# ACCEPTED VERSION

R. Tamblyn, M. Hand, L. Morrissey, T. Zack, G. Phillips, D. Och **Resubduction of lawsonite eclogite within a serpentinite-filled subduction channel** Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 2020; 175(8):1-22

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in **Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology,** The final authenticated version is available online at: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00410-020-01712-1</u>

## PERMISSIONS

https://www.springer.com/gp/open-access/publication-policies/self-archiving-policy

Self-archiving for articles in subscription-based journals

Springer journals' policy on preprint sharing.

By signing the Copyright Transfer Statement you still retain substantial rights, such as self-archiving:

Author(s) are permitted to self-archive a pre-print and an author's <mark>accepted manuscript</mark> version of their Article.

.....

*b.* An Author's Accepted Manuscript (AAM) is the version accepted for publication in a journal following peer review but prior to copyediting and typesetting that can be made available under the following conditions:

(i) Author(s) retain the right to make an AAM of their Article available on their own personal, selfmaintained website immediately on acceptance,

(ii) Author(s) retain the right to make an AAM of their Article available for public release on any of the following 12 months after first publication ("Embargo Period"): their employer's internal website; their institutional and/or funder repositories. AAMs may also be deposited in such repositories immediately on acceptance, provided that they are not made publicly available until after the Embargo Period.

An acknowledgement in the following form should be included, together with a link to the published version on the publisher's website: "This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in [insert journal title]. The final authenticated version is available online at: http://dx.doi.org/[insert DOI]".

When publishing an article in a subscription journal, without open access, authors sign the Copyright Transfer Statement (CTS) which also details Springer's self-archiving policy.

See Springer Nature <u>terms of reuse</u> for archived author accepted manuscripts (AAMs) of subscription articles.

## 2 September 2021

## Click here to view linked References

## Resubduction of lawsonite eclogite within a serpentinite-filled subduction channel

- 2 Tamblyn, R.<sup>1\*</sup>, Hand, M.<sup>1</sup>, Morrissey, L.<sup>2</sup>, Zack, T.<sup>1,3</sup>, Phillips, G.<sup>4</sup>, Och, D.<sup>5</sup>
- 3 <sup>1</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia
  - <sup>2</sup> School of Natural and Built Environments, The University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia
  - <sup>3</sup> Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden
  - <sup>4</sup>Geological Survey of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia
  - <sup>5</sup> WSP Parsons Brinckerhoff, Sydney, Australia
  - \* Corresponding author: renee.tamblyn@adelaide.edu.au

## 10 Abstract

Translating burial and exhumation histories from the petrological and geochronological record of high-pressure assemblages in subduction channels is key to understanding subduction channel processes. Convective return flow, either serpentinite or sediment hosted, has been suggested as a potential mechanism to retrieve rocks from significant depths and exhume them. Numerical modelling predicts that during convective flow, subducted material can be cycled within a serpentinite-filled subduction channel. Geochronological and petrological evidence for such cycling during subduction is preserved in lawsonite eclogite from serpentinite mélange in the Southern New England Orogen, eastern Australia. Ar-Ar, Rb-Sr phengite and U-Pb titanite geochronology, supported by phase equilibrium forward modelling and mineral zoning, suggest Cambro-Ordovician eclogite underwent two stages of burial separated by a stage of partial exhumation. The initial subduction of the eclogite at ca. 490 Ma formed porphyroblastic prograde-zoned garnet and lawsonite at approximate P-T conditions of at least 2.9 GPa and 600 °C. Partial exhumation to at least 2.0 GPa and 500 °C is recorded by garnet dissolution. Reburial of the eclogite resulted in growth of new Mg-rich garnet rims, growth of new prograde-zoned phengite and recrystallization of titanite at P-Tconditions of approximately 2.7 GPa and 590 °C. U-Pb titanite, and Ar-Ar and Rb-Sr phengite ages constrain the timing of reburial to ca. 450 Ma. This was followed by a second exhumation event at approximately 1.9 GPa and 520 °C. These conditions fall along a cold approximate geotherm of 230 °C/GPa. The inferred changes in pressure suggest the lawsonite eclogite underwent depth cycling within the subduction channel. Geochronological data indicates that partial exhumation and reburial

occurred over ca. 50 M.y., providing some estimation on the timescales of material convective cycling in the subduction channel. 

Keywords: eclogite, lawsonite, resubduction, high-pressure, corner flow, serpentinite

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Metamorphism along cool geothermal gradients in subduction zones produces eclogite-facies mineral assemblages. These assemblages often preserve an abundance of prograde, peak and retrograde petrological relationships. For example, elemental zoning in garnet and micas can be preserved due to slow rates of diffusion, and temperature-sensitive minerals such as lawsonite can also be preserved (Tsujimori et al., 2006; Tsujimori & Ernst, 2014). Given this potential to preserve extensive petrological relationship histories, methods such as phase equilibrium modelling coupled with new and emerging geochronological techniques open avenues to explore the pressure-temperature–time (P-T-t) histories of these high-pressure low-temperature assemblages, and make inferences about the behaviour of the subduction systems that host them.

The application of sophisticated petrological techniques to eclogite and blueschist assemblages has highlighted the potential for complex P-T-t histories. This is particularly apparent in serpentinite mélanges. Mixing, accumulation, long-lived residence and intrachannel circulation of high-pressure lithologies have started to emerge as common phenomena within subduction channels (e.g. Krebs et al., 2008; Lázaro et al., 2009). Numerical modelling has sought to explain the dynamics of material within subduction channels, and has demonstrated that complex P-T-t histories are possible, arising from cycling and corner flow within the channel (Gerya et al, 2002, Stöckhert & Gerya, 2005; Roda et al., 2019). Examples of high-pressure rocks that have seen two or more cycles of burial have been recognised through geochronological and mineralogical evidence, for example, dating zones within metamorphic zircon (e.g. Liati et al., 2016). However, in lieu of such useful petrochronological methods, recognising multiple burial cycles can prove difficult.

Lawsonite eclogite is globally rare, despite the range of bulk compositions that support the formation of lawsonite-garnet-omphacite (Wei & Clarke, 2011). Therefore, their occurrence probably reflects unusually good preservation of deeply buried, cold subducted material. Consequently, lawsonite eclogites potentially preserve comprehensive records of the physical conditions of subduction channels. This study presents detailed petrological results from eclogite in the Southern New England Orogen (SNEO), that supports partial exhumation and reburial of a single block of lawsonite eclogite during on-going subduction. Phase diagrams constrain the approximate pressure-temperature (P-T) conditions of partial exhumation and reburial within the subduction channel, which is supported by U–Pb titanite, and Rb–Sr and Ar–Ar phengite geochronological data. The cycle of exhumation and reburial records an approximate total pressure and temperature fluctuation of at least 1.6 GPa and 190 °C and is constrained to have occurred over ca. 50 M.y.. If the pressure fluctuations recorded by high-pressure mineral assemblages reflect oscillations in burial depths, such records support the notion of convective flow within the subduction channel.

## 2. GEOLOGICAL SETTING

## 2.1 The Southern New England Orogen

The Southern New England Orogen (SNEO) in eastern Australia is the youngest and most outboard orogen of the Tasmanides (Fig. 1a; Kemp et al., 2009; Glen, 2013; Phillips et al., 2015). The Tasmanides are interpreted to have formed as a result of long-lived subduction on the East Gondwanan margin during the Cambrian-Triassic, which resulted in a series of eastwards-younging orogens. The oldest and most westerly of these is the Delamerian Orogen, which formed at ca. 515-490 Ma on the margin of cratonic Australia, and was post-dated by the Lachlan-Thompson Orogen at ca. 484–340 Ma (Fig. 1a). The SNEO formed between the upper Devonian-Triassic, and consists of a volcanic arc, forearc basin and accretionary complex (Fig. 1b; Jenkins et al., 2002; Jessop et al., 2019). The Devonian-Carboniferous volcanic arc outcrops, but is generally obscured under the younger Permian-Triassic Sydney-Gunnedah basin (Jenkins et al., 2002). The Tamworth Belt contains

a forearc basin, consisting of felsic volcanic rocks and volcaniclastics (Roberts & James, 2010). The Tablelands Complex consists of deformed sediments and oceanic basalt that accumulated within an accretionary complex. These are separated by the serpentinite-bearing Peel Manning Fault System (Fig. 1b). The SNEO also contains numerous felsic magmatic rocks of the Permian-Triassic New England Batholith, which intrude the Tablelands Complex and the Early Permian basins (Leitch, 1975; Shaw and Flood, 1981; Phillips et al., 2011). These are interpreted to have formed as the orogen evolved from an accretionary system to a back-arc position (Jenkins et al., 2002; Phillips et al., 2011).

## 2.2 Tectonic framework of the high-pressure rocks and the Tasmanides

There has been significant debate surrounding the evolution of the Tasmanides, including the tectonic significance of the high-pressure rocks. Notably, all the geochronology reported from serpentinite-hosted high-pressure exotic blocks in the SNEO is significantly older than the enclosing geology (Fig. 1b; e.g. Fukui et al., 1995, Sano et al., 2004; Phillips et al., 2015; Manton et al., 2017; Tamblyn et al., 2019a). The most coherent views about the evolution of the Tasmanides involve eastward retreat of a subduction system that formed close to the cratonic margin during the mid-late Cambrian (e.g. Collins, 2002; Phillips & Offler, 2011; Moresi et al., 2014; Phillips et al., 2015). The general consensus is the high-pressure rocks found in the SNEO were formed in a subduction channel on the cratonic margin of Australia during the Delamerian Orogen in the Cambrian-Ordovician. Subsequent cooling and transport of the high-pressure rocks occurred during eastward-directed slab rollback, until the high-pressure rocks were exhumed in their current location in the Permian-Triassic SNEO (Phillips & Offler, 2011; Phillips et al., 2015; Tamblyn et al., 2019a). During this rollback, the Lachlan/Thompson Orogens developed in a backarc setting on the upper plate (e.g. Collins, 2002; Kemp et al., 2009; Moresi et al., 2014). Eastward younging arcs track the rollback of the subduction zone, accompanied by voluminous I and S-type magmatism formed from arc and back-arc rifting processes (Collins, 2002; Collins & Richards, 2008). This eastward migration is also revealed in  $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ isotopes of magmatic rocks, which demonstrate an increasingly juvenile signature as the subduction zone migrated away from the cratonic margin (Kemp et al., 2009).

#### 2.3 High-pressure metamorphism and mélange formation in the SNEO

2 115 High-pressure metamorphic rocks hosted in serpentinite occur throughout the SNEO at Attunga, Gleneden, Glenrock, Pigna Barney and Port Macquarie (Fig. 1b; Phillips et al., 2015). The Port Macquarie mélange contains by far the largest lithological variety of high pressure-rocks, which are described in detail by Och et al. (2003; 2007) and Tamblyn et al. (2019a). The mélange consists of exotic high-pressure blocks encased in chlorite-actinolite schist, which is in turn encased within serpentinite (Fig. 1c). These exotic blocks consist of variably metamorphosed omphacitite, low-grade basaltic rocks, sedimentary rocks, marble, blueschist facies rocks, retrogressed eclogite and lawsonitebearing eclogite. In-situ U-Pb geochronology on micro-zircon from the lawsonite eclogite returned a range of ages from ca. 560–440 Ma (Tamblyn et al., 2019a). The zircons occur as inclusions in garnet and also occur in the surrounding matrix. Lu-Hf geochronology on lawsonite porphyroblasts gives an age of  $506 \pm 15$  Ma, and a Lu–Hf garnet-whole rock isochron gives an age of  $489.7 \pm 5$  Ma. These ages are interpreted to date prograde metamorphism, as garnet cores are enriched in Lu compared to rims, biasing the age to early garnet growth. Pressure-temperature conditions obtained from phase equilibrium modelling are approximately 2.7 GPa and 590 °C for the lawsonite eclogite, and 2.0 GPa and 550 °C for a garnet-omphacite-bearing blueschist block within the same mélange. Lu-Hf, Sm-Nd, K-Ar and Ar-Ar ages from adjacent blueschist blocks and fuchsite in serpentinite give ages between ca. 472 and 420 Ma (Fukui et al., 1995; Och et al., 2010; Tamblyn et al., 2019a). This geochronology, along with the presence of low-grade sedimentary blocks, confirms the accumulation of rocks with different *P*–*T* histories in the mélange.

