the observations at Wobna Spring (locality 35). Since it was difficult to objectively measure the extent of plant stands with any degree of accuracy I decided to subjectively score the relative abundance of algae and spear grass at the various stations. Taking an arbitary scale of 0 to 5 I scored the approximate area each form of plant covered within an overall area of approximately 0.5 square metre within
the stream at each station. These estimates together with associated trapping rates are presented in Appendix F . Graphs 21 to 26 are of the more obvious correlations derived from these observations. From these graphs it can be seen that greater numbers of $C$. eremius tend to occur where there is relatively more plant cover, particularly of algae.

## ii. As a Thermal Refuge

In addition to providing protective cover there is considerable evidence (see pp.54-62) to show that aquatic vegetation provides a thermal refuge during summer months. Steep thermal gradients in water temperature have been recorded between lethally warm open water and cool shallows within and at the base of stands of aquatic vegetation at Johnsons No. 3 bore (locality 24). As discussed on p. 55
when such steep thermal gradients exist the species appears to concentrate amongst the vegetated shallows and except for occasional brief excursions out into open water to remain within the confines of the stands.
iii. As a Platiorm to perform Aerial Respiration

When specimens were crowded in warm water in a container (see p.143), and therefore under conditions of oxygen depletion, individuals were observed to leap out of the water and attach,
usually via the section disc formed by the ventral fins, to the walls of the container or tothe surfaces of plant leaves (Phragmites communis) suspended into the water. Whilst attached these fish were observed to vigorously perform aerial respiration until they dropped back into the water after a short time of up to 115 seconds.

Reference has been made elsewhere (see po 145) to the fact that in laboratory tanks, during warm weather, C . eremius has been observed to withdraw at least the forepart of the body from the water and rest it on the emerged root base of plant stands (Cyperus laevigatus $L_{0}$ ) and perform, what appears to be, aerial respiration.

Thus aquatic plants are capable of acting as out-of-water attachment surfaces upon which the fish can perform aerial respiration. However this has not been observed to occur in field observations.
iv. As a Food and Habitat for Animal Prey

From gut examinations and laboratory
observations of feeding activity it is evident that filamentous green algae are deliberately eaten and constitute a substantial part of the fish's diet (see p. 92 ).

In addition, an examination of a sample of live algae (Spirogyra sp.) from Wobna Spring (locality 35) showed that it harboured a variety of small fauna in abundance. Table 51 lists the forms found in this examination and their relative abundance. Ostracods at least have been confirmed amongst the fish's gut contents and it is probable that the soft bodied oligochaetes are also ingested, if only incidentally。

Thus not only does algae appear to constitute a major food item in itself but it also harbours a variety of fauna which is either confirmed as a dietary item or is potentially capable of being eaten by the species.
3. OTHER FISHES IN ASSOCIATION MITH C。 eremius.

Table 52 lists some of the localities where permanent populations of Co eremius have been found and indicates these other fish species, if any, which have been found at the same time in the same habitats.

It is seen that the species most commonly associated with Co eremius are those of the genus Craterocephalus. Since this genus is typically pelagic in habit whereas C. eremius is demersal it would seem that the latter would be subject to little, if any, direct competition from the Craterocephalids. On the other hand whether a clear distinction can exist between demersal and pelagic niches in the relative shallows of artesian surface waters is open to question.

As indicated in Appendix $A$ it is seen that except at Muliigan Springs (locality 62) and possibly several of the springs at Dalhousie Springs (localities 1/2, 1/3, 1/7) no other artesian habitat has apparently been located in the central Australian region that is inhabited by any other species to the exclusion of $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ eremius. Even at Dalhousie Springs main spring (locality $1 / 1$ ), where Neosilurus sp. (a demersal inhabitant) and C. stercusmuscarum are both present in overwhelmingly greater abundance, C.eremius has been able to maintain a small population. It is possible that the species does in fact inhabit, in small numbers, the other springs at Dalhousie Springs (localities $1 / 2,1 / 3,1 / 7$ ) from which it was not collected.

The main predatory force acting upoon C.eremius appears most likely to be from aquatic birds. Table 53 lists the various species of birds sighted on one occasion at Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) and indicates which of these are confirmed or potential fish predators. This list demonstrates the abundant variety of predatory bird life that characteristically inhabits the vicinity of permanent waters in the central Australian region for a considerable period each year. Of a total of 17 aquatic or semi-aquatic bird species at least 9 are either confirmed or potential fish eaters. Porzana fluminea has actually been observed feeding on Co eremius; at Johnson's No. 3 bore (locality 24) on $2.1 X .70$, a lone $\underset{P}{P}$. fluminea foraging in shallows at the base of reed stands was seen to eat a dead fish lying in shallow water. Other fishes are possibly predacious on C. eremius. I have found Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum in the stomachs of Mogurnda mogurnda, Madigania unicolor and Neosilurus $s p$. collected at Dalhousie Springs (localities $1 / 1,1 / 3,1 / 4$ ) . However at no locality where C. eremius has been found in conjunction with any other fish have I found any identifiable remains of $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ eremius in the stomachs of the other species. Neosilurus sp. because of its similar demersal habitat possibly preys on C. eremius where the two species occur together, e.g. at Dalhousie springs main spring (locality $1 / 1$ ) the uncharacteristically small Co eremius population may be due,
in part, to a direct predatory and competitive influence from the overwhelmingly abundant Neosilurus sp. population. Field and laboratory observations have shown that C. eremius is necrophagic upon its own species but it does not appear to be actually cannibalistic.

The larger aquatic insects and insect larvae may be predators, in particular the larger beetles (Families Hydrophilidae and Dytiscidae) and dragon fily nymphs (Order Odonata), which are at least partially demersal in habit and occur in abundance at many localities inhabited by Coeremius, for example, Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24), Nunn's Bore (locality 27) and Coward Springs railway Bore (locality 34).

| Item | No. of alimentary canals <br> in which identified |
| :--- | :---: |
| nothing | 0 |
| filamentous algae | 64 |
| plant fragments | 56 |
| diatoms | 15 |
| Insecta (chitinous fragments) | 54 |
| eggs (unidentified) | 12 |
| Cladocera (daphnid) | 4 |
| Copepoda | 6 |
| Ostracoda | 12 |
| fish scales | 5 |
| *detritus | 92 |
| rock particles | 38 |

## TABIW 48

Contents of alimentary canals of 100 C. eremius (40-50 mm. standard length) collected at Nunn's Bore (locality 27) on 31.V.71.

* detritus $=$ pulped, brown coloured mass.


## Diatom Species

Navicula rhyncocephala Kutz. var.?
Anomoeoneis sphaerophora (Ehr.) Pfitz. var.?
Nitzschia fonticola Grun.
Gomphonema parvulur: var. micropus Kutz. Cleve
Navicula aikenensis Patr. var.?
Fragilaria construens (Ehr.) Grun.
Witzschia hungarica Grun. var.?
Mastogloia smithii Thwaites ex $W$. Sm.
Gymbella pusilla Grun.
Nitzschia amphibia Grun.
Cyclotella meneghiniana Kütz.
Stauroneis anceps Ehr. var.?
Navicula cryptocephala Kttz.
Diploneis smithii (Breb.) Cleve
Navicula spp.
Cymbelia spp.

## Table 49

Diatom species identified in the alimentary canals of a collection of C. eremius made at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on 31.III.69.

## TABLE 50

Aquatic vegetation found present at various localities in the central Australian region.

Localities

|  | C. eremius |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { C. eremius }}{\text { absent }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of vegation | 1/1 | 1292427 | 733343 | 35575 | 536 | 63032 | 5459 | 163 | 364 |
| Algae (filamentous green) | ? | * * * | * $* *$ | * | 前 | - $\times$ | * | * | * |
| Chara sp. |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  | * |
| ```Cyperus bulbosus VAHI Cyperus distachys Cyperus gymnocaulos STEUD. Cyperus laevigatus L.``` | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} * \\ * \\ * \\ * \end{gathered}$ |  | * |
| Phragmites australis (CAV). <br> TRIN. EX STEUD <br> Phragmites communis | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polypogon monspeliensis (I.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rotamogeton pectinatus I. |  |  | * | *? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ruppia spiralis I. |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scirpus litoralis SCHRAD. Scirpus validus VAHL |  | * |  |  |  | * * | * |  |  |
| Typha sp. <br> Typha angustifolia I . <br> Typha domingensis L . |  |  | * |  |  |  | ${ }^{*}$ |  |  |

## Graph 21

Correlation between number of C . eremius
trapped and aquatic vegetation cover at the various stations in the main stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) as recorded on 24.II.69.

## Graph 22

Correlation between number of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped and aquatic vegetation cover at the various stations in the main stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) as recorded on $30 . I V .69$.


## Graph 23

Correlation between number of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped and amount of aquatic vegetation cover at the various stations in the main stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) as recorded on 13.XI.69.


## Graph 24

Correlation between number of $\underline{C}$ eremîus trapped and aquatic vegetation cover at the various stations in the main stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) as recorded 22.II.70.


## Graph 25

Correlation between number of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped and aquatic vegetation cover at the various stations in the main stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) as recorded on 11.IV.70.


## Graph 26

Correlation between number of C . eremius trapped and aquatic vegetation cover at the various stations in the main stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) as recorded on 10.VI.70.


| Fauna | Relative <br> abundance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Gastropoda (fam. Hydrobiidae) | Nitumerous |
| Oligochaetae | Abundant |
| Ostracoda | Abundant. |
| Phreatoicus latipes | Not abundant |

## Table 51

Contents of a sample of algae (Spirogyra sp.)
taken from Wobna Spring (locality 35) on 24.II.70.

## Table 52

Other fishes found in conjunction with some permanent populations of $\mathbb{C}$. eremius.

* Sighting only.

| Locality | Loc. No. | Other fishes present (in addition to <br> C. eremius). | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dalhousie Springs, Main Spring | 1/1 | Neosilurus sp . Craterocephalus stercusrnuscarum. Mogurnda morgurnda | Neosilurus sp. and C.stercus muscarum by far the most dominantly abundant |
| ```Dalhousie Springs Spring 3``` | 1/3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Neosilurus sp. } \\ & \frac{\text { Craterocephalus }}{\text { stercusmuscarum }} \\ & \frac{\text { Mogurnda mogurnda }}{\text { Mon }} \end{aligned}$ | As above |
| Dalhousie Springs, Spring 4 | 1/4 | ```Neosilurus sp. Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum Mogurnda mogurnda``` | As above |
| Algebuckina waterhole | 12 | $\frac{\text { Craterocephalus }}{\frac{\text { Mresij }}{\text { Madigania unicolor }}}$ |  |
| Whood Duck Bore | 13 | Nil | $\frac{\text { C.eremius }}{\text { abundant }}$ |
| Old Peake <br> Homestead Bore | 15 | Nil | As above |
| Freeling Spring | 16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Craterocephalus } \\ & \text { stercusmuscarum } \end{aligned}$ | Both speciies approximately equally abundant. |
| Blyth Bore | 17 | Craterocephalus fluviatilis Madigania unicolor (?) | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { C.eremius }}{\text { and C.fluv- }} \\ & \text { iatilis } \\ & \text { approximately } \\ & \text { equally abun- } \\ & \text { dant. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Birribirriana Spring | 18 | Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum | Both species approximately equally abundant. |


| Locality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loc. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Other fishes present (in addition to <br> C. eremius). | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nilpinna Spring | 19 | Neosilurus sp. Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum | C.eremius and C.stercusmusapproximately equally abundant and dominant over Neosilurus sp. |
| Johnson's No. 3 Bore | 24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Craterocephalus } \\ & \text { eyresii } \end{aligned}$ | C.eremius by far the most dominantly abundant. |
| Nunn's Bore | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Craterocephalus } \\ & \text { eyresii } \end{aligned}$ | As above |
| Strangways Springs Railway Bore | 29 | Nil | $\frac{\text { C.eremius }}{\text { abundant }}$ |
| Coward Springs proper | 33 | Nil | As above |
| Coward S̄prings Railway Bore | 34 | Nil | As above |
| Wobna Spring | 35 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Craterocephalus } \\ & \text { eyresii } \end{aligned}$ | C.eremius by far the most dominantly abundant. A small school of C.eyresii sighted in the vicinity of Stn. 5 on 29.V.68.Four specimens trapped at Stn. 12 on 1. IX.68. A total of twen ty seven specimens trapped at Stns.12\%13 on 15.XI.68.No C.evresii trapped or sighted since. |
| Clayton Bore | 44 | Nil | $\frac{\text { C.eremius }}{\text { abundant }}$ |

## Table 53

Bird species recorded at Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34) 27-28.V.68
by Wm. J. Merilles Esq. (Australian
Antarctic Division).

| Common name | Scientific name | Con- <br> firmed <br> fish <br> pre- <br> dator | Poten- <br> tial <br> fish <br> pre- <br> dator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White-faced Heron | $\frac{\text { Ardea }}{\text { Lathamaehollandial }} 1970$ | * |  |
| Mountain Duck | $\frac{\text { Tadorna }}{\text { Jard: } \& \frac{\text { tadonoidēs }}{\text { Selby } 1828}}$ |  | * |
| Black Duck | $\frac{\text { Anas }}{\text { Gmelin } 1789}$ |  | * |
| Grey Teal | $\frac{\text { Anas }}{\text { Mrueller } 1842}$ |  | * |
| Nankeen Kestrel | $\frac{\text { Falco }}{\text { Vigors }} \frac{\text { cenchroides }}{\& \text { Horsfield }} 1827$ |  |  |
| Dusky Morhen | Gailinula tenebrosa |  | * |
| Spotted Crake | $\frac{\text { Porzana fluminea }}{\text { Gould } 18 \frac{42}{42}}$ | * |  |
| Spur-winged Plover | Iobibyx novaehollandiae (Stephens) 1819 |  | * |
| Red-capped Dotteral | $\frac{\text { Charadrius }}{\text { Linn. } 1758}$ |  |  |
| Black-fronted Dotteral | $\frac{\text { Charadrius melanops }}{\text { Vieillot } 1818}$ |  |  |
| Red-kneed Dotteral | Charadrius cinctus Gould 1838 |  |  |
| White headed stilt | Himantopus (Linn.) 1758 |  | * |
| Silver Gull | $\frac{\text { Larus novaehollandiae }}{\text { Stephens } 1826}$ | $\cdots$ |  |
| Crested Pigeon | $\frac{\text { Ocyphaps }}{\text { (Temminck) }} \frac{10 \text { ophotes }}{1822}$ |  |  |
| Galah | $\frac{\text { Cacatua }}{\text { Vieilloteicapilla }}$ |  |  |
| Welcome Swallow | $\frac{\text { Hirundo }}{\text { neoxana }} \frac{\text { tahitica }}{\text { Gould } 1842}$ |  |  |
| White-backed Swallow | $\frac{\text { Cheramoeca }}{\text { (Gould) } 1840}$ |  |  |


| Common name | Scientific name | Confirmed fish predator | Poten- <br> tial <br> fish <br> pre- <br> dator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australian Pipit | $\frac{\text { Anthus novaeseelandiae }}{(\text { Gmelin }) 1789}$ |  |  |
| Blue and white Wren | Malurus Ieuconotus |  |  |
| White-fronted Chat | $\frac{\text { Epthianura }}{(J a r d \text { albifrons }}$ |  |  |
| Singing Honeyeater | $\frac{\text { Meliphaga }}{(\text { Vieillot }) \frac{\text { Viriscens }}{1817}}$ |  |  |
| White-fronted Honeyeater | $\frac{\text { Phylidonyris }}{\text { (Gould) } 1841}$ |  |  |
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## BEFAVIOUR

## 1. LOCAL MOVEMENTS

(a) General

Between 1968 and 1970 regular observations have been made of various sized groups of $C_{0}$ eremius maintained in several laboratory tanks. The tanks contained water varying in depth between 2 cm and 20 cms , had a surface area of $0.01-1.72 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. and a silt bedding in the bottom 1-2cms deep. Under these conditions the species behaviour is characteristically demersal and solitary. When initially introduced into a tank the members of the population quickly isolated themselves at random, although when cover was provided (vegetation or rocks) the fish showed a preference for sites under or in close vicinity to such cover. The fish spent most of their time resting on the tank beds in one position interspersed with infrequent and brief independent short range excursions of an apparently foraging/exploratory nature, usually culminating in a return to the general area previously inhabited. No behavioural response has been noted between individuals except when food is located by one or more individuals, all other individuals are occasionally attracted and congregate round it. The fish may then compete for portions of the food by shaking it free from the mouths of their fellows.

Sudden hand movements over the water surface or heavy vibrations invariably alarm individuals, particularly those in open areas. They respond by rapid and erratic independent scattering movements until they either settle dow or reach cover, which ever happens first.

Field observations upon the populations at Wobna Spring (locality 35) and Johnson's No。 3 Bore (locality 24) revealed a similar pattern of behaviour to that displayed by the laboratory populations. it least during daylight, individuals spend a considerable period of time in the cover of aquatic vegetation making brief independent excursions into open water where, if they are disturbed, they scatter to cover.

Table 54 , prepared from the trapping data given in Appendix $P$ and from direct observations, indicates the range and relative abundance of the $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ eremius population along the stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) on various occasions between Lpril 1968 and May 1971. The fluctuation of the maximum trapping frequency between Stations 4 and 10 (a range of 210 m ) and the sporadic appearance of specimens in large numbers at station 1 (the spring head) and Stations 12 and 13 (a side pool) initially suggests a periodic movement of the population as a whole, back and forth along the stream. However the presence or absence of the species at Station 1 has been observed to correlate with the presence or absence respectively of aquatic vegetation which is periodically
totally eaten out by cattle upon moving into the vicinity of the spring during drought periods. It seems then, that these movements of the fish merely reflect migrations to or from certain sections of the stream which periodically become more or less favourable for habitation through the appearance or fall-off of suitable stands of vegetation (see p. 94) 。

The occasional appearance of large numbers of fish in the side pool (Stations 12 and 13) where no aquatic vegetation has ever been observed has on each occasion been preceeded by seasonal rainfall. It would, therefore, appear that the occupation of this pool (which is usually dry) is due simply to the flushing action of flood waters carrying individuals across from the adjacent main channel.
(b) Range of Movement

Several attempts had been made to measure the range of movement of individuals within the field habitat but these have proved somewhat unsatisfactory, largely due to the inadequacy of the marking techniques employed (see p. 37 ). Nevertheless, at Wobna Spring (locality 35) when 16 males and 27 females collected midway between Stations 5 and 6 on 4, IX. 70 were marked by removing their ventral fins with surgical scissors and reintroduced at the collecting point the same day; 23 days later on 27.IX. 70 a single marked female was trapped at Station 4 and another at Station 5, 12.5 and 30.5 (metres) respectively upstream from the capture/release point. On $31 . \mathrm{X} .70$ a third marked female was trapped at station 4 .

At Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24), 68 specimens collected by trap on $30 . X .68$ at the centre of the pool approximately 10 m in diameter were marked by means of impregnating fluorescent pigment particles beneath the body surface (see p.37), and subsequently released at the capture point after 6 traps were scattered randomiy and up to 9 m distance about the capture/release point; after a period of 13 hours the traps were withdrawn and it was found that 5 visibly marked subjects had been recovered at the release point and a further 2 recovered from a trap 9m distance.

On the basis of these limited observations it is deduced that within its habitat an individual may move over distances of at least 30m。
(c) Orientation in a Water Current

Observations at Wobna Spring (locality 35) have shown that individuals make brief excursions into open midstream where the flow rate is greatest. Al though there was no evidence of any particular orientation to the direction of water flow the fact that all the re-captured marked individuals at Wobna Spring (locality 35) mentioned in the previous section were taken upstream from their release point did suggest that $C_{\text {. eremius }}$ might exhibit a tendency to orientate into a water flow and swim upstream. Fishes adapted to living in small streams frequently exhibit such a positive rheotaxis which helps prevent them being carried downstream.

Stream flow rates were measured at different sections along the stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35)
to gauge the order of magnitude of flow rates to which C. eremius is subject. Flow rate was determined by measuring the time taken for a small weighted plastic phial to float with the current over a distance of 4 m 。 The cap of the phial was coated with iridescent orange paint to facilitate observations. The results, presented in Table 55 show that the rate of water flow ranges from zero in side shallows and standing bodies of water, up to approximately 0.7 m per second in certain mid-stream sections. To establish how the species responds to a water current, a fibre glass raceway was constructed (see figure 18 ) consisting of an open chamber 10.5 cm wide 12.5 cm deep with a mean circumferance of 251.5 cm 。 The chamber was filled to a depth of 10.0 cm with artesian water and water flow was created by means of a Braun pump set in a side compartment. The water in the raceway and the compartment connected via a window covered with fine plastic mesh to prevent fish being drawn into the pump inlet. The water jet created by the pump enabled a stream flow of 0.3 m per second to be attained. The direction of flow was controlled by reversing the direction of the rubber pipeing in the raceway.

Four adult male subjects, $40-45 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, was placed inthe raceway and allowed to adjust for 24 hours in stationary water at room temperature. A series of observations were then made in which the orientation and
behaviour of the subjects were noted when the water in the raceway was (1) stationary, (2) flowing clockwise, and (3) flowing anti-clockwise.

When flow was commenced or reversed a period of 1 minute was allowed to elapse to enable maximum flow to be established before an observation was made. The results, shown in Table 56, indicate that Co eremius responds very markedly with a positive rheotaxis, at least when the flow is at a rate of 0.3 m per second.

In a second set of observations the ventral fins of the same 4 subjects were cut-off and after allowing a settling down period of 24 hours the tests were repeated. The results of these observations, also shown in Table 56, demonstrate that a similar orientation into the current was effected. Nevertheless the subjects could oniy achieve this for brief periods by maintaining vigorous swimming movements either in contact with or off the bottom. Without the aid of the ventral fins they were presumably unable to attach to the bottom of the raceway and soon tired and were then swept along with the current. Clearly the ventral fins are necessary to the fish in enabling it to maintain itself stationary and to have control of its movements in a flowing stream for any prolonged period of time. Since nearly all populations of $C_{\text {. eremius }}$ inhabit waters incorporating a flowing stream the typical Gobiidae suction disc formed by the united ventral fins is clearly an important functional structure in the species.

To determine whether Co eremius can orientate in a water flow without the aid of vision I selected 5 adult subjects $50-55 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL, and eliminated their power of vision by destroying their eyes with a heated dissecting probe. Immediately following this operation a bacteriostatic and antifungal agent (Dichlorohydroxyquinaldine $5 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) in the form of cream was applied to the wounded eye sockets to prevent infection developing。

In order to establish that vision had been effectively destroyed I placed the fish (after a recovery period of 24 hours) together with 5 others of similar size with vision intact (to act as a control group) in a half-illuminated half-darkened tank (see p.124) and carried out a series of hour long trials during which I scored, every 5 minutes, the location of the fish i。e. whether in the open illuminated section of a tank or under the masked section in darkness. Thus any difference in phototactic response between the two groups Would be due to lack of vision on the part of the test subjects. The results of the 5 trials conducted are presented in Table 57 . The vision-intact control group, as expected (see p.125), exhibited a pronounced negatively phototactic response with a combined score of $85.9 \%$ time spent in darkness and only $14.1 \%$ under illumination. On the other hand the test group exhibited what approach a neutral phototactic response with a total score of $55.4 \%$ time spent in darkness and $44.5 \%$ under
illumination. Thus it appeared that not only were these latter fish displaying little if any phototactic response, but also that vision in the members of this group had been effectively destroyed. There is a statistically significant difference between the time spent in the light and dark sections of the tank by the test and control fish (see hppendix $V$, report $G$ ). It was noted that all 5 of the test fish moved approximately equally as often back and forth between the illuminated and darkened sections of the tank i.e. none showed an obvious preference for a particular section; it was therefore deduced that vision has been effectively destroyed in each fish.

The 5 blinded fish and the 5 vision intact control group were then placed in the raceway already described. Following the same procedure as before observations were then made of the responses of the subjects to clock-wise and anti-clockwise flowing water. The results of these observations, presented in Table 58, indicate that the subjects lacking vision responded in the same way as those that were able to see i.e. when either swimming or remaining stationary they orientated head-on against and parallel-to the direction of flow. Thus it is concluded that $\mathrm{C}_{\text {. eremius }}$ can orientate in flowing water by the tactile sense alone. It was noted that for the duration of the trial all fish, including the blind subjects, maintained contact with the bottom of the raceway.

Findings that some fishes when not in contact with the ground were guided solely by optical stimuli and that blinded fish released in a stream were unable to orientate until they touched the bottom were reported by Lyon (1904). Alhough it has been repeatedly stated that fish out of contact with solids can orientate by means of the friction of the water alone, Dykgraff (1933) in fact confirmed Lyon's (1904) results as Fraenkel and Gunn (1940) point out. Dykgraaf's (1933) confirmation was based on the discovery that blinded Phoxinus laevis Agassia, out of contact with solids were unable to orientate in flowing water; but if such fish made contact with the bottom the frictional stimulation enabled them to orientate.

Since all the blinded C. eremius were in continuous contact with the raceway bottom where they maintained orientation in the flowing water it is probable that they too required to be in actual contact with the bottom to orientate, though this was not specifically tested.
C. eremius is typically demersal in habit and therefore in close contact with the bottom most of the time. It is therefore reasonable to expect that it would be an advantage to be able to readily maintain orientation in a flowing stream when vision is not possible.

In order to establish whether C. eremius displays a preference for flowing water an artificial stream was generated in a large tank in the laboratory during July
1970. This was done by placing a 60 cm length of 10 cm diameter split earthenware pipe, which was on a slight slope in a depression in the side of a small plastic bucket within the tank (see figure 19). A flow of water down the pipe was maintained from an overflow generated by a Braun pump pumping water from the main tank into the bucket.

A population of 40 adult fish maintained in the tank was regularly observed whilst the artificial stream was operating for a period of 5 days. None of the fish were obviously attracted to the vicinity of the outflow into the tank during this period and when individuals did occasionally approach the outflow region it was only briefly and apparently in the course of random exploratory movements. No fish were observed attempting to swim up the pipe against the flowing water stream.

Although I have not demonstrated what maximum flow rate individuals are able to successfully resist and swim against, the occasional upstream migration to Stations 1 and 2 at Wobna Spring (locality 35) from Station 4 (see p. l04) implies that individuals are able to swim against flow rates of at least 0.7 m per second which is the flow rate recorded upstream of Station 3 on $10 . \mathrm{VI} .70$ (see Table 55). On the other hand it may well be that actual movement upstream takes place in shallows at the extreme side of the channel where the
rate of flow is considerably less than in the middle of the channel where the flow rates were recorded.

## 2. FEEDING

(a) Preamble

As indicated on page 92 C . eremius is omnivorous and ingests a variety of plants and animal foods, predominantly filamentous green algae and detritus together with various small fauna including insects . and eggs, ostracods, copepods, and diatoms. This wide range of diet suggests that little selectivity is exercised in feeding, but much that is consumed is fortuitous and the species feeds upon whatever suitably sized matter is available. In contrast, Haines (1968) has reported that filamentous algae are deliberately eaten by, and that it is a major food item of, another of the Gobiidae, Ellogobius olorum (Sauvage). Subsequent laboratory observations showed that C. eremius also deliberately eats algae and that it sifts bottom silt by taking it into the mouth and ejecting it.
(b) Feeding Activity

Laboratory observations have shown that in captivity C. eremius will feed on tubifex worms (Iubifex sp.) lying on the bottom of tanks. In contrast, little attempt is made to sieze mosquito larvae at the water surface although some fragments of mosquito larva have been identified amongst stomach contents of a few individuals collected in the field (3 specimens) and therefore are eaten on occasions. In a laboratory experiment 10 adult fish were kept in a tank ( $36 \times 18 \mathrm{x} 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep) containing 10.0 cms depth of water for 3 days without being
fed. On the morning of the 4th day (23.VIII.71) a cluster of approximately 50 live tubifex worms held by a suspended pair of small forceps were presented to the fish just below the water surface (see figure 28 ). From the time of presentation the fish were continuously observed for a 2 hour period (1200-1400 hrs.); during this time only 3 brief individual approaches and accompanying biting motions by 2 different fish were made to the suspended food. None of the other fish showed any interest in the suspended tubifex but they readily approached and ate the few tubifex that broke free from the suspended cluster once they had dropped to the bottom of the tank. In the second trial period the following day a fresh cluster of tubifex were similarly presented; during this trial no approaches were made by any of the fish to the suspended food. It is therefore concluded that Co eremius is predominantly a demersal feeder.

Since C.eremius will readily feed on tubifex worms these were used as food in the series of feeding experiments to be described.

The large numbers of Co eremius trapped during both day and night hours (see p. 138 ) suggested that the species feeds during the day and the night. In order to establish whether this is in fact the case, 10 subjects ( $25-40 \mathrm{~mm}$ SL) from laboratory stock were placed in the tank ( $36 \times 18 \mathrm{x} 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep) filled to a depth of 40 cm with artesian water. The stock had been maintained in a room
in which windows ensured a regular day/night cycle of light intensity within the room. Placed near a window where this cycle of illumination would continue, the test subjects were allowed to settle down for a period of 24 hours prior to the experiment commencing. The first series of observations were carried out in daylight on two successive mornings. On both occasions 20 tubifex worms were placed at random into the tank and a 2 hour period allowed to elapse before an inspection was made of the number of tubifex remaining. Then, on two successive evenings, in dariness, commencing the following day, 20 tubifex worms were again introduced into the tank and after a 2 hour period an inspection made to see how many tubifex remained uneaten. The results of these tests shown in Table 59 indicated that all available tubifex were consumed during both daylight hours and at night. It is therefore concluded the species will feed both by night and day.
(b) Sensory factors involved in Food Location and Feeding

To determine the relative importance of the Various serses in locating food and stimulating feeding activity, a series of comparative feeding tests, using tubifex, were carried out to deduce, by a process of elimination, the primary sense/s involved. Laboratory observations on feeding subjects had indicated that not until the forehead is in very close proximity to food, usually less than 1 cm , does a subject display any form
of feeding activity or give any indication that it is aware of presence of food, unless it has been alerted and attracted by the feeding activity of other individuals (see p. 103 ). This suggested that whatever sense/s were involved in food location and feeding they normally operate only at short range.

## (i) Vision

The results of the day and night feeding experiments discussed on $p .114$ suggested that Vision plays no special role in the location of food. Nevertheless a specific experiment was undertaken to examine this. four pairs of subjects, all of similar size (40-45mm SL), were placed in 4 separate tanks ( $36 \times 18 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep) filled to a depth of 4 cm with artesian water. Feeding tests were carried out during the late morning of the dates shown in Table 60, by placing 20 tubifex in each tank for a period of 2 hours and noting how many were consumed a.t the end of this period. One pair of tanks was illuminated by the means of a 'Planet' fluorescent lamp suspended 25 cm immediately above the water surface whilst the other pair was covered with a thick black cloth so that the subjects were in darkness (see Fig. 21 ). Llthough no water temperatures were recorded it is unlikely that there was any significant difference between
the different tanks since all were kept in the same laboratory room in close proximity to each other and the heat emitted from the fluorescent lamp was considered to be insignificant. After 2 hours, an inspection was made of the number of tubifex remaining in each tank. \&s a control, for each suceeding test the illumination conditions were reversed so that the subjects who had previously been fed under illumination were then subject to feeding in darkness and vice versa.

There is no consistent difference between the comparative results given in Table 60 so it is concluded that in C. eremius vision is not essential in locating food and that the capacity to locate food is dependent on other factors. There is no statistically significant difference between the quantities of tubifex eaten in light and dark (see Appendix $V$, Report $C$ )。 (ii) Movement

A further series of tests were carried out to determine if movement of the food assisted in its location. Eight different subjects were employed, housed in pairs in each of 4 tanks similar to those used in the previous experiment. Into each of one pair of tanks 20 live tubifex Were introduced whilst into each of the other
pair 20 dead tubifex were placed. These were killed immediately prior to the test by being momentarily immersed in boiling water. During a feeding period of 2 hours all tanks were illuminated equally by a 'Planet' fluorescent lamp set 25 cm above the water surface. At the end of the feeding period the number of tubifex remaining in each tank were counted. In the following trial the live and dead tubifex were placed in the opposite pair of tanks so that subjects previously fed live tubifex were fed dead tubifex and vice versa. Since the previous experiment had demonstrated that vision has no influence on the capacity to locate tubifex any significant difference in the number of dead or alive tubifex consumed in this present experiment would suggest that sensory vibrations set up by moving prey are significant in enabling $C$. eremius to locate food.