## **3. PETROGRAPHY**

Mineralogy of the lawsonite eclogite block (sample RB11) has been described in detail by Tamblyn et al. (2019a) and Och et al. (2003; 2007). The eclogite contains porphyroblastic garnet, lawsonite and omphacite, in a well-foliated matrix dominated by phengite and containing minor titanite (Fig. 2). However, it is clear from optical petrography and elemental mapping that there are two distinct mineral assemblages recorded in the sample, summarized in Supplementary Table 1, which are referred to as stage 1 and stage 2. Garnet is euhedral and forms crystals up to 7 mm in

diameter, which have red-coloured cores and paler rims, referred to as garnet 1 and garnet 2 respectively (Fig. 2a-d). The inclusion relationships in garnet are summarised in Supplementary Figure 1. Garnet 1 has inclusion-rich cores which contain lawsonite, titanite, omphacite, phengite, glaucophane, chlorite, epidote, stilpnomelane, quartz and zircon (Supp. Fig. 1). Very rarely, quartz inclusions in the outermost part of garnet 1 are surrounded by prominent radial fractures, suggesting the possibility that they were once coesite (Fig. 2e). Garnet 2 forms as  $\sim 400 \,\mu m$  wide rims on garnet 1 that contain chlorite, glaucophane, titanite, omphacite, lawsonite, guartz and zircon (Fig. 2d), and in some instances overgrow matrix phengite. Enclosed inclusions of chlorite, phengite, omphacite, guartz, titanite and pyrite occur at the boundary between garnet 1 and 2 (Supp. Fig. 1). Sigmoidal inclusion trails of titanite are also commonly truncated at the boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2, with the trails forming at a high angle to the matrix foliation (Supp. Fig. 1). Titanite included in garnet 2 is coarser-grained than in garnet 1. Lawsonite forms euhedral crystals up to 3 mm (Fig. 2b,f). It has darker sections containing abundant fine-grained inclusions and clearer sections with coarser-grained inclusions. The inclusions are predominately titanite, epidote, glaucophane and phengite. In places the lawsonite grain boundaries are mantled by small garnet grains, which have been overgrown by a second generation of lawsonite. In other places the clearer lawsonite occurs as an overgrowth on darker lawsonite crystals (Fig. 2f). The darker, older lawsonite is referred to as lawsonite 1 and the clearer overgrowths are referred to as lawsonite 2. The foliated matrix is part of the stage 2 mineral assemblage, and is defined by coarse phengite (up to 1 mm but commonly  $\sim 300 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) and pervasive but modally minor titanite ( $< 100 \,\mu$ m; Fig. 2b,d). The latter is associated with fine grained allanite and zircon ( $\leq 20 \mu m$ ). Titanite and phengite are coarser in the matrix relative to the inclusions in garnet cores, and in places titanite forms aggregates of grains that rim phengite grains. Omphacite forms monominerallic patches up to 10 mm which are partially replaced by glaucophane and chlorite, as well as relic fractured porphyroblasts up to ~ 500  $\mu$ m in size (Fig. 2a,b). Omphacite is partially replaced by chlorite and glaucophane. Garnet is partially replaced by chlorite along its grain boundaries or along fractures which cross-cut the garnet (Fig. 2c; Supp. Fig. 1). Where retrograde chlorite occurs with lawsonite, lawsonite is euhedral and unretrogressed, suggesting it was stable during the retrogression of the eclogite. In the matrix, glaucophane also forms foliated euhedral

crystals (Fig. 2c). These crystals, as well as coarse titanite crystals, are overgrown by stage 2 lawsonite rims. These petrological observations denote two distinct stages of peak metamorphism in the eclogite, separated by a period of retrogression. A schematic representation of the petrologic observations made from optical petrography, phase mapping and elemental mapping can be found in Figure 3.

#### 4. METHODS

## 4.1 Electron Probe Micro Analyses and mapping

Spot analyses on minerals were obtained using a Cameca SX-5 microprobe at Adelaide Microscopy, using an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a beam current of 20 nA. Element maps used an accelerating voltage of 15 kV and a 200 nA beam current, Ca, Fe, Mn and Mg were mapped using Wavelength Dispersive Spectrometers (WDS), whereas Al, Si, Ti, K and Na were mapped using Energy Dispersive Spectrometry (EDS). Maps were colour scaled in ImageJ.

## 

## 

## 4.2 Phase equilibrium forward modelling

Full phase equilibrium modelling methods are in Supplementary File 1. The rock composition was calculated for the lawsonite eclogite by combining modal proportions of each mineral with its measured chemical composition (Supp. Table 2; 3). Thin sections were mapped with a Quanta600 scanning electron microscope (SEM) with mineral liberation analysis (MLA) software to calculate modal proportions of minerals.

The phase equilibrium models were calculated using THERMOCALC (TC340i) using the internally-consistent thermodynamic dataset 'ds5' (filename tc-ds55.txt; November 2003 updated version of the Holland & Powell, 1998 data set) and activity-composition (a-x) models (Supp. Table 4; Holland & Powell, 1988; Holland & Powell, 2003; White et al., 2007; Green et al., 2007; Holland & Powell, 2011; Diener et al., 2012) in the chemical system NCKFMASHO. A model for the local rock composition was calculated with water in excess, and a model for the local rock composition was

calculated with a set water content, derived from the abundance of hydrous minerals (Supp. Table 2; 

3).

## 4.3 U–Pb titanite geochronology

Titanite grains were analysed in-situ for U-Pb isotopes using an ASI m50 LA-ICP with an attached 7700 MS at Adelaide Microscopy. Grains were ablated using a spot size of 51 µm, a frequency of 5 Hz, and a surface energy density of 3.5 Jcm<sup>-2</sup>, with an acquisition time of 80 s for each analysis including 30 s of background measurement and 50 s of ablation. The primary reference titanite MKED-1 was used to correct for elemental fractionation, mass bias and instrument drift over the courses of analyses (Spandler et al., 2016), and a titanite grain from Mt Painter with an age of  $442.6 \pm 1.8$  Ma (Elburg et al., 2003) was used as the secondary standard. Corrections were done using the software Iolite (Paton et al., 2011) and age calculations were done using IsoplotR (Vermeesch, 2018). The secondary standard from Mt Painter returned a weighted mean  $^{206}$ Pb/ $^{238}$ U age of 440.3± 2.5 Ma (MSWD = 1.5, n = 22) throughout the course of the analyses.

## 

## 4.4 Ar–Ar phengite geochronology

Phengite <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar isotope analyses utilized conventional furnace step-heating techniques at the University of Melbourne, after the analytical procedures of Phillips et al. (2007). Phengite grains were selected from mineral separates. Due to the possibility of contamination by excess argon in high-pressure rocks (Kelley, 2002), a two-increment step-heating laser approach was employed on single grains of phengite. The laser analyses were conducted at The University of Melbourne, employing the analytical procedures described by Phillips and Harris (2008). This approach was used to screen for any significant intrasample inconsistency in argon content that could be an artefact of (i) multiple generations of phengite growth or (ii) varied amounts of isotopic resetting or excess argon contamination within single grains. Using the single-grain laser approach, spurious isotopic results from a single-grain analysis can be removed from the mean age calculations, using Isoplot software (Ludwig, 2003).

## 4.5 Rb–Sr phengite geochronology

Phengite was analysed in-situ for Rb and Sr isotopes and major and trace elements using an ESI 213 NWR (TwoVol2) laser ablation system with an attached Agilent 8800QQQ ICP-MS/MS in the Microgeochemistry Laboratory at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden, following the methods of Zack & Hogmalm (2016) and Hogmalm et al. (2017). Full methods are in Supplementary File 1. Laser parameters were a fluence of  $\sim 6 \text{ Jcm}^{-2}$  and a frequency of 10 Hz. Phengite was analysed with a spot size of 40 µm, both perpendicular and parallel to cleavage. Titanite was also analysed to obtain initial <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios, with a spot size of 50 µm. The primary standard for <sup>87</sup>Rb/<sup>86</sup>Sr calibration was a pressed nanopowder MicaMg (<sup>87</sup>Rb/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratio of 154.6; Hogmalm et al., 2017). Other primary standards for <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios were the synthetic glass NIST610 (<sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratio: 0.709699; Woodhead & Hergt, 2001) and the natural basaltic glass BCR2G (<sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratio: 0.705003; Elburg et al., 2005). Secondary standards included La Posta biotite grains, with Rb–Sr isochron age of  $91.6 \pm 1.2$  Ma and an initial  ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratio of 0.7049 ± 5 (Zack & Hogmalm, 2016), and MDC biotite grains with an isochron age of 519.4  $\pm$  6.5 Ma and an initial <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratio of 0.72  $\pm$  0.002 (unpublished data). Over the course of the analyses, La Posta grains returned an isochron age of  $90.5 \pm 4.2$  Ma, and MDC grains returned an isochron age of  $501.2 \pm 7.2$  Ma.

4.6 LA-ICP-QQQ mapping

Phengite was mapped in-situ for Rb and Sr using an ASI m50 LA–ICP–MS with attached Agilent 8800 triple quadropole MS at Adelaide Microscopy, Australia. The reaction gas used was O<sub>2</sub>, with laser parameters of a fluence of 7 Jcm<sup>-2</sup> and a frequency of 10 Hz. The primary standard used was a pressed nanopowder MicaMg, and NIST610 was used as a secondary glass standard. The maps were processed in Iolite (Paton et al., 2011). Results of the mapping are semi-quantitative, and are presented in counts per second (CPS).

5. RESULTS

## 5.1 Electron Probe Micro Analyses and mapping

Mineral compositions and end member proportions are reported in Supplementary Table 2 and Tamblyn et al. (2019a), additional phengite, chlorite, omphacite and glaucophane compositional data is in Supplementary Table 5.

EPMA traverses and mapping of whole garnet grains (Fig. 4; 5; Supp. Fig. 2) show complex zoning in the major elements. In garnet 1, cores show a bell-shaped Mn enrichment, consistent with prograde zoning (Fig. 4a; 5a). In the outer part of garnet 1 and prominently in garnet 2 there is a series of thin concentric Mn-rich rings or oscillations (Fig. 5b-c). These narrow Mn-rich zones are often regular, forming hexagonal garnet crystal shapes (Fig. 5c), but can also be highly irregular, appearing to form on embayments in the garnet crystal (Fig. 5b). There is an overall average increase in Mn content from the outer part of garnet 1 to the garnet 2 rim (Fig. 4b). The grossular content of the entire garnet increases slightly from core to rim, from 0.23 to 0.3, and also shows slight enrichments in thin concentric rings in the outer garnet that are correlated with the patterns exhibited by Mn (Fig. 5). Garnet 1 has almandine-poor cores (0.45) increasing to higher almandine content in the outer garnet (0.65; Fig. 4a), with the exception of slight almandine-poor concentric rings which correlate with the Mn-rich rings. Garnet 2 is slightly depleted in almandine (Fig. 4b). Pyrope contents are low in garnet 1,  $\sim 0.03$ , with the innermost core showing a very slight depletion compared to the rest of the garnet (Fig. 5a). Garnet 2 shows distinct step increase in pyrope (0.06) that defines an outer rim  $\sim 200 \,\mu\text{m}$  in width (Fig. 4b).

High resolution EPMA maps (Fig. 5b-c) reveal that garnet 2 forms on a regular to irregular-shaped original surface of the garnet, as indicated by the white dashed line. The growth of garnet 2 is marked by a sharp increase in Mn, followed by several variations in Mn, and a final Mn-poor rim which has been partially replaced by chlorite. Notably, these Mn zoning patterns only correspond to slight changes in MnO (<0.5 wt%). Fe is slightly depleted in garnet 2. This outer-rim transition is also marked by a sharp increase in Mg. The oscillations in Mn commonly correlate with slight increases in Ca.

EPMA X-ray maps were also obtained from phengite grains in the matrix and in garnet (Fig. 6; Supp. Fig. 3). Matrix phengites display cores that are depleted in Fe, enriched in Al and slightly enriched in Na, surrounded by a rim enriched in Si, with enriched Fe and slight enrichment in Mg (Fig. 6). Phengite grains in garnet show phengite included in garnet 1 is enriched in Si, Mg and Fe, and depleted in Al and Na (Fig. 6). Phengite included in garnet 2 is enriched in Al and Na, and depleted in Si and Fe with a slight depletion in Mg, similar to cores of phengite grains in the matrix (Fig. 6). Compositional zoning in the phengite is sharp and well defined. Overall, the phengite zoning is defined by an increase in celadonite proportion from core (40%) to outer rim (45%), accompanied by a decrease in  $X_{Mg}$  (0.71–0.63) and an increase in Si (3.43–3.56 p.f.u.; Fig. 7).

Omphacite occurs as inclusions in garnet 1 and garnet 2, and as coarser grains in the matrix, which show subtle differences in composition (Fig. 7). Omphacite in the matrix and as inclusions in garnet 1 is generally 0.37-0.44 proportion jadeite, 0.36-0.43 proportion diopside, 0.08-0.19 proportion hedenbergite with a minor acmite component. Omphacite as inclusions in garnet 2 is similar in composition, with the exception of occasional diopsodic grains, which are 0.15-0.23proportion jadeite, 0.47–0.50 proportion diopside, and 0.19–0.26 proportion hedenbergite. Coarse grains in the matrix and as inclusions in garnet 2 are subtly zoned in  $X_{Fe}$ , from ~ 0.27 in the core to ~ 0.23 in the rim (Supp. Fig. 4). Inclusions in garnet 1 have an average X<sub>Fe</sub> of 0.28, and inclusions in garnet 2 have an average X<sub>Fe</sub> of 0.3.

Chlorite forms grains in the matrix that break down garnet and omphacite, along fractures which cross-cut garnet, and as inclusions at the boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2 (Supp. Fig. 1). Chlorite as inclusions between garnet 1 and garnet 2 has an average  $X_{Mg}$  of 0.46 (Supp. Table 5). It shows elevated MnO contents compared to the adjacent garnet (0.54–0.73 wt%). Chlorite throughout the matrix has an average  $X_{Mg}$  of 0.38. EPMA X-ray maps show that chlorite is often zoned or patchy in composition (Supp. Fig. 5).

Retrograde amphibole is ferro-glaucophane in composition after the nomenclature of Leake et al. (1997), and also shows sharply defined compositional zonation (Supp. Fig. 6). X<sub>Mg</sub> increases from core to rim, accompanied by a slight decrease in Al (1.72–1.39 p.f.u.). There is a slight enrichment of Ca in the cores of the glaucophane (0.17 p.f.u.), however, the grains are dominantly sodic. Some grains have thin outer rims with slight enrichment in MnO (0.14–0.27 wt%, Supp. Fig. 6).

Titanite differs slightly from ideal formula, with incorporation of  $Al_2O_3$  (up to 2.27 wt%), FeO (up to 0.66 wt%) and F (up to 0.6 wt%) into its crystal structure (Supp. Table 5). Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FeO and F contents show positive correlations (Fig. 7).

## 5.2 Phase equilibrium forward modelling

The results of the phase equilibrium forward modelling and corresponding mineral modal and compositional isopleths are shown in Figures 8, 9 and 10. Figure 8 shows the phase equilibrium model for the total bulk rock composition after Tamblyn et al. (2019a). Figure 9 shows the phase equilibrium model for the local rock composition with water in excess, and garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 removed. This was done to simulate the effective local composition for the stage 2 mineral assemblage, as garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 were chemically isolated from the reactive rock composition (e.g. Spear, 1988; Marmo et al., 2002; Evans, 2004; Gaides et al., 2008; Konrad-Schmolke et al., 2008; Lanari & Engi, 2017). Figure 10 shows the phase equilibrium model with garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 removed, but with a set water content, calculated from the modes of hydrous minerals  $(H_2O = 4.65 \text{ wt\%})$ . This was done as the current water content in the rock is most likely a measure of the minimum amount of water available in the local rock composition. Figures 11 and 12 can only be used for the mineral relations during the secondary assemblage formation after garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 have been formed. It is likely that the local rock composition had a water content between that which is measured in the rock currently, and water in excess. Therefore, the P-T points during retrograde 1, prograde 2 and peak 2 have been interpreted from both of the models. A summary of the phase equilibrium models used for each stage of the metamorphic evolution is in Supplementary Table 6. The interpreted stages of the P-T path are indicated as grey arrows.

## 5.2.1 Stage 1

The prograde path and peak conditions for the formation of the first mineral assemblage are shown on Figure 8b, after Tamblyn et al. (2019a). Garnet 1 nucleated at the 'garnet cores in' line at  $\sim$ 0.8-1.1 GPa and approximately 350 °C, based on the core inclusion assemblage of phengite + omphacite + lawsonite + quartz + chlorite + epidote. The path is then interpreted to track up-pressure into the + glaucophane field to satisfy the inclusion relationship of glaucophane in lawsonite and in the core domains of garnet 1 porphyroblasts.

The peak conditions of stage 1 metamorphism are determined from inclusions in the outer part of garnet 1 crystals. We acknowledge that the record of peak 1 has been obliterated by subsequent mineral growth, and as such the interpreted P-T conditions are tentative. We interpret that the lawsonite eclogite reached the phengite + garnet + lawsonite + omphacite + coesite field (based on radial fractures around quartz inclusions), at around 2.9 GPa and 600 °C. This field is bound uppressure by the conversion of omphacite to jadeite, bound up-temperature by the destabilization of lawsonite, and is bound down-temperature and down-pressure by the loss of coesite or the conversion of coesite to quartz. No inclusions of jadeite were detected, supporting that clinopyroxene remained omphacitic during the metamorphic evolution. Lawsonite is interpreted to have been stable during stage 1 burial as lawsonite preserves ages synchronous with garnet growth (ca. 500 Ma; Tamblyn et al., 2019a), and is ubiquitously found as inclusions in garnet 1. Rare examples of radial fractures around quartz in the outer parts of garnet 1 (Fig. 2e) could indicate the former presence of coesite, further supporting these inferred P-T conditions.

**359** 

These interpreted peak conditions are supported by the modal proportion of garnet 1. The interface between garnet 1 and 2 is marked by a step increase in X<sub>Mg</sub> and a prominent increase in X<sub>Mn</sub> (Fig. 4; 6), together with truncation of core-hosted inclusion trails. The microstructural relationships and change in  $X_{Mn}$  and  $X_{Mg}$  between the core and the rim strongly imply there was a hiatus in garnet growth. The overall average MnO of the garnet rim is higher than the MnO content of the outer garnet

core (Fig. 4), suggesting that there was a period of garnet 1 breakdown prior to the formation of garnet 2. Making the assumption that garnet growth effectively sequesters all available MnO in the rock, particularly at high P-T conditions when chlorite is no longer stable, the higher average MnO concentrations in garnet 2 compared to the outer part of garnet 1 suggests that it originally occupied a larger modal proportion of the rock (Fig. 3a). The original size of the garnets during stage 1 can be calculated using the amount of MnO stored in the MnO dissolution rings in garnet 2. If the outer part of the garnet that formed during stage 1 is assumed to have had a flat MnO profile whose MnO is now incorporated into garnet 2, stage 1 garnet at one point occupied  $\sim 1.05$  times its current modal volume, equivalent to approximately 25 mol% (1-oxide-normalised; Fig. 4a). This is a minimum estimate, as the Mn-rich garnet 2 has been partially broken down and replaced by stage 2 Mn-rich chlorite. The calculated modal proportion of stage 1 garnet porphyroblasts plots at P-T conditions of ~ 2.9 GPa and 600 °C (Fig. 8c), within lawsonite stability. The modal proportion of garnet at this stage of the rock's evolution may be overestimated in the phase equilibrium model, by perhaps up to 5 vol % (e.g. Lanari & Engi, 2017), as garnet growth fractionates major elements. This uncertainty in the garnet mode estimate is presented on the phase equilibrium model (Fig. 8b). The calculated modal proportion of garnet passes through the phengite + lawsonite + omphacite + coesite field. The P-T path linking the interpreted prograde assemblages with the peak P-T point passes through talc-bearing P-T space, however the calculated modal proportion of talc is low (< 1 vol%), and as such it is unlikely that talc would have been preserved in the eclogite.

The retrograde conditions following peak 1 must be interpreted from the phase equilibrium models calculated from the local bulk rock composition, where garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 cores are not part of the chemical system. However, the P-T conditions are difficult to assess, as the water content in the rock at this stage in the lawsonite eclogite's evolution is unknown. Figure 9 shows the phase equilibrium model for the local rock composition with water set to excess (i.e. water saturation), and Figure 10 shows the same composition but with a water content defined by the current mineralogy in the rock. The amount of water currently in the rock is relevant to the assemblages formed during stage 2 retrogression, however its relation to the assemblages formed during stage 1 retrogression is

unknown. Water content affects the stable mineral assemblages at lower pressures and temperatures, particularly the stability of garnet. The primary mineralogical evidence for stage 1 retrogression is the addition of chlorite + glaucophane to the mineral assemblage (as found as inclusions in garnet and lawsonite rims), and the consumption of garnet 1 rims. As such, the 'garnet-in' line is an important indicator of stage 1 retrograde P-T conditions, as it represents the modal volume of garnet in the rock before new stage 2 rims formed. In Figure 9, the garnet-in line occurs in the retrograde assemblage at  $\sim$  1.8–2.1 GPa and 490–500 °C. However, it is unknown if the mineral assemblage was water saturated during retrogression. If the water content was below saturation, we would expect the garnet-in line to move further down pressure and temperature. This can be seen in Figure 10. The difference in position of the garnet-in line is due to water content limiting the formation of chlorite at the expense of garnet. Essentially, this means the garnet-in line in Figure 9 represents the *maximum* pressures and temperatures the rock could have reached during stage 1 retrogression. As water saturation during retrogression seems unlikely, it appears the lawsonite eclogite may have been exhumed to lower pressures and temperatures than can be constrained. In Figure 9, the amount of water in the local bulk composition necessary to destabilize garnet is approximately 6 wt%, 1.35 wt% more than is currently held in hydrous minerals in the rock.

## 5.3.2 Stage 2

Renewed burial leading to the growth of the new garnet rims (garnet 2) is interpreted to start at the 'garnet rims in' line (Fig. 9). This is notably only an estimate of the maximum P-T conditions for the start of the prograde path for stage 2, as the water content in the eclogite was unknown as this time, and it may have been exhumed to shallower conditions within the subduction channel but failed to record it. The early stages of the prograde path can be determined using compositions of the cores of stage 2 minerals. Useful compositional parameters are x(g), z(g) and y(phe), where x(g) is the  $X_{Fe}$ in garnet, z(g) is the grossular component of garnet, and y(phe) is the proportion of Al on the M2 site in phengite (i.e. dioctahedral Al), a pressure-sensitive parameter. In the P-T model with water in excess, the x(g) and z(g) parameters from the interior of garnet 2 plot at approximately 1.9 GPa and 500–510 °C within the prograde field. Notably, the x(phe) and y(phe) parameters from the cores of

phengite intersect at approximately 2.2 GPa and 540°C, possibly indicating the initiation of recrystallisation of phengite at this P-T point. The x(o) parameter from cores of omphacite grains, which reflects the  $X_{Fe}$ , also plots close to these conditions, however as the textural timing of omphacite growth in this sample is not well known, it is not clear if its compositions reflect stage 1 burial or stage 2 burial. In the P-T model with set water content, these same compositional parameters plot over a larger range of P-T conditions, however converge towards 1.95 GPa and 450 °C. The x(phe) and y(phe) parameters from the cores of phengite intersect at the same point as Figure 9: 2.2 GPa and 540°C. As such, an alternative prograde 2 P-T path may have begun at lower pressures and temperatures and tracked up towards  $\sim 2.2$  GPa and 540 °C.

The peak assemblage during stage 2 is interpreted to have been phengite + garnet + omphacite + lawsonite + quartz, which is modelled to occur at conditions of  $\sim 2.7$  GPa and 590 °C. This field exists identically on both Figure 9 and Figure 10, and is bound up-temperature by the loss of lawsonite, down-temperature by the presence of talc and/or glaucophane, and up-pressure by the conversion of quartz to coesite and/or omphacite to jadeite.

Stage 2 retrograde P-T conditions are constrained from Figure 10. It is characterised by the growth of chlorite and retrograde-zoned glaucophane at the expense of garnet and omphacite. It also includes a modal proportion increase in lawsonite (lawsonite 2), which overgrows garnet 2 (Fig. 2f). The current modal proportions of all stage 2 minerals – minus the garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 cores which are not included in the modelling - intersect in the phengite + garnet + lawsonite + omphacite + quartz + chlorite + glaucophane + H<sub>2</sub>O field (Fig. 10c). The modelled isopleths track parallel to each other down temperature in the H<sub>2</sub>O absent field, spanning between 1.8–2.1 GPa (Fig. 10c). Final recorded retrogression is therefore interpreted to occur down to  $\sim 2.0$  GPa and 520 °C.

## 5.3 U-Pb titanite geochronology

In-situ U-Pb isotopic analyses of titanite in the matrix and in the garnet 2 rims define a common lead trend with a lower intercept of  $455.1 \pm 9.6$  Ma (MSWD = 3.3, n = 163, Fig. 11a). The

upper intercept has a  ${}^{206}$ Pb/ ${}^{207}$ Pb ratio of 0.8166  $\pm$  0.0096. During data processing, 16 analyses were discarded due to low or no signal, and a further 23 analyses were discarded due to contamination from detectable or visible micro-zircons. Incidental ablation of these zircons was visible in the signals as large Zr spikes. U-Pb geochronological data can be found in Supplementary Table 7.

## 5.4 Ar–Ar phengite geochronology

Step-heating results of two aliquots of phengite from the lawsonite eclogite taken from  $\sim 50$ cm apart are shown in Figure 12. The mineral grains come from the rock matrix. Ar-Ar data can be found in Supplementary Table 8. For aliquot 1, an age of  $458.4 \pm 3.7$  Ma was obtained from 72.4% of released <sup>39</sup>Ar. Aliguot 2 shows a rise in age with increasing temperature steps. An age of  $462.6 \pm 3.6$ Ma was calculated from 61.9% of released <sup>39</sup>Ar.