The results of this experiment are presented in Table 61. Since there is no significant difference in the two sets of readings, it is concluded that movement of the prey are not important in the location of food. There is no statistically significant difference between the quantities of live and dead tubifex eaten (see Appendix $V$, Report D)。

Another similar experiment was conducted in which two groups of subjects were alternately fed live tubifex under illumination and dead tubifex in darkness, i.e. two separate pairs were each tested for the number of live tubifex consumed under illumination and compared against another two separate pairs being fed dead tubifex in darkness. The results from this experiment, as shown in Table 62, confirm the conclusions of the previous two series of experiments that neither vision or movement play any important role in the location of food. Again there is no statistically significant difference between the quantity of illuminated tubifex eaten and the quantity of dead tubifex eaten in darkness (see Appendix $V$, Report E).
(iii) "Touch" Sense via Ventral Fins.

Since Haines (1968) observed in Ellogobius olorum that the ventral fins appeared to be adapted as a sense organ used to detect food by actual contact with food, I examined whether this was the case with C.eremius especially as sense receptors have been located in the fins of a number of bottom-living fishes including Trigla sp. (von Frische, 1950), (Scharrer, 1935).

Observations upon C. eremius feeding on tubifex did not indicate that the ventral fins were
employed in food perception. Nevertheless an experiment was carried out, because any sensory receptors present in the ventral fins need not necessarily require actual contact to detect food.

Using two tanks (36 x 18 x 20 cm deep) filled to a depth of 4.0 cm of artesian water, I selected two batches of 10 subjects each, each representing a similar size range (30-45mm SL). From one batch I removed the ventral fins of each individual by means of surgical scissors and left those of the other group intact as a control. Each batch was placed in separate tanks and left 24 hours to allow the subjects from whom fins had been removed to recover. At the conclusion of this period 20 live tubifex were introduced into each of the tanks. These were kept in darkness by placing a black cloth over both to eliminate any possible use of the visual sense. After 2 hours the tanks were inspected to see how many tubifex had been eaten in each. Two similar feeding trials were run with the same subjects on a later occasion.

The results of these trials are presented in Table 63. The marked discrepancy in the results of the first trial and the final two
trials suggests that the test group had not fully recovered after their fins were removed at the time of the first trial. Assuming this to be the case it is concluded that since in the two final trials an equal number of tubifex were consumed by those subjects devoid of ventral fins as those with intact fins that the ventral fins are not employed to any sifnificant extent in locating food.

## (iv) Olfaction/Gustation

Having eliminated vision, vibration recognition and food recognition by the ventral fins as significant iactors assisting in the location of food, I then examined the role of the olfactory/gustatory senses.

An experiment was designed in which a tank (36 x 18 x 20cm deep) in size was employed. Small swabs, approximately 5 mm in diameter, were prepared from tightly compressed lint bound in cotton thread and attached to short lengths of platinum wire. Before each trial the tank was filled to a depth of 400 cm with artesian water. A single fish was put into the tank and allowed to settle down for 1 hour. The tank was illuminated by a 'Planet' fluorescent lamp set 25 cm above the water surface while the rest of the laboratory room was darkened.

For a period of 15 minutes before the start of the trial one swab was immersed in freshly macerated tubifex. Immediately before the trial commenced the swab was removed and briefly washed with distilled water to remove tissue fragments. A control swab had previousIy been immersed in macerated tubifex in order that it would acquire the slight pigmentation imparted by the macerated tubifex but was then washed in several changes of hot distilled water to remove as much odour as possible. Immediately prior to the trial the two swabs were introduced into the water in the tank, one at either end, 1 cm above the tank bottom, and fixed in position by looping the attaching wires over the rim of the tank (see figure 22).

Sitting at a distance of several metres from the tank in the darkened room in order not to disturb the subject in the illuminated tank, I proceeded to score the number of close approaches and biting motions made by the subject during a 1 hour period. it the conclusion or this interval, the 2 swabs were removed, the test swab being reintroduced into freshly macerated tubifex shortly before the next trial and the control swab again washed in several changes of hot distilled water.

The artesian water was replaced with a fresh supply, the same subject was reintroduced for a second trial which was run after a 1 hour settling down period, but the position of the control and test swabs were reversed. This procedure was repeated with a further 3 different subjects.

Since the test and control swabs were similar in all respects, except that the test one was infused with macerated tubifex solution, any differences in response elicited by the 2 swabs would presumably be due to different stimuli on the olfactory/gustatory senses. The results presented in Table 64 clearly indicate that the prepared swab stimulated a significantly greater number of approaches and biting responses than the neutral control swab. It is therefore concluded that the olfactory and/or gustatory sense is the predominant factor enabling $C$ o eremius to locate food, and in stimulating feeding action. The pronounced drop in response to both the trial and control swab (in most instances) during the second trial run for each subject suggests that a learning process may be occurring due to inability to complete the feeding action during the initial trial run. There is a highly significant statistical difference between the number of approaches to the prepared and control swab (see Appendix $V$, momant in REPORT F).

## 3. RESPONSES TO CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE ENVIRONMENT.

(a) Preamble

In each of the following series of experiments the test subjects were housed in a transparent perspex tank ( $40 \times 31 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep) in 3 cms depth of water. Each experiment was a habitat preference trial in which the fish were observed over a period of time to establish their preference (if any) for one or other of the pair of environments presented to them, one in each half of the tank. The trials were scored by recording every 5 minutes the number of fish present in each half of the tank, thus getting an overall measure of the relative time the members of the test group spent under each of the alternative conditions over the total period of the experiment.
(b) Light

To establish if and how Co eremius responds to light I conducted a series of trials to determine the preference shown by individuals for illumination versus darkness. In each trial 10 fish were placed in the tank described. Black matt paper was attached to the underlying surface of the tank to reduce light reflections. Half the tank was illuminated with white light from a 'Planet' fluorescent lamp placed 25 cm above the surface of the water whilst the other half of the tank was maintained in darkness by means of a blackened wood mask (see fig. 24). The heat from the lamp was negligible,
therefore water temperature was virtually uniform throughout the tank. When a group of test fish were placed in the tank a settling down period of 1 hour was allowed to elapse before a trial commenced. During each trial, every 5 minutes over a 1 hour period the numbers of fish in the open and darkened sections of the tank respectively were noted. At the end of each trial the darkened and illuminated halves of the tank were reversed and a settling down period of 1 hour was allowed to elapse before the next trial. Different fish were used for each pair of trials.

The results of the 12 trials conducted are presented in Table 65 . It is clear that C. eremius is strongly negatively phototactic, spending more than $70 \%$ of its time in the darkened part of the tank.
(c) Background Reflectivity

To determine whether C. eremius is selective
in regard to the amount of light reflected from the background over which it moves I conducted a series of trials scoring the preference shown for a dark background versus a light background on the same basis as before. Again employing the same tank as before I attached to the underlying surface two different coloured papers. Thus one half of the tank had a matt black background, the other half a matt yellow background. A 'Planet' fluorescent lamp was set longitudinally 25 cm above the water surface. Two trials, each of 2 hours
duration, were conducted employing the same 10 f ish in each trial. At the end of the first trial the background colours were reversed to the opposite ends of the tank and a 1 hour settling down period allowed to elapse before the next trial.

The results of the 2 trials are presented
in Table 66 from which it appears that Co eremius has only a very slight preference, if any, for a dark coloured background. There is no statistically significant difference between the time spent over the black and yellow backgrounds (see Appendix $V$, report H).
(d) Background Texture

To determine whether $C_{0}$ eremius has any
preference for the texture of the bed over which it settles I conducted a series of trials in which I compared the preference for a coarse-textured bed versus a smooth-textured bed.

In the test tank Ifitted a wooden ( 3 ply )
bed. The surface of one half of the wood bed was left smooth whilst the other half was coarsened by means of a stout nail brush to break up the surface. To all intents the colour of the two sections was the same, but the smooth surface did reflect somewhat more light. A 'Planet' fluorescent lamp was set longitudinally 25 cm above the water surface. A series of 6 trials, each of 1 hour duration, were
conducted. Five fish were used in each trial and the same fish were employed in all trials. At the end of each trial the 2 different beds were reversed to opposite ends of the tank and a period of at least 1 hour allowed to elapse before the nexttrial commenced.

The results of the 6 trials are presented in Table 67. It is seen that the species exhibits a very strong selectivity of some $86 \%$ for a smooth-textured bed in preference to a coarse-textured bed. Since in the previous experiment C. eremius showed no significant preference for a far less reflective matt black surface it seems reasonable to assume that the effect of the slightly less reflective coarse-textured bed in this experiment is negligible.
(e) Background Medium.

In the natural habitat of C. eremius stream beds are frequently encountered consisting in one section of light coloured coarse-textured sand and in another section dark coloured fine-textured silt, for example, as at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24), Nunn's Bore (locality 27) and Wobna Spring (locality 35).

On the basis of the previous 2 laboratory experiments it appears that the species would show, with regard to texture, a strong preference for fine-textured beds, but with regard to reflectivity no perceivable preference. Thus with regard to coarse sand and fine silt it would be expected that the species would show a preference for silt
rather than the sand, irrespective of the difference in reflectivity of the two mediums.

In order to establish which of these media are preferred I conducted 2 trials to determine the relative preferences of the species. Again employing the same tank I prepared 2 different beds, one silt the other sand, from material collected at Wobna Spring (locality 35). Thus one half of the tank contained a light coloured coarse sand bed, the other half a dark fine silt bed, both of a uniform depth of 1 cm . A 'Planet' fluorescent lamp was placed longitudinally 25 cm above the water surface. During each 1 hour trial using 10 fish, the number of fish over the sand and the silt respectively was scored every 5 minutes. At the conclusion of the first trial the tank was turned around so that the beds were facing opposite ends of the laboratory and a period of 1 hour allowed to elapse before the second trial was commenced using the same 10 subjects.

The results of the 2 trials presented in Table 68 show that there is a strong preference for the fine dark silt as shown by the time spent over it. This preference is what was expected on the basis of the earlier experiments but the degree of preference is not as great as anticipated though this is possibly due to a greater degree of difference in textures between those of the artifically prepared beds and those of the natural beds. There is a statistically significant difference between the times spent over each of the two different beds (see Appendix $V$, reportI).
(f) Aquatic Vegetation.

Field observations (see p. 95) indicate that greater numbers of C. eremius tend to be trap ped in those sections of a stream where aquatic vegetation, particularly filamentous green algae, is abundant rather than in poorly vegetated areas.

To measure the degree of preference shown for the algal environment I conducted a series of comparative trials scoring the time spent amongst algae as opposed to open water. With matt black paper attached to the bottom of the test tank to reduce light reflection I placed a quantity of live green algae (Spirogyra sp.) collected from Wobna Spring (locality 35) uniformly and densely throughout one half of the tank and left the other half as open water. A 'Planet' fluorescent lamp was placed longitudinally 25 cm above the water surface.

During each 1-hour long trial the number of fish, of a total of 10 (different subjects for each trial), on top and beneath the algae and in the open water section respectively, were scored every 5 minutes. At the conclusion of each trial the fish were removed and the algae replaced in the opposite end of the tank. New subjects were then introduced and a period of 1 hour allowed to elapse before the next trial commenced.

Table 69 presents the results of the 3 trials conducted. It is apparent that members of the species spend much longer amongst aquatic algae than in open water.

Since, overall, the fish occurred in about equal numbers lying on top of the surface of the algae as beneath it, it appears that little of the preference for the algae in this experiment can be directly attributable to the characteristic negatively phototactic response demonstrated previously (see p. 124). In fact there appears to be no phototactic response, either positive or negative, indicated by the results of this experiment. It is possible that the algae were packed so densely that the fish were unable to remain beneath its surface for any prolonged period without impeding respiratory activity.

## 4. BODY COIOUR CHANGES.

C. eremius has the ability to undergo changes in body colour between light yellow and black. I observed in the field that individuals inhabiting bodies of water with predominantly light coloured beds, for example, Wobna Spring (locality 35) invariably have minimal development of dark pigmentation so that the characteristic transverse banding of the dorsal surface (see p. 9 ) is barely apparent. On the other hand individuals inhabiting waters with predominantly dark coloured beds, for example, Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) and Nunn's Bore (locality 27) are usually very darkly pigmented and are often almost uniformly dark over the dorsal and lateral surfaces, with little indication of banding. This adaptation to background colour would seem likely to
constitute a protective asset against predators, in particular birds.

Fry (1957) has pointed out that in fish the time required for movements of pigments to occur from one extreme to the other is highly variable. In Crenilabrus sp. for example, change can occur within a few seconds, in Fundulus sp. in 1-2 minutes, in Ameiurus sp. 1-35 hours, whilst Anguilla sp. takes 20 days.

In the course of handling laboratory stock it became evident to me that $C_{\text {. eremius }}$ may respond to different intensities of background colour relatively quickly but that individuals vary in their rapidity of response and in the extent to which they respond. A series of tests was, therefore, conducted to measure the time taken to respond to changes in background colour. Fourteen fish were placed in a small glass-bottomed tank ( $36 \times 18 \mathrm{x} 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep) containing 4 cm depth of water. The tank was set on a black matt paper background and illuminated by a 'Planet' fluorescent lamp placed longitudinally 25 cm above the water surface. The heat emitted from the lamp was negligible. The subjects were kept continuously illuminated for 24 hours over the black background when there was an increase in the density of visible dark pigment. At the end of this period the fish were placed, one at a time, by means of a small dab net, into an adjacent tank of the same size, also illuminated from above but with a matt white paper background beneath
the bottom of the tank. Using a stop watch the time that elapsed between introduction into the second tank and the first discernible change (a lightening) in body colouring was measured, by two observers, for each individual. The fish were then retained under continuous illumination over a white background for 24 hours, when there was a marked reduction in the apparent density of the pigmentation. The fish were then replaced in the first tank over the black background and the times noted for the first discernable darkening to occur in each subject, two observers again taking part. These tests were then repeated. Table 70 presents the times taken in these tests. It can be seen that the time taken for an initial response to be recorded varied between 2.0 and 29.0 seconds.

Although no specific tests have been conducted to measure the time for maximum change to occur, several very darkly pigmented subjects when placed on an illuminated white background in the laboratory completed the change to a light sandy yellow within a period of 60-120 seconds. Such rapidly completed extreme changes in pigmentation are not, however, typical of the species.

Since the change in pigmentation that occurred when each fish was transferred to a different background colour may have been due to factors other than the background colour, a second series of control tests were run in which the same fish used in the above tests were first placed
for 24 hours in an illuminated tank over a white background and then transferred individually into a similarly illuminated adjacent tank, also with a white background. Following this the tank was placed over black paper and the fish were then kept for 24 hours over a black background and then transferred individually into another tank also with a black background. In no instance did any of the fish display any perceivable change in body colour upon being transferred to a background colour similar to the one they had been held over for the previous 24 hours. Thus it is concluded that the change in body colour that occurs when subjects are transferred to a different background colour is due primarily to the background colour itself and not to any difference in temperature, water conditions or an emotional factor induced by handling.

Fry (1957) has also pointed out that although colour changes generally depend on visual stimulation and that usually a blinded fish becomes dark and remains so while illuminated, regardless of changes in background colour, a number of fishes, including two species of Gobius, continue to respond to changes in illumination after blinding. Fry (1957) states that responses to background colour may also be initiated by photoreceptors in the skin (possibly by direct or reflex activation of chromatophores), the pineal complex and possibly by other nervous structures not yet identified.

To determine if body pigments respond to changes in background colour in blind Co eremius, 5 fish rendered and confirmed blind in a previously described experiment (see p. 109 ) were placed with 5 vision-intact fish of similar size in a tank under illumination over a black background for 24 hours. The fish, which were all now darkly pigmented, were then transferred individually to a tank with an illuminated white background when a colour lightening occurred and the pigment response times noted. The fish were then held over a white background for 24 hours after which they were transferred back into the tank over the black background where a darkening occurred and response times were again recorded. From the results of these observations (see Table 71 ) it is seen that both the blind and the vision-intact fish responded to changes in background colour. That is, blinding had not abolished the pigment response in changes to background colour.

Thus it appears that in Coeremius chromatophores respond to stimulation other than via the optical system, although this probably is the principal effector when it is intact. In fact, because the mean time taken by the blind fish to respond was somewhat greater than that taken by the vision intact fish this implies that the pignent response does depend in part on visual stimulation since it is effected more rapidly by this means than via extraoptical photoreceptors alone.

An analysis of the data in Table 71 indicates a statistically significant difference between the mean response times of blind and vision-intact fish when transferred from white to black backgrounds (see Appendix
$V$, report J). However, there is no significant difference in the mean response times of the two groups of fish when transferred from black to white backgrounds (Appendix $V$, report $K$ ). These differences in significances could well be due to errors caused by the subjective method of recording the responses. It was intended that this factor would be minimised by employing two observers. A larger volume of data, employing greater numbers of fish, would undoubtedly enable more conclusive results to be made.

The differences in the mean times taken (in both groups) when transferred from black to white backgrounds from when transferred from white to black backgrounds could be due to either (a) differences in detecting colour changes against different coloured backgrounds, or, (b) a genuine response time difference, that is, the change from dark to light colour might be effected more rapidly than the change from light to dark colour.

As previously demonstrater, C. eremius feeds both by night and by day in laboratory tanks (see p. 114 ). This suggests that in the natural habitat the species is similarly active night and day. In order to measure the relative day/night activity pattern of the species I conducted a series of trappings of the population at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) on different occasions between December 1968 and September 1970.

The main day/night trappings (Series 1A - 6A) were made in a large side pool approximately 6 metres in diameter whose depth ranged between 15 and 30 cm . Each trapping consisted of 9 non-baited wire mesh traps (see Appendix $D$ for description). Set in a cross pattern 1 metre apart (see figure 20), placed in position from the side of the pool by means of a 2 metre long pole with attached line and hook, so as to disturb the pool as little as possible (see figure 25). On the occasion of the first 4 series of trappings (See Table 72) the traps were set alternately one or more times for 8 hours of darkness followed by 8 hours of daylight (or vice versa) that is, the firsi setiing was made at night, the second setting in daylight (or vice versa) and so on and at similar times. Counts were made of the number of fish in each trap at the conclusion of each setting but they were not sexed. The final 2 series of trappings (Series 5A and 6A) were conducted
as straight runs, that is, a number of successive night trappings of 8 hours duration each, followed by an equal number of day trapoings (or vice versa) and on these occasions counts were made of each sex. The results of all these trappings (Series 1 A to 6 A ) together with the phases of the moon are presented in Tables 72 and 73 .

Another series of similarly conducted trappings (Series $1 B$ to $4 B$ ) were made in a second smaller pool at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24), employing 2-4 traps for each setting. The first 3 of these series were alternate day/night trappings; the fish were not sexed. The 4 th in these series of trappings comprised 3 successive day trappings followed by 3 successive night trappings; in this series the fish were sexed and their standard lengths noted. The results of these trappings (Series $1 B$ to $4 B$ ) are presented in Tables 74 and 75 .

Series 1 A and 2A (see Table 72 ) show a pattern in which peak activity occurs during night hours. Series 3A did not however follow this pattern, and indicated neither a dayor night activity peak; the progressive drop in numbers with each successive trapping suggests that disturbance of the habitat through setting and removing traps had possibly interfered with normal activity on this occasion. Series 4A is again inconclusive and if anything suggests equal activity in both night and day. Series 5 A and 6 A in which the settings were made at successively similar times indicate
that activity is 20-30 per cent greater during daylight than at night. The difference in proportion of the numbers of males and females trapped day and night may be due to greater activity on the part of females, but more probably, to a difference in the populations sex ratio (see p. $4^{0}$ ). However males appear to display relatively less reduction in activity at night than females. It will be noted that in Series 5A and 6A that the order in which the trappings were conducted was different, that is, in Series 5A the day trappings were made first whilst in the Series 6A the night trappings were made first; this was in order to counteract any effect on the trapping rates due to the habitat being disturbed during the early settings on each occasion.

The results of Series $1 B-4 B$ conducted in the smaller pool (see Tables74-75) are also conflicting in that whilst the data from Series $1 B$ suggest a peak activity rate during daylight hours the results of Series 2 B suggest a night peak. It will be noted that the results of Series $2 B$ are similar to those obtained with the simultaneously conducted trappings in the larger pool, that is, Series 1 A (see Table 72 )。

These results are fairly conflicting in that though the species always displayed some activity, both night and day, there were occasions when there was an exceptional preponderance of night activity and others when this occurred during daylight hours.

The possibility that these enhanced levels of day or night activity may be influenced by the degree of moonlight, and therefore lunar phases, has been considered. Taking the total counts from all the comparable night trappings conducted at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) and Wobna mound Spring (locality 35) (see Table P ) respectively, I separated them according to the Iunar phase prevailing on the night of trapping as shown in Tables 76 and 77 . I then determined the mean trapping rate during each phase and compared one with the other. On the basis of the limited number of data available it can be seen that, at both localities, the tendency appears to be for greater numbers to be trapped (=greater activity) during the new moon phase than during either quarter or full moon phases; also, for greater numbers to be trapped during quarter moon than full moon phases. Thus an increasing amount of moonlight appears to progressively depress the numbers trapped and hence indicates a lower level of activity.

However, only at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) is there a statistically significant difference between the trapping rates during full moon and the other lunar phases (see Appendix $\sqrt{ }$, report $L$ ). This significant difference applies between full moon and all the other phases but reservations are held about the comparison between full moon and new moon since the new moon data comprises only two observations.

As pointed out by McDowall (1969) lunar rythms have been reported in several fishes but only a very few, well authenticated, cases involving non-marine species have been found. Regarding one of the latter, (Galaxias attenuatus (Jenyns), Burnet (1965) found that mature adults migrate downstream to esturine spawning grounds just before full moon. Deelder (1954) concluded that in Anguilla anguilla $L$ 。migration to the sea is influenced by the phase of the moon but that this is not through the direct influence of moonlight as migrations are known to occur regardless of night sky conditions. Lowe (1952) on the other hand found that the same species will migrate only when water is turbid but that lunar influenceis disrupted by cloud cover and suggested that light quality may be a critical factor. Savage and Hodgson (1934) found a lunar rythmn in the quantity of herring (species not specified) caught commercially, a peak catch occurring about full moon, and Moore (1958) reported lunar rythmins in the commercial species Pagallus centrodontus Cuv。\& Val. However Blaxter and Holliday (1963) have shown that it is difficult to establish if apparent lunar rythumns based on commercial catch data indicate true fish activity rhythrns.

With Co eremius the picture is confusing but it is possible that a lunar influenced activity pattern operates; careful analysis of considerably more data will be necessary to establish whether this is so or not.

I have evidence from trapping data to suggest that C. eremius possesses a characteristic annual pattern of fluctuating activity levels. The most complete set of trappings made at one locality over an extended period has been at Wobna Spring (locality 35). The total counts from each series of trap pings made at this locality between September 1968 and November 1970 (see Appendix $P$ ) are plotted in Graphs27-28 Although far from complete, a similarity is noted between approximately corresponding time periods, notably september-December 1968 with September-November 1970 and December-June 1968-69 with November-August 1969-70, which does suggest that a regular cycle of activity is operating. What trapping data is available from Johnson's $\mathbb{N} 0$. 3 Bore (locality 24) (see Tables 76 and 77 ) and Nunn's Bore (locality 27) (see Appendix 0 ) for the same period is also plotted in Graphs27-28, and although sparse, what is represented, does appear to present a somewhat similar pattern, though out of phase with those from Wobna Springs (locality 35)。

Graph 29 was obtained by combining the trapping data available for each month at Wobna Springs (where more than one set of data available by calculating a mean) from different years into a single year. I have in this way attempted to provide as complete a picture for a hypothetical year as is possible with the scattered data available. It will be noted that in this form of presentation, the correlations between Wobna Springs (locality 35) and the other two localities are somewhat
more similar than in Graph 28 , though still out of phase.

This apparent activity pattern may be due to the influence of lunar phases as discussed earlier. It is however possible that this form of presentation may conceal a component due to varying water temperatures attributable to seasonal changes in ambient temperature. If there is such a seasonal temperature effect on activity this is more likely to occur with fish inhabiting standing or slowly moving bodies of water than those in swiftly flowing streans where water temperature remains fairly constant.
6. AERIAL RESPIRATION. of less than 1 cm depth with the forepart of the body out of water during the midale of the day in warm summer weather at the Old Peake Homestead bore (locality 15) on 21.XI.69: ambient temperature $=32.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, water temperature $=29.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-35.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Vigorous movements of the opercular of these fish suggested they were breathing air。

At Coward Springs railway bore (locality 34) on 22.II.68, during the early afternoon, 60 fish that had just been collected were placed in 5 cm depth of water (water temperature $=30.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in an enamel bucket in the shade。 After 15 minutes under these crowded conditions the fish displayed signs of distress. Individuals approached the water surface with gaping mouths, air bubbles formed at the water/air interface, several fish soon collapsed and ceased all movement. Shortly thereafter fish began to leap repeatedly out of the water and to throw themselves against the wall of the bucket to which they remained attached for varying periods of time.

The fish were mostly attached to the wall by their ventral surface, presumably, principally by means of the suction disc formed by the united ventral fins. Some however attached by the sides of their bodies and held on by capillarity or by mucous adhesion. Whilst out of water such fish exhibited very active opercular
movements and it appeared that they were breathing air. The fish in the bucket leapt between approximately 1 and 15 cm above the water surface and remained out of the water for periods varying between 25 and 115 seconds before returning. Clocked times of individual periods spent out of the water by some of the fish were as follows, 25, 40, 45, 85, 105, 115 seconds. On occasions some fish that leapt only a short distance out of water remained with the tail still immersed.

Upon returning to the water the fish usually slid back down the bucket wall but some actively threw themselves off the wall.

Several blades of a reed common at Coward Springs bore, Typha angustifolia Lo, $_{0}$ were later suspended into the bucket and subsequently several fish were observed to leap on to and attach to the surface of the blades. However the fish appeared to show no particular preference for the surface selected for attachment, whether bucket wall or reed blade.

All the fish in the bucket suddenly collapsed, ceased respiration and died 75 minutes after being introduced, presumably due to excessive depletion of the quantity of dissolved oxygen in the water.

On a subsequent visit to Coward Spring railway bore on 22.III.68, 20 freshly collected fish were placed in the same bucket in 2.5 cm depth of water (water temperature $=28.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) . Graph paper was placed around the
internal wall of the bucket in order to measure the heights to which the fish were expected to leap from the water. After a period of 1 hour the fish had not behaved as on the previous occasion and attached themselves to the wall, although a few did leap a short distance of up to 5 cm out of the water but immediately fell back. Water temperature however was $2.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ lower on this occasion and the fish appeared less crowded. There was therefore probably a lower rate of dissolved oxygen depletion on this occasion and assuming that a certain critical oxygen level is the stimulus causing the fish to leap from the water this would account for the difference in behaviour on this occasion.

Fish maintainea in laboratory tanks during warm weather and exposed to sun-light near windows, have been observed to lie for prolonged periods with the head and forepart of the body out of water resting on the surfaces of emerging clumps of silt and plant roots (Phragmites communis). Active opercular movements by these fish again suggest that aerial respiration is being performed in response to depleted dissolved oxygen levels. The water in a laboratory tank ( 36 x 18 x 20cm deep) contai ning 5 fish was allowed to evaporate without being replaced during a 6 week period in January-February 1970. When the water depth reached zero the fish were observed, alive, lying on the surface of the damp silt. Whilst lying out of the water the fish continually opened and closed their mouths and operculae. This suggested that
aerial respiration was being performed. The fish survived in this situation for 4 days until the silt bed dried out, whereupon they died, presumably due to dessication. These fish were not observed to attempt to burrow into the silt in response to the water level dropping to zero (see p. 58 ).

The ability to respire direct from the atmosphere is clearly an appropriate adaptation for fish inhabiting waters of high mineral content which are subject,to relatively high temperatures and also to severe seasonal reduciion through high evaporation rates in aummer. Such factors must cause the aquatic environment to be subject to periods of quite low oxygen tension, a condition further exaggerated by cattle urine, faeces, decaying carcasses and the disruption of water beds by movement of the cattle. This latter effect is particularly prevelent in the Central Australian region, especially in the summer months when cattle converge on bores and springs. Aerial respiration would clearly constitute a useful and possibly important survival mechanism for any fish living in such circumstances.

Brown (1957) has pointed out that many fishes have been claimed to breath air on insufficient evidence and that in many instances air breathing has been ascribed on the basis of biological rather than physiological evidence. Nevertheless Brown (1957) does accept that non-physiological evidence may be convincing. I consider
that the observations I have described here are strongly indicative of aerial respiration on the part of Coremius. Furthermore as Brown (1957) states, accessory air breathing organs may occur in almost any part of the alimentary canal or in the gill chamber, but that the use of gills themselves for aerial respiration is rare. I have examined the internal walls of opercular chambers of living $C_{0}$ eremius and have not noted any particular vascularization of the epithelium. This suggests that the opercular chambers can be disregarded as accessory air-breathing organs in this instance.

Aerial respiration nevertheless appears to be a possibly significant aspect of the biology of C. eremius and one which is clearly worthy of more detailed investigation.

## Table 54

Range of Occupation and Relative Abundance of $\underline{C}$. eremius along the stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35), over the period March 1968 to May 1971.

Data for 22.III.68-26.VII. 68 inclusive and 1.III.71 - 31.V.71 inclusiveg based on sightings only. Remainder based on trappings (see Appendix F ) and sightings. The values above the line for each respective date indicates the total number of fish trapped at the particular stations, those below the number of males and females respectively, that is $\frac{10}{773}=$ a total of 10 fish comprising 7 males, 3 females; where the total number (above) exceeds the combined sex values (beneath) this is due to one or more immature fish being trapped and which could not be sexed.

Although a trap was regularly set at station 1, it invariably sank deeply into the soft bed present at that point so that it became ineffective. Hence, visual sightings were the only reliable guide to the presence and abundance or the absence of fish at that station.

The $X$ marked along the line for each respective date indicates the station at which maximum number of fish were trapped on these particular dates.

Distance and positions of the various stations along the Wobna Spring (locality 35) stream are given in Appendix


## Table SS

Water Flow Rates, Wobna Spring (locality 35)
Flow rates recorded at different sections along
the stream at Wobna Spring on 10.VI.70. They were determined from the mean value of six readings of the time a weighted phial took to be carried along a distance of 4 metres at each section.

## Table 55

| Section of Stream | Water FIOW metres/sec. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station 1. Spring head pool (at side opposite flow exit)。 | 0 |
| Station 2. (run, 2m either side). | 0.32 |
| Station 3. (run, 4 m upstream of Station 3)。 | 0.70 |
| Midway between Stations 3-4. | 0.66 |
| Nijdway between Stations 4-5. | 0.45 |
| Station 5. (run, 2m either side). | 0.39 |
| Station 6. (run, 2m either side). | 0.36 |
| Station 7. (run, 4m upstream of Station 7). | 0.46 |
| Station 8. (run, 2 m either side). | 0.42 |
| Station 9. (run, 2m either side). | 0.56 |
| Station 10. (run, 4m downstream) | 0.38 |
| Station 11. (run, 2 m either side) | 0.36 |
| Side pool adjacent Station 10. | 0 |

## Figure 18

Equipment employed to study orientation in a water current.


## Table 56

Response by $C$. eremius to a current of water flowing in a raceway.


Table 56

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \text { H } \\ & H \end{aligned}$ | Trial | Direction of Water Flow |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NiI <br> water <br> stationary | $\xrightarrow{\text { Clockwise }}$ | Anti- <br> clockwise <br> $\longleftarrow$ |
|  | 1 (control) | $\longleftarrow \longleftrightarrow$ |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | $\rightleftarrows$ |  |
|  | 3 |  |  | $\rightleftarrows$ |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  | $\vec{Z}$ |
|  | 6 | $\longleftarrow \quad \overrightarrow{ } \quad \underset{ }{\rightleftarrows}$ |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}$ |
|  | 9 |  | $\stackrel{\leftrightarrows}{\longleftarrow} \quad \longrightarrow$ |  |
|  | 10 |  |  | $\Longrightarrow$ |

## Table 57

Total scores in each of five one hour long trials recording the presence or absence of blinded and non-blinded C. eremius under illumination or in darkness in a half masked tank. Scores made every five minutes. Five fish in each group.

Table 57

|  |  | Total nu over a o every fi | er of "pres hour perio minutes. | nces" rec scoring | ded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | "Blind | "group | Vision- | tact grour |
| Trial | Date recorded | In light | In darkness | In light | In darknes |
| 1 | 5.VIII.71 | 39 | 26 | 18 | 47 |
| 2 | 5.VIII.71 | 44 | 21 | 13 | 52 |
| 3 | 6.VIII. 71 | 35 | 30 | 4 | 61 |
| 4 | 10.VIII.70 | 14 | 51 | 7 | 58 |
| 5 | 10.VIII.70 | 13 | 52 | 4 | 61 |
| Totals |  | 145 | 180 | 46 | 279 |
| Percentages |  | 44.6\% | 55.4\% | 14.1\% | 85.9\% |

## Table 58

Responses to water flow by 5 blinded and 5 vision-intact $\underline{C}$. eremius subjects.