## 5.5 Rb–Sr phengite geochronology

## In-situ LA-ICP-MS Rb-Sr analyses of phengite cores and rims produce almost identical isochrons (Fig. 13). Phengite rims have a wide range of ${}^{87}$ Rb/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratios and produce an age of 448 ± 13 Ma (MSWD = 0.61, n = 18, Fig. 13a), in many cases with favourably high ${}^{87}$ Rb/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratios (up to 2000). Phengite cores generally have lower ${}^{87}$ Rb/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratios and produce an age of 437 ± 37 Ma (MSWD = 0.84, n = 16, Fig. 13b). When combined, all phengite data give an isochron age of 449 $\pm$ 19 Ma (MSWD = 0.55, n = 34, Fig. 13c). Based on textural evidence that titanite occurs as inclusions in phengite, as matrix grains and locally as grains rimming phengite (Fig. 11), it was assumed to have grown synchronously with matrix phengite. Therefore, titanite was analysed to obtain an initial ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratio to anchor the isochron, producing an average ${}^{87}$ Sr/ ${}^{86}$ Sr ratio of 0.70986 (n = 6, Fig. 13d). The rims are interpreted to form during peak metamorphism and thus were used in the final age calculations. When the phengite rims are anchored with the titanite analyses, the isochron age produced is $450 \pm 11$ Ma (MSWD = 1.09, n = 26, Fig. 13e). Rb–Sr geochronological data can be found in Supplementary Table 9.

#### 5.6 LA-ICP-QQQ isotope mapping

Phengite was mapped for <sup>85</sup>Rb and <sup>87</sup>Sr (Fig. 14). The qualitative results reveal the phengites are zoned, showing enrichments in Sr in the cores of the grains with slight Rb depletion, and Rb enrichments in the rims which correlates to comparatively low levels of Sr. The boundary between these cores and rims is sharp, and in larger grains is aligned along cleavage (Fig. 14). This zoning correlates to zoning seen in the major elements; Sr enriched cores correspond to low Si/high Al cores, and Rb enriched rims correspond to high Si/low Al rims. High Sr grains are titanite. The outline of phengite grains is shown in white.

### 6. DISCUSSION

6.1 Petrological evidence for reburial of the lawsonite eclogite

Petrographical observations from the block of lawsonite eclogite in the Port Macquarie serpentinite mélange denote at least two interpretable burial events, summarized in Supplementary Table 1 and schematically outlined in Figure 3.

Evidence for stage 1 prograde (or burial 1) is preserved in the cores of garnet porphyroblasts, which are prograde-zoned, showing bell-shaped Mn profiles. Lawsonite porphyroblasts grew in equilibrium with garnet, as evidenced by their ca. 500 Ma age, synchronous with garnet growth (Tamblyn et al., 2019a). Stage 1 burial is also recorded by phengite inclusions in the outer part of garnet 1, which are high in Si and low in Al, consistent with crystallization at high pressure. It is possible that rare coesite was formed and captured in the outer parts of garnet 1, interpreted from the presence of radial fractures around quartz.

The petrologic evidence for a second burial event after partial exhumation is recorded as inclusions within garnet rims, lawsonite rims, and the compositions of matrix minerals. The step increase in  $X_{Mg}$  and a Mn oscillation marks the boundary of new garnet 2 growth, and the general

increased Mn throughout the new garnet rim suggests that it was formed from the breakdown of previously larger garnet porphyroblasts. The presence of chlorite on the boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2 is key evidence that lower temperatures and pressures were reached before the growth of garnet 2. Omphacite grains in the matrix are prograde zoned (Supp. Fig. 4), and possibly grew from the breakdown of glaucophane during the second burial event, however, may also be relic from stage 1. Phengite and titanite (which make up the strongly foliated rock matrix) underwent recrystallization during prograde 2 deformation (e.g. Spencer et al., 2013; de Meyer, 2014; Itaya & Tsujimori, 2015). This interpretation is supported by petrological observation that the pervasive foliation in the matrix is at an oblique angle to the foliation preserved as inclusion trails in garnet 1 (Fig. 3c). The prograde zoning in the phengite is consistent with recrystallization with increasing pressure and temperature, however the sharp boundary between zones could either be interpreted as i) progressive prograde zoning during total recrystallization of the phengite grains or ii) partial recrystallization of the phengite rims at higher pressure, preserving an older low-pressure core. Phengite with low Si/high Al, identical to the cores of phengite in the matrix, is preserved in the garnet 2 rims (Fig. 6), providing further evidence that garnet 2 rims grew at lower P-T conditions than the outer parts of garnet 1. Evidence for stage 2 retrograde includes partial replacement of garnet by chlorite, and partial replacement of omphacite by glaucophane and chlorite (Fig. 3d). Retrograde zoning in glaucophane (Supp. Fig. 6) supports that it grew during decreasing pressure and temperature. New lawsonite growth on existing crystals captured coarse titanite, garnet and glaucophane, suggesting that lawsonite increased its modal proportion during retrograde 2 (Fig. 3d).

## 6.2 The origin of oscillatory garnet zoning and the possible role of fluids

Garnets from the lawsonite eclogite show oscillatory zoning in Ca, Mn, Fe and Mg (Fig. 5; Supp. Fig. 2), which is subtle in the outer parts of stage 1 garnet but marked throughout the stage 2 garnet rims. Narrow annuli enriched in Mn and Ca are depleted in Fe and Mg, and vice versa. The oscillations are sharp; they occasionally form epitaxial hexagonal crystal shapes on pre-existing garnet (Fig. 5c), or form on irregular-shaped embayed surfaces of pre-existing garnet (Fig. 5b). These features suggest that garnet was resorbed and regrown several times during the metamorphic historyof the lawsonite eclogite (aside from the major garnet resorption event between burial 1 and burial 2).

Garnet resorption and regrowth have been suggested to occur via changes in pressure and temperature which influence garnet stability (e.g. García-Casco et al., 2002; Kohn, 2004). This mechanism has been interpreted as the cause of oscillatory garnet zoning from high-pressure rocks in subduction systems, where seismic instability and/or serpentinite hosted channel circulation cause cyclic *P*–*T* changes (García-Casco et al., 2002; Li et al., 2016, Viete et al., 2018). However, garnet resorption has also been suggested to be a result of external fluid influx, which dissolves and reprecipitates garnet in equilibrium with the fluid (Angiboust et al., 2011; Hyppolito et al., 2018). Additionally, the influx of fluids may enrich or deplete cations incorporated into the garnet during its growth (e.g. Martin et al., 2011; Angiboust et al., 2014; Park et al., 2017). Such fluid influxes may not be easy to trace, however the lack of oscillatory zoning in the major elements of other minerals (e.g. phengite, omphacite) suggests that fluid influxes did not occur on a major scale, or at least were only recorded in garnet. As there is no petrologic evidence supporting either case, the major element oscillatory zoning in garnet is interpreted to have formed by subtle fluctuations in P-T and/or influxes of fluid. 

#### 6.3 P–T conditions of reburial

The record of the P-T conditions during the first burial event is contained as inclusions in lawsonite and garnet, while the record of the second burial event is found in garnet and lawsonite rims and the matrix mineralogy. The P-T path proposed is summarised in Figure 15, the interpreted conditions are summarised in Supplementary Table 6. The proposed P-T path is tentative, due to the difficulty in reconstructing the mineralogical evolution of the sample.

557 The *P*–*T* path during stage 1 burial is recorded from 0.8-1.1 GPa at approximately 350 °C, 558 and then tracks up to peak conditions of at least 2.9 GPa and 600 °C based on lawsonite stability, 559 inclusion assemblages in lawsonite and garnet and the estimated modal proportion of garnet (Fig. 8).

The potential former presence of coesite, now recorded by radial fractures around quartz inclusions in the outer parts of garnet 1, supports these peak conditions. High Si/low Al phengite inclusions in the outer parts of garnet 1 also support that high-pressures were reached during this stage (Fig. 6). Subsequent retrogression to maximum conditions of  $\sim 2.0$  GPa and 500 °C is recorded by the consumption of garnet, and growth of chlorite and glaucophane (Fig. 9; 10). It is likely the lawsonite eclogite reached lower pressures and temperatures than this during retrogression, however this part of its evolution is difficult to reconstruct.

Stage 2 reburial began at maximum conditions of  $\sim 2.0$  GPa and 500 °C. Growth of new garnet rims with elevated Mn and X<sub>Mg</sub> captured the stage 1 chlorite and glaucophane. Stage 2 peak conditions of 2.7 GPa and 590 °C were reached, based on the preserved peak mineral assemblage. Retrogression to approximately 1.9 GPa and 520 °C is based on the current modal mineralogy of the rock (Fig. 10c), and is supported by the formation of retrograde-zoned glaucophane and the partial break down of garnet to form chlorite.

If these tentative estimates are taken at face value, the earliest stages of the prograde evolution of the rock occurred on a geothermal gradient of approximately 370 °C/GPa, while the peak and retrograde stages of both stage 1 and 2 occurred on a cooler geothermal gradient of approximately 210–265 °C/GPa. These gradients are in line with a subduction channel which is cooling over time, potentially in response to the refrigeration effects of on-going subduction (Gerya, 2002; Agard et al., 2018). If this is correct, it suggests the eclogite records the transition from subduction initiation (e.g. Tamblyn et al., 2019a) to subduction maturity. These inferred geothermal estimates are comparable to the geothermal gradient experienced by garnet blueschist from the same mélange that hosts the lawsonite eclogite at Port Macquarie (~ 275 °C/GPa; Tamblyn et al., 2019a). The complete P–T path suggested in Figure 15 is two hair-pin loops, which are constrained by the presence of lawsonite throughout the recorded history. Such P-T evolutions have been previously suggested for highpressure rocks in oceanic subduction systems (e.g. Gerya et al, 2002; Krebs et al., 2011; Pourteau et al., 2019).

## 6.4 Timing of reburial

Tamblyn et al. (2019a) obtained Lu–Hf ages of 489.7  $\pm$  5 Ma for garnet–whole rock and 505  $\pm$  15 Ma for lawsonite–whole rock from the eclogite. The cores of stage 1 garnet are highly enriched in Lu compared to the stage 2 garnet, indicating the garnet Lu–Hf age is essentially the age of stage 1 garnet growth. The lawsonite Lu–Hf age is less precise but within uncertainty of the garnet age, suggesting that stage 1 garnet and stage 1 lawsonite grew within a single isotopic reservoir at ca. 500–490 Ma. The lawsonite grains contain patches that are enriched in Lu (Tamblyn et al., 2019a), and in places are overgrown by volumetrically minor second generation lawsonite (Fig. 2f). The Lu-rich domains in stage 1 lawsonite formed prior to garnet nucleation. The *P*–*T* path during the stage 1 burial tracks up to tentative peak conditions of at least 2.9 GPa and 600 °C (section 6.3). This occurred at ca. 505–490 Ma as dated by garnet and lawsonite Lu–Hf (Tamblyn et al., 2019a; Fig. 15).

Matrix phengite that encloses garnet, lawsonite and omphacite porphyroblasts shows an increasing Si and celadonite content from core to rim (Fig. 6; Carswell et al., 2000). This compositional component is consistent with increasing pressure, which in the absence of information about changes in non-lithostatic stress, equates to increasing burial depth. The plateau ages obtained from Ar–Ar geochronology from phengite from the rock matrix give ages of ca. 458 and ca. 462 Ma. The suggested closure temperature of argon diffusion in phengite is variable (e.g. Warren et al., 2011; Fornash et al., 2016), and is dependent on grain size and fluid availability (e.g. Glodny et al., 2002). Numerical diffusion modelling suggests that phengite grown at blueschist to eclogite facies (up to 550 °C) can retain argon from its prograde history (Warren et al., 2011). This has been supported by stepheating experiments which suggest phengite can be highly retentive of argon (Forster and Lister, 2014). These findings are in line with samples from high-pressure terranes where Ar-Ar ages are similar to peak metamorphic ages obtained from zircon or garnet geochronology (Fornash et al., 2016), or can be texturally related to mineral growth during sequential phases of deformation (Putlitz et al., 2005).

In-situ LA-ICP-MS Rb-Sr dating of mica is still in its infancy as a geochronological technique. However, it has the potential to rapidly constrain ages of metamorphism and deformation in metamorphic rocks. Rb–Sr analyses of phengite rims, coupled with an initial <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr value from texturally intergrown titanite, give an isochron age of ca. 450 Ma. The rims of phengite were selected for the final age calculation, as they are interpreted to grow at peak conditions of stage 2.

Rb and Sr maps also support that the geochronologic results are growth ages (Fig. 14); the zoning is sharply defined, suggesting minimal post-growth diffusion within the grains (e.g. Konrad-Schmolke et al., 2011). Rb–Sr maps show Sr enrichments in the low-Si cores of phengite, and Rb enrichments in the high-Si rims, a pattern that has been noted in high-pressure rocks (Di Vincenzo et al., 2006). The incorporation of Sr into phengite may therefore be related to the breakdown of another Sr-bearing mineral in the system at lower pressures, or, a preference for Sr in low-Si less-phengitic white mica, which declines with increasing phengitic component up-pressure. The Rb-Sr age of the phengite rims is within uncertainty of the age obtained from phengite Ar-Ar analyses and titanite U-Pb age of ca. 455 Ma (below). This interpretation is in line with similar high-pressure terranes, where Rb–Sr dates record crystallization of phengite on the prograde-peak path during metamorphism (e.g. Hetzel & Romer, 2000; Glodny et al., 2002; Bosse et al., 2005; Di Vincenzo et al., 2006). In some of these examples, Rb-Sr phengite growth ages are synchronous with Ar-Ar phengite ages (Anczkiewicz et al., 2000; Hetzel & Romer, 2000; Glodny et al., 2002; Rodríguez et al., 2003). Rb-Sr has also been shown to date continuous recrystallization of white mica during retrograde deformation in polymetamorphic terranes, at peak temperatures of ~ 500-550 °C (Bröcker et al., 2013), demonstrating the ability for the Rb-Sr white mica ages to record recrystallization events.

The U–Pb data from titanite in the matrix and as inclusions in the stage 2 garnet gives an age of ca. 455 Ma. Although there is some dispersion in the data, it is evident the matrix titanites are significantly younger than the ca. 490 Ma age for stage 1 lawsonite and garnet. Phase equilibrium modelling suggests the rock experienced P-T conditions below the closure temperature of titanite (~600-800 °C; Hartnady et al., 2019). Additionally, there is no evidence of a rutile-bearing

assemblage in the lawsonite eclogite. Therefore, it is unlikely the titanite formed from breakdown of rutile on the retrograde path. Consequently, we interpret the titanite U-Pb data to reflect the timing of titanite recrystallisation.

Titanite occurs throughout the matrix and forms around the edges of prograde-zoned phengite (Fig. 11b-d). It occurs as fine-grained inclusion trails in garnet cores and lawsonite, and as coarse-grained inclusions in stage 2 garnet and lawsonite rims (Fig. 11b-c). These textural relationships indicate that titanite was stable during the entire metamorphic evolution of the eclogite, first growing during the stage 1 burial event, and recrystallizing during the prograde evolution of the stage 2 burial event to form coarser-grained titanite. This recrystallization was possibly tracked by increasing F, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and FeO content in the titanite (Fig. 7).

The inclusions in titanite are limited to fine-grained apatite, phengite and zircon ( $< 10 \mu m$ ). Zircon is either euhedral and  $5-10 \,\mu\text{m}$  in size, or occurs as fine-grained clusters or web-like intergrowths which are 2  $\mu$ m or less (Fig. 11b,c). The 5–10  $\mu$ m sized apatite, phengite and zircon inclusions are interpreted to predate the crystallization of titanite, and were avoided during analyses. However, the extremely fine-grained web-like micro-zircon was difficult to avoid, and was possibly incorporated into analyses. This is suggested by Zr concentrations in the titanite analyses of up to  $\sim$ 18800 ppm (Supp. Table 7). Texturally, these extremely fine-grained zircon dustings only occur in sections of titanite grains, and are absent in phengite, garnet, lawsonite and omphacite. This suggests they are probably exsolution of Zr from the titanite, rather than inclusions (Fig. 11b,c). Titanite can substitute Zr<sup>4+</sup> for Ti<sup>4+</sup> in its crystal lattice, as evidenced by development of the Zr-in-titanite thermobarometer (Hayden et al., 2008). However, in quartz-absent rocks, titanite can take in significant amounts of ZrO<sub>2</sub> (up to 15 wt%; Della Ventura et al., 1999; Seifert & Kramer, 2003; Seifert; 2005; Vuorinen & Hålenius, 2005; Liferovich & Mitchell, 2005; Chakhmouradian, 2004). While these studies are from igneous rocks, they demonstrate the ability for significant Zr to substitute into titanite.

The phase equilibrium modelling of the stage 2 effective bulk composition suggests the prograde-peak path taken by the lawsonite eclogite during reburial was quartz-free until absolute peak conditions were obtained (590 °C, 2.7 GPa). Zircon exsolution from titanite has not been directly reported before, but in lieu of other explanations, we suggest these fine-grained clusters of zircon within titanite represent high-Zr titanite which formed in a quartz absent matrix assemblage, which then exsolved zircon after crystallization of the parent mineral. As such, while we tried to avoid areas of obvious weblike zircon in titanite, we suggest that if small amounts of fine-grained zircon exsolution were incorporated into the titanite U-Pb analyses, we are dating U-Pb isotopic ratios related to titanite recrystallization at ca. 455 Ma.

The above interpretations suggest recrystallization of phengite and titanite at ca. 450 Ma dates the timing of burial 2, which reached peak P-T conditions of 2.7 GPa and 590 °C. The two burial events were separated by a period of exhumation to maximum conditions of approximately 2.0 GPa and 500 °C, however the lawsonite eclogite may have been exhumed further up the subduction channel. The two stages of metamorphism and burial in the lawsonite eclogite occurred over a period of at least ca. 50 M.y. (Fig. 15). The timing of final exhumation after burial 2 is unknown, but had occurred by the Permian, based on serpentinite detritus in nearby early Permian basins in the SNEO (Aitchison et al., 1994).

## 6.5 Examples of other burial cycles

The suggestion that the block of lawsonite eclogite at Port Macquarie underwent two stages of burial, each marked by garnet growth and separated by a stage of garnet breakdown, is similar to P-T histories proposed from other studies on subducted rocks in oceanic settings, and is supported by results of numerical modelling (e.g. Gerya et al., 2002). Despite the difficulties in recognizing and constraining multiple cycles of burial and exhumation within single high-pressure samples, workers have had success using various geochronological and mineralogical tools. Dating zones within metamorphic zircon, combined with REE chemistry and inclusion assemblages, have allowed two or more subduction cycles to be identified in Rhodope, Greece (Liati et al., 2016) and the Italian Alps

(Rubatto et al., 2011), however the latter was within a continental subduction system. Three burial cycles in the Sambagawa belt in Japan have been identified from mineral assemblages and mineral chemistry of garnet and amphibole in eclogite by Kabir & Takasu (2010). Two burial cycles are recorded in eclogite from the Akeyazi terrane in Tianshan, China, interpreted from petrological and mineral zoning evidence, with P-T paths constrained from psuedosections (Li et al., 2016). García-Casco et al. (2002) modelled oscillatory zoning in garnet and amphibole chemistry from serpentinite mélange in Cuba, concluding that they formed in near-equilibrium and record fluctuations in P-Tconditions during subduction. Blanco-Quintero et al. (2011) also reported reburial during interpreted large-scale convective flow from this mélange, interpreted from garnet zoning and P-T pseudosection modelling. Geochronological constraints on the development of the mineral assemblages in the Port Macquarie eclogite point to a long history of high-pressure metamorphism, with a significant age difference between the formation of stage 1 and stage 2 assemblages (Fig. 15). Based on time scales of material movement in subduction systems from numerical modelling (Gerya et al., 2002), the geochronological data from the eclogite supports the likelihood that multiple burial and partial exhumation paths may have occurred.

## 6.6 Subduction channel dynamics

A corner or return flow model of material within the subduction channel (e.g. Shreve & Cloos, 1986, Gerya et al., 2002; Agard et al., 2009; Roda et al., 2019), is suggested for repeated burial and exhumation of the lawsonite eclogite at Port Macquarie. Numerical modelling predicts that during oceanic subduction, hydration of the mantle wedge creates a buoyant low viscosity serpentinite-filled subduction channel which can pluck high-pressure rocks from the subducting slab and circulate them within the channel (e.g. Gerya et al., 2002). In these models, the high-pressure fragments can become deeply subducted, but can also experience partial exhumation and reburial, resulting in P-T loops (Gerya et al., 2002). Rocks with different P-T-t histories can also accumulate within the channel and be exhumed together to form mélanges that contain a variety of subducted products (e.g. Krebs et al., 2008). At Port Macquarie, this behaviour is confirmed by the presence of garnet blueschist, which is

ca. 470 Ma in age, and has a different *P*-*T* path to the eclogite, yet is found as a tectonic block less
than 10 meters away from the lawsonite eclogite (Och et al., 2003; Tamblyn et al., 2019a).

The geochronological data presented above also supports the notion of long-lived residence of high-pressure materials within oceanic subduction channels. The minimum duration spent in the subduction channel by the lawsonite eclogite at Port Macquarie is ca. 50 M.y. (Fig. 15). Analogous durations of high-pressure metamorphism and accumulation have been reported from oceanic subduction systems. Exotic high-pressure rocks hosted in serpentinite, which have been interpreted to have been buried and exhumed by convective flow processes, span an age range of ca. 55 M.y. in Cuba (Lázaro et al., 2009), and ca. 40 M.y. in the Dominican Republic (Krebs et al., 2008). Highpressure rocks exhumed in Turkey with different P-T-t histories span an age range of ca. 20 M.y. (Pourteau et al., 2019), supported by in-situ Ar-Ar data (Fornash et al., 2016). In the Mariana subdution system, the residence time for blueschist within the subduction channel is ca. 48 M.y. (Tamblyn et al., 2019b).

With the caveat that the P-T conditions suggested from the phase equilibrium modelling of the eclogite at Port Macquarie are taken as approximations, a P-T-t path can be constructed for the lawsonite eclogite, and the rate of exhumation to reburial estimated. If the maximum possible P-Tconditions of stage 1 exhumation are taken as 2.0 GPa and 500 °C, the total pressure and temperature change from peak stage 1 to peak stage 2 equates to ~ 1.6 GPa and 190 °C. If an average lithostatic pressure of 3.2 km/kbar with no non-lithostatic loads is assumed, this pressure change is equivalent to approximately 50 km of vertical depth change. Depending on subduction angle, the total travel distance of the lawsonite eclogite within the channel may have been more than 100 km during the looping P-T history.

7. CONCLUSIONS

Lawsonite eclogite in serpentinite mélange at Port Macquarie in the Southern New England Orogen records P-T conditions of ~ 2.9 GPa and 600 °C, attained at ca. 500–490 Ma. The mineral assemblages that define these P-T conditions were overprinted by a second high-pressure assemblage that formed at ca. 450 Ma. Compositional zoning in phengite, and growth of a second generation of garnet, indicate the overprinting mineral assemblage formed during up-pressure metamorphism to  $\sim 2.7$  GPa and 590 °C. Between these two stages of burial, partial exhumation occurred to at least 2.0 GPa, but probably to lower P-T conditions. The P-T evolution defines hairpin looping P-T paths that developed along a similar geothermal gradient, suggesting the lawsonite eclogite was cycled within a serpentinite-filled subduction channel during return flow of subducted material. This cycling occurred over a minimum timeframe of ca 50 M.y., implying long-lived residence of the eclogite within the subduction system. A number of studies are beginning to discover that subducted material may undergo complex P-T-t evolutions that record multiple episodes of burial and exhumation during prolonged residence within subduction systems. These cyclic P-T evolutions support predictions from numerical models which suggest material can follow convective flow paths within evolving serpentinite filled subduction channels.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Ben Wade and Sarah Gilbert of Adelaide Microscopy, for their assistance with EPMA and LA-ICP-MS data collection. David Kelsey is thanked for helpful discussions and his ongoing support with phase equilibria modelling. Jack Gillespie of Curtin University is thanked for collecting the phase and EDS maps. Mitchell Bockmann of the University of Adelaide is thanked for setting up the titanite U-Pb method. We thank Pierre Lanari and an anonymous reviewer for their comments, which greatly strengthened the manuscript. This research in part was supported by Australian Research Council grant DP160104637. Renée Tamblyn acknowledges support from the University of Adelaide in the form of the Aldermann Kleeman travel scholarship and an Australian Postgraduate Award.