Trials conducted 15.VII.71.
$\longrightarrow$ Indicates subject is facing, either stationary or swimming, in a clockwise direction.

〔. Indicates subject is facing, either stationary or swimming, in an anti-clockwise direction.

Table 58

| Trial | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nil } \\ \text { (water } \\ \text { stationary) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Direction of Water Flow |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Clockwise |  | Anti-clockwise |  |
|  | Vision intact subject | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Blind } \\ & \text { subject } \end{aligned}$ | Vision intact subject | Blind subject | Vision intact subject | Blind subject |
| $\left(\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ (\text { control }) \end{array}\right.$ | $\vec{\longrightarrow} \leftarrow$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \Longrightarrow & \leftarrow \\ \longrightarrow & \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | $\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\rightleftarrows}$ | $\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\leftarrow}$ |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  | $\longrightarrow$ $\vec{\longrightarrow}$ |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ $\vec{\square}$ |

## Figure 19

Equipment employed to study response to an artificial stream.

## Figure 20

Pattern in which traps were set in pool "A" at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24).


FISH TRAPS Placed at 1 metre intervals.

## Figure 21

Equipment employed to study role of vision in feeding。

## Figure 22

Equipment employed to study role of olfaction/gustation in feeding.

## Figure 23

Equipment employed to study surface feeding.


GLRES ROD WITH SUSPENDED

## FOREEPS HOLOIMG LIVE



| Trial Date |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Duration of } \\ \text { expt. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Water } \\ & \text { Temp } \\ & \text { or } \end{aligned}$ | No. of tubifex consumed at end of 2 hour period. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.vi. 71 |  | (1030-1230 hours | 14.5 | 20 |
| 17.vi.71 |  | (1030-1230 hours | 11.4 | 20 |
| 18.vi. 71 |  | (2045-2245 hours | 12.5 | 20 |
| 19.vi.71 |  | (2045-2245 hours | 12.7 | 20 |

## Table 59

Numbers of tubifex consumed, out of a total of 20 , by 10 C. eremius during equal periods of time at night and in the day.

## Table 60

Number of live tubifex consumed out of a total of 20 by 10 C. eremius (a) under illumination, (b) in darkness, during a 2 hour period by 8 C. eremius. Some subjects used for all trials.

| Trial <br> Date | Group | No. of tubifex consumed at end of 2 hour period |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under <br> Illumination | $\begin{aligned} & \text { In } \\ & \text { Darkness } \end{aligned}$ |
| 25.ii. 69 | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & B \\ & B \\ & C \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 27.ii.69 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6.iii. 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 19 20 |
| 13.iii. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 17.iii. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 26.iii. 69 | A B C D | 20 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 9.iv. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14.iv. 69 | A B C D | 20 20 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL NO'S | CONSTMED | 308 | 309 |

Table 60

## Table 61

Number of (a) live (b) dead tubifex consumed out of a total of 20 in each instance, under :
illumination, during a two hour period, by 8
C. eremius.

Same subjects used for all trials.

| Trial <br> Date | Group | No. of tubifex consumed at end of 2 hour period under illumination |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jive | dead |
| 17.IV. 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline A \\ & B \\ & C \\ & C \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 20 19 |
| 21.17. 69 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & C \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 19 19 |
| 23.IV. 69 | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & A \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 19 19 |
| 29.IV. 69 | $\begin{aligned} & A \\ & A \\ & B \\ & C \\ & D \end{aligned}$ | 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.V. 69 | A B C D | 19 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 5.V.69 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \\ & \text { D } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7.V. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 21.7. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| TOTAL NO'S | CONS | 285 | 304 |

Table 61

## Table 62

Number of tubifex consumed out of a total of 20 presented to each of several pairs of $C$. eremius for a period of 2 hours; two pairs presented with a live tubifex under illumination whilst other two pairs presented with dead tubifex in darkness.

Table 62

| Trial | Date | Paix | No. of tubifex consumed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Live tubifex and <br> illuminated | Dead tubifex and darkness |
| 1 | 19.XII. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | 22.XII. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{array}{r} 8 \\ 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 3 | 30.XII. 69 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4 | 7.1.70 | A B C D | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Total no's tubifex consumed |  | 121 | 132 |


|  | No. of tubifex consumed at end of <br> two hour period. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trial <br> Date | Group devoid of <br> ventral fins | Group with intact <br> ventral fins |
| 3.IV.70 | 2 | 14 |
| 13.IV.70 | 20 | 20 |
| $16 . I V .70$ | 20 | 20 |

Table 63

Number of live tubifex consumed out of a total of 20 , in darkness, during a 2 hour period by
(a) 10 ․ eremius with ventral fins intact,
(b) 10 C. eremius with ventral fins removed.

## Table 64

Responses by $\underline{C}$. eremius to (a) tubifex
macerate impregnated swab, (b) neutral
control swab.

Table 64

| Trial Date | Subject | Trial | Prepared Swab |  | Control Swab |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | NTO. approaches | No. <br> Biting <br> motions | No. approaches | NO. Biting motions |
| 14.v.70 | 1 | a | 43 | 8 | 60 | 1 |
|  |  | b | 8 | 3 | 9 | 0 |
| 21.v.70 | 2 | a | 24 | 11 | 7 | 0 |
|  |  | b | 20 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 22.v.70 | 3 | a | 27 | 28 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | b | 16 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| 25.v.70 | 4 | a | 45 | 5 | 21 | 0 |
|  |  | b | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total No's approaches and biting motions |  |  | 191 | 60 | 107 | 1 |

Figure 24

Equipment employed to compare response to
light and darkness.


## Table 65

Light/dark preference by $\underline{\text { C. eremius. Ten subjects }}$ per trial. Different subjects for each pair of tirals. Each trial of one hour duration. Scoring every five minutes. In second trial of each pair, same subjects but light/dark sections reversed to opposite ends of tank.

Table 65

| Trial | Date recorded | Total scores C. eremius present |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In open under illumination | Under cover in darkness |
| 12 | 1.V.70 | 29 | 91 |
| 1 b | $1 . \mathrm{V} .70$ | 49 | 71 |
| 2 a | 2.V.70 | 32 | 88 |
| 2 b | 2.V.70 | 18 | 102 |
| 3 a | 2.V. 70 | 18 | 102 |
| 3b | 2.V.70 | 34 | 86 |
| 4 a | 12.V.70 | 16 | 104 |
| 4b | 12.V. 70 | 32 | 88 |
| 5a | $14 . \mathrm{V} .70$ | 40 | 80 |
| 5b | $14 . \mathrm{V} .70$ | 25 | 95 |
| 6 a | 15.V.70 | 51 | 69 |
| 6b | 15.V.70 | 38 | 82 |
| Total |  | 382 | 1058 |
| \% |  | 26.5 | 73.5 |

Table 66

Background colour preference by C.eremius. Some ten subjects for both trials. Each trial of 2 hours duration. Scoring numbers present every five minutes. In second trial backgrounds reversed to opposite ends of tank.

Table 66

| Trial | Date <br> recorded | Total scores $\frac{\text { C. eremius }}{\text { per trial }}$ present |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Over yellow | Over <br> border | Over <br> black |
| 1 | 2.IV. 70 | 102 | 7 | 131 |
| 2 | 2.IV. 70 | 107 | 13 | 120 |
| Total |  | 209 | 20 | 251 |
| \% |  | 43.5 | 4.2 | 52.3 |

## Table 67

Background texture preference by C. eremius. Same five subjects all trials. Each trial of one hour duration. Scoring every five minutes. Position of the different textured beds (3ply wood) reversed after each trial.

Table 67

| Trial | Date <br> recorded | Total scores C. eremius present |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Over course <br> textured bed | Over smooth <br> textured bed |
| 1 | 30. VIII.71 | 5 | 60 |
| 2 | 30. VIII.71 | 2 | 63 |
| 3 | 30. VIII.71 | 26 | 39 |
| 4 | 31. VIII.71 | 12 | 53 |
| 5 | 31. VIII.71 | 0 | 65 |
| Total |  | 45 | 280 |
| $\%$ |  | 13.8 | 86.2 |

## Table 68

Background medium preference by C. eremius, sand versus silt. Same ten subjects per trial. Each trial of one hour duration. Scoring numbers present every five minutes. In second trial backgrounds reversed to opposite ends of tank.

Table 68

| Trial | Date <br> recorded | Total scores C. eremius present <br> Over course <br> textured light <br> coloured sand | Over <br> border | Over fine <br> textured <br> dark coloured <br> silt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 42 | 2 | 86 |
|  |  | 51 | 2 | 77 |
| Total |  | 93 | 4 | 163 |
| $\%$ |  | 35.7 | 1.6 | 62.7 |


| Trial | Date <br> recorded | Total scores C. eremius present |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In open | Amongst Algae |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Beneath | Atop |
| 1 a | 26.II. 70 | 30 | 100 | 43 | 57 |
| 1 b | 26.II.70 | 46 | 84 | 39 | 45 |
| $2 a$ | 4.III. 70 | 7 | 123 | 72 | 51 |
| Total |  | 83 | 307 | 154 | 153 |
| \% |  | 21.3 | 78.7 | 39.5 | 39.2 |

## Table 69

Algae preference by $\underline{C}$. eremius. Ten subjects per trial. Different subjects for each pair of trials. Each trial of one hour duration. Scoring every five minutes. In second trial of each pair, same subjects but vegetated section reversed to opposite end of tank.

## Table 70

Times taken for $C$. eremius pigmentation to respond cryptically to changes in background colour. Employing same 14 subjects first two trials. Fewer subjects final two trials (due to deaths) but same batch.

|  |  | Transferring from Black to White Background. |  | Transferring from White to Black Background. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trial | Date | Time taken for each subject to respond (seconds) | Mean <br> response <br> time | Time taken <br> for each <br> subject to respond (seconds) | Mean response time $(s e c o n d s)$ |
| 1 | 7.VII.71 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.0,28.0, \\ & 15.0,8.5, \\ & 8.5,20.0, \\ & 9.0,16.5, \\ & 10.5,8.0, \\ & 4.3,3.5, \\ & 6.5,5.5 \end{aligned}$ | 11.7 |  |  |
| 2 | 8.VII.71 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0,4.5, \\ & 7.0,7.0 \\ & 13.0,3.0 \\ & 3.0,3.0 \\ & 3.5,32.0 \\ & 4.0,6.0 \\ & 2.0,29.0 \end{aligned}$ | 8.6 |
| 3 | 9.VII.71 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.0,5.0, \\ & 4.0,3.5, \\ & 5.0, \\ & 2.5,3.0, \\ & 3.5,7.0, \\ & 3.5,3.5 . \end{aligned}$ | 4.3 |  |  |
| 4 | 10.VII. 71 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 5.0,3.0, \\ & 4.5,2.5, \\ & 3.5,7.5, \\ & 9.0,6.0, \\ & 6.0,6.0, \\ & 8.5 . \end{aligned}$ | 5.6 |
|  |  | Range of response time | $\begin{gathered} 3.0-28.0 \\ \operatorname{secs} \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2.0-29.0 \\ \sec 5 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Mean response time | $\begin{gathered} 8.3 \\ \text { secs } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7.3 \\ \text { secs } \end{array}$ |

## Table 71

Times taken for pigmentation in blind and visionintact $C$. eremius to respond cryptically to change in background colour. Employing five blind subjects and five vision intact subjects.

## Table 71

| Trial | Date | Transferring from Black to White background. |  | Transferring from White to Black background. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Time taken to respond by visionintact subjects (seconds) | Time taken to respond by blind subjects <br> (seconds) | Time taken to respond by visionintact subjects (seconds) | Time taken to respond by blind subjects <br> (seconds) |
| 1 | 13.VII. 71 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0,5.0, \\ & 4.5,4.0, \\ & 7.0, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.0,22.0 \\ & 4.0,7.5 \\ & 6.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 2 | 14.VII. 71 |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 6.0, & 8.0, \\ 6.0, & 8.0, \\ 5.5 . & \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5,21.0, \\ & 27.0,22.0 \\ & 8.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean time | Response (secs) | 5.9 | 10.2 | 6.7 | 18.9 |

Figure 25
Setting traps in pool at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24).


## Table 72

Results of Trappings conducted at Johnsons No. 3 Bore (locality 24) large pool, Series 1A - 4A.

|  | Date |  |  | No. of hrs. set | Phase of Moon. | Numbers Trapped |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Series |  | Day or night settings | Setting hours |  |  | Total Count | ```% of f rst trappings in each series``` |
| 1 A | 20-21.XII. 68 | Night | $\begin{aligned} & 2000 \mathrm{hrs.} \\ & \text { to } 0400 \end{aligned}$ | 8 | . New | 473 | 100 |
|  | 21.XII. 68 | Day | hrs. <br> 0900 hrs. <br> 世o 1700 | 8 |  | 67 | 14.1 |
|  | 21-22.XII. 68 | Night | hrs. <br> 2000 hrs. to 0400 hrs. | 8 | - New | 315 | 66.1 |
| 2 A | $\begin{aligned} & 31 . I I I .69- \\ & 1 . I V .69 \\ & \text { 1.IV. } 69 \end{aligned}$ | Night | 1900 hrs. to 0300 hrs. | 8 | First 1/4 | 334 | 100 |
|  |  | Day | hrs. 0700 hrs. to 1500 | 8 | First $1 / 4$ | 96 | 28.7 |
|  | 1-2.IV. 69 | Night | hrs. 1900 hrs . to 0300 hrs. | 8 |  | 119 | 55.6 |
| 3A | 4.V. 69 | Day | $\begin{aligned} & 0800 \mathrm{hrs} \\ & \text { to } 1600 \end{aligned}$ | . 8 | - | 417 | 100 |
|  | 4-5.V.69 | Night | hrs. 2000 hrs. to 0400 | 8 | Full | 190 | 45.6 |
|  | $5 . \mathrm{V} .69$ | Day ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | hrs. 0800 hrs . to 1600 | 8 | First $1 / 4$ | 139 | 33.3 |
|  | 5-6.V.69 | Night | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hrs. } \\ & 2000 \text { hrs. } \\ & \text { to } 0400 \\ & \text { hrs. } \end{aligned}$ | 8 |  | 90 | 21.7 |
| 4A | 23-24.XI. 69 | Night | 2000 hrs. to 0400 hrs. | 8 | Full | 82 | 100. |
|  | 26.XI. 69 | Day ${ }^{\text {to }}$ | hrs. 0800 hrs. to 1200 | 4 |  | 158 |  |
|  | 27.XI. 69 | Night | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hrs. } \\ & 2000 \text { hrs. } \\ & \text { to } 2400 \\ & \text { hrs. } \end{aligned}$ |  | First $1 / 4$ | 158 | 100 |

Table 72

## Table 73

Results of Trappings conducted at Johnsons No. 3 Bore (locality 27) large pool, Series 5A and 6A.

| Series | Date | Day ornightsettings | Setting hours | No. of hrs. set | Phase of Moon and Cloud Conditions | कิ̂ | 아 | Total | Numbers Trapped |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Combined Totals |  |  | Day/Night \% |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ふ̂か | ¢ $9+$ | Both Sexes | §ิ | $9 \%$ | Both Sexes |
| 5A | $\begin{aligned} & 13 . V I .70 \\ & 14 . V I .70 \\ & 15 . V I .70 \\ & 16 . V I .70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day } \\ " \\ " \\ " \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0800 \\ \text { hrs. } \\ \text { to } \\ 1600 \\ \text { hrs. } \end{gathered}$ | 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 24 \\ & 32 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \\ & 45 \\ & 41 \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \\ & 69 \\ & 73 \\ & 51 \end{aligned}$ | 85 | 154 | 239 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 17-18 \cdot V I \cdot 70 \\ & 18-19 \cdot V I .70 \\ & 19-20 . V I .70 \\ & 20-21 . V I .70 \end{aligned}$ | Night <br> " <br> 11 | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { hrs. } \\ \text { to } \\ 0400 \\ \text { hrs. } \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Full (no cloud cover) Full (90-100\% cloud cover until $2400 \mathrm{hrs}$. ) Full ( $100 \%$ cloud cover throughout most of setting period) Full (no cloud cover) | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 32 \\ & 15 \\ & 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 56 \\ & 26 \\ & 45 \end{aligned}$ | 82 | 105 | 187 | 96.6 | 68.2 | 79.5 |
| 6A | $\begin{aligned} & 25-26 . \text { VIII. } 70 \\ & 26-27 . \text { VIII. } 70 \\ & 27-28 . \text { VIII. } 70 \\ & 28-29 . V I I I .70 \end{aligned}$ | Night | $\begin{gathered} 2000 \\ \text { hrs. } \\ \text { to } \\ 0400 \\ \text { hrs. } \end{gathered}$ | 8 | Last $1 / 4 \mathrm{rising} 0300 \mathrm{hrs}$. (no cloud cover) Last / / rising 0330 hrs. (no cloud cover) Last $1 / 4 \mathrm{rising} 0400 \mathrm{hrs}$. New | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \\ & 45 \\ & 47 \\ & 44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \\ & 86 \\ & 77 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ 131 \\ 124 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | 183 | 281 | 464 | 77.2 | 61.6 | 66.9 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30 . \text { VIII. } 70 \\ & 31 . \text { VIII.70 } \\ & 1.1 \mathrm{I} .70 \\ & 2.1 \mathrm{I} .70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day } \\ " 11 \\ " 1 \\ " \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0800 \\ \text { hrs. } \\ \text { to } \\ 1600 \end{gathered}$ | 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \\ & 31 \\ & 47 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125 \\ 77 \\ 107 \\ 147 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 195 \\ & 108 \\ & 154 \\ & 236 \end{aligned}$ | 237 | 456 | 693 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 73

## Table 74

Results of trappings conducted at Johnson's No. 3
Bore (locality 24), in small pool, series $1 B-3 B$.

## Table 74

| Series | Date | Day or night settings | Setting hours |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1B | $\begin{aligned} & 29-30 . X .68 \\ & 30 \cdot X \cdot 68 \\ & 30-31 . X .68 \end{aligned}$ | night <br> day <br> night | ```1930 hrs to 0530 hrs 0 8 3 0 ~ h r s ~ t o ~ 1 8 3 0 ~ h r s ~ 1930 hrs to 0530 hrs``` |
| 2 B | $\begin{aligned} & 20-21 . X I I .68 \\ & 21 . X I I .68 \\ & 21-22 . X I I .68 \end{aligned}$ | night <br> day <br> night | ```2000 hrs to 0400 hrs 0 9 0 0 ~ h r s ~ t o ~ 1 7 0 0 ~ h r s ~ 2000 hrs to 0400 hrs``` |
| 3B | $\begin{aligned} & 23-24 . X I .69 \\ & 24 . X I .69 \end{aligned}$ | night <br> day | 2030 hrs to 0430 hrs 0830 hrs to 1530 hrs |

## Table 75

Results of trappings conducted at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 27) small pool, Series 4B.

Table 75

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Series} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Date} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Day or night setting} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Setting hours} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
No. \\
of \\
hrs. \\
set
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Phase of moon and cloud cover} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{No. of traps per setting} \& \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Numbers \\
trapped
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Combined totals} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Day/Night \%} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \(0{ }^{\circ}\) \& ¢\% \& Total \& 0'0' \& \%.9 \& Total \& \(00^{\circ}\) \& 유 \& Total \\
\hline \multirow{2}{*}{4B} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 14 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \\
\& 15 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \\
\& 16 . \mathrm{VI} .70
\end{aligned}
\] \& Day \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { O800hrs } \\
\text { to } \\
1600 \mathrm{hrs} \\
\text { " } \\
\text { " }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
8 \\
11 \\
11
\end{tabular} \& \& \[
4
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 58 \\
\& 37 \\
\& 32
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
89
\]
\[
52
\]
\[
30
\] \& \[
\begin{gathered}
147 \\
89 \\
62
\end{gathered}
\] \& 127 \& 171 \& 298 \& 100 \& 100 \& 100 \\
\hline \&  \& Night \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2000 \mathrm{hrs} \\
\& \text { to } \\
\& 0400 \mathrm{hrs}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
11
\end{tabular} \& 8 \& Full
(no
cloud
cover) \& 4 \& 40
25

58 \& 31
27

29 \& | 71 |
| :--- |
| 52 |
| 87 | \& 123 \& 87 \& 210 \& 96.8 \& 50.8 \& 70.5 <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

## Table 76

Total night trapping counts at Johnson's No. 3
Bore (locality 24) according to lunar phases.
Data from table

Table 76

| Trapping Date | Lunar Phase |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | New Woon | 1st Qtr. | Last Qtr. | Full |
| ```20-21.XII.68 21-22.XII.58 31.III.69-1.IV.69 1-2.IV.69 4-5.V.69 5-6.V.69 23-24.XI.69 17-18.VI.70 18-19.VI.70 19-20.VI.70 20-21.VI.70 25-26.VIII.70 26-27.VIII.70 27-28.VIII.70 28-29.VIII.70``` | $\begin{aligned} & 473 \\ & 315 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 334 \\ & 119 \\ & \\ & 82 \\ & 60 \\ & 56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 113 \\ 131 \\ 124 \\ 96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 190 \\ 90 \end{array}$ <br> 26 $45$ |
| Mean Count | $\begin{array}{\|c} 394.0 \\ \hline \begin{array}{r} \text { Mean tr } \\ \text { and qu } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 130.2Mean tra <br> combined <br> phasesping coun <br> ter phase <br> 173.0 | 116.0ping countquarter23.9New Moon <br> combined | 87.7 |

## Table 77

Total overnight trapping counts at Wobna Spring (locality 35) according to lunar phases. Data from Appendix.

Table 77


## Graph 27

Total numbers of $\underline{C}$. eremius trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) on different occasions between September 1968 and June 1969.


## Graph 28

Total numbers of $\underline{C}$, eremius trapped at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 35), Nunn's Bore (locality 27) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) on different occasions
between November 1969 and May 1971.


## Graph 29

Estimated trapping rates of $\underline{C}$. eremius at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24), Nunn's Bore (locality 27) and Wobna Spring (locality 35) on different occasions throughout a hypothetical year.


## IX DISCUSSION

On the available data the fish appears to be one species, C. eremius, throughout its known range, because an examination of specimens from all known populations has failed to establish any consistent morphological differences between them。 Further, the species, as indicated in section III, is extremely widespread in central Ausiralia within the Lake Eyre drainage system.

How has the fish managed to maintain itself, apparently as a single species, particularly as the aquatic environment of the central Australian region is fragmented, with populations restricted to scattered artesian springs and bores and occasional meteoric water masses? Furthermore, what mechanisms enable the fish to occur, either permanently or transiently, within practically every type of water mass within its range of distribution?; including pools and. streams associated with either artesian springs or bores of widely ranging salinity and ionic composition, water holes and man-made reservoirs containing meteoric water of relatively low salinity (though prone to increasing salinity during periods of low rainfall and high evaporation) and the ephemeral waters of normally dry rivers and creeks; all of which are subject to frequent and sometimes extreme changes of salinity, temperature and probably dissolved oxygen levels.

The morphology of the species is typical of fish adapted to a demersal habitat in a flowing stream (such as is found associated with artesian springs and bores) with a partly dorso-ventrally compressed and streamlined body and ventral fins united to form a well developed and, as demonstrated (see p.l08), functional suction disc which could assist in preventing it being swept down stream. Eiven if not normally used in this way by those fish inhabiting some of the more slowly flowing artesian waters or stationary water masses (for example, water holes) the suction disc will be useful in a fluctuating environment in which changes in flow rates can be extreme, over long or short periods of time. For example, the outflow at Wobna Spring (locality 35) periodically increases dramatically so that the normally gently flowing stream becomes a virtual torrent for a short time. Without the benefit of the ventral suction disc it is probable that under such stress much of the population would be swept away. Clearly similar mechanical stresses can also arise in flood waters.

The ability of C 。 eremius to inhabit widely varying and fluctuating environments may be explained, in part, by its extreme physiological lability as evident by the wide range of physical environmental conditions (water temperature, pH , salinity, dissolved oxygen levels) under
which it is known to occur and in which it can survive under experimental conditions（see section VI）。 Thus， in the field the fish has been taken in waters whose salinities vary from 205 to 12,500 pop．mo and in the laboratory it has tolerated for a period of up to 27 days direct immersion in distilled water and prolonged periods in salinities as low as 147 popom．and as high as $37,580 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p}$ 。m。respectively。 Although not necessarily true indicators of the degree of tolerance in the field these experiments demonstrate the potential capacity of the species to survive，at least temporarily，extreme salinity levels well beyond those from which it has been recorded in the field．

Similarly the species has been found in waters with a wide range of temperature，having been taken in the field during winter from water at $9.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in summer in water up to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$（see p． 48 ）．In addition it can tolerate temperatures down to at least $7.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for some time and for short periods it has been observed to enter water in excess of $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without apparent harmful effect．

In respect of dissolved oxygen levels the data are limited．Nevertheless fish have been observed inhabiting waters with recorded oxygen levels as low as 0.8 pop．m．

However the influence of these levels and fluctuations of the environment may not be as real as my field
measurements might suggest as the fish appear to be able to take compensating actions under conditions of particular stress. The fish have been found to take advantage of natural thermal refuges present in their environment, particularly in summer months when sections of some water masses heat to lethal levels (see p. 6| ); it has also been established that the species acclimates to seasonal changes in temperature and to environmental thermal gradients and in the laboratory it acclimates rapidly to large and sudden increases in water temperature (see pp.68-70). Under conditions of presumed low dissolved oxygen tension the fish has been observed to lie out of water apparently surviving by aerial respiration (see p.143).

However, such behsvioural compensating responses as seeking thermal refuges or resorting to aerial respiration are likely to be of value only in times of particular stress because of the wide range of water temperatures, salinities and oxygen levels within which it has been observed or experimentally established the fish can survive.

It appears, on the basis of trapping data, that the overriding factor determining the sections of an artesian waterway preferred by $\underset{\text { Co eremius }}{ }$ is the amount of aquatic vegetation present。 Certainly I have been unable
to establish any correlation, other than exclusion, between the abundance of $\underline{C}$. eremius and any of the physical parameters measured.

Further, the species is omnivorous and utalizes as food that flora and fauna, namely filamentous algae (various species, but commonly Spirogyra sp.) which is present in virtually every artesian waterway in the Lake Eyre drainage basin, a range of small animals which typically inhabit such waters (insects, ostracods, copepods) and detritus. Thus there is no apparent specialization in diet or feeding habit to restrict the fish's occurrence within the inhabited range.

It has been argued that floodwaters constitute the major way in which the fish is dispersed (see p. 17 )。 If this be so, as seems Iikely, then the frequent and extensive flooding of the central Australian region would probably assist movement and exchanges of fish between populations and thus presumably ensure gene. interchange.

In the light of these observations it appears that there is no obvious factor (apart from possibly topographical barriers restricting the flow of floodwaters) to restrict the occurrence of C . eremius to any of the scattered waters of the central Australian region and that there is probably frequent movements between populations. The occurrence of only one species over
such a wide area is therefore understandable.
Any species occurring within such a wide range of physical environmental conditions, which are subject to violent fluctuations on occasions, would be expected to have special breeding features to ensure a maximum chance of survival. Although, as pointed out in section IV, nothing has been observed of actual breeding behaviour, the anal papilla present in both sexes does suggest that some form of pre-hatching parental care at least may possibly operate. Usually the young of fish with relatively low egg production, as in this case, have a relatively high survival rate and it has been shown that in spite of the low number of eggs produced C. eremius is able to build up population numbers very rapidly as at the Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36), (see p. 30).

The other fish most typically found in association with C - eremius or inhabiting similar waters in the central Australian region are the equally mall Craterocephalids which do not appear to pose a significant predatory threat. The primary predatory force seems likely to be aquatic Dirds, but this influence appears to be reduced to a minimum as a result of the fish's strong negative phototactism (see p.124) and a preference to inhabit vegetation cover rather than open water (see p.129). In addition the fish's demonstrated
ability to rapidly change colour to conform cryptically with its background (see p.130) undoubtedly enhances its ability to avoid the attention of potential predators.

Because, as has been shown, olfactory/gustatory senses are the primary means by which the species locates food (see p.121) the fish is readily able to feed at night as well as in the day, thus reducing its dependence on daylight feeding and possibly its chances of exposing itself unnecessarily to predators. This ability to locate food by means of non-visual senses is also a suitable adaptation to a fish which spends a considerable amount of time under the cover of vegetation, in particular the filamentous algae which constitute part of and harbours some of the animal components.of its diet.

Thus C . eremius inhabits an environment in which salinity, ionic composition, temperature and possibly dissolved oxygen may grade and fluctuate rapidly over a wide range. It is clear that the fish is well adapted, both physiologically and behaviourily to acclimate to or survive, at least temporarily, such stresses.

The species is adaptive and opportunistic, features which have ensured its survival in waters subject to extreme change and have probably ensured its integrity as a single species.
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## Appendix A

Inland water localities inspected and Fishes taken therefrom during the period 1967-70.