References

	784	
1 2 3	785	Agard, P., Plunder, A., Angiboust, S., Bonnet, G., & Ruh, J. (2018). The subduction plate interface:
4 5	786	Rock record and mechanical coupling (from long to short time scales). Lithos.
6 7	787	Agard, P., Yamato, P., Jolivet, L., & Burov, E. (2009). Exhumation of oceanic blueschists and
8 9	788	eclogites in subduction zones: timing and mechanisms. Earth-Science Reviews, 92(1), 53-79.
10 11 12	789	Aitchison, J. C., Blake, M. C., Flood, P. G., & Jayko, A. S. (1994). Paleozoic ophiolitic assemblages
13 14	790	within the southern New England orogen of eastern Australia: Implications for growth of the
15 16	791	Gondwana margin. Tectonics, 13(5), 1135-1149. doi: 10.1029/93TC03550
17 18	792	Anczkiewicz, R., Burg, J., Villa, I. M., & Meier, M. (2000). Late Cretaceous blueschist
19 20	793	metamorphism in the Indus suture zone, Shangla region, Pakistan Himalaya. Tectonophysics,
21 22 23	794	324(1-2), 111-134.
24 25	795	Anczkiewicz, R., Platt, J. P., Thirlwall, M. F., & Wakabayashi, J. (2004). Franciscan subduction off to
26 27	796	a slow start: evidence from high-precision Lu-Hf garnet ages on high grade-blocks. Earth
28 29	797	and Planetary Science Letters, 225(1), 147-161.
30 31 32	798	Anczkiewicz, R., Szczepański, J., Mazur, S., Storey, C., Crowley, Q., Villa, I. M., Thirlwall, M. F., &
33 34	799	Jeffries, T. E. (2007). Lu-Hf geochronology and trace element distribution in garnet:
35 36	800	implications for uplift and exhumation of ultra-high pressure granulites in the Sudetes, SW
37 38	801	Poland. Lithos, 95(3-4), 363-380.
39 40 41	802	Angiboust, S., Agard, P., Raimbourg, H., Yamato, P., & Huet, B. (2011). Subduction interface
41 42 43	803	processes recorded by eclogite-facies shear zones (Monviso, W. Alps). Lithos, 127(1-2), 222-
44 45	804	238.
46 47	805	Angiboust, S., Pettke, T., De Hoog, J. C., Caron, B., & Oncken, O. (2014). Channelized fluid flow
48 49	806	and eclogite-facies metasomatism along the subduction shear zone. Journal of Petrology,
50 51 52	807	55(5), 883-916.
53 54	808	Baitsch-Ghirardello, B., Gerya, T. V., & Burg, JP. (2014). Geodynamic regimes of intra-oceanic
55 56	809	subduction: Implications for arc extension vs. shortening processes. Gondwana Research,
57 58	810	25(2), 546-560.
59 60 61		
62		
ьз 64		

811	Blanco-Quintero, I. F., García-Casco, A., & Gerya, T. V. (2011). Tectonic blocks in serpentinite
812	mélange (eastern Cuba) reveal large-scale convective flow of the subduction channel.
813	<i>Geology</i> , <i>39</i> (1), 79-82.
814	Bosse, V., Féraud, G., Ballevre, M., Peucat, JJ., & Corsini, M. (2005). Rb–Sr and 40Ar/39Ar ages in
815	blueschists from the Ile de Groix (Armorican Massif, France): implications for closure
816	mechanisms in isotopic systems. Chemical Geology, 220(1-2), 21-45.
817	Bröcker, M., Baldwin, S., & Arkudas, R. (2013). The geological significance of 40Ar/39Ar and Rb-
818	Sr white mica ages from S yros and S ifnos, G reece: a record of continuous (re)
819	crystallization during exhumation? Journal of metamorphic Geology, 31(6), 629-646.
820	Carswell, D., Wilson, R., & Zhai, Mg. (2000). Metamorphic evolution, mineral chemistry and
821	thermobarometry of schists and orthogneisses hosting ultra-high pressure eclogites in the
822	Dabieshan of central China. Lithos, 52(1-4), 121-155.
823	Castelli, D., & Rubatto, D. (2002). Stability of Al-and F-rich titanite in metacarbonate: petrologic and
824	isotopic constraints from a polymetamorphic eclogitic marble of the internal Sesia Zone
825	(Western Alps). Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 142(6), 627-639.
826	Chakhmouradian, A. R. (2004). Crystal chemistry and paragenesis of compositionally unique (Al-,
827	Fe-, Nb-, and Zr-rich) titanite from Afrikanda, Russia. American Mineralogist, 89(11-12),
828	1752-1762.
829	Cherniak, D. (2006). Zr diffusion in titanite. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 152(5), 639-
830	647.
831	Collins, W., & Richards, S. (2008). Geodynamic significance of S-type granites in circum-Pacific
832	orogens. Geology, 36(7), 559-562.
833	Collins, W. J. (2002). Nature of extensional accretionary orogens. Tectonics, 21(4).
834	de Meyer, C. M., Baumgartner, L. P., Beard, B. L., & Johnson, C. M. (2014). Rb-Sr ages from
835	phengite inclusions in garnets from high pressure rocks of the Swiss Western Alps. Earth and
836	Planetary Science Letters, 395, 205-216.
837	Della Ventura, G., Bellatreccia, F., & Williams, C. (1999). Zr-and LREE-rich titanite from Tre Croci,
838	Vico volcanic complex (Latium, Italy). Mineralogical Magazine, 63(1), 123-130.

839	Di Vincenzo, G., Tonarini, S., Lombardo, B., Castelli, D., & Ottolini, L. (2006). Comparison of
840	40Ar-39Ar and Rb-Sr data on phengites from the UHP Brossasco-Isasca Unit (Dora Maira
841	Massif, Italy): implications for dating white mica. Journal of Petrology, 47(7), 1439-1465.
842	Diener, J., & Powell, R. (2012). Revised activity-composition models for clinopyroxene and
843	amphibole. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 30(2), 131-142.
844	Dodson, M. H. (1973). Closure temperature in cooling geochronological and petrological systems.
845	Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 40(3), 259-274.
846	Elburg, M., Bons, P., Foden, J., & Brugger, J. (2003). A newly defined Late Ordovician magmatic-
847	thermal event in the Mt Painter Province, northern Flinders Ranges, South Australia.
848	Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 50(4), 611-631.
849	Elburg, M., Vroon, P., van der Wagt, B., & Tchalikian, A. (2005). Sr and Pb isotopic composition of
850	five USGS glasses (BHVO-2G, BIR-1G, BCR-2G, TB-1G, NKT-1G). Chemical Geology,
851	223(4), 196-207.
852	Evans, T. (2004). A method for calculating effective bulk composition modification due to crystal
853	fractionation in garnet- bearing schist: implications for isopleth thermobarometry. Journal of

metamorphic Geology, 22(6), 547-557.

- Fornash, K. F., Cosca, M. A., & Whitney, D. L. (2016). Tracking the timing of subduction and exhumation using 40 Ar/39 Ar phengite ages in blueschist-and eclogite-facies rocks (Sivrihisar, Turkey). Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 171(7), 67.
- Forster, M. A., & Lister, G. S. (2014). 40Ar/39Ar geochronology and the diffusion of 39Ar in phengite-muscovite intergrowths during step-heating experiments in vacuo. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 378(1), 117-135.
- Fukui, S., Watanabe, T., Itaya, T., & Leitch, E. C. (1995). Middle Ordovician high PT metamorphic rocks in eastern Australia: Evidence from K- Ar ages. Tectonics, 14(4), 1014-1020.
- Gaidies, F., De Capitani, C., & Abart, R. (2008). THERIA G: a software program to numerically model prograde garnet growth. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 155(5), 657-671.

865	García- Casco, A., Torres- Roldán, R., Millán, G., Monié, P., & Schneider, J. (2002). Oscillatory
866	zoning in eclogitic garnet and amphibole, Northern Serpentinite Melange, Cuba: a record of
867	tectonic instability during subduction? Journal of metamorphic Geology, 20(6), 581-598.
868	Gerya, T. V., Stöckhert, B., & Perchuk, A. L. (2002). Exhumation of high- pressure metamorphic
869	rocks in a subduction channel: A numerical simulation. Tectonics, 21(6).
870	Glen, R. (2013). Refining accretionary orogen models for the Tasmanides of eastern Australia.
871	Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 60(3), 315-370.
872	Glodny, J., Bingen, B., Austrheim, H., Molina, J. F., & Rusin, A. (2002). Precise eclogitization ages
873	deduced from Rb/Sr mineral systematics: the Maksyutov complex, Southern Urals, Russia.
874	Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66(7), 1221-1235.
875	Green, E., Holland, T., & Powell, R. (2007). An order-disorder model for omphacitic pyroxenes in the
876	system jadeite-diopsidehedenbergite-acmite, with applications to eclogitic rocks. American
877	Mineralogist, 92(7), 1181-1189.
878	Hartnady, M. I., Kirkland, C. L., Clark, C., Spaggiari, C. V., Smithies, R. H., Evans, N. J., &
879	McDonald, B. J. (2019). Titanite dates crystallization: Slow Pb diffusion during super-
880	solidus re- equilibration. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 37(6), 823-838.
881	Hayden, L. A., Watson, E. B., & Wark, D. A. (2008). A thermobarometer for sphene (titanite).
882	Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 155(4), 529-540.
883	Hetzel, R., & Romer, R. L. (2000). A moderate exhumation rate for the high- pressure Maksyutov
884	Complex, southern Urals, Russia. Geological Journal, 35(3-4), 327-344.
885	Hogmalm, K. J., Zack, T., Karlsson, A. KO., Sjöqvist, A. S., & Garbe-Schönberg, D. (2017). In situ
886	Rb–Sr and K–Ca dating by LA-ICP-MS/MS: an evaluation of N 2 O and SF 6 as reaction
887	gases. Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry, 32(2), 305-313.
888	Holland, T., & Powell, R. (1998). An internally consistent thermodynamic data set for phases of
889	petrological interest. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 16(3), 309-343.
890	Holland, T., & Powell, R. (2003). Activity-composition relations for phases in petrological
891	calculations: an asymmetric multicomponent formulation. Contributions to Mineralogy and
892	Petrology, 145(4), 492-501.

Holland, T., & Powell, R. (2011). An improved and extended internally consistent thermodynamic dataset for phases of petrological interest, involving a new equation of state for solids. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 29(3), 333-383.

Itaya, T., & Tsujimori, T. (2015). White mica K-Ar geochronology of Sanbagawa eclogites from Southwest Japan: implications for deformation-controlled K–Ar closure temperature. International Geology Review, 57(5-8), 1014-1022.

- Jenkins, R., Landenberger, B., & Collins, W. (2002). Late Palaeozoic retreating and advancing subduction boundary in the New England fold belt, New South Wales. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 49(3), 467-489.
  - Jessop, K., Daczko, N., & Piazolo, S. (2019). Tectonic cycles of the New England Orogen, eastern Australia: A review. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 66(4), 459-496.
- Kabir, M., & Takasu, A. (2010). Evidence for multiple burial-partial exhumation cycles from the Onodani eclogites in the Sambagawa metamorphic belt, central Shikoku, Japan. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 28(8), 873-893.

Kelley, S. (2002). Excess argon in K-Ar and Ar-Ar geochronology. Chemical Geology, 188(1), 1-22.

- Kemp, A., Hawkesworth, C., Collins, W., Gray, C., & Blevin, P. (2009). Isotopic evidence for rapid continental growth in an extensional accretionary orogen: The Tasmanides, eastern Australia. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 284(3), 455-466.
  - Kohn, M. J. (2004). Oscillatory- and sector- zoned garnets record cyclic (?) rapid thrusting in central Nepal. Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems, 5(12).

Konrad-Schmolke, M., O'Brien, P. J., de Capitani, C., & Carswell, D. A. (2008). Garnet growth at high-and ultra-high pressure conditions and the effect of element fractionation on mineral modes and composition. Lithos, 103(3-4), 309-332.

Krebs, M., Maresch, W., Schertl, H.-P., Münker, C., Baumann, A., Draper, G., Idleman, B., & Trapp, E. (2008). The dynamics of intra-oceanic subduction zones: a direct comparison between fossil petrological evidence (Rio San Juan Complex, Dominican Republic) and numerical simulation. Lithos, 103(1), 106-137.