* The Geographical Co-ordinates and Grid References are taken from the 1:250,000 Topographic Maps Series R502, prepared by the Division of National Mapping and printed by the Commonwealth Government Printer, Canberra.
+ The term meteoric refers to surface waters originating from rainfall.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Locality } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Locality | Geog Co-0 | raphical <br> rdinates | Map Sheet | Grid Reference | Date <br> Inspected | Fish species collected (if any) | Type of Aquatic Site |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1 | Dalhousie Springs, Main Spring | $\begin{aligned} & 26^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { (approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\ & (\text { approx }) \end{aligned}$ | Dalhousie SG 53-11 | 345718 (approx) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3-6.VIII. } 68 \\ & 18-19 . X I .69 \end{aligned}$ | Neosilurus sp. <br> Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum Chlamydogobius eremius Mogurnda mogurnda | Artesian |
| 1/2 | Spring 2 (approx 1.6 Km east of locality 1/1) | $\begin{aligned} & 26^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { (approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 135^{\circ} 30^{\prime} E \\ (\text { approx) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dalhousie } \\ & \text { SG 53-11 } \end{aligned}$ | 345718 (approx) | 5.VIII. 68 | Neosilurus sp. <br> Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum | Artesian |
| $1 / 3$ | Spring 3 (approx 0.8 Km west of spring 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 26^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { (approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | Dalhousie <br> SG 53-11 | 345718 (approx) | 5.VIII. 68 | Madigania unicolor <br> Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum Craterocephalus fluviatilis | Artesian |
| 1/4 | Spring 4 (approx 1.6 Km west of spring 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 26^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 30^{\prime} E \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | Dalhousie $\text { SG } 53-11$ | 345718 (approx) | 5.VIII. 68 | Neosilurus sp . <br> Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum Chlamydogobius eremius Mogurnda mogurnda | Artesian |
| 1/5 | Spring 5 (approx 1.2 Km south of spring 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 26^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | Dalhousie SG 53-11 | 345718 (approx) | 19.XI. 69 | Neosilurus sp. <br> Chlamydogobius eremius <br> Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum Mogurnda mogurnda | Artesian |
| 1/6 | Spring 6 (approx 4.8 Km south of spring 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 25^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { (approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | Dalhousie <br> SG 53-11 | 345718 (approx) | 19.XI. 69 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Artesian |
| 1/7 | Spring 7 (approx 1.2 Km north-west of spring 1) | $\begin{aligned} & 26^{\circ} 26^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { (approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | Dalhousie <br> SG 53-11 | 345718 (approx) | 19.XI. 69 | Neosilurus sp . | Artesian |
| 2 | Belts Creek | $26^{\circ} 40 ' \mathrm{~S}$ | $135{ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Dalhousie SG 53-11 | 311689 | 2.VIII. 68 |  | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 3 | Junction of Stevenson and Hamilton Rivers | $26^{\circ} 40 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $135^{\circ} 18 \mathrm{E}$ | Dalhousie SG 53-11 | 323689 | 2.VIII. 68 | Madigania unicolor | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 4 | Hamilton River Crossing | $26^{\circ} 43 ' \mathrm{~S}$ | $135^{\circ}$ O6'E | Dalhousie <br> SG 53-11 | 301584 | 2.VIII. 68 |  | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 5 | Alberga River Crossing | $\begin{aligned} & 27^{\circ} 8^{\prime} \mathrm{S} \\ & \text { (approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | Oodnadatta SG 53-15 | 332633 (approx) | 1.VIII. 68 | Craterocephalus eyresii Madigania unicolor | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 6 | Mount Sarah Dam | $\begin{aligned} & 27^{\circ} 1715 \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135^{\circ} 23^{\prime} E \\ & (\text { approx) } \end{aligned}$ | Oodnadatta SG 53-15 | 335615 (approx) | 20.XI. 69 | Madigania unicolor | Meteoric permanent |
| 7 | Forrest's Waterhole | $27^{\circ} 30 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $135^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Oodnadatta SG 53-15 | 335588 | 15.XI. 69 | Madigania unicolor Chlamydogobius eremius | Meteoric semi-permanent |
| 8 | Hookey's Waterhole | $27^{\circ} 36{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $135^{\circ} 261 \mathrm{E}$ | Oodnadatta SG 53-15 | 338577 | 9.VIII. 68 |  | Meteuric semi-permanent |
| 9 | Cramp's Camp Waterhole | $27^{\circ} 39 \mathrm{~S}$ | $135^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Oodnadatta SG 53-15 | 336569 | 2.v. 69 | Madigania unicolor | Meteoric semi-permanent |



| 28 | Warriner's Creek | $29^{\circ} 09^{\prime 5}$ | $136^{\circ} 32 \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 456388 | 28.VII. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Meteoric ephemeral |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | Strangways Springs Railway Bore | $29^{\circ} 09 \cdot \mathrm{~s}$ | $136{ }^{\circ} 34 \cdot \mathrm{E}$ | Curdimurka SH 53-8 | 461387 | 25.XI. 70 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Artesian |
| 30 | Strangways Springs Mound Spring | $29^{\circ} 111 \mathrm{~s}$ | $136{ }^{\circ} 32 \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH } 53-8 \end{aligned}$ | 456384 | 27.VIII.68 |  | Artesian |
| 31 | Beresford Reservoir | $29^{\circ} 14 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $136^{\circ} 39 \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 470377 | $1 . \mathrm{XI} .70$ | Chlamydogobius eremius | Meteoric permanent |
| 32 | Warburton Springs | $29^{\circ} 17 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $136^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 471373 | 27.VII. 68 |  | Artesian (minor flows and seepages). |
| 33 | Coward Springs proper | $29^{\circ} 24 \cdot 5$ | $136^{\circ}$ 47'E | Curdimurka SH 53-8 | 434357 | 25.VI. 69 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Artesian |
| 34 | Coward Springs Railway Bore | $29^{\circ} 24.5$ | $136^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 486357 | 5. XII .67 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Artesian |
| 35 | Wobna Spring | $29^{\circ} 271 \mathrm{~s}$ | $136^{\circ} 51 / \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 491352 | 23.III. 68 | Craterocephalus eyresii Chlamydogobius eremius | Artesian |
| 36 | Blanche Cup Spring | $29^{\circ} 27 \mathrm{~S}$ | $136^{\circ} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 491351 | 1. XI. 68 |  | Artesian |
| 37 | Artesian spring ( 1.2 Km south-east of Wobna Spring) | $29^{\circ} \mathrm{z7} \mathrm{~s}$ | $136^{\circ} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 493351 | 23.VIII. 70 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Artesian |
| 38 | Margaret Creek Crossing | $29^{\circ} 291 \mathrm{~S}$ | $137{ }^{\circ}$ O3'E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 511347 | 31.VII. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 39 | Chambers Creek Crossing | $29^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $137{ }^{\circ}$ O4'E | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 514346 | 31.VIII. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 40 | Gregory Creek Crossing | $29^{\circ} 34 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $137{ }^{\circ} 30^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 541337 | May 1968 | Craterocephalus eyresii Chlamydogobius eremius | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 41 | Alberrie Creek Crossing | $29^{\circ} 39.5$ | $137{ }^{\circ} 38{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 574327 | 4.IX. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 42 | Callana Reservoir | $29^{\circ} 36 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $137^{\circ} 56 \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Curdimurka } \\ & \text { SH 53-8 } \end{aligned}$ | 602327 | 1.II. 71 |  | Meteoric semi-permanent |
| 43 | Lake Harry Bore | $29^{\circ} \quad 26$ S | $138^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Marree <br> SH 54-5 | 639351 | 22.XI.70 |  | Artesian |
| 44 | Clayton Bore | $29^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 23$ 'E | Marree <br> SH 54-5 | 653370 | 22.xI. 70 | Chlamydogobius eremius | Artesian |
| 45 | Dalkaninna Bore | $29^{\circ} 011 \mathrm{~S}$ | $138^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\stackrel{\text { Marree }}{\text { SH }} 54-5$ | 662401 | 22.XI. 70 |  | Artesian |
| 46 | Cannawaukininna Bore | $28^{\circ} 47 . \mathrm{s}$ | $1388^{\circ} 34 \mathrm{E}$ | Kopperamanna SH 54-1 | 138429 | 22.XI. 70 |  | Artesian |


| 47 | Etadunna Bore | $28^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138{ }^{\circ} 38{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Kopperamanna SH 54-1 | 146437 | 22.XI. 70 |  | Artesian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | Kopperamanna No. 1 Bore | $28^{\circ} 39 \mathrm{~S}$ | $138{ }^{\circ} 42^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Kopperamanna SH 54-1 | 154448 | 22.XI. 70 |  | Artesian |
| 49 | Mungeranie Bore | $28^{\circ} 01 \mathrm{~S}$ | $138^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Kopperamanna SH 54-1 | 150522 | 22.XI. 70 |  | Artesian |
| 50 | Mirra Mitta Bore | $27^{\circ} 43^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gason } \\ & \text { SG } 54-13 \end{aligned}$ | 156559 | 22.XI. 70 |  | Artesian |
| 51 | Gason Bore | $27^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138{ }^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gason } \\ & \text { SG } 54-13 \end{aligned}$ | 157608 | 22.XI. 70 |  | Artesian |
| 52 | Lyndhurst Dam | $30^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 21{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Copley <br> SH 54-9 | 647248 | Dec. 1969 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 53 | Lyndhurst Railway Reservoir | $30^{\circ} 17 \mathrm{~S}$ | $138^{\circ} 21{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Copley <br> SH 54-9 | 647248 | Dec. 1969 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 54 | Paralana Hot Springs | $30^{\circ} 11 \mathrm{~S}$ | $139^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Copley } \\ & \text { SH 54-9 } \end{aligned}$ | 236262 | 21.x. 69 |  | Artesian |
| 55 | Balcanoona Creek | $30^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $139^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Copley <br> SH 54-9 | 214225 | 30.x. 69 | Mogurnda striata | Artesian meteoric |
| 56 | Barraranna Waterhole | $30^{\circ} 17 \mathrm{~S}$ | $139^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Copley <br> SH 54-9 | 231249 | 19.x. 69 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 57 | Nooldoonooldoona Waterhole | $30^{\circ} \quad 16 \mathrm{~S}$ | $139^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Copley <br> SH 54-9 | 219251 | 18.X. 69 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 58 | Bolla Bollana Spring | $30^{\circ} 17^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $139^{\circ} 17{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Copley <br> SH 54-9 | 219249 | 18.X. 69 |  | Artesian |
| 59 | Arkaroola Waterhole | $30^{\circ} 17 \mathrm{l}$ | $139^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Copley } \\ & \text { SH 54-9 } \end{aligned}$ | 225249 | 18.X. 69 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 60 | Montecolina Bore | $29^{\circ} 24^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $139^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Callabonna SH 54-6 | 292357 | 29.X. 69 |  | Artesian |
| 61 | Lake Callabonna Springs | $29^{\circ} 49 . \mathrm{S}$ | $140^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Callabonna SH 54-6 | 312308 | 22.X. 69 |  | Artesian |
| 62 | Mulligan Springs | $29^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $139^{\circ} 58 . \mathrm{E}$ | Callabonna <br> SH 54-6 | 291318 | 23.X. 69 | Craterocephalus eyresii | Artesian |
| 63 | Twelve Springs | $29^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $139^{\circ} 40 \mathrm{E}$ | Callabonna SH 54-6 | 259303 | 24.X. 69 |  | Artesian |
| 64 | Woolatchi Bore | $29^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $139^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Callabonna <br> SH 54-6 | 280300 | 29.X. 69 |  | Artesian |
| 65 | Mulkonbar Waterhole | $27^{\circ} 44 \cdot 5$ | $140^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Innamincka SG 54-14 | 373560 | 28.X. 69 |  | Meteoric permanent |


| 66 | Queerbidie Waterhole | $27^{\circ} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $140^{\circ} 43 ' \mathrm{E}$ | Innamincka SG 54-14 | 369557 | 28.X. 69 | Terapon welchi | Meteoric permanent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 67 | Creek crossing 14.5 Km east of Parachilna | $31^{\circ} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 22 \cdot \mathrm{E}$ | Parachilna SH 54-13 | 142145 | 21.II. 70 |  | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 68 | Spring, 11.3 Km south of Blinman | $31^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 40 \cdot \mathrm{E}$ | Parachilna <br> SH 54-13 | 157138 | 21.III. 70 |  | Meteoric seepage |
| 69 | Waterhole, north-west of Moralana Homestead | $31^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 07{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Parachilna SH 54-13 | 624117 | 4.VII. 70 | Craterocephalus fluviatilis | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 70 | Waterhole, 6.4 Km southeast of Hawker (adjacent main road) | $31^{\circ} 55^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Parachilna SH 54-13 | 659051 | 21.II.70 |  | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 71 | Walloway Creek | $32^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $138^{\circ} 36{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Orroroo <br> SI 54-1 | 152936 | 25.II. 70 |  | Meteoric ephemeral |
| 72 | Broughton River | $33^{\circ} 31 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $138^{\circ} 37{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Burra <br> SI 54-5 | 158857 | 26.XI. 70 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 73 | Light River | $34^{\circ} 21 \cdot \mathrm{~S}$ | $138^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Adelaide <br> SI 54-9 | 176755 | 26.XI. 70 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 74 | Glen Helen Gorge | $23^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $132^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Hermannsburg <br> SF 53-13 | 587048 | Jan. 1959 |  | Meteoric permanent |
| 75 | Finke River , Hermannsburg Homestead | $23^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $132^{\circ} 46^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | Hermannsburg | 597015 | 12.III. 70 |  | Meteoric permanent |

## Appendix $B$

Registered fish collections in the South
Australian Museum taken in the central
Australian region between 1968 and 1970.

| Loc. No. | Locality | Collection Date | Species | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. of } \\ & \text { specs. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.A.M. } \\ & \text { Reg.No. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1 | Dalhousie Springs (Main Spring) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.VIII. } 68 \\ & \text { 4.VII. } 68 \\ & \text { 5.VIII. } 68 \\ & \text { 19.XI. } 69 \\ & \text { 19.XI. } 69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Neosilurus } & \text { sp. } \\ " 1 & " 1 \\ " 1 & " 1 \\ " & " 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 139 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3454 } \\ & \text { F355 } \\ & \text { F3456 } \\ & \text { F3470 } \\ & \text { F3535 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.VIII. } 68 \\ & \text { 4.VIII. } \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum | $\begin{array}{r} 535 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3453 } \\ & \text { F3542 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3.VIII. } 68 \\ & 26 . V I .69 \end{aligned}$ | Chlanydogobius eremius | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3507 } \\ & \text { F3490 } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4.VIII. } 68 \\ & \text { 19.XI. } 69 \end{aligned}$ | Mogurnda mogurnda | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | F3541 F3468 |
| 1/2 | Dalhousie Springs | 5.VIII. 68 | Neosilurus sp. |  | F3551 |
| $1 / 3$ | Dalhousie Springs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.VIII. } 68 \\ & \text { 5.VIII. } 68 \\ & \text { 5.VIII. } 68 \\ & 5 . \text { VIII. } 68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Neosilurus sp. <br> Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum Chlamydogobius eremius Mogurnda mogurnda | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 38 \\ 14 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | F3461 F3462 F3463 F3460 |
| 1/4 | Dalhousie Springs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5.VIII. } 68 \\ & \text { 4.VIII. } 68 \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus fluviatilis Madigania unicolor | $15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3459 } \\ & \text { F3458 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 1/5 | Dalhousie Springs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19.XI. } 69 \\ & \text { 19.XI. } 69 \\ & 19 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \end{aligned}$ | Neosilurus sp . <br> Craterocephailus stercusmuscarum Chlamydogobius eremius | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ 34 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3465 } \\ & \text { F3466 } \\ & \text { F3467 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1/6 | Dalhousie Springs | 19.XI. 69 | Neosilurus sp. | 4 | F3465 |
| 3 | Junction of Stevenson and Hamilton Rivers | 2.VIII. 68 | Madigania unicolor | 1 | F3471 |
| 5 | Alberga River Crossing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.VIII. } 68 \\ & 1 . \text { VIII. } 68 \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus eyresii Madigania unicolor | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3482 } \\ & \text { F3483 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Mount Sarah Dam | 20.XI. 69 | Madigania unicolor | 8 | F3474 |
| 7 | Forrest's Waterhole | $\begin{aligned} & 15 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \\ & 15 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \end{aligned}$ | Madigania unicolor Chlamydogobius eremius | 4 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3475 } \\ & \text { F3543 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 9 | Cramp's Camp Waterhole | 2.V. 69 | Madigania unicolor | 4 | F3472 |
| 42 | Algebuckina Waterhole | $\begin{aligned} & 31 . V I I .68 \\ & 31 . V I I .68 \\ & 31, \text { VII. } 68 \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus eyresii Madigania unicolor Chlamydogobius eremius | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3479 } \\ & \text { F3480 } \\ & \text { F3481 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 13 | Wood Duck Bore | 21. XI. 69 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 11 | F3499 |
| 14 | Peake Creek | 30.VII. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 36 | F3487 |
| 15 | Old Peake Homestead Bore | 22.XI. 69 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 15 | F3498 |


| Loc. No. | Locality | Collection Date | Species | No. of specs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.A.M. } \\ & \text { Reg.No } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Freeling Springs | $\begin{aligned} & 23 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \\ & 23 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus stercusmuscarum Chlamydogobius eremius | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \\ & 98 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3514 } \\ & \text { F3510 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | Blyth Bore | $\begin{aligned} & 24 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \\ & 24 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \\ & 24 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus eyresii Chlamydogbius eremius | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 22 \\ 97 \end{array}$ | F3547 F3497 F3511 F3511 |
| 18 | Birribirriana Spring | 21.XI. 69 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 12 | F3493 |
| 19 | Nilpinna Spring | $\begin{aligned} & 21 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \\ & 21 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \\ & 21 . \mathrm{XI} .69 \end{aligned}$ | Neosilurus sp. <br> Craterocephalus fluviatilis Chlamydogobius eremius | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | F3494 F3495 F3496 |
| 24 | Johnson's No. 3 Bore | $\begin{aligned} & 11 . \text { VIII. } 68 \\ & 27 . \text { VIII. } 70 \\ & 11 . \text { VIII. } 68 \\ & 2 . I X .68 \\ & 30 . X .68 \\ & 1 . I V .69 \\ & 4 . \mathrm{V} .69 \\ & 29 . \mathrm{VI} .69 \\ & 21 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus " Chlamydogresii " $"$ | 14 <br> 31 <br> 41 <br> 21 <br> 5 56 <br> 71 <br> 40 55 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { F3545 } \\ & \text { F3539 } \end{aligned}$ <br> F3544 <br> F3538 <br> F3537 <br> F3548 <br> F3515 <br> F3513 |
| 27 | Nunn's Bore | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.V. } 69 \\ & 29 . \mathrm{VII} \cdot 68 \\ & 1 . \mathrm{V} .69 \end{aligned}$ | Craterocephalus eyresii Chlamydogobius eremius | 13 1 56 | F3504 F3502 F3536 |
| 28 | Warriner's Creek | 28.VII. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 1 | F3484 |
| 29 | Strangways Springs Railway Bore | 25.XI. 70 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 23 | F3551 |
| 31 | Beresford Reservoir | 1.XI. 70 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 4 | F3512 |
| 33 | Coward Springs proper | 26.VI. 69 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 18 | F3490 |
| 34 | Coward Springs Railway Bore | 5.XII. 67 <br> 14.XII. 67 <br> 22.III. 68 <br> 26.IV. 68 <br> 29.v. 68 <br> 22.VI. 68 <br> 2.VII. 68 <br> 4.VII. 68 <br> 26.VII. 68 <br> 27.VII. 68 <br> 31.VIII. 68 <br> 29. X. 68 <br> 20.XII. 68 <br> 25.I. 69 <br> 31.III. 69 | Chlamydogobius eremius  <br> $" 1 "$ $" 1$ <br> $" 1 "$ $" 11$ <br> $" 1 "$ $" 1$ <br> $"$ $"$ <br> $"$ $" 1$ <br> $"$ $"$ <br> $"$ $"$ <br> $"$ $" 1$ <br> $"$ $"$ <br> $"$ $" 1$ <br> $"$ $"$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 7 \\ 16 \\ 2 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 10 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 23 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 12 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | F3527 F3524 F3518 F3519 F3528 F3509 F3517 F3529 F3530 F3522 F3525 |


| Loc. No. | Locality | Collection Date | Species | No. of specs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S.A.M. } \\ & \text { Reg.No } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | Wobna Spring | 23.III. 68 | Craterocephalus eyresii | 2 | F3506 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 23 . \text { III. } 68 \\ & 29 . V .68 \end{aligned}$ | Chlamydogobius eremius | 12 6 | F3505 F3531 |
|  |  | 30.VI. 68 | " | 24 | F3532 |
|  |  | 26.VII. 68 | " | 9 | F3533 |
|  |  | 20.XII. 68 | " | 3 | F3534 |
| 38 | Margaret Creek Crossing | 31.VIII. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 4 | F3486 |
| 39 | Chambers Creek Crossing | 31.VIII. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 1 | F3485 |
| 41 | Alberrie Creek Crossing | 4.IX. 68 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 3 | F3492 |
| 44 | Clayton Bore | 23.XI. 70 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 12 | F3549 |
| 55 | Balcanoona Creek | 30.X. 69 | Mogurnda striata | 11 | F3478 |
| 62 | Mulligan Springs | 24.X. 69 | Craterocephalus eyresii | 53 | F3500 |
| 66 | Queerbidie Waterhole | 28.X. 69 | Terapon welchi | 6 | F3477 |
| 69 | Waterhole, North-West of Moralana Station | 4.VII. 70 | Craterocephalus fluviatilis | 15 | F3488 |
| 75 | Finke River; near Hermansburg Homestead | 12.III. 70 | Chlamydogobius eremius | 5 | F3550 |

## Appendix $C$

Some Major Flooding Events in the Lake Fyre basin region of central Australia.

This data has been obtained primarily from newspaper reports ("The Advertiser" and "The News", published in Adelaide). Other data have been obtained from the records of the South Australian Railways Department.

It is not a complete record of floodings but it does indicate the frequent and extensive nature of floodwaters characteristic of the region concerned.

Although rainfall gaugings are available for many places within the central Australian region it is difficult to establish flood conditions on these alone. It was therefore decided that general descriptive reports offered the best indication of flood conditions.

| Year | Montr | District Affected | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1893-94 | ? | Flooding alongside railway line between Coward Springs and Oodnadatta. |  |
| 1894-95 | ? | Flooding in all districts alongside railway line between Port Augusta and Oodnadatta. |  |
| 1897-98 | ? | Flooding in all districts alongside railway line between Port Augusta and Oodnadatta. |  |
| 1898-99 | ? | Flooding in all districts alongside railway line between Port Augusta and Oodnadatta. |  |
| 1939 | January | Heavy rain in central Australia between region of Marree and north-west to Alice Springs. Floods in vicinity of railway line immediately south of Marree and between Strangways Springs and Oodnadatta. | Southbound train from Alice Sprin delayed by floods. In places water 18 feet above bridges. Extensive damage to railway line between Marree and Farina. Washaways at Edwards Creek between Oodnadatta and Miarree. Three trains marooned at Strangways Springs. |
| " | February | Further heavy rain in north and central Australia. Floods north of Oodnadatta disrupt train service to Alice Springs. Many places including Oodnadatta and Alice Springs isolated. Heavy rain in south west Queensland. | Most aerodromes along the Adelaid Darwin air route, including Oodnadatta and Alice Springs, waterlogged. |
| 1940 | - January | Heavy rains in central Australia. | Serious dislocations of transport services in the far north of Sout <br>  |


| Year | Month | District Affected | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1940 | February | Alice Springs aerodrome waterlogged | Aeruplanes unable to land at Alice Springs. |
| 1946 | February | Alberga River in flood, covering the railway line in the vicinity of Oodnadatta to a depth of some feet. |  |
| 1948 | March | Heavy rainfall and floodwaters in central Australia. Floodwaters of Finke River cover railway line south of Alice Springs to a depth of 9 feet. Floods at Peake Creek hold up northbound train to Alice Springs. <br> Diamantina River flooded. Heavy rains in region of Birdsville, Cooper Creek rising. |  |
| 1949 | February | Heavy rains cause Finke River to rise and Todd River to flow. | Trains from Port Augusta to Alice Springs delayed. |
| " | March | Torrential rains in north west New South Wales and south west Queensland. Cooper Creek in flood. Areas around Tibooburra and Nappa Merrie flooded. Creeks flooded and traffic halted between Hawker and Copley in South Australia. Cooper Creek rising. | Royal Flying Doctor Service reports that country around Tibooburra like an inland sea. Reported heaviest rain since 1889. Some stations in flooded area abandoned. |
| " | May | Cooper Creek floodwaters running fast across Birdsville Track at Kopperamanna on approximately a 2 mile front; flowing towards Lake Killalpaninna. |  |


| Year | Month | District Affected | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1949 | June | Cooper Creek flowing towards Lake Eyre west of Kopperamanna. | Estimated main channel of Cooper Creek 25 feet deep and current flowing at 6-8 miles per hour. |
| 1960 | May | Parts of far north-west of South Australia flooded following heavy rains. |  |
| 1962 | January | Heavy rains throughout central Australia. Vast areas in central Australia flooded including Alice Springs and north west and south west of Alice Springs, between Oodnadatta and Alice Springs and between Marree and Birdsville. Todd and Finke Rivers in flood. | Extensive washaways along the Port Augusta-Alice Springs railway line, including Telford, Brachina and Copley areas. Line covered for 350 yards by 3 feet of water at 923 mile mark between Port Augusta and Leigh Creek |
| 1963 | March | Far north generally flooded. Heavy rains around Lake Jyre. Bopechee Creek flooded. The Neales River in flood. Creeks flooding across the Birdsville Track near Mulka. | Washaways on railway line north of the Finke River crossing. |


| Year | Month | District Affected | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | April | Heavy rains and flooding between Oodnadatta and Alice Springs and Marree and Birdsville. Extensive areas of north east South Australia flooded including Innamincka area. Cooper Creek in flood; front up to 60 miles wide. | Innamincka Homestead evacuated. Very heavy rainfall gaugings in some areas reported on 8.IV.63; some stations in the southern part of the Northern Territory in excess of 600 points; some in the area north of Oodnadatta up to 300 points, Macumba Station 316 points, Mount Sarah Station 279 points. |
| " | May | Much of north east South Australia flooded. Cooper Creek in flood and approaching Kopperamanna. Floods between Leigh Creek and Marree, Marree and Muloorina Homestead and Marree and Oodnadatta. Bopechee, Iyndhurst, Beltana and Balcanoona areas flooded. Road between Coober Pedy and Alice Springs and area north west of Willian Creek flooded. | Floodwaters of Diamantina River about three days from Lake Eyre. Train marooned at William Creek 14.V.63. Extensive floodwater damage to railway line between Marree and Oodnadatta. At Bopechee floodwater up to 10 feet above the line. Some high rainfall gaugings reported 14.V.63; William Creek 500 points, Stuart Creek 380 points, Curdimurka 100 points, Farina 537 points, Balcanoona 346 points. |


| Year | Month | District Affected | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | July | Region around Lake Eyre flooded. Serious flooding generally in the far north and far north east. | Train held up north of Bloods Creek (north of Oodnadatta) 22.XII. 65 due to floodwaters. |
| 1965 | August | Oodnadatta-Maree road and Leigh Creek area flooded. Hamilton River near Ilbunga in flood. Railway line flooded between Oodnadatta and Alice Springs. |  |
| 11 | December | Birdsville Track flooded. |  |
| 1966 | January | Heavy rains and flooding in areas of far north west South Australia and at Alice Springs. | Railway line cut in more than 12 places between Oodnadatta and Alice Springs due to floodwaters. |
| 1967 | March | The Finke, Alberga and Neales River in flood. Heaviest flooding in the Ernabella-Finke area. |  |
| " | July | Heavy rains and general flooding between Port Augusta and Alice Springs. | Railway line cut in 30 places between Port Augusta and Alice Springs. Alice Springs rain gauging reported 24.VII.67, 600 points. |
| 1968 | April | Railway line flooded between Narree and Alice Springs. Isolated sheet flooding within 100 mile radius of Alice Springs. |  |


| Year | Month | District Affected | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1969 | January | Heavy rainfalls and isolated flooding in the central Australian region in the far north and north west of South Australia and around Alice Springs. Railway line flooded 25 miles south of Alice Springs. |  |
| 11 | February | Heavy rainfalls in the central Australian region. | 24 hour rainfall gaugings of more than 200 points reported at some places in the central Australian region on 26.II.69. |
| \#1 | March | Heavy rainfall in the Marree area. | 24 hour rainfall gaugings of 120 points reported at Marree on 11.III.69. |
| 1971 | March | Heaver flooding in the far north east of South Australia。 Birdsville, Innamincka and Pandie Pandie areas flooded. Cooper Creek flooding near Itadunna. Strzlecki Creek causes flooding between Leigh Creek and Lake Gregory. |  |

Appendix $D$

Description of wire mesh traps employed in trapping。

The form of trap is as illustrated on the next page. Dimensions as follows: frames 31.0 x 15.0 x $15.0 \mathrm{~cm} ;$ end apertures 3.5 cm diameter; 26 gauge wire; 2 mm mesh.

When employed at Wobna Spring (locality 35) each trap was always baited with 30 gm of canned beef and 30 gm of 'Rye-Vita' crisp bread, items which $\underline{C}$. eremius was observed to eat in the laboratory and which were convenient for field use. Shortly after commencing these trappings at Wobna Spring (locality 35) it was found, in comparative trappings made at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24), that approximately the same number of fish were trapped when bait was used as when it was not. Nevertheless it was decided to continue using bait when trapping at Wobna Spring (locality 35) in order to ensure that the data obtained from all the series of trappings were comparative.

Bait was not employed in the other trappings conducted at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) and Nunn's Bore (locality 27)。


## Appendix $E$

Physical and non-physical data and trapping rates recorded at 8 stations along the stream at Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34) on different occasions between December 1967 and January 1969.

The stations were located in midstream at the following distances from the bore head: st. 1, bore head pool; st. 2, 35m; st. 3, 55m; st. 4, 155m; st. 5, 220m; st. 6, 290m; st. 7, 400m; st. 8, 455m.

Where only a single time (hours) is indicated for a recording session this indicates the time readings commenced. In some instances, two or three sets of times are indicated and these refer to different recording sessions conducted on the same date.

1. Absolute dens. $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ : Estimated by quadrant measurements (see p. 42 ).
2. Relative density: Total number of fish trapped in a single trap (see Appendix) set at each station for 15 hours overnight (approx. 1700 hours - 0800 hours).


| 21. II. 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff, sub-bed/ } \\ & \text { bott, temp. } \end{aligned}$ |  | Water depth cm. | Water pH | $1_{\text {Absolute }}$ dens/m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Stn. | Time <br> rec. | Amb. | Surf | Mid. | Bott. | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \text { A } 0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | 9.0 cm subbed |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0725 | 29.9 | 30.4 | 32.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 7.6 | 2 |
|  | 1200 | 36.0 | 31.0 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 32.4 | 31.6 | +0.9 | +0.1 |  |  |  |
|  | 1800 | 38.0 | 31.0 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 31.2 | 31.5 | +0.3 | +0.6 |  |  |  |
|  | 0740 | 29.0 | 26.3 | 26.3 | 26.4 | 25.6 | 25.8 | -0.8 | -0.6 |  | 7.6 | 0 |
| 2 | 1210 | 36.5 | 39.3 | 39.0 | 38.2 | 33.1 | 29.9 | -5.1 | -8.3 |  |  |  |
|  | 1810 | 38.0 | 33.1 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 34.8 | 34.2 | $+1.2$ | +0.6 |  |  |  |
|  | 0755 | 29.5 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 25.2 | 25.5 | 26.1 | +0.3 | +0.9 | 18 | 7.6 | - |
| 3 | 1225 | 34.7 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 30.9 | 28.0 | -6.9 | -9.8 |  |  |  |
|  | 1820 | 38.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 34.8 | 33.5 | -0.2 | $-1.5$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0940 | 32.1 | 27.2 | 27.1 | 25.8 | 26.0 | 26.0 | $+0.2$ | $+0.2$ | 8 | 7.6 | - |
| 4 | 1345 | 36.6 | 35.6 | 33.6 | 31.5 | 27.4 | 27.0 | -4.1 | -4.5 |  |  |  |
|  | 1830 | 38.0 | 32,1 | 32.1 | 32.2 | 32.3 | 31.3 | +0.1 | -0.9 |  |  |  |
|  | 0830 | 31.0 | 25,8 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.6 | 25.7 | +0.1 | +0.2 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1350 | 36.2 | 34.0 | 31.5 | 30.0 | 27.4 | 27.2 | -2.6 | -2.8 | 23 23 | 7.6 | 25 25 |
|  | 1835 | 38.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 29.2 | 28.0 | -2.8 | -4.0 | 23 | 7.6 | 2 |
|  | 0845 | 30.1 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 25.0 | 25.2 | 25.2 | +0.2 | +0.2 | 10 | 9.2 | 37 |
| 6 | 1300 | 36.1 | 37.5 | 34.8 | 33.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 30.5 | $-0.5$ | -0.5 -3.9 |  |  |  |
|  | 1840 | 37.9 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 34.4 | 31.0 | 30.5 | -3.4 | -3.9 |  |  |  |
|  | 0905 | 30.1 | 26.0 | 25.8 | 25.9 | 25.6 | 25.6 | -0.3 | -0.3 | 15 | 9.4 | - |
| 7 | 1315 | 37.0 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 32.6 | 30.0 | $-3.5$ | $-6.1$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1900 | 37.5 | 34.9 | 34.8 | 34.5 | 34.2 | 33.8 | -0.3 | -0.7 |  |  |  |
|  | 0915 | 31.5 | 27.1 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 25.8 | 26.0 | $-1.2$ | $-1.0$ | 10 | 9.6 | 2 |
| 8 | 1330 | 36.4 | 38.1 | 37.8 | 37.8 | 30.0 | 29.0 | -7,8 | $-8.8$ |  |  |  |
|  | 1850 | 37.8 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.9 | 33.54 | 32.7 | -0.4 | -12. |  |  |  |



| 26.IV. 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stn. | Time rec. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Diff. sub-bed/ Bott, temp. |  | Water depth cm . | Water <br> pH | $1_{\text {Absolut }}^{2}$ dens. $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
|  |  | Amb. | Surf. | Mid. | Bott. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yp. } 0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1000 | 25.2 | 29.8 | 29.9 | 29.7 | 30.0 | 30.5 | +0.3 | +0.8 | 21 | 7 | Not <br> Recorded |
|  | 1610 | 31.7 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 29.9 | 30.0 | 31.0 | +0.1 | +0.2 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1015 | 27.0 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 23.0 | 21.5 | -1.4 | -2.9 | 2 | 7.8 |  |
|  | 1615 | 32.6 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 26.5 | 26.0 | +1.0 | +0.5 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1020 | 27.0 | 21.5 | 21.5 | 21.0 | 20.5 | 20.0 | -0.5 | -1.0 | 10 | 7.8 |  |
|  | 1620 | 32.5 | 25.8 | 25,8 | 25.8 | 24.5 | 24.0 | $-1.3$ | -1.8 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1030 | 27.0 | 19.5 | 19.4 | 19.4 | 19.0 | 18.5 | -0.4 | -0.9 | 2 | 7.8 |  |
|  | 1630 | 32.5 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 0 | -1.0 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1040 | 27.0 | 18.8 | 18.7 | 18.5 | 18.0 | 18.0 | -0.5 | -0.5 | 8 | 8.0 |  |
|  | 1635 | 32.4 | 22.0 | 21.8 | 21.5 | 20.6 | 20.2 | -0.9 | $-1.3$ |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1055 | 28.5 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 20.5 | 19.0 | 19.0 | -1.5 | -1.5 | 14 | 8.8 |  |
|  | 1640 | 31.5 | 23.5 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 21,9 | 21.6 | -1.8 | -2.1 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1105 | 28.3 | 21.0 | 21.0 | 20.9 | 20.7 | 19.6 | -0.2 | $-1.3$ | 3 | 9.0 |  |
|  | 1650 | 32.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.3 | 0 | -0.2 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1110 | 28.5 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 19.9 | -1.0 | -2.1 | 1 | 9.0 |  |
| - | 1655 | 31.5 | 23.6 | 23,6 | 23.6 | 23.5 | 23.5 | -0.1 | -0.1 |  |  |  |











Physical and non-physical data and trapping rates recorded at 13 stations along and in a pool adjacent the stream at Wobna Spring (locality 35) on different occasions between May 1968 and November 1970.

The stations were located in midstream at the following distances from the spring head: st. 1 , spring head pool ( 5 m diam.) ; st. 2, 10m; st. 3, 25m; st. 4, 50m; st. 5, 65m; st. 6, 90m; st. 7, 125m; st. 8, 185m; st. 9, 200m; st. $10,260 \mathrm{~m}$; st. $11,330 \mathrm{~m} ;$ st's. 12 and 13, in ephemeral side pool adjacent main stream and connecting via vegetated shallows.

The single time (hours) inaicated for each recording session indicates the time readings commenced; these usually took approximately 50 minutes to complete.

No sub-bed temperatures recorded at st. 3 due to rock bed.

1. Vegetation (rel. abund.): Estimate, on an arbitrary scale of 0 - 5 of approximate area each plant form covered within an overall area of approximately 0.5 square metre within the stream at each station.
2. Relative Density: Total number of fish trapped in a single trap (see Appendix D) set at each station for 12 hours overnight (approx. 1800 hours - 0600 hours).
3. Standard Length (mm.): Indicating ranges ( $R$ ) and means (M) of standard lengths for each sex at each station.
4. IV. 68

|  |  |  | Temp | rature | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | lVeg (Rel | etation <br> 1. abund) | ${ }^{2}$ Rela Dens | ative sity |  | and | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm}}{\mathrm{rd}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. . } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ sub- | Diff. <br> Sub-bed | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{HH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ | Strm width | Cyp. | Algae | Total | 8 8 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Stn. | comm. | Amb. |  | bed | bott.temp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1100 |  | 29.6 | 30.0 | +0.4 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |  | cies <br> sent |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | No dat | rec | rded at | this s | tation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 30.5 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 30.3 | 30.0 | -0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | No dat | rec | rded at | this s | tation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 30.3 | 29.7 | -0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 30.0 | 29.7 | -0.3 | 7.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | $23.8$ | 20.0 | -0.8 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

29.V. 68

30.VI, 68

26.VII. 68

1.IX. 68

|  |  |  | Temper | rature |  |  |  | ${ }^{1}$ Vege (Rel | tation , abund) | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & { }^{2} \mathrm{Rela} \\ & \text { Dens } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  |  | nda (m | len |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time rec. |  | Bott. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Diff. } \\ & \text { sub-bed } \\ & \text { bott. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{nH} & \text { dpth } \end{array}$ | Strm wdth | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | ¢ | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Stn. |  | Amb. | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | bed | temp. | (cm) |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1115 | 20.1 | 30 | 30.4 | +0.3 | 7.210 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\cdots$ |  | 30.5 | 30.4 | -0.1 | 7.014 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 30.2 |  |  | 7.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0 | 7.05 |  |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | - |  | 30.0 | 29.5 | -0.5 | 7.06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 30.0 | 29.9 | -0.1 | 7.23 |  |  |  | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 29.9 | 29.7 | -0.2 | 7.14 |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 25.5 | 24.9 | +0.4 | 8.41 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 25.4 | 25.5 | +0.1 | 8.41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 22.8 | 22.0 | -0.8 | 8.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 21.2 | 19.5 | $-1.7$ | 8.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 22.3 | 20.0 | -2.3 | 8.54 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 20.5 | 17.3 | $-3.2$ | 9.0 .12 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

28. X. 68

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{0} \\ & \text { dpth } \end{aligned}$$(\mathrm{cm})$ | Strm wdth (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetatn. ${ }^{2}$ Relative (Rel.abund)Density |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3_{\text {Standard }}(\mathrm{mm}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | Diff. sub-bed bott. |  |  |  | Cyp. | A lgae | Total | \% | 9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | temp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1635 | 34.1 | 30.0 | 30.4 | +0.4 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 30.5 | 30.5 | 0 | 6.8 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 30.5 |  |  | ? | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 30.5 | 30.5 | 0 | 7.1 | 9 |  |  |  | ! |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 30.4 | 30.4 | 0 | 7.1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 30.3 | 30.3 | 0 | 7.2 | 10 |  |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 30.0 | 30.2 | +0.2 | 7.2 | 9 |  |  |  | 47 |  | : |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 29.4 | 30.0 | -0.6 | 8.0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 28.8 | 29.1 | -0.3 | 8.1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 27.5 | 28.0 | +0. 5 | 8.4 | 2 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 26.0 | 26.5 | -0.5 | 8.4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 29.8 | 29.5 | $-0.3$ | 8.0 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 29.6 | 27.5 | -2.1 | 8.9 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

15. XI. 68

19.XII. 68

24.I. 69


Date Recorded 24.II. 69


30.IV. 69

25.VI. 69

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \text { depth } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Strm <br> wdth <br> (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetation (Rel.abund) |  | ${ }^{2}$ Relative Density |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3_{\text {Standard }} \\ & \text { length (mm) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott, } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | \% | 아 | $\hat{\delta}$ |  | ㅇ. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1155 | 15.9 | 29.7 | 30.2 | +0. 5 | 7.3 | 7 |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0 | 7.2 | 6 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 29.8 |  |  | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 29.5 |  |  | 7.2 | 8 |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 29.5 |  |  | 7.3 | 24 |  |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 29.3 | 29.3 | 0 | 7.3 | 13.5 |  |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 29.0 | 28.7 | -0.3 | 7.3 | 7 |  |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 28.1 | 28.2 | +0.1 | 7.5 | 8 |  |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 27.9 | 27.7 | -0.2 | 7.6 | 10 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 24.0 | 24.0 | 0 | 8.2 | 2 |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 22.3 | 21.8 | -0.5 | 8.8 | 8 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\ldots$ |  | 16.8 | 15.4 | $-1.4$ | 8.9 | 13 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 18.0 | 16.0 | -2.0 | 8.9 | 14 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

13.XI. 69

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { dpth } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Strm width (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetation (Rel.abund) |  | ${ }^{2}$ Relative Density |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3_{\text {Standard }} \\ & \text { length (mm) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff. } \\ & \text { sub-bed } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | 人 | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Amb. |  | bed | bott. temp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1500 | 31.8 | 31.0 | 29.0 | -2.0 | 7.2 | 13 | 10 | 5 | 0 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { spec } \\ \text { in } \\ \text { abun } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ies } \\ & \text { dance } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0 | 7.2 | 14 | 210 | 5 | $i$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 7.2 | 14 | 80 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0 | 7.3 | 12 | 122 | 5 | 1 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0 | 7.3 | 8 | 134 | 0 | 3 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0 | 7.4 | 7 | 120 | 0 | 3 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0 | 7.5 | 8 | 118 | 1 | 2 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 31.7 | 31.5 | -0.2 | 8.1 | 2 | 150 | 2 | 2 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 29.1 | 29.5 | +0.4 | 8.4 | 2 | 52 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 28.9 | 29.0 | +0.1 | 8.8 | 3 | 750 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 31.7 | 31.6 | -0.1 | 7.9 | 4 | 76 | 2 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 31.0 | 29.9 | -0.1 | 9.0 | 10. | $110 \times 26$ | 0 | 0 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 30.7 | 29.9 | -0,8 | 9.1 | 16 |  | 0 | 0 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{2} \\ & \text { depth } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Strm width (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetation (Rel.abund) |  | ${ }^{2}$ Relative Density |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3^{\text {Standard }} \begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{mm}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 cm subbed | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. |  |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | ¢ | 9 |  |  | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1615 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 0 | 7.0 | 3 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 30.5 | 30.9 | +0.4 | 7.2 | 5 | 117 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 30.4 |  |  | 7.2 | 6 | 90 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 30.1 | 30.3 | +0.2 | 7.2 | 5 | 75 | 1 | 1 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 30.2 | 30.4 | +0.2 | 7.3 | 6 | 160 | 0 | 4 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 30.1 | 30.4 | +0. 3 | 7.4 | 6 | 180 | 0 | 4 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 30.0 | 30.1 | +0.1 | 7.5 | 6 | 90 | 0 | 2 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 29.5 | 30.0 | +0. 5 | 7.7 | 8 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 29.5 | 30.0 | +0.5 | 7.7 | 9 | 50 | 1 | 3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 29.2 | 30.0 | +0.8 | 7.8 | 6 | 100 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 29.0 | 29.1 | +0.1 | 7.9 | 6 | 73 | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | ded - no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | No dat | recor | ded, no |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1I.IV. 70

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$depth (cm) | Strm width (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetation (Rel.abund) |  | ${ }^{2}$ Relative Density |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ Standard $1 g$ th (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 cm subbed | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. |  |  |  | Сур. | Algae | Tot. |  | 아 | \% |  | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1630 | 28.7 | 30.0 | 30.8 | +0.8 | 7.2 | 4 |  | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 30.0 | 30.8 | +0.8 | 7.2 | 6 | 100 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 29.5 |  |  | 7.3 | 9 | 86 | 3 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 29.0 | 29.0 | 0 | 7.3 | 5 | 128 | 0 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & \text { incl } \\ & \text { sexed } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \mid \\ & \text { e un } \\ & \text { uv. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  | 28.9 | 29.0 | +0.1 | 7.4 | 8 | 190 | 0 | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & \text { three } \\ & \text { sexed } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & n- \\ & \text { uv. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 28.5 | 28.5 | 0 | 7.4 | 6 | 190 | 0 | 4 | 24 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  | 27.8 | 27.8 | 0 | 7.4 | 10 | 88 | 0 | 1 | 48 | 18 | 25 | (five |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 0 | 7.6 | 5 | 75 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 26.5 | 27.0 | +0.5 | 7.6 | 5 | 80 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 26.0 | 26.5 | +0.5 | 7.8 | 11 | 75 | 2 | 3 |  | 13 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 25.0 | 25.5 | +0.5 | 7.8 | 7 | 100 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 4 | (two | unx | .ju |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  | ded, no " | water " |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

10.VI. 70

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { dpth } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Strm wdth (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetation (Rel.abund) |  | ${ }^{2}$ Relative Density |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ Standard length (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. |  |  |  | cyp. | Algae | Tot. | \% | q | \% |  | ¢ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R |  | R M |  |
| 1 | 1250 | 20.5 | 29.0 | 30.0 | +1.0 | 7.2 | 1 |  | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 30.5 | 30.5 | 0 | 7.2 | 6 | 107 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 30.4 |  |  | 7.2 | 8 | 80 | 3 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 0 | 7.3 | 5 | 73 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 27-33 | 30.7 | 30-31 | 30.5 |
| 5 |  |  | 29.9 | 29.4 | -0.5 | 7.3 | 7 | 90 | 0 | 3 | 34 | 15 | 19 | 24-40 | 33.9 | 22-40 | 33.9 |
| 6 |  |  | 29.9 | 29.4 | -0.5 | 7.3 | 7 | 90 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 21-40 | 32.4 | 27-36 | 33.0 |
| 7 |  |  | 29.5 | 29.5 | 0 | 7.3 | 8 |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 28-40 | 32.8 | 26-37 | 32.5 |
| 8 |  |  | 29.0 | 29.0 | 0 | 7.6 | 8 | 61 | 0 | 1 | cone ${ }^{7}$ |  | 3 | 33-41 | 38.3 | 27-33 | 31.0 |
| 9 |  |  | 29.0 | 29.0 | 0 | 7.6 | 14 | 53 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 10 | 26-42 | 34.3 | 27-37 | 32.6 |
| 10 |  |  | 28.5 | 28.5 | 0 | 7.7 | 13 | 110 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 33-38 | 35,0\| | 26-41 | 33.5 |
| 11 |  |  | 28.0 | 28.1 | +0.1 | 7.7 | 9 | 225 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 26-29 | 27.5 | 33 | 33.0 |
| 12 |  |  | No dat | a recor | ded, no | ater |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | No dat | recor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

23.VI. 70

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{pH} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{dpth} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Strm wdth (cm) | - |  |  |  |  | $3_{(\mathrm{mm})}^{3_{\text {Standard }}} \text { length }$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 cm subbed | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. |  |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | ô | 아 | रิ |  | 아앙 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left.\right\|_{16}$ one ur d.juv |  | 6 | 23-38 | 29.3 | 21-36 | 27.5 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \|17 | 9 | 8 | 22-35 | 22.7 | 18-37 | 28.2 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 | 15 | 22 | 19-42 | 27.2 | 19-37 | 29.0 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 2 | 8 | 35-37 | 36.0 | 26-38 | 33.7 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 7 | 7 | 29-38 | 35.4 | 21-38 | 33.4 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 5 | 3 | 31-44 | 36.4 | 34-39 | 36.0 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 3 | 5 | 21-39 | 31.7 | 23-37 | 32.0 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 3 | 5 | 21-35 | 27.7 | 20-33 | 24.2 |
| 12 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No data recorded }- \text { no water } \\ & \text { 1 } \\ & \text { No data recorded }- \text { no water } \\ & \text { I } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

30.VII. 70

22.VIII. 70

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{pH} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{0} \\ & \mathrm{dpth} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Strm wdth (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetatn. 2 Relative <br> (Rel.abund) Density  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ Standard length (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. |  |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | 1人 | \% | R ${ }_{\text {R }}$ | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1400 | 19.7 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0 | 7.1 | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 30.4 | 30.5 | +0.1 | 7.1 | 1 | 120 | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 7.2 | 10 | 91 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 29.6 | 29.8 | +0.2 | 7.3 | 4 | 120 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 30 | 1.9 | 11 | 24-41 | 30.6 | 25-37 | 31.8 |
| 5 |  |  | 29.5 | 29.5 | 0 | 7.3 | 5 | 81 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 17 | 9 | 8 | 19-34 | 27.9 | 20-41 | 33.2 |
| 6 |  |  | 29.1 | 29.1 | 0 | 7.4 | 5 | 88 | 0 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 23 | 11 | 12 | 17-37 | 28.1 | 20.42 | 29.6 |
| 7 |  |  | 28.7 | 29.0 | +0.3 | 7.6 | 4 | 235 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 4 | 3 | 1 | 30-31 | 30.3 | 27 | 27 |
| 8 |  |  | 28.2 | 28.4 | $\pm 0.2$ | 7.7 | 4 | 275 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 18 | 21 | 7 | 19-40 | 25.9 | 23-33 | 25.8 |
| 9 |  |  | 28.0 | 27.1 | -0.9 | 7.7 | 4 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 26.9 | 26.5 | -0.4 | 7.8 | 2 | 64 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 0 | $\stackrel{7}{7}$ juv | 3 $e$ un v.) | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ \mathrm{sxd} \end{gathered}$ | 21-34 | 29.0 | 18-27 | 23.7 |
| 11 |  |  | 26.1 | 25.7 | -0.4 | 7.9 | 4 | 157 | 1 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 3 | 3 | 0 | 24-29 | 26.3 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | No dat | ta reco | orded - | no wa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | No dat | ta reco | rded - | no wa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

27.IX, 70

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{dpth} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Strm wdth (cm) | ${ }^{1}$ Vegetatn ${ }^{2}$ Relative(Rel,abund) Density |  |  |  |  | $3^{3}$ Standard length (mm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2-5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. |  |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Total | 人 | 9 | $\hat{\delta}$ |  | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1520 | 18.5 | 28.9 | 30.4 | +1.5 | 7.1 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 28.8 | 28.2 | -0.6 | 7.1 | 9 | 115 | 3 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 7.1 | 8 | 100 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 28.0 | 27.9 | -0.1 | 7.2 | 8 | 107 | 1 | 3 | 18 | 7 | 11 | 23-24 | 27.6 | 25-34 | 28.0 |
| 5 |  |  | 28.4 | 27.5 | -0.9 | 7.2 | 3 | 122 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 23-43 | 32.1 | 22-40 | 29.0 |
| 6 |  |  | 27.6 | 27.4 | -0.2 | 7.3 | 8 | 62 | 0 |  | 16 one unxd juv. |  | 9 | 25-35 | 27.8 | 24-41 | 29.2 |
| 7 |  |  | 27.0 | 26.5 | -0.5 | 7.3 | 6 | 110 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 8 | 3 | 5 | 28-31 | 29.7 | 24-34 | 30.2 |
| 8 |  |  | 25.9 | 25.0 | -0.9 | 7.5 | 4 | 500 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 24.5 | 24.0 | -0.5 | 7.6 | 5 | 600 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 32 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | 21.9 | 21.6 | -0.3 | 7.9 | 5 | 80 | 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & l_{1} \\ & \text { unsxd } \\ & \text { juv) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 20.6 | 20.5 | -0.1 | 8.1 | 5 | 120 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 27 |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 18.7 | 18.4 | -0.3 | 9.3 | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  | 17.5 | 17.6 | -0.1 | 9.3 | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

31. $\chi .70$

| Stn. | Time rec. comm. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{dpth} \\ & (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Strm } \\ \text { width } \\ (\mathrm{cm}) \end{gathered}$ | IVegetatn. (Rel.abund |  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} 2 \text { Relative } \\ \text { Denslity } \end{array}\right.$ |  |  | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{2} \mathrm{Standard} \text { iength }$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { sub- } \\ & \text { bed } \end{aligned}$ | Diff. sub-bed bott. temp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | $\delta$ | 안 | $\delta$ |  | ¢ 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| $1{ }^{\prime}$ | 1010 | 26.9 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 0 | 7.0 | 4 |  | 3 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  | 31.4 | 31.1 | -0,3 | 7.0 | 5 | 130 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 7.0 | 8 | 90 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.3 | +0.3 | 7.1 | 6 | 118 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 35-41 | 38.0 |
| 5 |  |  | 31.3 | 31.3 | 0 | 7.3 | 5 | 218 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 28-35 | 32.3 | 27-36 | 30.0 |
| 6 |  |  | 31.7 | 31.5 | -0.2 | 7.3 | 8 | 147 | 0 | $1$ | 13 (one un |  | 6 | 27-40 | 33.3 | 27-37 | 31.0 |
| 7 |  |  | 32.0 | 31.7 | -0.3 | 7.3 | 6 | 180 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 19-31 | 25.0 | 29.33 | 31.0 |
| 8 |  |  | 32.1 | 31.9 | -0.2 | 7.4 | 5 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 25-33 | 29.0 |  | 36.0 |
| 9 |  |  | 32.0 | 31.9 | -0.1 | 7.5 | 3 | 117 | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 33.0 | 29-31 | 30.0 |
| 10 |  |  | 29.5 | 27.6 | $-1.9$ | 8.6 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | 33.0 | 32.2 | -0.8 | 8.3 | 5 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  | 31.0 | 30.5 | -0.5 | 9.5 | 3 | $11 m$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

25. XI. 70

| Stn. | Time rec. comm | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{pH} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \mathrm{dpth} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{array}\right\|$ | Strm width (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IVegetatn. }{ }^{\text {Relative }} \\ & \text { (Rel, abund) Density } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 3_{\text {Standard }}^{(\mathrm{mm})} \text { length } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amb. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bott. } \\ & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | 2.5 cm subbed | Diff. sub-bed bott. |  |  |  | Cyp. | Algae | Tot. | § | 9 | ¢ |  | 9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | temp. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | M | R | M |
| 1 | 1335 | 30.0 | 31.0 | 31.3 | +0.3 | 7.0 |  |  | 3 | 2 | spec | es | pres | ent in | abund | ance |  |
| 2 |  |  | 31.0 | 31.0 | 0 | 7.0 |  |  | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 28-32 | 30.0 | 25-28 | 26.3 |
| 3 |  |  | 31.0 |  |  | 7.1 |  |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  | 30.9 | 31.0 | +0.1 | 7.2 |  |  | 3 | 2 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 23-40 | 33.8 | 30-41 | 35.4 |
| 5 |  |  | 30.9 | 31.0 | +0.1 | 7.2 |  |  | 0 | 21/2 | 23 | 15 | 8 | 25-45 | 32.7 | 26-43 | 32.1 |
| 6 |  |  | 30.8 | 31.0 | +0.2 | 7.3 |  |  | 0 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 25-37 | 31.1 | 28-41 | 32.8 |
| 7 |  |  | 30.5 | 30.9 | +0.4 | 7.3 |  |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 2 | 17 | 10 | 7 | 27-41 | 33.4 | 24-37 | 32.1 |
| 8 |  |  | 30.5 | 30.5 | 0 | 7.4 |  |  | $\frac{3}{4}$ | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 30-37 | 33.5 | 31-37 | 34.0 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  | No da | ta rec | corded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix $G$

Bottom water temperatures and differences between them, and sub-bed temperatures (at 2.5 and 9.0 cm depth) recorded at the respective stations at Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34) 1968.

| Date recorded 14.XII. 67 |  |  |  | Date recorded 21.11. 68 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp O``` |  | Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp O``` |
|  |  | 2.5 cm depth | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $2.5 \mathrm{~cm} 9.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ depth depth |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.6 \\ & 35.0 \\ & 34.5 \\ & 33.0 \end{aligned}$ | +0.3 -0.5 -2.0 -1.5 | $\begin{aligned} & +0.4 \\ & -4.3 \\ & -7.0 \\ & -4.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31.5 \\ & 38.2 \\ & 37.8 \\ & 31.5 \\ & 30.0 \\ & 33.0 \\ & 36.1 \end{aligned}$ | +0.9 +0.1 <br> +5.1 -8.3 <br> -6.9 -9.8 <br> -4.1 -4.5 <br> -2.6 -2.8 <br> -0.5 -0.5 <br> -3.5 -6.1 <br> -7.8 -8.8 |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 31.6 \\ \text { to } \\ 35.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5 \\ +0 \\ -2.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -4.3 \\ \text { to } \\ -7.0 \end{array}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 30.0 \\ \text { to } \\ 38.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} -0.5 & +0.5 \\ \text { to } & \text { to } \\ -6.9 & -9.8 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 33.5 | -0.9 | -3.8 | Mean | 34.5 | $-3.7-5.1$ |


| Date recorded 22.III. 68 |  |  |  | Date recorded 26.IV. 68 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp O``` |  | Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
|  |  | 2.5 cm depth | 9.0 cm depth |  |  | 2.5 cm 9.0 cm depth depth |
| 1 | 31.0 | +0.5 | +0.5 | 1 | 29.9 | $+0.1+0.2$ |
| 2 | 33.1 | 0 | -1.3 | 2 | 25.5 | +1.0 +0.5 |
| 3 | 34.0 | -0.7 | -3.5 | 3 | 24.5 | $-1.3-1.8$ |
| 4 | 29.9 | -0.4 | -2.0 | 4 | 22.0 | $0-1.0$ |
| 5 | 30.0 | -2.0 | -3.0 | 5 | 21.5 | -0.9 -1.3 |
| 6 | 31.5 | -1.0 | -3.5 | 6 | 23.7 | -1.8 -2.1 |
| 7 | 32.0 | -0.7 | -0.3 | 7 | 23.5 | 0 -0.2 |
| 8 | 29.6 | +0.8 | +0.2 | 8 | 23.6 | -0.1-0.1 |
| Range | 29.6 | +0. 8 | +0.2 | Range | 21.5 | $+1.0+0.5$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ 34.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ -2.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to } \\ & -3.5 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ 29.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { to } & \text { to } \\ -1.8 & -2.1 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 31.4 | -0.6 | -1.6 | Mean | 24.3 | -0.4 -0.7 |


| Date recorded 28.V. 68 |  |  |  | Date recorded 30.VI. 68 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp O``` |  | Station | $\qquad$ <br> m- <br> temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ}{ }_{C}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2.5 cm aepth | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 29.6 | +0.4 | +0.4 | 1 | 29.0 | +0.3 |  |
| 2 | 22.0 | +0.3 | -0.5 | 2 | 18.0 | +0.5 | +0.8 |
| 3 | 21.5 | -1.0 | -2.0 | 3 | 16.9 | +0.1 | +0.1 |
| 4 | 17.0 | -0.9 | -1.5 | 4 | 13.8 | +0.1 | $+0.3$ |
| 5 | 16.1 | -1.1 | -1.1 | 5 | 13.0 | +0.1 | +0.2 |
| 6 | 16.0 | -0.5 | --0.1 | 6 | 12.8 | +0.5 | +0.7 |
| 7 | 18.9 | -0.4 | -1.4 | 7 | 12.9 | +0.4 | +0.6 |
| 8 | 18.7 | -0.3 | -0.7 | 8 | 13.4 | +0.4 | +0.6 |
| Range | 16.1 | +0.4 | +0.4 | Range | 12.9 | +0.1 | +0. 1 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ 29.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ -1.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ -2.0 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to } \\ & 29.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ +0.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { to } \\ & +0.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Mean | 19.9 | -0.4 | -0.8 | Mean | 16.2 | +0.3 | +0.4 |


| Date recorded 26.VII. 68 |  |  |  | Date recorded 31.VIII. 68 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Station | Bottom- <br> water <br> temp <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed/bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
|  |  | $2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ depth | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 9.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}\right.$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 29.3 | +0.7 | +0.8 | 1 | 29.9 | +0.1 | +0.3 |
| 2 | 20.0 | 0 | -0.2 | 2 | 22.7 | -0.2 | -1.7 |
| 3 | 17.5 | $-1.5$ | -1.5 | 3 | 19.0 | -1.5 | -2.5 |
| 4 | 11.0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 20.0 | -8.0 | -8.0 |
| 5 | 10.5 | 0 | -0.3 | 5 | 13.5 | -0.0 | -1.5 |
| 6 | 16.5 | -2.5 | -2.5 | 6 | 21.9 | -4.4 | -5.9 |
| 7 | 15.5 | -2.0 | -2.5 | 7 | 19.7 | -2.2 | -4.2 |
| 8 | 17.2 | $-2.3$ | -3.2 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Range | 10.5 | $-2.5$ | -3.2 | Range |  | $-4.4$ | -8.0 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ 29.3 \end{gathered}$ | to | to |  | to | to | to |
|  | 29.3 | +0.7 | +0.8 |  | 29.9 | +0.1 | +0. |
| Mean | 17.2 | -0.9 | -1.2 | Mean | 20.9 | -2.4 | -3.4 |


| Date recorded 28.X.68 |  |  |  | Date recorded 15.XI. 68 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Difference sub-bed bott. water temp O``` |  | Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
|  |  | 2.5 cm depth | $\begin{aligned} & 9.0 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 2.5 cm depth | 9.0 cm depth |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.4 \\ & 31.1 \\ & 29.0 \\ & 24.0 \\ & 26.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.2 \\ & +0.3 \\ & -4.0 \\ & -2.5 \\ & -2.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.2 \\ & -0.1 \\ & -7.0 \\ & -3.5 \\ & -3.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 6 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \\ & 32.0 \\ & 28.0 \\ & 22.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.5 \\ & -2.5 \\ & -5.7 \\ & -1.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.5 \\ & -5.5 \\ & -6.0 \\ & -2.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \\ \text { to } \\ 31.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -7.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.2 \end{array}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 22.3 \\ \text { to } \\ 32.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.7 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} -6.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean | 28.1 | -1.6 | $-2.7$ | Mean | 28.2 | -2.2 | -3.4 |


| Date recorded 19.XII. 68 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & \text { depth } \end{aligned}$ | 9.0 cm depth |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \\ & 5 \\ & 7 \\ & 7 \\ & 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.3 \\ & 30.8 \\ & 31.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.2 \\ & +0.7 \\ & -1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.2 \\ & -0.8 \\ & -3.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 30.8 \\ \text { to } \\ 31.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -1.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean | 30.8 | 0 | -1.2 |

## Appendix $H$

Bottom water temperatures and differences between them and sub-bed temperatures (at 2.5 cm depth) recorded at the respective stations at Wobna Spring (locality 35), 1958-70.

| Date recorded 27.IV. 68 |  |  | Date recorded 29.V. 68 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 29.6 | +0.4 | 1 | 28.9 | +1.6 |
| 2 | - | - | 2 | 30.2 |  |
| 3 | 30.5 | - | 3 | 30.1 | - |
| 4 | 30.3 | -0.3 | 4 | 30.0 | -0.5 |
| 5 | - | - | 5 | 29.8 | -0.2 |
| 6 | 30.3 | -0.6 | 6 | 29.3 | -0.4 |
| 7 | 30.0 | -0.3 | 7 | 28.9 | -0.2 |
| 8 | 23.8 | -0.8 | 8 | 25.5 | -1.0 |
| 9 | - | - | 9 | 24.5 | -0.4 |
| 10 | - | _ | 10 | 17.6 | -1.1 |
| 11 | - | - | 11 | 19.8 | -0.9 |
| 12 | - | - | 12 |  | - |
| 13 | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| Range | 23.8 | -0.8 | Range | 17.6 | -1.1 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ 30.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ +0.4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ 30.2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { to } \\ +1.6 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 29.1 | -0.3 | Mean | 24.0 | -0.3 |


| Date recorded 30.VI. 68 |  |  | Date recorded 26.VII. 68 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 29.0 | +0.6 | 1 |  |  |
| 2 | 30.0 | 0 | 2 | 30.5 | - 6 |
| 3 | 29.2 | - | 3 | 30.0 | -. |
| 4 | 29.0 | 0 | 4 | 29.8 | -0.2 |
| 5 | 29.0 | -0.2 | 5 | 29.5 | -0.2 |
| 6 | 27.3 | -0.3 | 6 | 28.8 | -0.3 |
| 7 | 27.3 | -0.3 | $?$ | 28.9 | -0.2 |
| 8 | 26.8 | 0 | 8 | 22.3 | -0.8 |
| 9 | 15.2 | +0.3 | 9 | 22.5 | -1.3 |
| 10 | 12.5 | +0.4 | 10 | 19.0 | -0.5 |
| 11 | 13.5 | +0.1 | 11 | 18.7 | -0.6 |
| 12 | 13.5 | $+0.5$ | 12 | 19.0 | -2.0 |
| 13 |  | - | 13 | . | . |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.3 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.6 \end{gathered}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 18.7 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +1.0 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 23.5 | +0.1 | Mean | 25.7 | -0. 5 |


| Date recorded 1.IX. 68 |  |  | Date recorded 28.X.68 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 30.1 | +0.4 | 1 | 30.0 | +0.4 |
| 2 | 30.5 | -0.1 | 2 | 30.5 | , |
| 3 | 30.2 | - | 3 | 30.5 | - |
| 4 | 30.0 | 0 | 4 | 30.5 | 0 |
| 5 | 30.0 | -0.5 | 5 | 30.4 | 0 |
| 6 | 30.0 | -0.1 | 6 | 30.3 | 0 |
| 7 | 29.9 | -0.2 | 7 | 30.0 | +0.2 |
| 8 | 25.5 | +0.4 | 8 | 29.4 | +0.6 |
| 9 | 25.4 | +0.1 | 9 | 28.8 | +0.3 |
| 10 | 22.8 | -0.8 | 10 | 27.5 | +0. 5 |
| 11 | 21.2 | -1.7 | 11 | 26.0 | +0.5 |
| 12 | 22.3 | $-2.3$ | 12 | 29.8 | -0.3 |
| 13 | 17.3 | -3.2 | 13 | 29.6 | -2.1 |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 17.3 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -3.2 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.4 \end{gathered}$ | Range | $\begin{aligned} & 26.0 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 30.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.1 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.6 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 26.5 | -0.7 | Mean | 29.5 | 0 |


| Date recorded 15.XI. 68 |  |  | Date recorded 24.I.69 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 30.5 | +0. 5 | 1 | 31.8 | -0.3 |
| 2 | 30.9 | -0. 5 | 2 | 31.3 | -0.3 |
| 3 | 30.9 | - | 3 | 31.2 | - |
| 4 | 30.9 | -0.4 | 4 | 31.0 | -0.4 |
| 5 | 31.0 | -0. 5 | 5 | 30.9 | 0 |
| 6 | 31.0 | -0.5 | 6 | 31.0 | 0 |
| 7 | 31.0 | -2.0 | 7 | 31.1 | -0.1 |
| 8 | 29.5 | -1.5 | 8 | 32.5 | -2.0 |
| 9 | 29.5 | +0.3 | 9 | 31.4 | 0 |
| 10 | 30.1 | -2.1 | 10 | 33.5 | -0.6 |
| 11 | 31.0 | -5.2 | 11 | 32.6 | - |
| 12 | 30.5 | -2.8 | 12 | . | - |
| 13 | 29.5 | -2.8 | 13 | 33.4 | -4.8 |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \\ \text { to } \\ 31.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -5.2 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.5 \end{array}$ | Range | $\begin{aligned} & 31.0 \\ & \text { to } \\ & 33.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -4.8 \\ \text { to } \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean | 30.5 | $-1.5$ | Mean | 31.8 | -0.8 |