Krebs, M., Schertl, H.-P., Maresch, W., & Draper, G. (2011). Mass flow in serpentinite-hosted **921** subduction channels: P-T-t path patterns of metamorphic blocks in the Rio San Juan mélange (Dominican Republic). Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 42(4), 569-595. Lanari, P., & Engi, M. (2017). Local bulk composition effects on metamorphic mineral assemblages. Reviews in mineralogy and geochemistry, 83(1), 55-102. 11 925 Lázaro, C., García- Casco, A., Rojas Agramonte, Y., Kröner, A., Neubauer, F., & Iturralde- Vinent, <sup>13</sup> **926** M. (2009). Fifty- five- million- year history of oceanic subduction and exhumation at the northern edge of the Caribbean plate (Sierra del Convento mélange, Cuba). Journal of metamorphic Geology, 27(1), 19-40. Leake, B. E., Woolley, A. R., Arps, C. E., Birch, W. D., Gilbert, M. C., Grice, J. D., Hawthorne, F. **929 930** C., Kato, A., Kisch, H. J., & Krivovichev, V. G. (1997). Report. Nomenclature of amphiboles: report of the subcommittee on amphiboles of the international mineralogical association commission on new minerals and mineral names. *Mineralogical Magazine*, 61(2), 295-321. **933 934** Leitch, E. (1975). Plate tectonic interpretation of the Paleozoic history of the New England Fold Belt. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 86(1), 141-144. Li, J.-L., Klemd, R., Gao, J., & John, T. (2016). Poly-cyclic metamorphic evolution of eclogite: **937** evidence for multistage burial-exhumation cycling in a subduction channel. Journal of 40 938 Petrology, 57(1), 119-146. <sup>42</sup> **939** Li, P., Rosenbaum, G., Yang, J. H., & Hoy, D. (2015). Australian- derived detrital zircons in the Permian- Triassic Gympie terrane (eastern Australia): Evidence for an autochthonous origin. Tectonics, 34(5), 858-874. **942** Liati, A., Theye, T., Fanning, C. M., Gebauer, D., & Rayner, N. (2016). Multiple subduction cycles in <sup>51</sup> 943 the Alpine orogeny, as recorded in single zircon crystals (Rhodope zone, Greece). Gondwana Research, 29(1), 199-207. **945** Liferovich, R. P., & Mitchell, R. H. (2005). Composition and paragenesis of Na-, Nb-and Zr-bearing **946** titanite from Khibina, Russia, and crystal-structure data for synthetic analogues. The Canadian Mineralogist, 43(2), 795-812. **947** 

948	Manton, R. J., Buckman, S., Nutman, A. P., Bennett, V. C., & Belousova, E. A. (2017). U- Pb- Hf-
949	REE- Ti zircon and REE garnet geochemistry of the Cambrian Attunga eclogite, New
950	England Orogen, Australia: Implications for continental growth along eastern Gondwana.
951	Tectonics, 36(8), 1580-1613.
952	Marmo, B., Clarke, G., & Powell, R. (2002). Fractionation of bulk rock composition due to
953	porphyroblast growth: effects on eclogite facies mineral equilibria, Pam Peninsula, New
954	Caledonia. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 20(1), 151-165.
955	Martin, L. A., Rubatto, D., Brovarone, A. V., & Hermann, J. (2011). Late Eocene lawsonite-eclogite
956	facies metasomatism of a granulite sliver associated to ophiolites in Alpine Corsica. Lithos,
957	125(1-2), 620-640.
958	Moresi, L., Betts, P., Miller, M., & Cayley, R. (2014). Dynamics of continental accretion. Nature,
959	508(7495), 245-248.
960	Och, D., Leitch, E., Caprarelli, G., & Watanabe, T. (2003). Blueschist and eclogite in tectonic
961	melange, port macquarie, new south wales, australia.
962	Och, D., Zwingmann, H., Philips, G., & Leitch, E. (2010). K-Ar dating of serpentinisation using
963	fuchsite mica: the Rocky Beach metamorphic melange, PORT MACQUARIE.
964	Och, D. J. (2007). Eclogite, serpentinite, mélange and mafic intrusive rocks: manifestation of long-
965	lived Palaeozoic convergent margin activity, Port Macquarie, eastern Australia.
966	Park, C., Song, Y., Kang, IM., Shim, J., Chung, D., & Park, CS. (2017). Metasomatic changes
967	during periodic fluid flux recorded in grandite garnet from the Weondong W-skarn deposit,
968	South Korea. Chemical Geology, 451, 135-153.
969	Paton, C., Hellstrom, J., Paul, B., Woodhead, J., & Hergt, J. (2011). Iolite: Freeware for the
970	visualisation and processing of mass spectrometric data. Journal of Analytical Atomic
971	Spectrometry, 26(12), 2508-2518.
972	Phillips, D., & Harris, J. (2008). Provenance studies from 40 Ar/39 Ar dating of mineral inclusions in
973	diamonds: Methodological tests on the Orapa kimberlite, Botswana. Earth and Planetary
974	Science Letters, 274(1), 169-178.
Phillips, G., Landenberger, B., & Belousova, E. (2011). Building the New England Batholith, eastern Australia—Linking granite petrogenesis with geodynamic setting using Hf isotopes in zircon. Lithos, 122(1-2), 1-12. Phillips, G., & Offler, R. (2011). Contrasting modes of eclogite and blueschist exhumation in a retreating subduction system: The Tasmanides, Australia. Gondwana Research, 19(3), 800-811. Phillips, G., Offler, R., Rubatto, D., & Phillips, D. (2015). High- pressure metamorphism in the southern New England Orogen: Implications for long- lived accretionary orogenesis in eastern Australia. Tectonics, 34(9), 1979-2010. Phillips, G., Wilson, C. J., Phillips, D., & Szczepanski, S. K. (2007). Thermochronological (40Ar/39Ar) evidence of Early Palaeozoic basin inversion within the southern Prince Charles Mountains, East Antarctica: implications for East Gondwana. Journal of the Geological Society, 164(4), 771-784. Pourteau, A., Scherer, E. E., Schorn, S., Bast, R., Schmidt, A., & Ebert, L. (2019). Thermal evolution of an ancient subduction interface revealed by Lu–Hf garnet geochronology, Halilbağı Complex (Anatolia). Geoscience Frontiers, 10(1), 127-148. Powell, R., & Holland, T. (1988). An internally consistent dataset with uncertainties and correlations: 3. Applications to geobarometry, worked examples and a computer program. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 6(2), 173-204. Putlitz, B., Cosca, M., & Schumacher, J. (2005). Prograde mica 40Ar/39Ar growth ages recorded in high pressure rocks (Syros, Cyclades, Greece). Chemical Geology, 214(1-2), 79-98. Roberts, J., & James, L. (2010). Stratigraphic relationships of Carboniferous volcanogenic successions in the Clifton-Carroll block and Werrie syncline, northern Tamworth Belt, southern New England Orogen. Australian Journal of Earth Sciences, 57(2), 193-205. Roda, M., Zucali, M., Regorda, A., & Iole Spalla, M. (2019). Formation and evolution of a subduction-related mélange: The example of the Rocca Canavese Thrust Sheets (Western

- Alps). Geological Society of America Bulletin.

1002	Rodriguez, J., Cosca, M., Ibarguchi, J. G., & Dallmeyer, R. (2003). Strain partitioning and
1 2 <b>1003</b> 3	preservation of 40Ar/39Ar ages during Variscan exhumation of a subducted crust (Malpica-
<sup>4</sup> <sub>5</sub> 1004	Tui complex, NW Spain). Lithos, 70(3-4), 111-139.
<sup>6</sup> <sub>7</sub> <b>1005</b>	Rubatto, D., Regis, D., Hermann, J., Boston, K., Engi, M., Beltrando, M., & McAlpine, S. R. (2011).
<sup>8</sup> 9 <b>1006</b>	Yo-yo subduction recorded by accessory minerals in the Italian Western Alps. Nature
10 11 <b>1007</b> 12	Geoscience, 4(5), 338.
<sup>13</sup> 1008	Sano, S., Offler, R., Hyodo, H., & Watanabe, T. (2004). Geochemistry and chronology of tectonic
<sup>15</sup> <sub>16</sub> 1009	blocks in serpentinite mélange of the southern New England Fold Belt, NSW, Australia.
<sup>17</sup> 18 <b>1010</b>	Gondwana Research, 7(3), 817-831.
20 <b>1011</b>	Schumacher, R., Rötzler, K., & Maresch, W. (1999). Subtle oscillatory zoning in garnet from regional
22 <b>1012</b> 23	metamorphic phyllites and mica schists, western Erzgebirge, Germany. The Canadian
<sup>24</sup> 25 <b>1013</b>	Mineralogist, 37(2), 381-403.
<sup>26</sup> <sub>27</sub> <b>1014</b>	Seifert, W. (2005). REE-, Zr-, and Th-rich titanite and associated accessory minerals from a kersantite
28 29 <b>1015</b>	in the Frankenwald, Germany. Mineralogy and Petrology, 84(3-4), 129-146.
31 <b>1016</b> 32	Seifert, W., & Kramer, W. (2003). Accessory titanite: an important carrier of zirconium in
<sup>33</sup> 1017	lamprophyres. Lithos, 71(1), 81-98.
<sup>35</sup> <sub>36</sub> 1018	Shaw, S., & Flood, R. (1981). The New England Batholith, eastern Australia: geochemical variations
37 38 <b>1019</b>	in time and space. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 86(B11), 10530-10544.
40 <b>1020</b> 41	Shreve, R. L., & Cloos, M. (1986). Dynamics of sediment subduction, melange formation, and prism
<sup>42</sup> 1021 43	accretion. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 91(B10), 10229-10245.
<sup>44</sup> <sub>45</sub> <b>1022</b>	Spandler, C., Hammerli, J., Sha, P., Hilbert-Wolf, H., Hu, Y., Roberts, E., & Schmitz, M. (2016).
46 47 <b>1023</b>	MKED1: a new titanite standard for in situ analysis of Sm-Nd isotopes and U-Pb
49 <b>1024</b> 50	geochronology. Chemical Geology, 425, 110-126.
<sup>51</sup> 1025 <sub>52</sub>	Spear, F. S. (1988). Metamorphic fractional crystallization and internal metasomatism by diffusional
<sup>53</sup> 1026	homogenization of zoned garnets. Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology, 99(4), 507-
<sup>55</sup> 56 <b>1027</b>	517.
57 58 59	
60 61	
62 63	
64 65	

1028	Spencer, K., Hacker, B., Kylander-Clark, A., Andersen, T., Cottle, J., Stearns, M., Poletti, J., &
<sup>1</sup> 2 <b>1029</b>	Seward, G. (2013). Campaign-style titanite U-Pb dating by laser-ablation ICP: Implications
<sup>4</sup> <sub>5</sub> 1030	for crustal flow, phase transformations and titanite closure. Chemical Geology, 341, 84-101.
<sup>6</sup> <sub>7</sub> 1031	Stöckhert, B., & Gerya, T. V. (2005). Pre- collisional high pressure metamorphism and nappe
<sup>8</sup> 9 <b>1032</b>	tectonics at active continental margins: A numerical simulation. Terra Nova, 17(2), 102-110.
10 11 <b>1033</b> 12	Tamblyn, R., Hand, M., Kelsey, D., Anczkiewicz, R., & Och, D. (2019). Subduction and
<sup>13</sup> 1034	accumulation of lawsonite eclogite and garnet blueschist in eastern Australia. Journal of
<sup>15</sup> <sub>16</sub> <b>1035</b>	metamorphic Geology.
<sup>17</sup> 18 <b>1036</b>	Tamblyn, R., Zack, T., Schmitt, A., Hand, M., Kelsey, D., Morrissey, L., Pabst, S., & Savov, I.
19 20 <b>1037</b>	(2019). Blueschist from the Mariana forearc records long-lived residence of material in the
<sup>22</sup> 1038 23	subduction channel. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 519, 171-181.
<sup>24</sup> <sub>25</sub> <b>1039</b>	Tsujimori, T., & Ernst, W. (2014). Lawsonite blueschists and lawsonite eclogites as proxies for
<sup>26</sup> 27 <b>1040</b>	palaeo- subduction zone processes: a review. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 32(5), 437-
28 29 <b>1041</b>	454.
31 <b>1042</b> 32	Tsujimori, T., Sisson, V. B., Liou, J. G., Harlow, G. E., & Sorensen, S. S. (2006). Very-low-
<sup>33</sup> 1043 <sub>34</sub>	temperature record of the subduction process: A review of worldwide lawsonite eclogites.
<sup>35</sup> 36 <b>1044</b>	Lithos, 92(3), 609-624.
37 38 <b>1045</b>	Vermeesch, P. (2018). IsoplotR: a free and open toolbox for geochronology. Geoscience Frontiers,
40 <b>1046</b>	9(5), 1479-1493.
<sup>42</sup> 1047 43	Viete, D. R., Hacker, B. R., Allen, M. B., Seward, G. G., Tobin, M. J., Kelley, C. S., Cinque, G., &
<sup>44</sup> <sub>45</sub> <b>1048</b>	Duckworth, A. R. (2018). Metamorphic records of multiple seismic cycles during subduction.
46 47 <b>1049</b>	Science advances, 4(3), eaaq0234.
48 49 <b>1050</b> 50	Vuorinen, J. H., & Hålenius, U. (2005). Nb-, Zr-and LREE-rich titanite from the Alnö alkaline
51 <b>1051</b> 52	complex: crystal chemistry and its importance as a petrogenetic indicator. Lithos, 83(1-2),
<sup>53</sup> 1052	128-142.
<sup>55</sup> 56 <b>1053</b>	Warren, C., Sherlock, S., & Kelley, S. (2011). Interpreting high-pressure phengite 40Ar/39Ar
57 58 <b>1054</b>	laserprobe ages: an example from Saih Hatat, NE Oman. Contributions to Mineralogy and
60 <b>1055</b>	Petrology, 161(6), 991-1009.
62 63	
64 65	