| Date recordeá 24.II. 69 |  |  | Date recorded 30.III. 69 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | ```Bottom- water temp O``` | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 33.0 | -0.6 | 1 | 29.8 | +0.8 |
| 2 | 31.3 | +0.2 | 2 | 30.8 | -0.3 |
| 3 | 31.5 | - | 3 | 30.6 | - |
| 4 | 31.5 | +0.1 | 4 | 30.5 | -0.1 |
| 5 | 32.8 | -0.3 | 5 | 30.6 | -0.5 |
| 6 | 32.1 | -0.4 | 6 | 30.7 | -0.4 |
| 7 | 32.5 | -0.4 | 7 | 30.5 | -0. 0.5 |
| 8 | 34.8 | -3.9 | 8 | 30.4 | -0.4 |
| 9 | 30.5 | +0. 5 | 9 | 29.9 | -1.1 |
| 10 | 30.5 | +2. 5 | 10 | 28.5 | -1.5 |
| 11 | 33.6 | -1.1 | 17 | 27.9 | -1.4 |
| 12 |  | - | 12 | 25.5 | -2.0 |
| 13 | - | - | 13 | 25.0 | -2.5 |
| Range | 30.5 | -3.9 | Range | 25.0 | -2.5 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { to } \\ 34.8 \end{gathered}$ | to |  | to | to |
| Mean |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 32.2 | $-0.3$ | Mean | 29.3 | -0.8 |


| Date recorded 30.IV. 69 |  |  | Date recorded 25.VI. 69 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | ```Bottom- water temp 0``` | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Difference sub-bed bott. water temp O``` |
| 1 | 29.0 | +1.2 | 1 | 29.7 | +0.5 |
| 2 | 30.5 | 0 | 2 | 30.0 | 0 |
| 3 | 30.5 | - | 3 | 29.8 | - |
| 4 | 30.4 | -0.4 | 4 | 29.5 | - |
| 5 | 30.5 | -0.5 | 5 | 29.5 | - |
| 6 | 30.5 | -0.4 | 6 | 29.3 | 0 |
| 7 | 30.7 | -0.7 | 7 | 29.0 | -0.3 |
| 8 | 30.5 | -0.2 | 8 | 28.1 | +0.1 |
| 9 | 29.8 | -0.1 | 9 | 27.9 | -0.2 |
| 10 | 28.4 | +0.4 | 10 | 24.0 | 0 |
| 11 | 28.4 | +0.1 | 11 | 22.3 | -0.5 |
| 12 | - | - | 12 | 16.8 | -1.4 |
| 13 | - | - | 13 | 18.0 | -2.0 |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 28.4 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.7 \\ \text { to } \\ +1.2 \end{array}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 16.8 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean | 29.9 | -0.1 | Mean | 26.4 | -0.3 |


| Date recorded 13.XI. 69 |  |  | Date recorded 22.II.70 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sta- <br> tion | Bottom water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 31.0 | -2.0 | 1 | 30.9 | 0 |
| 2 | 31.0 | 0 | 2 | 30.5 | +0.4 |
| 3 | 31.0 | - | 3 | 30.4 | - |
| 4 | 31.0 | 0 | 4 | 30.1 | +0.2 |
| 5 | 31.0 | 0 | 5 | 30.2 | +0.2 |
| 6 | 31.0 | 0 | 6 | 30.1 | +0.3 |
| 7 | 31.0 | 0 | 7 | 30.0 | +0.1 |
| 8 | 31.7 | -0.2 | 8 | 29.5 | +0.5 |
| 9 | 29.1 | +0.4 | 9 | 29.5 | +0.5 |
| 10 | 28.9 | +0.1 | 10 | 29.2 | +0.8 |
| 11 | 31.7 | -0.1 | 11 | 29.0 | +0.1 |
| 12 | 31.0 | -0.1 | 12 | - |  |
| 13 | 30.0 | -0.8 | 13 | - | - |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 29.1 \\ \text { to } \\ 31.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -2.0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.4 \end{gathered}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 29.0 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.8 \end{gathered}$ |
| Mean | 30.7 | -0.1 | Mean | 29.9 | +0.3 |


| Date recorded 11.IV.70 |  |  | Date recorded 10.VI.70 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottom water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 30.0 | +0.8 | 1 | 29.0 | +1.0 |
| 2 | 30.0 | +0.8 | 2 | 30.5 | 0 |
| 3 | 29.5 | - | 3 | 30.4 | - |
| 4 | 29.0 | 0 | 4 | 30.0 | 0 |
| 5 | 28.9 | +0.1 | 5 | 30.0 | 0 |
| 6 | 28.5 | 0 | 6 | 29.9 | -0. 5 |
| 7 | 27.8 | 0 | 7 | 29.5 | 0 |
| 8 | 27.0 | 0 | 8 | 29.0 | 0 |
| 9 | 26.5 | +0. 5 | 9 | 29.0 | 0 |
| 10 | 26.0 | +0. 5 | 10 | 28.5 | 0 |
| 11 | 25.0 | +0.5 | 11 | 28.0 | +0.1 |
| 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.8 \end{gathered}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 28.0 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.5 \\ \text { to } \\ +1.0 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 28.0 | +0.3 | Mean | 29.4 | +0.1 |


| Date recorded 29.VII.70 |  |  | Date recorded 22.VIII.70 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Sta- <br> tion | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 25.5 | +0.9 | 1 | 31.0 | 0 |
| 2 | 30.3 | -0.3 | 2 | 30.4 | +0. 1 |
| 3 | 29.7 | - | 3 | 30.0 | . |
| 4 | 29.0 | 0 | 4 | 29.6 | +0.2 |
| 5 | 29.0 | 0 | 5 | 29.5 | 0 |
| 6 | 28.5 | 0 | 6 | 29.7 | 0 |
| 7 | 28.0 | 0 | 7 | 28.7 | +0.3 |
| 8 | 27.0 | 0 | 8 | 28.2 | +0.2 |
| 9 | 27.0 | 0 | 9 | 28.0 | -0.9 |
| 10 | 26.3 | +0.1 | 10 | 26.9 | -0.4 |
| 11 | 25.4 | +0.1 | 11 | 26.1 | -0.4 |
| 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| 13 | - | - | 13 | - | - |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 25.4 \\ \text { to } \\ 30.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.9 \end{array}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 26.1 \\ \text { to } \\ 31.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.3 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 28.2 | +0.1 | Mean | 28.8 | -0.1 |


| Date recorded 27.IX.70 |  |  | Date recorded 31.X.70 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Difference sub-bed bott. water temp O``` | Station | Bottomwater temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference sub-bed bott. water temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 28.9 | +1.5 | 1 | 31.5 | 0 |
| 2 | 28.8 | -0.6 | 2 | 31.4 | -0.3 |
| 3 | 28.5 | - | 3 | 31.0 |  |
| 4 | 28.0 | -0.1 | 4 | 31.0 | +0.3 |
| 5 | 28.4 | -0.9 | 5 | 31.3 | 0 |
| 6 | 27.6 | -0.2 | 6 | 31.7 | -0.2 |
| 7 | 27.0 | -0. 5 | 7 | 32.0 | -0.3 |
| 8 | 25.9 | -0.9 | 8 | 32.1 | -0.2 |
| 9 | 24.5 | -0.5 | 9 | 32.0 | -0.1 |
| 10 | 21.9 | -0.3 | 10 | 29.5 | -1.9 |
| 11 | 20.6 | -0.1 | 11 | 33.0 | -0.8 |
| 12 | 18.7 | -0.3 | 12 | 31.0 | -0. 5 |
| 13 | 17.5 | +0.1 | 13 | - | - |
| Range | $\begin{gathered} 17.5 \\ \text { to } \\ 28.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.9 \\ \text { to } \\ +1.5 \end{array}$ | Range | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \\ \text { to } \\ 33.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -1.9 \\ \text { to } \\ +0.3 \end{array}$ |
| Mean | 25.1 | -0.2 | Mean | 31.5 | -0.4 |


| Date recorded 25.XI.70 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sta- <br> tion | Bottom- <br> water <br> temp <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Difference <br> sub-bed bott <br> water temp <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1 | 31.0 | +0.3 |
| 2 | 31.0 | 0 |
| 3 | 31.0 | - |
| 4 | 30.9 | +0.1 |
| 5 | 30.9 | +0.1 |
| 6 | 30.8 | +0.2 |
| 7 | 30.5 | 10.4 |
| 8 | 30.5 | 0 |
| 9 | - | - |
| 10 | - | - |
| 11 | - | - |
| 12 | - | - |
| 13 | - | 0 |
| Range | 30.5 | to |
|  | 31.0 | +0.4 |
| Mean | 30.8 | +0.2 |

## Appendix 1

Length - Frequencies of trapped C. eremius collections from Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) - pool A.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{SL} \\
\& \mathrm{~mm} .
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Sampled } \\
\& 3 . I X .68
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Sampled } \\
\& \text { 3.VI.70 }
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sampled } \\
14 . I V .70 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sampled } \\
15 . \mathrm{VI} .70
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline \& \(0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}\) \& ¢\% \& \(00^{\circ}\) \& ¢¢ \& 0'0' \& ¢¢ \& \(00^{\prime \prime}\) \& 웅 \\
\hline 17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
2 \\
2 \\
2 \\
3 \\
8 \\
7 \\
5 \\
5 \\
8 \\
8 \\
10 \\
10 \\
10 \\
1 \\
5 \\
4 \\
5 \\
2 \\
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
3 \\
1
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
5 \\
6 \\
10 \\
5 \\
9 \\
14 \\
19 \\
19 \\
13 \\
7 \\
8 \\
6 \\
1 \\
2 \\
4 \\
6 \\
3 \\
2 \\
3
\end{array}
\] \& 1
1
1
1
2
1
1 \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 2 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 5 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
1 \\
3 \\
1
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 8 \\
\& 5 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
1
\end{tabular} \& 2
3
2
1
2
6
2
2
2
1
1
1
1

3
1
1

1 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 5 \\
& 5 \\
& 5 \\
& 4 \\
& 4 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$ <br>

\hline Total NoS \& 112 \& 143 \& 10 \& 36 \& 24 \& 45 \& 32 \& 41 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \mathrm{SL} \\
\& \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sampled } \\
16 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sampled } \\
18 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sampled } \\
19 . \mathrm{VI.70} \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sampled } \\
20 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline \& \(00^{\prime \prime}\) \& ¢\% \& \(00^{\circ}\) \& 아 \& \(0^{\circ} 0^{\prime}\) \& ¢ \(¢\) \& \(00^{\prime \prime}\) \& ¢¢ \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
17 \\
18 \\
19 \\
20 \\
21 \\
22 \\
23
24 \\
25 \\
26 \\
27 \\
28
29 \\
30
31 \\
32 \\
33
34 \\
35
36 \\
37 \\
38
39 \\
40 \\
41 \\
42 \\
43 \\
44 \\
45 \\
46 \\
47 \\
48
\end{tabular} \& 1
3

3
2
1
1
1
3

2 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 4 \\
& 1 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 6 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 5 \\
& 6 \\
& 4 \\
& 3 \\
& 4 \\
& 6 \\
& 4 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 1 |
| :--- |
| 1 1 1 3 |
| 3 |
| 2 1 |
| 2 |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| 1 | \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 3 \\
& 5 \\
& 1 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 4 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 3 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| :--- |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 1 | \& | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :--- |
| 1 | <br>


\hline | Total |
| :--- |
| Nos | \& 19 \& 32 \& 23 \& 37 \& 24 \& 32 \& 11 \& 15 <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& S L \\
\& m m .
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Sampled } \\
21 . \mathrm{VI} .70
\end{array}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Sampled } \\
26 . \text { VIII. } 70
\end{gathered}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{aligned}
\& \text { Sampled } \\
\& 27 . \text { VIII. } 70
\end{aligned}
\]} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Sampled } \\
28 . \text { VIII. } 70
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline \& \(00^{\circ}\) \& ¢\% \& \(00^{\circ}\) \& ¢\% \& \(0^{\circ} 0^{\circ}\) \& ¢¢ \& \(00^{\prime \prime}\) \& ¢¢ \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 17 \\
\& 18 \\
\& 19 \\
\& 20 \\
\& 21 \\
\& 22 \\
\& 23 \\
\& 24 \\
\& 25 \\
\& 26 \\
\& 27 \\
\& 28 \\
\& 29 \\
\& 30 \\
\& 31 \\
\& 32 \\
\& 33 \\
\& 34 \\
\& 35 \\
\& 36 \\
\& 37 \\
\& 38 \\
\& 39 \\
\& 40 \\
\& 41 \\
\& 42 \\
\& 43 \\
\& 44 \\
\& 45 \\
\& 46 \\
\& 47 \\
\& 48
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
1 \\
1 \\
2 \\
1
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 1 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 5 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 3
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
6 \\
4 \\
9 \\
9 \\
11 \\
3 \\
7 \\
9 \\
2 \\
2 \\
3 \\
2 \\
3 \\
3
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 4 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 6 \\
\& 5 \\
\& 8 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 5 \\
\& 2 \\
\& 4 \\
\& 3 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1 \\
\& 1
\end{aligned}
\] \& 1

1
1
7
5
6
14
9
10
6
7
3
3
5
3
2
1

2 \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 6 \\
& 3 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 5 \\
& 7 \\
& 4 \\
& 3 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | 2 |
| ---: |
| 1 |
| 4 |
| 11 |
| 7 |
| 6 |
| 12 |
| 12 |
| 4 |
| 10 |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 1 |
| 2 |
| 1 | <br>

\hline Total Nos \& 24 \& 21 \& 47 \& 66 \& 45 \& 86 \& 47 \& 77 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline SL
mm. \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { Sampled } \\
29 . V I I I .70 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Sampled } \\
30 . \text { VIII. } 70
\end{gathered}
$$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sampled } \\
& \text { 31.VIII. } 70
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sampled } \\
& 1 . I X .70
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sampled } \\
& \text { 2.IX. } 70
\end{aligned}
$$} <br>
\hline \& $00^{\circ}$ \& 우 \& $00^{\circ}$ \& \%\% \& $0^{\circ} 0^{\prime \prime}$ \& ¢\% \& $0^{\circ}$ \& ¢о \& $0{ }^{\circ}$ \& ¢앙 <br>
\hline 17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48 \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 5 \\
& 7 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 4 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$ \& 3
4
5
6
4
7
8
3
4
2
2
2
1
1 \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
1 \\
\\
2 \\
2 \\
7 \\
5 \\
8 \\
16 \\
17 \\
16 \\
18 \\
13 \\
5 \\
4 \\
6 \\
2 \\
2 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 1 \\
& 3 \\
& 5 \\
& 5 \\
& 2 \\
& 5 \\
& 7 \\
& 8 \\
& 4 \\
& 9 \\
& 6 \\
& 6 \\
& 2 \\
& 3 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \\
& 1 \\
& 5 \\
& 4 \\
& 7 \\
& 7 \\
& 3 \\
& 3 \\
& 1 \\
& 3
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
4 \\
5 \\
4 \\
9 \\
9 \\
11 \\
8 \\
9 \\
8 \\
4 \\
3 \\
1 \\
1
\end{array}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \\
& \\
& 2 \\
& 1 \\
& 2 \\
& 2 \\
& 4 \\
& 5 \\
& 6 \\
& 7 \\
& 7 \\
& 7 \\
& 6 \\
& 2 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
1 \\
3 \\
6 \\
11 \\
19 \\
17 \\
8 \\
10 \\
12 \\
3 \\
2 \\
5 \\
5 \\
2
\end{array}
$$ \& 1

1
2
1
6
8
8
6
13
8
13
9
5
5
1 \& 1
1
1
1
2
8
12
12
18
18
22
22
6
10
9
1
2
1 <br>
\hline Total Nos \& 44 \& 52 \& 125 \& 71 \& 31 \& 77 \& 47 \& 107 \& 89 \& 147 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Appendix J
Length frequencies of trapped collections made at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) - Pool B.


## Appendix $K$

Length frequencies of trapped collections made at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24) - Pool C.

| SL. <br> mm. | Frequency |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampled } \\ & 14 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sampled } \\ & 17 . \mathrm{VI} .70 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | かิ | 우 | むิิ | $9 \%$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 20 \\ & 21 \\ & 22 \\ & 23 \\ & 24 \\ & 25 \\ & 26 \\ & 27 \\ & 28 \\ & 29 \\ & 30 \\ & 31 \\ & 32 \\ & 33 \\ & 34 \\ & 35 \\ & 36 \\ & 37 \\ & 38 \\ & 39 \\ & 40 \\ & 41 \\ & 42 \\ & 43 \\ & 44 \\ & 45 \\ & 46 \\ & 47 \\ & 48 \\ & 49 \\ & 50 \\ & 51 \\ & 52 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 15 \\ 5 \\ 16 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | 2 <br> $1{ }^{4}$ <br> 10 <br> 2 7 13 <br> 12 <br> 18 <br> 9 13 <br> 10 <br> 10 <br> 12 <br> 6 <br> 9 6 <br> 2 6 <br> 6 1 1 <br> 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 2 \\ & 4 \\ & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 4 \\ & 1 \\ & \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total Nos. | 182 | 154 | 47 | 32 |

Appendix L

Length frequencies of $C$. eremius trapped collections from locality 27, Nunn's Bore.


Appendix $M$<br>Length Frequencies of Trapped Collections from Wobna Mound Spring (locality 35).



## APPENDIX N

Length frequencies of trapped collections from Blanche Cup Spring (locality 36).


## Appendix $O$

Trapping data, Nunn's Bore (locality 27) for the period 13.IV. 70 to 31.V.71.

At each setting ten standard wire mesh traps (not baited) were placed at random for a 15 hour period overnight (1700 to 0800 hours).
Appendix

| Trapping <br> Date | Numbers <br> Trapped |
| :--- | :---: |
| 13.IV.70 | 158 |
| 22.VI.70 | 166 |
| 31.VII.70 | 66 |
| 24.VIII.70 | 354 |
| 4.IX.70 | 88 |
| 1.XI.70 | 297 |
| 31.V.71 | 262 |

Trapping date, Wobna Spring (locality 35) for the period 1.IX. 68 to 25.XI.70.

At each setting single standard wire mesh trap, see Appendix for description (baited), placed at each station indicated for a 12 hour period overnight (1800 to 0600 hours). Where traps not set this was due to either no water or insufficient depth.

A trap was not set at Station 1 if it was obvious no fish were in the vicinity.

No trap was set at Station 3 due to a rock bed and insufficinet depth of water to set a trap.

Where traps were not set at Stations 12 and 13 (a side pool) this was due to the pool having dried up. Where traps were not set at other stations, this was due to insufficient depth of water.

A = Although no fish were trapped, (apparently due to the trap sinking into the sand bed) many were sighted in the vicinity of Station 1 on these occasions.
$B=$ Although no fish were trapped, several were sighted in the vicinity of the Station.
? = Fish unable to be sexed (juveniles).


## APPENDIX $Q$

Australian Mineral Development Laboratories reference numbers to water analyses.

| Iocality No. | Locality Name | Date Sampled | AMDI. Ref. No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1 | Dalhousie Main Spring | 6.VIII.68 | ANI/36/0-677/69 |
| $1 / 3$ | Dalhousie Spring 3 | 6.VIII. 68 | ANI/36/9-677/69 |
| 9 | Cramps Camp Waterhole | 20.XI. 69 | ANI/36/1-2263/70 |
| 12 | Algebuckina Waterhole | 29.V.69.68 | ANI/36/0-677/69 |
| 14 | Peake Creek | 30.VII. 68 | ANI/36/0-677/69 |
| 22 | Mussel Waterhole | 21.XI. 69 | AVI/36/1-2263/70 |
| 23 | Honeymoon Bore | June 1969 | ANI/36/0-82/70 |
| 24 | Johnsons No. 3 Bore | 1.II. $3.1 \times$ | ANI/36/0-1271/69 |
| 27 | Nunn's Bore | (28.XI. 69 | ANI/36/1-2263/70 |
|  |  | (22.VI. 70 | ANI/36/0-210/71 |
| 29 | Strangways Springs Railway Bore | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} 31 . X .71 \\ 31 . I .71 \end{array}\right.$ | ANI/36/0-2357/71 <br> ANI/36/0 - 3550/71 |
| 30 | Strangways Springs Mound Spring | 28.XI. 69 | ANVI!36/1-2263/70 |
| 31 32 | Beresford Reservoir <br> Warburton Springs | 31.I. 71 | ANI/36/0-3550/71 |
| 32 | Warbutuon Springs | (1959 | W1315/59 3550/71 |
| 33 | Coward Springs proper |  |  |
| 34 | Coward Springs Railway Bore | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3.XI. } 61 \\ (26 . I V .68 \end{gathered}$ | AINI/36/0-3370/68 |
|  |  | (April 1969 | ANI/36/0-3707/69 |
| 35 | Wobna Spring | (27.IV.68 | ANI/36/0-3370/68 |
|  |  | (3.X.70 | ANI/36/0-2357/71 |
| 36 | Blanche Cup Spring | (2.XI.61 | W1833/61 |
|  |  | (1.II.71 | ANI 36/0-3550/71 |
| 42 | Callana Reservoir | 1.II. 71 | ANI/36/0-3550/71 |
| 43 44 | Lake Harry Bore | 23.XI.70 | ANI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 45 | Dalkannina Bore | 23.XI. 70 | ANVI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 46 | Cannawaukininna Bore | 23.XI.70 | ANI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 48 | Kopperamanna No. 1 Bore | 23.XI.70 | ANI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 50 | Mirra Mitta Bore | 23.XI. 70 | ANI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 51 | Gason Bore | 23.XI.70 | ANI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 54 | Paralana Hot Springs | 23.XI.70 | ANI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 55 | Balcanoona Creek | 30.X. 69 | ANI/36/0-1816/70 |
| 62 | Montecolina bore | 29.x. 69 | ANI/36/0-1816/70 |
| 63 | Twelve Springs | 24.X. 69 | ANI/36/0-1816/70 |
| 64 | Woolatchi Bore | 24.X. 69 | ANI/36/0-1816/70 |
| 72 | Broughton River | 29.X. 69 | ANI/36/0-1816/70 |
| 73 | Light River | 29.I. 71 | ANI/36/0-2960/71 |
| 76 | Kroehns Landing, River Murray | $12 . \mathrm{VI} .68$ | ANI/36/0-3897/68 |


#### Abstract

Appendix $R$

Chemical analyses of test mediums employed in salinity tolerance tests upon $\underline{C}$. eremius. All chemical values expressed in parts per million.


| Medium | Origin | ${ }_{\text {Refat. }}^{\text {Radel }}$ Ho. | Anions |  |  |  |  |  |  | cations |  |  | Assumed Composition of Salts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Hardness (as Caco ${ }_{3}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{pH}^{\text {P }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ci | $\mathrm{so}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{HOO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ | ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | Na | ${ }^{\text {r }}$ | ca | ${ }^{\text {us }}$ | Pe | $\mathrm{Carico}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Caso}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ | ${ }_{4}^{\mathrm{MBHOCO}_{3}} \mathrm{MSSO}_{4}$ | ${ }_{\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}$ | Nafico ${ }_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ | Hac1 | ${ }^{\text {HañO}} 3$ | kCI | $\mathrm{PeHiO}_{3}$ |  | Total | Tempor | Permanont | ${ }_{\text {do }}^{\text {pue }}$ | ${ }_{\text {to }}^{\text {pue }}$ |  |  |
| Distilled Water Control Artesian Water |  | ANI/36/0 1881/71 ANI/36/0 210/71 | 2720 | $\begin{aligned} & <2 \\ & 600 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <5 \\ & 310 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} <0.05 \\ 1815 \end{array}$ | 0.05 70 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 212 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134 |  | $\begin{gathered} >1.25<8.30 \\ 5609 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{680}$ | 225 | 425 | 150 |  | 255 | 5.6 6.7 |
|  |  | ant/36/0 1881/71 | 70 | ${ }^{20}$ | 10 | - |  |  |  | $6$ | $1$ |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 147 | ${ }^{20}$ | 8 | 12. | 5 |  | 8 | 7.6 |
| Saturated ${ }_{\text {Sater }}$ |  | AnI/36/0 4791/70 | 4795 | 1260 | 95 | - | - |  | 125 | 240 | 75 |  | 126 | 210 |  | 371 |  |  | 684 | 2718 |  | 238 |  | 9797 | 910 | 80 | 830 | 310 |  | 80 | 8.2 |
| Sea water | Off Grange S.A. | AnI/36/0 1881/71 | 20800 | 2900 | 175 | - | - | 11545 | 450 | 360 | 1440 |  | 233 | 1026 |  | 2727 | 3481 |  |  | 29345 |  | ${ }_{858}$ |  | 37580 | 6820 | 145 |  | 5290 |  | 145 | 7.7 |

## Appendix $S$

Data recorded during series of salinity tolerance trials upon $\underline{C}$. eremius.

The control medium and diluted and saturated mediums were all prepared from artesian water sampled from the outflow point at Nunn's Bore (locality 26). The test subjects were stock from Nunn's Bore + = mortality. The number following the mortality symbol indicates the standard length of the fish in mm.

## Trial 1.

Test mediums:
(a) Distilled water, salinity $=>1.25<8.3 \mathrm{ppm}$.
(b) Saturated artesian water salinity
$=9,797 \mathrm{ppm}$.
Control medium:
Artesian water sampled from locality 27 , salinity
$=5,609 \mathrm{ppm}$.
Date commenced: 26.V.70
Duration of Trial: 13 days.
Remarks: Water temperatures and lengths not recorded.

| Day from <br> commencement | Control | Test <br> medium <br> (a) | Test <br> medium <br> (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |

Trial 2.
Test mediums:
(a) Distilled water, salinity $=>1.25\langle 8.3$ ppm.
(b) Saturated artesian water sampled from locality 27, salinity $=9,797 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Control medium:
Artesian water sampled from locality 27, salinity $=5,609 \mathrm{ppm}$ 。

Date commenced: 8.VI.1970.
Duration of Trial: 20 days.
Remarks:
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Day from } \\ \text { commencement }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \text { Temp. } \\ \text { C. }\end{array} & \text { Control } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Test } \\ \text { medium } \\ \text { (a) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Test } \\ \text { medium } \\ \text { (b) }\end{array} \\ \hline 1 & 16.0 & & + & \\ \hline 2 & 16.0\end{array}\right)$

## Trial 3.

Test mediums:
(a) Distilled water, salinity $=>1.25<8.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ 。
(b) Saturated artesian water sampled from locality 26 , salinity $=9,797 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Control Medium:
Artesian water sampled from locality 27 , salinity $=5,609 \mathrm{pp}$
Date commenced: 30.VI.1970.
Duration of trial: 34 days.
Remarks:
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Day from } \\ \text { commencement }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ \text { Temp } \\ \mathrm{C}\end{array} & \text { Control } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Test } \\ \text { Medium } \\ \text { (a) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Test } \\ \text { Medium } \\ \text { (b) }\end{array} \\ \hline 1 & \begin{array}{l}15.0\end{array} & & & \\ \hline 2 & 15.0 & & & \\ \hline 3 & 14.5\end{array}\right)$

Test mediums:
(a) Distilled water salinity $=>1.25<8.3 \mathrm{ppm}$.
(b) Saturated artesian water, salinity $=9,797 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Control medium:
Artesian water sampled from Locality 27 , salinity $5,609 \mathrm{ppm}$. Date commenced: 4.VIII.70.

Duration of Trial: 35 days.

| Day from commencement | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{mp}} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Control | Test Medium (a) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Test } \\ & \text { Medium } \\ & \text { (b) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 13.5 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 13.7 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 13.0 | $\begin{array}{r} +(33) \\ +(41) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 7 | 12.7 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 13.5 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 13.7 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 11 | , |  |  |  |
| 12 | 13.0 |  | +(34) |  |
| 13 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 12.5 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 13.5 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 13.5 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 13.7 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 13.5 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 13.1 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 12.0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & +(37) \\ & +(30) \\ & +(28) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 21 | 12.5 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 12.5 |  | +(29) |  |
| 23 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 12.0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & +(31) \\ & +(10) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 25 | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| 26 | 12.2 |  |  |  |
| 27 | 12.5 |  | $\begin{aligned} & +(32) \\ & +(29) \\ & +(27) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 28 | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| 29 | 12.5 |  |  |  |
| 30 | 12.4 |  |  |  |
| 31 | 12.5 |  |  |  |
| 32 | 12.5 |  |  |  |
| 33 | 13.5 |  |  |  |
| 34 | 14.7 |  |  |  |
| -35 | 15.9 | +(32) |  |  |

Trial 5.
Test Mediums:
(a) Distilled water salinity $=>1.25<8.3 \mathrm{ppm}$.
(b) Diluted 1:19 artesian water salinity $=147 \mathrm{ppm}$.
(c) Saturated artesian water, salinity $=9,797 \mathrm{ppm}$.

Control medium:
Artesian water sampled from locality no. 27 salinity 5609ppm.

Bate commenced:
Duration of trial:
Remarks:
9.IX.70.

60 days.
Water temperature readings not taken on days 43 to 57 inclusive.



Trial 6.
Test Medium:
Sea water sampled off Grange, St. Vincent Gulf, October 1970. Salinity $=37,58-\mathrm{ppm}$.

Control Medium:
Artesian water sampled from Locality No. 27.
Salinity $=5,609 \mathrm{ppm}$.
Date commenced: 21.X.70.
Duration of Trial: 60 days.

## Remarks:

| Day from commencement | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{~T}_{8} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{p} \end{aligned}$ | Control | Test Medium | Day from commencement | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ & \mathrm{Temp}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | Control | Test <br> Medium |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16.5 |  | +(39) | 31 |  |  |  |
| 2 | 17.2 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 17.0 |  |  | 33 | 19.5 |  | $+(30)$ |
| 4 | 16.0 |  |  | 34 | 20.0 | +(30) |  |
| 5 | 17.0 |  |  | 35 | 19.7 |  |  |
| 6 | 18.0 |  |  | 36 | 19.5 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  | 37 | 19.7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  | 38 | 20.0 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  | 39 | 20.3 |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  | 40 | 20.0 | +(32) | +(25) |
| 11 |  |  |  | 41 | 21.0 |  | +(25) |
| 12 |  |  |  | 42 | 19.9 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | 43 | 20.0 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  | 44 | 20.5 |  | +(31) |
| 15 |  |  |  | 45 | 19.0 |  |  |
| 16 | 19.0 |  |  | 46 | 19.0 |  |  |
| 17 | 18.2 |  |  | 47 | 19.0 |  |  |
| 18 | 18.4 |  |  | 48 | 19.2 |  |  |
| 19 | 19.8 |  |  | 49 | 21.3 |  |  |
| 20 | 19.9 |  |  | 50 | 19.2 |  |  |
| 21 | 19.6 |  |  | 51 | 19.5 |  |  |
| 22 | 19.5 |  |  | 52 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 18.9 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  | 54 | 18.9 |  | +(41) |
| 25 | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  | +(44) |
| 26 | 18.0 |  |  |  |  |  | +(44) |
| 27 | 17.0 |  |  | 55 | 18.8 |  |  |
| 28 | 17.5 |  | +(31) | 56 | 21.0 |  |  |
| 29 | 17.5 | +(33) |  | 57 | 19.5 |  |  |
| 30 | 18.0 |  |  | 58 | 20.5 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 59 | 20.0 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 60 | 20.5 |  |  |

Appendix $T$Gonad, Anal Papilla and Standard Lengthmeasurements recorded from 20 male and 20female $\underline{C}$. eremius sampled from Nunn'sBore (locality 27) population on differentoccasions between April 1970 and May 1971,inclusive.

MALE MEASUREMENTS.

| 13/IV/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AP | LEFT | ESTIS | RIGHT T | TIS |  |  |  |
| SL | AP | $\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{\text { SL }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ L_{r} \end{gathered}$ | Width $W_{r}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\xrightarrow{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)} \underbrace{\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}$ |
| 48 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 7.8 | 1.3 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 15.6 | 2.8 | 0.91 |
| 41 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 0.7 | 10.8 | 1.3 | 0.34 |
| 41 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 6.0 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 12.5 | 1.6 | 0.48 |
| 47 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 8.3 | 1.6 | 15.6 | 2.9 | 0.96 |
| 31 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.6 | 8.2 | 1.2 | 0.32 |
| 42 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 11.6 | 2.1 | 0.58 |
| 47 | 3.3 | $0.07{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.8 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 14.2 | 2.5 | 0.75 |
| 38 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 0.8 | 10.2 | 1.9 | 0.51 |  |
| 45 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 6.4 | 1.1 | 11.6 | 2.1 | 0.54 |
| 50 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 8.0 | 1.3 | 9.6 | 1.4 | 17.6 | 2.7 | 0.95 |
| 47 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 1.8 | 14.0 | 3.2 | 0.95 |
| 49 | 3.2 | 0.06 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 16.7 | 3.1 | 1.06 |
| 39 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 5.1 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 12.2 | 1.8 | 0.56 |
| 40 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 11.5 | 2.8 | 0.80 |
| 45 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 7.4 | 0.7 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 13.6 | 1.6 | 0.48 |
| 41 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 4.9 | 1.6 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 11.1 | 2.5 | 0.67 |
| 41 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 5.7 | 0.6 | 5.7 | 0.9 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 0.42 |
| 43 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 0.8 | 12.8 | 1.6 | 0.47 |
| 38 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 5.1 | 0.6 | 9.6 | 1.4 | 0.35 |
| 30 | 1.4 | $\frac{0.05}{0.06 \text { Mean }}$ | 4.0 | 0.7 | 4.0 | 0.6 | 8.0 | 1.3 | $\frac{0.35}{0.62 \text { Mean }}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | 57/70 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT | TESTIS | RIGHI | TESTIS |  |  |  |
| SL | AP | $\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{\mathrm{SL}}$ | Length $L_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & \mathrm{W}_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
| 50 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 9:0 | 1.6 | 16.4 | 3.0 | 0.98 |
| 45 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 10.4 | 2.3 | 0.53 |
| 42 | 2.9 | 0.07 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 11.2 | 2.5 | 0.67 |
| 48 | 3.5 | 0.07 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 23.3 | 2.6 | 0.72 |
| 39 | 2.8 | 0.07 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 10.4 | 2.7 | 0.72 |
| 45 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 7.2 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 0.9 | 13.2 | 1.6 | 0.47 |
| 41 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 12.7 | 1.6 | 0.49 |
| 43 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 11.2 | $-2.1$ | 0.55 |
| 50 | 3.2 | 0.06 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 8.6 | 1.4 | 16.4 | 2.9 | 0.95 |
| 42 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 7.3 | 0.8 | 11.9 | 1.7 | 0.48 |
| 41 | a. 4 | 0.06 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 11.6 | 1.3 | 0.37 |
| 47 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 2.0 | 14.0 | 3.4 | 1.01 |
| 39 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 3.7 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 9.5 | 1.9 | 0.46 |
| 39 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 0.58 |
| 47 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 13.6 | 2.9 | 0.84 |
| 48 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 14.2 | 2.4 | 0.71 |
| 41 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 5.1 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 0.7 | 11.1 | 1.4 | 0.38 |
| 38 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 4.7 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 0.7 | 10.1 | 1.4 | 0.37 |
| 31 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 4.1 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 0.37 |
| 30 | 1.7 | $\frac{0.06}{0.06 \text { Mean }}$ | 3.7 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 7.8 | 1.1 | $\frac{0.28}{0.59 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 22/VI/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S I}$ | LEFT TESTIS |  | RIGHT TESTIS |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{2}+W_{5}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{x}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \end{array}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | Width $W_{r}$ |  |  |  |
| 50 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 10.70 | 2.60 | 0.56 |
| 44 | 2.5 | 0.96 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 1.1 | 9.10 | 2.10 | 0.43 |
| 48 | 2.4 | 0.05 | 5.8 | 1.2 | - 5.8 | 1.0 | 11.60 | 2.20 | 0.53 |
| 53 | 2.7 | 0.05 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 13.80 | 2.40 | 0.62 |
| 41 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 5.8 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 0.9 | 12.10 | 1.80 | 0.53 |
| 44 | 2.4 | 0.05 | 5.4 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 9.60 | 1.70 | 0.37 |
| 47 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 5.3 | 1.7 | 6.1 | 2.1 | 11.40 | 3.80 | 0.92 |
| 47 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 1.5 | 14.80 | 2.70 | 0.85 |
| 50 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 5.0 | 1.8 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 11.60 | 3.40 | 0.78 |
| 47 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 1.1 | 9.70 | 2.00 | 0.41 |
| 49 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 8.3 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 1.2 | 16.60 | 2.10 | 0.71 |
| 47 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 0.9 | 14.10 | 2.00 | 0.60 |
| 48 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 14.30 | 2.40 | 0.71 |
| 45 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 14.20 | 1.90 | 0.59 |
| 47 | 2.4 | 0.05 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 12.10 | 2.70 | 0.69 |
| 40 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 3.8 | 0.5 | 5.0 | 0.7 | 8.80 | 1.20 | 0.26 |
| 54 | 2.9 | 0.05 | 5.3 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 11.60 | 2.20 | 0.47 |
| 39 | 2.6 | 0.07 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 5.9 | 1.0 | 11,80 | 2.00 | 0.60 |
| 33 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 4.7 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 10.00 | 1.00 | 0.30 |
| 30 | 1.3 | $\frac{0.04}{0.05 \text { Mean }}$ | 4.9 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 0.7 | 10.60 | 1.20 | $\frac{0.42}{0.57 \text { Mean }}$ |


| SL | AP | $\frac{\mathrm{AF}}{\mathrm{SL}}$ | LEFT TESTIS $\quad$ RIGHT $31 / \mathrm{VII} / 70$ |  |  |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\text {r }}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(L_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Length $L_{1}$ | Width $W_{7}$ | Length $L_{r}$ | Width $w_{r}$ |  |  |  |
| 49 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 13.3 | 2.6 | 0.70 |
| 37 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 9.0 | 1.4 | 0.34 |
| 44 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 4.8 | 1.1 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 10.3 | 2.4 | 0.56 |
| 31 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 0.28 |
| 35 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 6.3 | 0.6 | 11.8 | 1.0 | 0.33 |
| 29 | 1.2 | 0.04 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 8.0 | 0.8 | 0.22 |
| 47 | 2.2 | 0.04 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 14.4 | 1.9 | 0.58 |
| 49 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 8.4 | 0.9 | 8.4 | 1.4 | 16.8 | 2.3 | 0.78 |
| 45 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 1.4 | 14.1 | 2.6 | 0.81 |
| 41 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 10.2 | 2.4 | 0.59 |
| 37 | 1.8 | 0.04 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.5 | 9.2 | 1.0 | 0.24 |
| 28 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 7.0 | 0.9 | 0.22 |
| 50 | 2.9 | 0.05 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 17.8 | 2.4 | 0.85 |
| 34 | 3.8 | 0.07 | 10.4 | 1.9 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 19.8 | 3.6 | 1.32 |
| 50 | 3.2 | 0.06 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 8.6 | 1.0 | 15.3 | 2.1 | 0.64 |
| 52 | 2.9 | 0.05 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 6.9 | $1.8{ }^{\prime}$ | 13.8 | 3.4 | 0.90 |
| 51 | 3.3 | 0.06 | 7.5 | 1.3 | 10.2 | 1.3 | 17.7 | 2.6 | 0.90 |
| 36 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 11.4 | 1.2 | 0.38 |
| 36 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 12.2 | 2.1 | 0.71 |
| 29 | 1.2 | $\frac{0.04}{0.05 \text { Mean }}$ | 4.2 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 9.6 | 2.3 | $\frac{0.76}{0.60 \text { Mean }}$ |




| 27/IX/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{\overline{A P}}{\overline{S L}}$ | LEET TESTIS |  | RTGHT TESTTS |  | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{T}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{x}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{x}\right)}{S_{L}}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Length}_{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{W}{ }_{1}$ | $\text { Length }^{2}$ | Widith |  |  |  |
| 37 | 2.7 | 0.07 | 6.7 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 13.9 | 2.8 | 1.05 |
| 52 | 3.7 | 0.07 | 9.7 | 3.1 | 12.1 | 2.5 | 21.8 | 5.6 | 2.35 |
| 45 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 9.3 | 2.1 | 9.3 | 2.6 | 18.6 | 4.7 | 1.54 |
| 31 | 2.4 | 0.07 | 8.4 | 3.1 | 8.4 | 2.6 | 16.8 | 5.7 | 3.09 |
| 29 | 2.0 | 0.07 | 7.7 | 2.2 | 7.7 | 2.3 | 15.4 | 4.5 | 2.39 |
| 27 | 2.2 | 0.08 | 6.7 | 2.3 | 7.1 | 2.1 | 13.8 | 4.4 | 2.25 |
| 52 | 3.6 | 0.07 | 9.8 | 3.6 | 13.1 | 3.4 | 22.9 | 7.0 | 3.08 |
| 34 | 2.5 | 0.07 | 8.2 | 2.7 | 8.2 | 3.3 | 16.4 | 6.0 | 2.89 |
| 40 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 8.7 | 1.6 | 8.7 | 13.9 | 17.4 | 3.2 | 1.39 |
| 45 | 3.1 | 0,07 $\ldots$ | 9.2 | 3.3 | 10.7 | 3.0 | 19.9 | 6.3 | 2.78 |
| 33 | 2.3 | 0.07 | 5.2 | 0.5 | 5.2 | 0.6 | 10.4 | 1.1 | 0.35 |
| 30 | 2.4 | 0.08 | 8.5 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 3.3 | 16.6 | 6.6 | 3.65 |
| 27 | 2.2 | 0.08 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 15.4 | 5.0 | 2.85 |
| 45 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 9.7 | 2.4 | 11.0 | 3.3 | 20.7 | 5.7 | 2.62 |
| 45 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 8.7 | 2.6 | 8.7 | 3.2 | 17.4 | 5.8 | 2.24 |
| 33 | 2.4 | 0.07 | 8.6 | 2.6 | 8.6 | 3.2 | 17.2 | 5.8 | 3.02 |
| 26 | 1.8 | 0.07 | 6.8 | 2.2 | 7.6 | 1.6 | 14.4 | 3.8 | 2.10 |
| 49 | 3.6 | 0.07 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 10.5 | 2.9 | 19.8 | 5.6 | 2.26 |
| 48 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 19.2 | 4.8 | 1.92 |
| 24 | 1.8 | $\frac{0.07}{0.07}$ Mean | 7.0 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 14.0 | 4.3 | $\frac{2.51}{2.34 \text { Mean }}$ |


| $31 / 1 / 70$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT | STIS | RIGHT | STIS |  |  | $\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right) \quad\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)$ |
| SL | AP | $\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{\text { SL }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ |  |
| 41 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 6.4 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 1.6 | 0.42 |
| 49 | 3.3 | 0.07 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 9.3 | 2.5 | 16.4 | 4.8 | 1.61 |
| 31 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 9.4 | 0.9 | 0.27 |
| 39 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 5.9 | 0.8 | 7.2 | 0.7 | 13.1 | 1.5 | 0.50 |
| 47 | 3.7 | 0.08 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 2.5 | 18.2 | 4.7 | 1.82 |
| 35 | 2.1 | 0.06 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 5.8 | 0.7 | 11.0 | 1.4 | 0.44 |
| 53 | 4.0 | 0.07 | 9.3 | 2.6 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 18.6 | 5.3 | 1.86 |
| 36 | 2.5 | 0.07 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 11.8 | 2.2 | 0.72 |
| 41 | 2.3 | 0.06 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 12.2 | 1.7 | 0.50 |
| 46 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 10.5 | 2.1 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 21.0 | 4.3 | 1.96 |
| 44 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 14.2 | 2.3 | 0.74 |
| 47 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 15.3 | 1.7 | 0.55 |
| 45 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 7.0 | 1.1 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 15.4 | 2.2 | 0.75 |
| 47 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 16.2 | 4.2 | 1.45 |
| 28 | 2.3 | 0.05 | 5.1 | 0.3 | 6.3 | 0.3 | 11.4 | 0.6 | 0.24 |
| 39 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 13.5 | 1.9 | 0.66 |
| 40 | 2.7 | 0.07 | 5.5 | 1.6 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 11.6 | 3.0 | 0.87 |
| 37 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 5.8 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 11.6 | 1.4 | 0.44 |
| 46 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 14.6 | 2.0 | 0.63 |
| 38 | 2.3 | $\frac{0.06}{0.06 \text { Mean }}$ | 6.0 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 1.1 | 12.5 | 2.0 | $\frac{0.66}{0.85 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 25/XI/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT TESTIS |  | RIGHT TESTIS |  | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | Length $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | Length $L_{r}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 46 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 11.5 | 1.9 | 0.47 |
| 46 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 1.4 | 14.7 | 2.8 | 0.89 |
| 44 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 10.6 | 1.6 | 0.38 |
| 53 | 3.7 | 0.07 | 9.8 | 2.4 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 20.6 | 4.6 | 1.78 |
| 43 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 0.7 | 17.1 | 1.2 | 0.47 |
| 43 | 2.4 | 0.05 | 4.3 | 0.5 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 9.6 | 1.2 | 0.27 |
| 25 | 1.1 | 0.04 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 0.11 |
| 50 | 3.5 | 0.07 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 9.5 | 2.3 | 17.6 | 4.7 | 1.65 |
| 47 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 8.5 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 1.3 | 16.4 | 2.3 | 0.80 |
| . 38 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 6.1 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 0.8 | 11.2 | 1.4 | 0.41 |
| 36 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 4.9 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 10.4 | 0.8 | 0.23 |
| 47 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 8.5 | 2.1 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 15.8 | 3.8 | 1.27 |
| 30 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 4.1 | 0.3 | 5.0 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 0.18 |
| 45 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 9.6 | 2.0 | 20.4 | 3.4 | 1,54 |
| 41 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 6.0 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 13.4 | 1.9 | 0.62 |
| 40 | 3.0 | 0.07 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 13.5 | 1.6 | 26.0 | 2.8 | 1.82 |
| 48 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 9.4 | 2.2 | 10.4: | 2.0 | 19.8 | 4.2 | 1.73 |
| 25 | 1.4 | 0.06 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 9.4 | 1.2 | 0.45 |
| 50 | 3.2 | 0.06 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 8.4 | 2.0 | 16.0 | 3.3 | 1.06 |
| 44 | 2.5 | $\frac{0.06}{0.06 \text { Mean }}$ | 5.2 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 11.7 | 1.5 | $\frac{0.39}{0.83 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 31/I/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT TESTIS |  | RIGHT TESTIS |  | $L_{i}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{T}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{x}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{x}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{aligned}$ | Width $W_{r}$ |  |  |  |
| 25 | 1.1 | 0.04 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 0.2 | 7.1 | 0.4 | 0.11 |
| -27 | 1.2 | 0.04 | 4.0 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 9.3 | 0.7 | 0.24 |
| 30 | 2.0 | 0.07 | 8.1 | 1.2 | 8.1 | 1.5 | 16.2 | 2.7 | 1.46 |
| 31 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 5.1 | 0.2 | 10.2 | 0.4 | 0.13 |
| 33 | 1.9 | 0.06 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 11.0 | 1.8 | 0.60 |
| 37 | 2.5 | 0.07 | 7.2 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 15.3 | 3.2 | 1.32 |
| 37 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 0.3 | 12.8 | 0.6 | 0.21 |
| 38 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 15.0 | 3.8 | 1.50 |
| 41 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 0.42 |
| 41 | 2.9 | 0.07 | 9.0 | 1.2 | 10.8 | 1.3 | 19.8 | 2.5 | 1.21 |
| 45 | 3.1 | 0.07 | 8.1 | 2.3 | 8.1 | 2.5 | 16.2 | 4.8 | 1.73 |
| 45 | 3.1 | 0.07 | 10.4 | 2.2 | 10.4 | 2.1 | 20.8 | 4.3 | 1.98 |
| 45 | 3.3 | 0.07 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 18.0 | 3.7 | 1.48 |
| 46 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 16.0 | 3.0 | 1.04 |
| 47 | 3.5 | 0.07 | 9.2 | 2.0 | 11.3 | 1.8 | 20.5 | 3.8 | 1.66 |
| 47 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 8.8 | 1.9 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 17.6 | 3.7 | 1.38 |
| 47 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 20.2 | 3.4 | 1.46 |
| 47 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 9.6 | 2.1 | 9.6 | 2.5 | 19.2 | 4.6 | 1.88 |
| 49 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 8.5 | 0.9 | 8.5 | 1.0 | 17.0 | 1.9 | 0.66 |
| 50 | 2.8 | $\frac{0,06}{0.06 \mathrm{Mean}}$ | 5.4 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 1.2 | 10.8 | 1.9 | $\frac{0.41}{1.04 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 28/II/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AP | LEFT T | TIS | RIGHT |  |  |  | $\left(L_{1}+L_{n}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)$ |
| SL | AP | SI | Length | $\mathrm{Width}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & L_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & W_{W_{1}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | - $\mathrm{SL}^{\text {d }}$ |
| 28 | 1.8 | 0.06 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 2.5 | 15.8 | 4.1 | 2.31 |
| 29 | 1.5 | 0.05 | 5.5 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 0.9 | 11.0 | 1.6 | 0.61 |
| 33 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 11.6 | 0.8 | 0.28 |
| 36 | 2.3 | 0.06 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 5.8 | 0.5 | 9.9 | 0.9 | 0.25 |
| 37 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 8.1 | 0.9 | 8.1 | 1.1 | 16.2 | 2.0 | 0.87 |
| 38 | 2.3 | 0.06 | 7.2 | 0.4 | 9.2 | 0.4 | 16.4 | 0.8 | 0.34 |
| 41 | 3.2 | 0.08 | 9.3 | 1.6 | 9.3 | 2.2 | 18.6 | 3.8 | 1.72 |
| 41 | 2,7 | 0.06 | 5.4 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 12.6 | 2.0 | 0.61 |
| 42 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 5.0 | 0.5 | 6.4 | 0.6 | 11.4 | 1.1 | 0.29 |
| 43 | 3.0 | 0.07 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 14.4 | 2.4 | 0.80 |
| 43 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 9.8 | 2.0 | 11.1 | 2.3 | 20.9 | 4.3 | 2.09 |
| 45. | 3.4 | 0.07 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 9.9 | 2.3 | 19.8 | 4.4 | 1.94 |
| 45 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 14.8 | 3.1 | 1.02 |
| . 46 | 3.4 | 0.07 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 2.0 | 16.2 | 3.9 | 1.37 |
| 46 | 3.4 | 0.07 | 10.0 | 2.1 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 20.0 | 4.4 | 1.91 |
| 46 | 3.2 | 0.07 | 9.2 | 1.4 | 9.2 | 1.5 | 18.4 | 2.9 | 1.16 |
| 47 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 15.0 | 1.5 | 0.48 |
| 48 | 2.6 | 0.05 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 1.0 | 12.1 | 1.8 | 0.49 |
| 48 | 3.4 | 0.07 | 9.8 | 1.9 | 9.8 | 2.0 | 19.6 | 3.9 | 1.59 |
| 49 | 3.0 | $\frac{0.06}{0.06 \text { Mean }}$ | 7.3 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 13.8 | 2.8 | $\frac{0.78}{1.04 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 31/III/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT TESTTS |  | RIGHT TESTIS |  | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | Length $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{aligned}$ | Width $W_{I}$ |  |  |  |
| 39 | 2.5 | 0.06 | 7.8 | 1.4 | 7.8 | 1.6 | 15.6 | 3.0 | 1.20 |
| 40 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 4.2 | 0.8 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 10.0 | 1.6 | 0.40 |
| 41 | 2.3 | 0.06 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 6.6 | 0.9 | 13.7 | 1.7 | 0.57 |
| 42 | 2.3 | 0.05 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 0.49 |
| 42 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.9 | 7.2 | 0.9 | 12.5 | 1,8 | 0.53 |
| 43 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 8.9 | 1.6 | 16.2 | 3.4 | 1.28 |
| 43 | 2.3 | 0.05 | 8.0 | 0.5 | 8.0 | 0.6 | 16.0 | 1.1 | 0.41 |
| 44 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.8 | 5.9 | 0.6 | 11.5 | 1.4 | 0.36 |
| 44 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 13.2 | 3.0 | 0.90 |
| 45 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 2.0 | 8.6 | 1.8 | 17.2 | 3.8 | 1.45 |
| 45 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 8.0 | 1.8 | 16.0 | 3.3 | 1.17 |
| 45 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 8.6 | 1.2 | 10.0 | 1.3 | 18.6 | 2.50 | 1.03 |
| 45 | 3.5 | 0.07 | 7.5 | 2.1 | 8.7 | 2.3 | 16.2 | 4.4 | 1.58 |
| 46 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 9.2 | 1.1 | 17.2 | 2.3 | 0.86 |
| 46 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 2.0 | 0.61 |
| 49 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 7.9 | 0.8 | 15.8 | 1.6 | 0.51 |
| 49 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 6.8 | 0.8 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 14.8 | 1.7 | 0.51 |
| 51 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 2.3 | 13.0 | 2.0 | 22.5 | 4.3 | 1.89 |
| 54 | 3.0 | 0.05 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 8.3 | 1.4 | 14.1 | 2.6 | 0.68 |
| 54 | 2.9 | $\frac{0.0}{0.0}$ | 10.5 | 1.2 | 11.9 | 1.7 | 22.4 | 2.9 | $\frac{1.20}{0.93 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 25/IV/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL |  | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT TESTIS |  | RIGHT TESTIS |  | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)\right.}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{Length}^{\mathrm{L}_{1}}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | Length | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 42 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 0.03 |
| 43 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 5.1 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 0.3 | 11.1 | 0.7 | 0.18 |
| 46 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 6.4 | 0.4 | 5.5 | 0.4 | 11.9 | 0.8 | 0.21 |
| 46 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 14.8 | 0.8 | 0.26 |
| 48 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 0.21 |
| 48 | 1.9 | 0.06 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 8.4 | 0.6 | 17.4 | 1.1 | 0.39 |
| 48 | 2.6 | 0.05 | 5.6 | 0.5 | 8.3 | 0.3 | 13.9 | 0.8 | 0.23 |
| 49 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 8.5 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 0.5 | 16.3 | 1.0 | 0.33 |
| 49 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 16.4 | 2.0 | 0.67 |
| 49 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 8.2 | 0.9 | 14.0 | 1.7 | 0.48 |
| 49 | 2.8 | 0.06 | 6.8 | 1.0 | 8.5 | 1.3 | 15.3 | 2.3 | 0.72 |
| 50 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 8.3 | 0.9 | 15.0 | 2.2 | 0.66 |
| 50 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 6.8 | 0.6 | 11.0 | 1,2 | 0.26 |
| 51 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 7.6 | 1.1 | 7.6 | 1.0 | 15.2 | 2.1 | 0.62 |
| 51 | 2.3 | 0.04 | 3.9 | 0.6 | 6.7 | 0.7 | 10.6 | 1.3 | 0.27 |
| 51 | 2.7 | 0.05 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 11.3 | 2.3 | 0.51 |
| 51. | 2.8 | 0.05 | 4.7 | 0.6 | 6.2 | 0.7 | 10.9 | 1.3 | 0.28 |
| 51 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 8.2 | 0.9 | 8.2 | 1.0 | 16,4 | 1.9 | 0.61 |
| 52 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 7.6 | 0.6 | 9.6 | 0.7 | 17.2 | 1.3 | 0.43 |
| 54 | 3.2 | $\frac{0.06}{0.05 ~ M e a n}$ | 7.1 | 0.6 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 13.0 | 1.5 | $\frac{0.36}{0.38 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 31/V/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT | ESTIS | RIGHT | STIS |  |  | $\left(L_{1}+L_{n}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)$ |
| SL | AP | $\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{\mathrm{SL}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{SL}}{}$ |
| 42 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 7.5 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 0.9 | 14.2 | 1.7 | 0.57 |
| 45 | 2.6 | 0.06 | 5.3 | 0.8 | 7.4 | 1.1 | 12.7 | 1.9 | 0.54 |
| 46 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 7.0 | 0.6 | 12.3 | 1.0 | 0.27 |
| 46 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 6.3 | 0.8 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 13.0 | 1.9 | 0.54 |
| 46 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 5.9 | 0.9 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 13.4 | 2.0 | 0.58 |
| 46 | 2.5 | 0.05 | 6.1 | 0.8 | 7.1 | 0.8 | 13.2 | 1.6 | 0.46 |
| 47 | 2.1 | 0.04 | 6.7 | 0.5 | 9.1 | 0.6 | 15.8 | 1.1 | 0.37 |
| 47 | 2.1 | 0.04 | 5.3 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 0.9 | 12.2 | 1.6 | 0.41 |
| 48 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 6.7 | 1.1 | 12.9 | 2.0 | 0.54 |
| 49 | 3.3 | 0.07 | 6.9 | 1.3 | 6.9 | 1.5 | 13.8 | 2.8 | 0.78 |
| 49 | 3.7 | 0.07 | 8.4 | 1.1 | 8.4 | 1.3 | 16.8 | 2.4 | 0.82 |
| 50 | 2.7 | 0.05 | 6.6 | 0.5 | 7.1 | 1.0 | 13.7 | 1.5 | 0.41 |
| 50 | 3.0 | 0.06 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 6.0 | 1.4 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 0.62 |
| 50 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 6.9 | 0.7 | 13.0 | 1.2 | 0.31 |
| 50 | 2.9 | 0.06 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 1.4 | 14.2 | 2.4 | 0.68 |
| 52 | 3.1 | 0.06 | 8.1 | 1.3 | 9.2 | 1.8 | 17.3 | 3.1 | 1.03 |
| 55 | 2.8 | 0.05 | 7.6 | 1.4 | 7.6 | 1.9 | 15.2 | 3.3 | 0.91 |
| 55 | 3.0 | 0.05 | 10.2 | 1.3 | 10.2 | 1.5 | 20.4 | 2.8 | 1.04 |
| 55 | 3.6 | 0.06 | 10.0 | 0.8 | 8.5 | 1.2 | 18.5 | 2.0 | 0.67 |
| 57 | 3.3 | $\frac{0.06}{0.05 \text { Mean }}$ | 9.6 | 1.7 | 10.4 | 2.0 | 10.0 | 3.7 | $\frac{1.29}{0.64 \text { Mean }}$ |

FEMALE MEASUREMENTS.

| 13/IV/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL. | AP. | $\frac{A P}{S I}$ | LEFT OVARY |  | RIGHT OVARY |  |  |  | $\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)$ |
|  |  |  | Length <br> Li | Width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | Width $W_{r}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\xrightarrow{\text { SI }}$ |
| 39 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 6.3 | 1.1 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 13.0 | 2.7 | 0.9 |
| 43 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 7.8 | 1.4 | 15.6 | 3.2 | 1.16 |
| 41 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 8.7 | 2.1 | 15.0 | 4.1 | 1.5 |
| 38 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 7.0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 1.3 | 14.6 | 2.5 | 0.96 |
| 40 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 13:0 | 2.6 | 0.84 |
| 41 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 10.4 | 1.1 | 8.4 | 1.3 | 18.8 | 2.4 | 1.10 |
| 38 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 1.1 | 15.4 | 2.7 | 1.09 |
| 38 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 1.3 | 14.9 | 2.5 | 0.98 |
| 29 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 3.8 | 0.7 | 4.1 | 0.8 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 0.41 |
| 35 | 0.9 | 0.03 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 12.3 | 2.2 | 0.77 |
| 40 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 1.2 | 13.7 | 2.3 | 0.78 |
| 36 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 7.7 | 1.1 | 14.1 | 2.3 | 0.90 |
| 40 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 75.3 | 1.3 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 14.6 | 2.9 | 1.06 |
| 31 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 2.0 | 0.53 |
| 35 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 13.6 | 2.9 | 1.13 |
| 38 38 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 12.0 | 2.0 | 0.63 |
| 33 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 11.9 | 2.4 | 0.87 |
| 33 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 1.1 | 11.9 | 2.3 | 0.83 |
| 30 | 0.9 | 0.03 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 0.56 |
| 35 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 5.8 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 1.5 | 12.0 | 2.6 | 0.62 |
|  |  | 0.03 Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.88 Mean |


| SL | AP | $\frac{\mathrm{AP}}{\mathrm{SL}}$ | 22/VI/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT | VARY | RIGH | OVARY |  |  | $\left(L_{1}+L_{r r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)$ |
|  |  |  | Length $L_{1}$ | Width W. | Length $\mathrm{L}_{7}$ | Width W | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | - ${ }^{\text {SLI }}$ |
| 42 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 7.8 | 1.3 | 7.8 | 1.3 | 15.60 | 2.60 | 0.96 |
| 44 | 1.4 | 0.03 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 7.9 | 1.5 | 14.50 | 3.00 | 0.98 |
| 48 | 1.6 | 0.03 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 16.20 | 2.60 | 0.87 |
| 48 | 1.7 | 0.03 | 8.2 | 1.9 | 10.1 | 2.0 | 18.30 | 3.90 | 1.48 |
| 47 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 8.8 | 1.5 | 8.8 | 1.5 | 17.60 | 3.00 | 1.12 |
| 40 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 5.8 | 1.2 | 6.6 | 1.2 | 12.40 | 2.40 | 0.74 |
| 44 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 14.00 | 3,00 | 0.95 |
| 38 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 6.7 | 1.2 | 12.90 | 2.40 | 0.81 |
| 26 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 9.0 | 4.7 | 1.0 | 8.30 | 1.90 | 0.60 |  |
| 34 | 1.0 | 0.03 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 6.6 | 1.5 | 12.80 | 2.60 | 0.98 |
| 46 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 7.9 | 1.6 | 8.8 | 1.3 | 16.70 | 2.90 | 1.05 |
| 40 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 7.6 | 1.4 | 9.7 | 3.3 | 17.30 | 2.70 | 1.17 |
| 44 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 7.3 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 1.8 | 14.60 | 3.30 | 1.09 |
| 39 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 7.5 | 1.2 | 7.5 | 1.4 | 15.00 | 2.60 | 1.00 |
| 47 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 16.60 | 3.20 | 1.13 |
| 32 | 1.2 | 0.04 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 12.40 | 2,20 | 0.85 |
| 32 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 4.2 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 1.2 | 9.50 | 2.40 | 0.71 |
| 32 | 3.3 | 0.04 | 4.8 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 10.90 | 2.50 | 0.85 |
| 24 | 0.5 | $\frac{0.02}{0.03: \text { Mean }}$ | 3.4 | 0.8 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 7.60 | 1.50 | $\frac{0.47}{0.94 \text { Mean }}$ |



| SL | AP | 24/VIII/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ${ }_{\text {AP }}$ | LEFT | OVARY | RIGHT OVARY |  | $L_{1}+L_{L}$ | $W_{1}+W_{5}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{I}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | Length | Wdith | Length | Wider $_{\text {dth }}$ |  |  |  |
| 43 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 8.2 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 2.1 | 15.8 | 4.5 | 1.65 |
| 44 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 8.1 | 2.7 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 17.4 | 5.4 | 2.13 |
| 43 | 1.4 | 0.03 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 1.24 |
| 45 | 1.6 | 0.03 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 2.2 | 17.8 | 4.4 | 1.74 |
| 40 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 8.4 | 2.2 | 14.8 | 4.7 | 1.74 |
| 42 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 7.7 | 1.5 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 16.0 | 2.8 | 1.07 |
| 46 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 8.6 | 1.2 | 7.8 | 1.9 | 16.4 | 3.1 | 1.10 |
| 43 | 1.4 | 0.03 | 7.3 | 1.5 | 7.3 | 1.3 | 14.6 | 2.8 | 0.95 |
| 39 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 5.9 | 1.1 | 7.2 | 1.3 | 13.1 | 2.4 | 0.81 |
| 37 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 9.0 | 2.6 | 17.2 | 4.7 | 2.18 |
| 35 | 1.0 | 0.03 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 6.2 | 1.0 | 11.8 | 2.1 | 0.71 |
| 35 | 1.0 | 0.03 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 5.7 | 1.2 | 10.9 | 2.4 | 0.75 |
| 33 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 5.2 | 1.1 | 5.6 | 1.1 | 10.8 | 2.2 | 0.72 |
| 32 | 1.0 | 0.03 | 4.1 | 1.1 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 9.9 | 2.7 | 0.83 |
| 37 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 5.5 | 1.5 | 6.6 | 1.4 | 12.1 | 2.9 | 9.05 |
| 35 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 5.4 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 1.6 | 11.7 | 3.2 | 1.07 |
| 37 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 6.1 | 1.4 | 11.9 | 2.7 | 0.87 |
| 27 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 3.5 | 0.71 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 8.0 | 1.5 | 0.44 |
| 32 | 1.0 | 0.03 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 11.7 | 2.1 | 0.77 |
| 34 | 1.3 | $\frac{0.04}{0.03 \text { Mean }}$ | 5.8 | 1.1 | 6.4 | 1.2 | 12.2 | 2.3 | $\frac{0.82}{1.13 \text { Mean }}$ |


| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | 27/IX/70 |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT | OVARY | RIGHT OVARY |  | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Length | Width $W_{1}$ | Length | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{W_{r}} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 39 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 7.7 | 2.1 | 7.9 | 2.3 | 15.4 | 4.4 | 1.74 |
| 32 | 1.7 | 0.05 | 6.1 | 1.7 | 7.6 | 2.2 | 13.7 | 3.9 | 1.67 |
| 35 | 2.1 | 0.06 | 6.3 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 2.1 | 13.3 | 4.1 | 1.56 |
| 36 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 11.3 | 3.2 | 11.3 | 3.2 | 22.6 | 6.4 | 4.02 |
| 46 | 1.8 | 0.04 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 2.5 | 16.4 | 4.6 | 1.64 |
| 33 | 1.7 | 0.05 | 9.3 | 3.0 | 9.3 | 3.2 | 18.6 | 6.2 | 3.49 |
| 26 | 0.8 | 0.03 | 4.5 | 1.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 2.7 | 0.97 |
| 35 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 10.9 | 3.3 | 10.9 | 3.5 | 21.8 | 6.8 | 4.23 |
| 40 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 11.7 | 3.6 | 12.8 | 4.7 | 24.5 | 8.3 | 9.08 |
| 24 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 3.9 | 0.8 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 0.63 |
| $3 ?$ | 1.8 | 0.06 | 10.6 | 3.1 | 10.6 | 3.5 | 21.2 | 6.6 | 4.37 |
| 25 | 1.0 | 0.04 | 5.3 | 1.4 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 10.6 | 2.9 | 1.23 |
| 34 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 7.7 | 2.2 | 14.5 | 4.5 | 1.92 |
| 33 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 8.4 | 2.3 | 8.4 | 2.5 | 16.8 | 4.8 | 2.44 |
| 31 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 6.8 | 1.5 | 12.4 | 3.0 | 1.20 |
| 31 | 1.9 | 0.06 | 8.7 | 3.3 | 8.7 | 3.6 | 17.4 | 6.9 | 3.87 |
| 30 | 1.9 | 0.06 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 2.8 | 20.6 | 5.6 | 3.84 |
| 31 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 5.8 | 1.6 | 6.5 | 1.9 | 12.3 | 3.5 | 1.38 |
| 29 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 1.84 |
| 18 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 0.6 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 0.47 |
|  |  | $\frac{0.04}{0.04}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.38 Mean |


| $31 / X / 70$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT | OVARY | RIGHT | OVARY |  |  |  |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Width } \\ W_{r} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
| . 41 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 15.1 | 3.9 | 15.1 | 4.3 | 30.2 | 8.2 | 6.04 |
| . 41 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 6.4 | 1.5 | 6.4 | 1.7 | 12.8 | 3.2 | 0.99 |
| . 42 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 10.4 | 3.1 | 11.4 | 3.2 | 21.8 | 6.3 | 3.27 |
| . 48 | 2.2 | 0.04 | 14.5 | 3.6 | 14.5 | 4.1 | 29.0 | 7.7 | 4.65 |
| . 35 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 6.1 | 1.6 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 13.7 | 3.1 | 1.21 |
| . 39 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 13.2 | 3.8 | 13.2 | 3.7 | 26.4 | 7.5 | 5.07 |
| . 38 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 6.6 | 1.9 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 1.35 |
| . 39 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 12.5 | 1.8 | 13.7 | 2.0 | 26.2 | 3.8 | 2.55 |
| . 43 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 8.2 | 2.1 | 8.2 | 2.5 | 16.4 | 4.6 | 1.75 |
| . 36 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 11.3 | 2.4 | 0.75 |
| . 34 | 1.7 | 0.05 | 7.3 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 2.6 | 16.4 | 4.8 | 2.31 |
| . 45 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 12.4 | 3.3 | 12.4 | 3.5 | 24.8 | 6.8 | 3.75 |
| . 39 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 16.1 | 4.2 | 1.73 |
| . 34 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 13.6 | 2.0 | 0.80 |
| . 31 | 1.8 | 0.06 | 6.2 | 1.4 | 6.3 | 1.5 | 12.6 | 2.9 | 1.18 |
| . 40 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 7.4 | 1.8 | 8.1 | 1.6 | 15.5 | 3.4 | 1.32 |
| . 37 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 9.7 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 3.0 | 19.4 | 5.8 | 3.04 |
| . 37 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 13.2 | 3.6 | 26.4 | 7.5 | 5.35 |
| . 41 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 8.0 | 2.2 | 8.0 | 2.7 | 16.0 | 4.9 | 1.91 |
| . 38 | 1.7 | $\frac{0.04}{0.04 \text { Mean }}$ | 7.5 | 2.0 | 8.5 | 2.5 | 16.0 | 4.5 | $\frac{1.89}{2.54 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 25/XI/70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT | OVARY | RIGHT | OVARY |  |  |  |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & L_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
| 35 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 6.1 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 12.6 | 2.6 | 0.94 |
| 41 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 8.1 | 2.0 | 8.1 | 2.6 | 16.2 | 4.6 | 1.82 |
| 40 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 11.0 | 2.7 | 22.0 | 5.2 | 2.86 |
| 42 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 16.0 | 3.0 | 1.14 |
| 38 | 2.3 | 0.06 | 6.5 | 2.1 | 7.8 | 2.0 | 14.3 | 4.1 | 1.54 |
| 36 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 11.2 | 3.3 | 11.2 | 3.3 | 22.4 | 6.6 | 4.11 |
| 33 | ;/7 | 0.05 | 5.0 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 10.0 | 2.3 | 0.69 |
| 33 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 6.6 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 0.8 | 13.2 | 1.5 | 0.60 |
| 28 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 4.5 | 0.7 | 8.7 | 1.3 | 0.40 |
| 38 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 6.4 | 1.3 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 13.6 | 2.3 | 0.82 |
| 31 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 12.4 | 3.3 | 1.32 |
| 45 | 1.8 | 0.04 | 6.7 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 14.0 | 2.6 | 0.81 |
| 38 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 11.4 | 2.8 | 11.4 | 3.4 | 22.8 | 6.2 | 3.72 |
| 33 | 1.2 | 0.04 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 11.6 | 2.1 | 0.74 |
| 34 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 6.5 | 1.7 | 7.0 | 1.5 | 13.5 | 3.2 | 1.27 |
| 31 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 6.9 | 1.6 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 13.8 | 3.5 | 1.56 |
| 33 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 5.1 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 11.4 | 2.5 | 0.86 |
| 35 | 2.0 | 0.06 | 9.7 | 2.7 | 9.7 | 3.0 | 19.4 | 5.7 | 3.16 |
| 28 | 1.1 | 0.04 | 5.6 | 1.6 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 2.5 | 1.00 |
| 32 | 0.9 | $\frac{0.03}{0.04 \text { Mean }}$ | 6.4 | 0.8 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 12.8 | 1.7 | $\frac{0.68}{1.50 \text { Mean }}$ |


| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | 31/I/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEFT OVARY |  | RIGHT OVARY |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | Length $L_{1}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | Width $W_{T}$ |  |  |  |
| 24 | 1.2 | 0.35 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 15.4 | 3.8 | 2.44 |
| 28 | 1.0 | 0.03 | 5.3 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 1.9 | 11.4 | 3.7 | 1.51 |
| 29 | 0.6 | 0.02 | 6.1 | 0.5 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 12.2 | 0.9 | 0.38 |
| 33 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 6.8 | 1.0 | 13.1 | 2.2 | 0.87 |
| 33 | 2.0 | 0.06 | 11.6 | 3.3 | 11.6 | 3.5 | 23.2 | 6.8 | 4.78 |
| 34 | 1.6 | 0.05 | 9.1 | 2.3 | 10.3 | 2.6 | 19.4 | 4.9 | 2.79 |
| 35 | 2.2 | 0.06 | 10.9 | 3.0 | 10.9 | 3.5 | 21.8 | 6.5 | 4.05 |
| 35 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 10.1 | 2.8 | 8.9 | 2.9 | 19.0 | 5.7 | 3.09 |
| 36 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 5.6 | 1.8 | 12.4 | 3.5 | 1.20 |
| 37 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 6.3 | 1.3 | 7.1 | 1.6 | 13.4 | 2.9 | 1.05 |
| 37 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 10.3 | 3.4 | 9.0 | 4.3 | 19.3 | 7.7 | 4.02 |
| 37 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 3.2 | 0.99 |
| 37 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 7.6 | 0.9 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 14.6 | 2.2 | 0.87 |
| 38 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 6.2 | 1.6 | 12.4 | 3.4 | 1.11 |
| 39 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 7.5 | 2.2 | 7.8 | 2.6 | 15.3 | 4.8 | 1.88 |
| 40 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 5.6 | 1.3 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 11.9 | 2.5 | 0.74 |
| 41 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 9.5 | 2.8 | 9.9 | 2.9 | 19.4 | 5.7 | 2.69 |
| 41 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 10.3 | 2.3 | 11.5 | 2.9 | 21.8 | 5.2 | 2.76 |
| 42 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 7.3 | 1.4 | 9.8 | 2.1 | 17.1 | 3.5 | 1.42 |
| 50 | 1.7 | $\frac{0.03}{0.04 \text { Mean }}$ | 9.3 | 1.7 | 10.2 | 1.8 | 19.5 | 3.5 | $\frac{1.36}{2.00 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 28/II/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT OVARY |  | RIGHT OVARY |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(I_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | Length $L_{r}$ | Width $W_{r}$ |  |  |  |
| 29 | 0.5 | 0.02 | 4.1 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 0.6 | 9.7 | 1.2 | 0.40 |
| 30 | 0.6 | 0.02 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 5.4 | 1.0 | 9.9 | 1.9 | 0.63 |
| 32 | 1.3 | 0.04 | 8.3 | 2.3 | 9.1 | 2.4 | 17.4 | 4.7 | 2.55 |
| 32 | 0.3 | 0.01 | 5.2 | 0.7 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 12.1 | 1.5 | 0.57 |
| 34 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 8.9 | 1.8 | 10.4 | 2.4 | 19.3 | 4.2 | 2.38 |
| 34 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 8.9 | 2.1 | 8.9 | 2.1 | 17.8 | 4.2 | 2.19 |
| 35 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 12.0 | 2.0 | 0.68 |
| 36 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 6.5 | 0.7 | 7.7 | 0.9 | 14.2 | 1.6 | 0.63 |
| 36 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 7.4 | 1.3 | 13.7 | 2.5 | 0.95 |
| 37 | 0.6 | 0.02 | 6.2 | 1.6 | 7.7 | 1.7 | 13.9 | 3.3 | 1.24 |
| 38 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 8.2 | 2.3 | 9.1 | 1.9 | 17.3 | 4.2 | 1.91 |
| 39 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 9.9 | 2.3 | 9.9 | 2.2 | 19.8 | 4.5 | 2.28 |
| 39 | 1.8 | 0.05 | 7.7 | 1.8 | 7.7 | 2.3 | 15.4 | 4.1 | 1.62 |
| 40 | 1.9 | 0.05 | 6.9 | 1.8 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 14.5 | 3.5 | 1.27 |
| 40 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 7.1 | 1.9 | 9.0 | 2.2 | 16.1 | 4.1 | 1.65 |
| 40 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 8.1 | 1.9 | 9.7 | 2.2 | 17.8 | 4.1 | 1.82 |
| 41 | 2.0 | 0.05 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 8.0 | 1.6 | 14.2 | 3.5 | 1.21 |
| 43 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 8.8 | 1.9 | 17.6 | 3.7 | 1.51 |
| 43 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 8.3 | 2.4 | 9.0 | 2.3 | 17.3 | 4.7 | 1.89 |
| 45 | 1.9 | $\frac{0.04}{0.03 \text { Mean }}$ | 10.3 | 2.8 | 11.5 | 2.4 | 21.8 | 5.2 | $\frac{2.52}{1.49 \text { Mean }}$ |


| 31/IIIT/71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT OVARY |  | RIGHT OVARY |  | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & L_{1} \end{aligned}$ | Width $W_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Length } \\ & \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 32 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 1.2 | 10.5 | 2.2 | 0.72 |
| 32 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 5.6 | 0.9 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 0.54 |
| 34 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 5.0 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 1.9 | 0.53 |
| 36 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 12.3 | 3.2 | 1.09 |
| 38 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 5.8 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 2.0 | 0.67 |
| 39 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 6.2 | 1.8 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 14.1 | 3.6 | 1.30 |
| 39 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 12.6 | 2.0 | 0.65 |
| 39 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 6.3 | 1.0 | 7.6 | 1.4 | 13.9 | 2.4 | 0.85 |
| 40 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 12.5 | 2.8 | 0.87 |
| 41 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 7.7 | 2.1 | 7.7 | 1.8 | 15.4 | 3.9 | 1.46 |
| 41 | 1.0 | 0.02 | 6.2 | 1.3 | 6.2 | 1.1 | 12.4 | 2.4 | 0.72 |
| 42 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 14.8 | 2.4 | 0.84 |
| 44 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 1.4 | 14.2 | 2.6 | 0.84 |
| 45 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 9.3 | 1.7 | 18.0 | 2.7 | 1.08 |
| 45 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 16.5 | 4.0 | 1.47 |
| 45 | 1.4 | 0.03 | 9.0 | 2.0 | 9.6 | 1.6 | 18.6 | 3.6 | 1.48 |
| 46 | 1.0 | 0.02 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 1.2 | 15.1 | 2.4 | 0.78 |
| 48 | 1.8 | 0.04 | 10.1 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 3.1 | 21.1 | 6.0 | 2.64 |
| 51 | 2.6 | 0.05 | 7.7 | 2.0 | 10.9 | 2.1 | 18.6 | 4.1 | 1.49 |
| 52 | 2.3 | $\frac{0.04}{0.03 \text { Mean }}$ | 10.9 | 3.0 | 10.9 | 3.6 | 21.8 | 6.6 | $\frac{2.77}{1.14 \text { Mean }}$ |


| SL |  | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT | OVARY | RIGHT | OVARY |  |  | $\left(L_{1}+L_{n}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AP |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}, \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { Width } \\ W_{1} \end{array}$ | Length $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Width } \\ & W_{r} \end{aligned}$ | $L_{1}+L_{r}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ |  |
| 29 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 5.3 | 0.4 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 10.6 | 0.9 | 0.33 |
| 39 | 0.9 | 0.02 | 5.4 | 0.6 | 5.8 | 0.8 | 11.2 | 1.4 | 0.40 |
| 39 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 4.7 | 0.9 | 6.5 | 1.0 | 11.2 | 1.9 | 0.54 |
| 40 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 8.7 | 1.9 | 8.7 | 1.8 | 17.4 | 3.7 | 1.64 |
| 41 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 9.5 | 1.4 | 8.0 | 1.1 | 17.5 | 2.5 | 1.07 |
| 42 | 1.1 | 0.03 | 7.5 | 1.1 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 16.0 | 2.6 | 0.99 |
| 42 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 7.8 | 1.0 | 14.8 | 2.0 | 0.70 |
| 43 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 7.3 | 1.0 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 14.6 | 2.2 | 0.75 |
| 43 | 1.3 | 0.03 | 7.6 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 1.1 " | 15.2 | 2.3 | 0.81 |
| 43 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 8.6 | 1.5 | 10.8 | 1.4 | 19.4 | 2.9 | 1.31 |
| 43 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 8.5 | 1.6 | 8.5 | 1.1 | 17.0 | 2.7 | 1.07 |
| 43 | 0.7 | 0.02 | 6.7 | 0.9 | 8.4 | 1.2 | 15.1 | 2.1 | 0.74 |
| 43 | 1.0 | 0.02 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 13.0 | 2.5 | 0.75 |
| 44 | 1.1 | 0.02 | 9.0 | 1.0 | 8.2 | 1.3 | 17.2 | 2.3 | 0.89 |
| 44 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 5.0 | 0.8 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 11.3 | 2.0 | 0.51 |
| 44 | 1.0 | 0.02 | 5.2 | 1.2 | 8.4 | 1.3 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 0.77 |
| 45 | 1.6 | 0.03 | 8.5 | 1.2 | 8.5 | 1.8 | 17.0 | 3.0 | 1.13 |
| 46 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 7.6 | 1.7 | 8.6 | 1.6 | 16.2 | 3.3 | 1.16 |
| 55 | 2.1 | $\frac{0.04}{0.02 \text { Mean }}$ | 9.8 | 1.8 | 9.8 | 1.5 | 19.6 | 3.3 | $\frac{1.17}{0.88 \text { Mean }}$ |


|  |  |  | - $31 / \mathrm{V} / 71$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SL | AP | $\frac{A P}{S L}$ | LEFT OVARY |  | RIGHT OVARY |  | $\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $W_{1}+W_{r}$ | $\frac{\left(L_{1}+L_{r}\right)\left(W_{1}+W_{r}\right)}{S L}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{1} \end{gathered}$ | Width W | $\begin{gathered} \text { Length } \\ \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} \end{gathered}$ | Width $W_{r}$ |  |  |  |
| 37 | 1.4 | 0.04 | 6.0 | 1.0 | 6.9 | 1.2 | 12.9 | 2.2 | 0.77 |
| 37 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 6.0 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 1.2 | 13.1 | 2.4 | 0.85 |
| 38 | 1.5 | 0.04 | 5.9 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 1.3 | 12.9 | 2.5 | 0.85 |
| 40 | 0.8 | 0.02 | 6.1 | 0.7 | 7.8 | 0.9 | 13.9 | 1.6 | 0.56 |
| 41 | 1.6 | 0.04 | 5.9 | 1.2 | 6.8 | 1.2 | 12.7 | 2.4 | 0.74 |
| 42 | 1.2 | 0.03 | 6.4 | 0.9 | 5.9 | 1.3 | 12.3 | 2.2 | 0.64 |
| 43 | 1.8 | 0.04 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 9.4 | 1.6 | 17.5 | 3.0 | 1.22 |
| 43 | 1.7 | 0.04 | 7.9 | 1.4 | 8.9 | 2.0 | 16.8 | 3.4 | 1.33 |
| 44 | 2.0 | 0.04 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 7.8 | 1.5 | 14.7 | 2.6 | 0.87 |
| 44 | 2.0 | 0.04 | 6.4 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 1.5 | 13.8 | 2.9 | 0.91 |
| 44 | 2.3 | 0.05 | 6.2 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 2.0 | 13.8 | 3.2 | 1.00 |
| 45 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 9.9 | 1.2 | 8.9 | 1.4 | 18.8 | 2.6 | 1.08 |
| 45 | 2.2 | 0.05 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 7.6 | 1.5 | 13.4 | 2.8 | 0.83 |
| 45 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 9.0 | 1.51 | 9.0 | 1.5 | 18.0 | 3.0 | 1.20 |
| 45 | 2.1 | 0.05 | 8.9 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 1.5 | 17.8 | 3.2 | 1.26 |
| 46 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 7.3 | 2.7 | 0.43 |
| 46 | 1.5 | 0.03 | 8.6 | 0.9 | 10.6 | 0.7 | 19.2 | 1.6 | 0.67 |
| 47 | 1.4 | 0.03 | 8.7 | 0.9 | 8.7 | 1.1 | 17.4 | 2.0 | 0.74 |
| 48 | 2.2 | 0.04 | 9.3 | 1.1 | 11.3 | 1.8 | 20.6 | 2.9 | 1.24 |
| 50 | 1.2 | 0.02 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 7.3 | 1.2 | 16.3 | 2.0 | 0.65 |
|  |  | 0.04 Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.89 Mean |

## Appendix $U$

Meristic data recorded from 25 males and 25 females collected at Blythe Bore (locality 17) on 27.XI.69.

Males

| SL. | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {L }}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | A | V | C | Sc | Tr. | LGR | Vert. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i,8 | i,5 | 28 | 48 | 19 | 8 | 27 |
| 37 | vi | 9 | 13 | 12 | i,8 | i, 5 | 27 | 45 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 37 | vi | 9 | 12 | 12 | i,7 | i, 5 | 27 | 45 | 17 | 9 | 27 |
| 38 | vi | 9 | 13 | 12 | i.7 | i, 5 | 28 | 48 | 16 | 8 | 27 |
| 38 | vi | 9 | 12 | 13 | i, 7 | i,5 | 29 | 45 | 19 | 9 | 27 |
| 38 | vi | 9 | 14 | 12 | i, 8 | i, 5 | 28 | 44 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 8 | i, 5 | 28 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 26 |
| 39 | vi | 10 | 13 | 14 | i, 7 | i. 5 | 28 | 45 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 9 | 13 | 33 | i. 7 | i,5 | 28 | 45 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 10 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 26 | 45 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 9 | 13 | 12 | i, 7 | -i,5 | 28 | 45 | 17 | 9 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 28 | 44 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| 40 | vi | 10 | 13 | 13 | i,7 | i,5 | 28 | 45 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 40 | vi | 9 | 12 | 12 | i, 7 | i,5 | 27 | 44 | 18 | 9 | 28 |
| 40 | vi | 10 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 27 | 4.7 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 40 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 8 | i, 5 | 28 | 46 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 40 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i.5 | 28 | 44 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 40 | vi. | 9 | 12 | 12 | i, 8 | i, ${ }^{1}$ | 28 | 45 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 41 | vi | 10 | 13 | 12 | i. 7 | i, 5 | 29 | 43 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 4.1 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i.7 | i, 5 | 28 | 48 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 41 | vi | 10 | 13 | 13 | i,8 | i, 5 | 27 | 48 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 42 | vi | 9 | 14 | 13 | i, 7 | i,5 | 26 | 45 | 17 | 8 | 26 |
| 42 | vi | 10 | 13 | 13 | i,8 | i, 5 | 29 | 49 | 16 | 8 | 27 |
| 42 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i,8 | i,5 | 28 | 46 | 18 | 8 | 28 |
| 42 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i,7 | i, 5 | 28 | 45 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| Range: 37-42 | 0 | 9-10 | 12-14 | 12-14 | i, 7-8 | 0 | 27-29 | 44-49 | 17-19 | 8-9 | 26-28 |
| Mean: 39.6 | vi | 9.3 | 12.9 | 12.7 | i, 7.4 | i, 5 | 27.7 | 45.6 | 17.5 | 8.3 | 27.0 |

Females

| SI <br> mm . | $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ | ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}$ | A | V | C | Sc. | Tr. | LGR | Vertit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i. 5 | 29 | 45 | 16 | 8 | 27 |
| 36 | vi | 9 | 12 | 12 | i, 7 | i,5 | 28 | 46 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 36 | vi | 10 | 13 | 12 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 29 | 47 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 36 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 8 | i, 5 | 27 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 36 | vi | 9 | 12 | 12 | i. 7 | i,5 | 28 | 47 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 37 | 区i | 10 | 13 | 13 | i, 8 | i, 5 | 27 | 47 | 16 | 9 | 27 |
| 37 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 28 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 37 | vi | 9 | 12 | 12 | i, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | i, 5 | 28 | 46 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 29 | 46 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 10 | 13 | 13 | i. 7 | i, 5 | 28 | 47 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 10 | 13 | 12 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 27 | 43 | 17 | 8 | 28 |
| 39 | VI | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i, 5 | 28 | 45 | 17 | 9 | 27 |
| 39 | vi | 10 | 12 | 12 | i, 7 | i,5 | 27 | 44 | 17 | 8 | 27 |
| 40 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 8 | i,5 | 28 | 44 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 40 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i,8 | i, 5 | 28 | 46 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| 41 | vi | 9 | 14 | 14 | i.7 | -i, 5 | 28 | 47 | 16 | 9 | 27 |
| 41 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i. 7 | i, 5 | 27 | 48 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 41 | vi | 9 | 13 | 12 | i. 7 | i, 5 | 29 | 48 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 41 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i. 7 | i, 5 | 28 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 42 | vi | 9 | 13 | 12 | i, 8 | i, 5 | 27 | 45 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| 42 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i,5 | 29 | 47 | 18 | 9 | 27 |
| 42 | vi | 9 | 12 | 12 | i. 7 | i, ${ }^{\text {i }} 5$ | 27 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 42 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i.7 | i, 5 | 29 | 45 | 18 | 8 | 27 |
| 43 | vi | 9 | 13 | 13 | i, 7 | i,5 | 29 | 44 | 16 | 8 | 27 |
| 44 | vi | 8 | 13 | 13 | i, 8 | i,5 | 27 | 4.5 | 17 | 9 | 27 |
| Range: 35-44 | 0 | 8-10 | 12-14 | 12-14 | i, 7-8 | 0 | 27-29 | 44-48 | 16-18 | 8-9 | 27-28 |
| Mean: 39.4 | vi | 9.2 | 12.8 | 12.7 | i, 7.2 | i, 5 | 27.9 | 45.7 | 17.4 | 8.3 | 27.0 |

## Appendix

Statistical Reports of analyses made of certain physiological and behavioural observations.

Analyses carried out by statistical consultants of the Department of Statistics, University of Adelaide.

Section VI.
Report A: Comparing outlet temperatures of artesian bore and spring waters inhabited by $\underline{\underline{C}}$. eremius with those not inhabited by the species.

Original data in table:- 16

| Inhabited Waters | Un-inhabited Waters <br> (excluding localities <br> 44-51 inclusive) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{x}}_{1}=30.96$ | $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x}_{2}=28.80$ |
| $s_{1}{ }^{2}=34.07$ | $s_{2}{ }^{2}=74.99$ |
| $s_{1}=5.83$ | $s_{2}=8.66$ |

Testing equality of variances.
$F_{9}, 11=\frac{74.99}{34.07}=2.2$ (not significant)
Pooled variance.
$s_{p}^{2}=\frac{\left(11 s_{1}{ }^{2}+9 s_{2}^{2}\right)}{20}=52.48$
Testing equality of means.
$t_{m-1}=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{s_{p}{ }^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}=0.69$ (not significant).
Conclusion: equal mean temperatures.
Removing Paralana Hot Spring (locality 54) data from un-inhabited group.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}_{2}=25.9 \\
& s_{2}^{2}=10.3 \\
& s_{2}^{2}=3.2 \\
& s_{p}^{2}=24.6 \text { and } t_{4}=2.18 \text { (not significant) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Report B: Testing whether there is significant difference between the critical thermal maxima means and a significant correlation between critical thermal maxima and bottom water temperatures recorded in February and June, 1968, at

Coward Springs Railway Bore (locality 34).
Original data in table:- 33

| c.t.m。 values. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| February | June |
| $\bar{x}_{1}=40.8$ | $\bar{x}_{2}=36.30$ |
| $s_{1}{ }^{2}=1.92$ | $s_{2}{ }^{2}=0.75$ |
| $s_{1}=1.38$ | $s_{2}=0.86$ |

$F_{3.3}=\frac{s^{2} 1}{s_{1}^{2}}=2.56$ (not significant)
$s_{p}^{2}=\frac{\left(3 s_{1}^{2}+3 s^{2}{ }_{2}\right)}{6}=1.33$
$S p=1.15$


Conclusion re correlation between critical thermal maxima and bottom water temperature:- There is a simple linear correlation of 0.73 between critical thermal maxima and bottom water temperature.

Comment: Cannot associate too much "causemand-effect" fror correlation between bottom water temperature and critical therwal maxima because there may be a 3ra factor influencing both.

## Section VIII.

Report $C$ : Comparing numbers of tubifex eaten in light and dark. Original data in Table 60

Assuming no differences exist between the groups used, $a \chi^{2}$ test of homogeneity was performed on the total numbers of tubifex consumed under the different conditions. \& $\chi^{2}$ value of 0.05 on 1 d.f was obtained and since $\operatorname{Pr}_{r}\left[X_{1}{ }^{2} \geqslant 0.05\right.$ $=0.82$, the hypothesis that equal proportions were consumed was accepted.

Report D: Comparing number of Iive and dead tubifex worms, both under illumination. Original data in Table. 61 With the same assumption as in report $C$ and using the same test, a $X^{2}$ value of 6.9 on 1 d.f. was obtained. Since $P_{r}\left\{x_{1}{ }^{2} \geqslant 6.90\right\} \doteqdot 0$, the hypothesis that equal proportioj of live and dead tubifex were consumed was rejected.

Report E: Comparing number of live tubifex eaten under illumination with number of dead tubifex eaten in darkness. Original data in Table 62

Proceeding as in Reports $C$ and $D, \chi^{2}$ value of 1.88 on 1 d was obtained. Since $\operatorname{Pr}_{r}\left\{X^{2} \uparrow \geqslant 1.88\right\}=0.17$, the hypothes that equal proportions were consumed was accepted.
Comnent: On the data presented there is no way to compare dead tubifex and illumination with live tubifex and darkness.

Report F: Comparing number of approaches to control and impregnated (with macerated tubifex fluid) swabs. Original data in Table 64
In this analysis two assumptions have been made:-
(1) .that an individual subject moved independently of the other subjects.
(2) that in ordinary circumstances the subjects moved randomly about the tank.

Assuming the hypothesis of no difference between swabs and no difference between "a" and "b" trials, $a X^{2}$ test with equal marginal probabilities was performed. A highly significant value of 116.34 on 3 d.f. was obtained, indicating a rejection of the hypothesis. When divided into components for trials, swabs and independence, componer of $92.46,23.67$ and 0.21 were obtained, each on 1 d.f. This indicates that the single factor segregation for both trials and swabs are not in equal proportions as hypothesised.

Report G: Comparing time spent in light and dark by vision-intact and blind subjects. Original data in Table $5^{-}$ In the analysis the same two assumptions in Report $F$ were made.

Since the data indicates an effect due to trials, a paired $t=t e s t$ was used on the 5 sets of "presences" recorded under the heading "in light" viz.
$\left.\left.\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=(39,18),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=44,13\right),\left(x_{3}, y_{3}\right)=35,4\right)$, $\left(x_{4}, y_{4}\right)=(14,7),\left(x_{5}, y_{5}\right)=(13,4)$. Interpreting these pairs to be relative measures of the time spent in the illuminated area by the two types of
subjects, the test was now for equal mean times. Values calculated were $\bar{\alpha}=19.8$ where $\alpha_{1}=x_{1}-\bar{y}_{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{\mathrm{d}}=10.32 \\
& t_{4}=4.28 \text { (significant at } 5 \% \text { level) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently the hypothesis of equal mean times would not be supported by this data.

Report H: Comparing time spent over black andyellow backgrounds. Original data in Table 66

In this analysis the same two assumptions in Report $F$ were made.
A $X^{2}$ test assuming equal proportions in each colour category was performed giving a value of 3.83 on $1 \mathrm{d.ff}$ Since $P_{r}\left\{\chi_{1}^{2} \geqslant 3.83\right\}=0.05$, the hypothesis would be accepted.

Report I: Comparing time spent over different mediums. Original data in Table 68

In this analysis the same two assumptions in Report $F$ were made.
A $\chi^{2}$ test asswming equal proportions was performed giving a value of 19.14 on 1 d.f. and consequently the hypothesis would be rejected since $\operatorname{Par}_{r}\left\{\mathrm{x}^{2}{ }_{1} \geqslant 19.14\right\} \doteqdot 0$

Report J: Comparing mean response times of vision-intact and blind fish to effect colour change when tronsferred from black to white backgrounds. Original data in Table 71

| Reaction of: | Vision Intact | Blind |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean reaction time $\left(\bar{x}_{1}\right)$ | 5.90 | 10.20 |
| Standard Deviation $\left(s_{1}\right)$ | 2.07 | 6.87 |
| Number | $\left(n_{1}\right)$ | 5 |

Using $F$ - test for equality of variances
$F=\frac{s_{1}{ }^{2}}{s_{2}^{2}}=\frac{(2.07)^{2}}{(6.87)^{2}}=0.091$ (significant at $5 \%$ level)
Testing equality of means using Cochrans approximation to Fisher-Behrans test
$t=\frac{\bar{x}_{1}-\bar{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s^{2} 1}{n_{1}}+\frac{s^{2} 2}{n_{1}}}}=1.33$ (not significant) on 4 degrees of

Report K: Comparing mean response times of visionmintact and blind fish to effect colour change when trensferred froil white to black backgrounds. Original data in Table 71

| Reaction of: | Vision Intact | Blind |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mean reaction time $\overline{\bar{x}}_{2}$ | 6.7 | 18.90 |
| Standard deviation | 1.20 | 7.15 |
| Number | 5 | 5 |

$F=$ ratịo is $\frac{(1.2)^{2}}{(7.15)^{2}}=0.028$ (significant at 5\% level)
Using the same method as above, $t=3.76$ (significant at $5 \%$ ) on 4 degrees of freedom.

Report I: Comparing trapping counts according to lunar phases. Original data in tables 76 and 77 An analysis of variance was performed on the combined data from Wobna Springs (locality 35) and Johnson's No. 3 Bore (locality 24). This combination was possible because the Variances estimated separately from the two localities were not significantly different.

The Analysis of Variances table obtained was:-

| Source | SS | DF | MS | VR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Johnson's No, 3 Bore <br> (locality 24) versus Wobna <br> Spring (locality 35) | 1464.2 | 1 | 1464.2 | 0.24 |
| Within Johnson's No. 3 Bore <br> (locality 24) <br> Within Wobna Spring <br> (locality 35) | 141155.4 | 3 | 47051.79 | $7.87 *$ |
| Residual |  |  |  |  |

* Highly significant Variance

The pooled estimate of the standard deviation is 77.29. The only significant difference occurs between the phases at Johnson's No. 3 Bore (Iocality 24). This significance is accounted for by the new moon observations, whose mean is more than 3 s.d.'s larger than the next highest mean. However reservations should be held about the results since the new moon data comprises two observations only.
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