1056	Wei, C., & Clarke, G. (2011). Calculated phase equilibria for MORB compositions: a reappraisal of
1 2 <b>1057</b>	the metamorphic evolution of lawsonite eclogite. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 29(9),
3 4 5 <b>1058</b>	939-952.
6 <sub>7</sub> <b>1059</b>	White, R., Powell, R., & Holland, T. (2007). Progress relating to calculation of partial melting
8 9 <b>1060</b>	equilibria for metapelites. Journal of metamorphic Geology, 25(5), 511-527.
10 11 <b>1061</b>	Woodhead, J. D., & Hergt, J. M. (2001). Strontium, neodymium and lead isotope analyses of NIST
<sup>13</sup> 1062	glass certified reference materials: SRM 610, 612, 614. Geostandards Newsletter, 25(2-3),
<sup>15</sup> <sub>16</sub> 1063	261-266.
<sup>17</sup> 18 <b>1064</b>	Zack, T., & Hogmalm, K. J. (2016). Laser ablation Rb/Sr dating by online chemical separation of Rb
19 20 <b>1065</b>	and Sr in an oxygen-filled reaction cell. Chemical Geology, 437, 120-133.
22 <b>1066</b> 23	
<sup>24</sup> <sub>25</sub> <b>1067</b>	
<sup>26</sup> 27 <b>1068</b>	
28 29 <b>1069</b>	Declarations
31 <b>1070</b> 32	
<sup>33</sup> <sub>34</sub> 1071	Funding
<sup>35</sup> 36 <b>1072</b>	This research in part was supported by an Australian Research Council grant (DP160104637). The
37 38 <b>1073</b>	contribution of Jack Gillespie was supported by an Australian Research Council Discovery Project
40 <b>1074</b>	(DP190103849). Part of this research was undertaken using SEM instrumentation (ARC
<sup>42</sup> <sub>43</sub> <b>1075</b>	LE190100176, LE140100150) at the John de Laeter Center, Curtin University. Renée Tamblyn
<sup>44</sup> <sub>45</sub> <b>1076</b>	acknowledges support from the University of Adelaide in the form of the Aldermann Kleeman
46 47 <b>1077</b>	travel scholarship and an Australian Postgraduate Award.
48 49 <b>1078</b> 50	Conflicts of interest/competing interests
51 <b>1079</b> 52	The authors declare no conflicts of interest or competing interests
<sup>53</sup> 541080	Availability of data and material
<sup>55</sup> 56 <b>1081</b>	All data presented in this manuscript can be found in the supplementary materials
57 58 <b>1082</b> 59	Code availability
60 <b>1083</b>	Not applicable
62 63	

*Figure captions* 

Figure 1: a) Simplified map of eastern Australia (east of the Tasman line) showing the main eastwardyounging orogens. The SNEO is indicated in the black box. b) Geological map of the SNEO, showing
other high-pressure rock localities and indicating the location of Port Macquarie in the black box.
PMFS: Peel Manning Fault System. c) Geological map of Rocky Beach at Port Macquarie, showing
the high-pressure mélange encased in serpentinite, modified from Och et al. (2003).

Figure 2: Mineral textural relationships in the Port Macquarie eclogite. a) Eclogite in outcrop,
showing coarse-grained garnet, phengite and lawsonite with patches of omphacite, which is partially
retrogressed by glaucophane. b) Photomicrograph showing the porphyroblastic nature of garnet,
lawsonite and omphacite, in a finer-grained phengite and titanite matrix. c) Stage 2 retrograde features
of the eclogite, showing chlorite replacement of garnet and glaucophane replacement of omphacite. d)
Garnet 2 enclosing a pre-existing garnet 1 porphyroblast. Boundary between the stage 1 garnet and
stage 2 garnet is shown by a white dashed line. The boundary between the two garnet domains
contains entrapped chlorite and omphacite inclusions... e) Radial fractures surrounding a quartz
inclusion in the outermost part garnet 1. f) Large lawsonite porphyroblast, with an inclusion-rich
euhedral crystal (lawsonite 1) which is overgrown by a rim (lawsonite 2). The new lawsonite growth
contains inclusions of garnet 2 that developed on the margin of the older lawsonite, as well as stage 2
glaucophane and titanite. Abbreviations: O: Omphacite; Law: Lawsonite; Phe: Phengite; Ttn: Titanite;
G: Garnet; Chl: Chlorite; Gl: Glaucophane; Stlp: Stilpnomelane; Q: Quartz.

Figure 3: Schematic interpretation of the petrologic evolution of the lawsonite eclogite. a) Prograde–
peak stage 1 burial: garnet cores nucleate and as garnet 1 grows it entraps prograde mineralogy and
inclusion trails. Lawsonite porphyroblasts grow and entrap prograde minerals. b) Retrograde 1: garnet
is partially consumed by chlorite, and glaucophane forms at the expense of omphacite. c) Prograde–
peak 2: garnet forms new rims (garnet 2). In rare instances stage 2 garnet overgrows stage 1

1111 lawsonite. Phengite recrystallises in the matrix with coarse-grained titanite during deformation. d)
Stage 2 retrograde: garnet is partially replaced by chlorite and omphacite is partially replaced by
glaucophane. There is minor growth of lawsonite.

Figure 4: Representative EPMA traverse of garnet, showing the proportions of end-members and X<sub>Fe</sub>.
a) Traverse across entire garnet porphyroblast, showing a prograde bell-shaped zoning profile. b)
Traverse across stage 1 garnet to stage 2 garnet, showing a step decrease in X<sub>Fe</sub> and increase in spessartine content.

Figure 5: EPMA element maps of garnet, the white dashed line shows the interpreted boundary
between garnet 1 and garnet 2. a) Garnet porphyroblasts with prograde zoning in Mn, along with
several oscillatory rings in the stage 2 rim. Zoning in Fe and Mg show garnet 2 as a marked outer ring
with elevated X<sub>Mg</sub>. Subset maps are marked in grey boxes. b) Compositional oscillations in the garnet
2 which define smaller hexagonal garnet crystals that nucleated on the older garnet 1 rim. c) Complex
Mn zoning patterns and a marked X<sub>Mg</sub> increase in the outer garnet rim. Embayments and subsequent
overgrowths are highlighted in the Mn oscillations, with correlate with Ca oscillations. Late chlorite
replaces garnet. The boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2 contains Mn-rich chlorite inclusions.

Figure 6: EPMA maps across transition from garnet 1 to garnet 2 and into matrix phengite.

White/black dashed line indicates the boundaries of garnet 1 and garnet 2. Solid white/black line

1 indicates the boundaries of phengite included in garnet.

Figure 7: Mineral compositions of omphacite, phengite, chlorite and titanite measured by EPMA. a)
Omphacite analyses from inclusions in garnet and omphacite porphyroblasts in the matrix. b)
Phengite analyses showing increasing Si, Fe and Mg component from core to rim, and decreasing Na
content. c) Chlorite analyses from stage 1 chlorite, from the boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2,
and stage 2 chlorite, from the matrix. d) Titanite analyses showing elevated Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, FeO and F

8 contents with a positive correlation, suggesting increasing pressure during crystallization (e.g. Castelli
9 & Rubatto, 2002).

Figure 8: a) Phase equilibrium model calculated with THERMOCALC, modified from Tamblyn et al. (2019a), calculated with garnet 1 and lawsonite 2 included in the bulk rock chemistry. Dashed thin grey lines indicate the position of solvi. Colour changes indicate changes in variance across fields. b) Model (a) showing the prograde assemblage and estimated garnet mode at stage 1 peak. Thick grey arrow indicates the interpreted P-T path of stage 1 prograde-peak. Abbreviations: Act: Actinolite, Bi: Biotite, Chl: Chlorite, Coe: Coesite, Ep: Epidote, G: Garnet, Gl: Glaucophane, Hb: Hornblende, Jd: Jadeite, Law: Lawsonite, O: Omphacite, Pa: Paragonite, Phe: Phengite, Pl: Plagioclase, Q: Quartz, Ta: Talc.

Figure 9: a) Phase equilibrium model calculated with THERMOCALC for the local rock composition with garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 removed, and water in excess. Dashed thin grey lines indicate the position of solvi. Colour changes indicate changes in variance across fields. Note the scale change from Figure 8. b) Model (a) showing the interpreted peak conditions of stage 1 peak from Figure 8b, and the interpreted stage 1 retrograde path in the thick grey arrow. Note these retrograde P-Tconditions are considered the maximum possible pressures and temperatures obtained during retrogression. c) Model (a) showing the interpreted stage 2 prograde and peak assemblages, and mineral compositional isopleths. Thick grey arrow indicates the interpreted P-T path during stage 2 prograde to peak. Abbreviations are listed in Figure 8.

Figure 10: a) Phase equilibrium model calculated with THERMOCALC for the local rock composition with garnet 1 and lawsonite 1 removed, and set water content. Dashed thin grey lines indicate the position of solvi. Colour changes indicate changes in variance across fields. Note the scale change from Figure 8. b) Model (a) showing a possible alternative path for stage 2 prograde, based on compositional isopleths from garnet 1, omphacite and phengite cores. Peak conditions remain the same. c) Model (a) showing the final stage 2 retrograde conditions reached, based onmodal proportion isopleths. Abbreviations are listed in Figure 8.

Figure 11: Results of LA–ICP–MS U–Pb titanite geochronology and representative BSE images of analysed titanite grains. a) Terra-Wasserburg plot . b) Coarse-grained titanite in the rim of a stage 2 lawsonite crystal (outlined in white dotted line) displaying a well-formed mesh-like intergrowth of exsolved zircon. c) Coarse titanite trails outlined in purple in the rims of stage 2 garnet, which is distinguished from the stage 1 garnet core by the black dotted line. d) Titanite grains rim a prograde zoned phengite, which has darker cores and brighter rims.

Figure 12: Step-heating Ar–Ar results from two phengite grains in the lawsonite eclogite.

Figure 13: In-situ LA–ICP–MS Rb–Sr geochronological results. a) Isochron constructed from analyses of phengite rims. b) Isochron constructed from analyses of phengite cores. c) Isochron using both phengite cores and rims. The isochrons produce similar ages within the uncertainties. d) Isotopic analyses of texturally intergrown titanite, which records the initial <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr isotopic ratio. e) Isochron constructed from phengite rims and anchored with titanite analyses.

Figure 14: LA–ICP–MS Rb and Sr maps of phengite from the lawsonite eclogite. Maps are semiquantitative. Phengites show Sr enrichments of all isotopes in their cores, and sometimes a slight Rb enrichment in their rims. These enrichments follow along cleavage planes of the phengite. The boundaries between these rims and cores are extremely sharp and well-defined. The Sr-rich mineral is titanite. The outline of phengite grains is shown in white. Rb-rich material without obvious grain boundaries traced is fine-grained phengite.

Figure 15: Summary of the P-T-t path of the lawsonite eclogite. Lu–Hf geochronology from lawsonite and garnet dates an earlier event during burial 1. Ar–Ar and Rb–Sr data from phengite, and

1192	U-Pb data from titanite, date a younger recrystallization event associated with reburial of the
1 2 <b>1193</b>	lawsonite eclogite within the subduction channel. This results in two hairpin $P-T$ loops. While the
3 4 5 1194	exact timing of exhumation and $P-T$ conditions reached between these two burial events is unknown,
$^{6}_{7}$ 1195	it must have occurred between approximately 485-465 Ma.
8 9 <b>1196</b>	
10 11 <b>1197</b>	
12 <sup>13</sup> 1198	
14 15 <b>1199</b>	
16 17 <b>1200</b>	
<sup>19</sup> 201	
<sup>21</sup> 22 <b>1202</b>	
23 24 <b>1203</b> 25	
26 <b>1204</b> 27	
<sup>28</sup> <b>1205</b> 29	
<sup>30</sup> <sub>31</sub> <b>1206</b>	
33 <b>1207</b>	
35 <b>1208</b> 36	
<sup>37</sup> <b>1209</b> 38	
<sup>39</sup> 40 40	
41 42 <b>1211</b>	
44 <b>1212</b> 45	
46 <b>1213</b> 47	
<sup>48</sup> 49 <b>1214</b>	
<sup>50</sup> 51 <b>1215</b>	
52 53	
55 56	
57 58	
59 60	
61 62	
63 64	
65	

















500 µm





































Increasing relative concentration

Supplementary File 1 and supplementary figure captions:

# **Phase mapping methods**

To identify inclusions assemblages in garnet, phase maps were constructed in the Tescan Integrated Mineral Analyser (TIMA) software using data collected on a Tescan Mira3 TIMA with four PulsTor SDD X-ray detectors at the John de Laeter Center, Curtin University. Analysis was conducted in dot-mapping mode with 1  $\mu$ m BSE pixel spacing. EDS analyses of 1000 counts were acquired at a regular spacing of 5  $\mu$ m, and additionally at every change in BSE contrast. TIMA software was used to compare BSE intensity and EDS peaks to a custom mineral reference library and automatically classify individual mineral grains. Analytical conditions were an accelerating voltage of 25 kV, beam intensity of 19, probe current of 5.48 nA, spot size of 80 nm, and a working distance of 15 mm.

EBSD map data were collected using a Tescan Mira3 VP-FESEM with Oxford Instruments X-Max 150 SDD X-ray detector, Symmetry EBSD detector and Aztec Synergy software at the John de Laeter Center, Curtin University. Analytical conditions were an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, beam intensity of 18, and working distance of 25 mm. Stage tilt was 70° and step size was 1.22 µm. The EBSD camera was set to binning mode "Speed 1", camera exposure time was 10 ms, and the camera gain was 2. Auto background correction was used with a Hough Resolution of 40, band detection mode was "Centers", number of band detected was 11, and indexing mode was "Optimized - TDK". Band contrast and EDS maps were exported from Aztec and EDS maps were colour scaled in ImageJ.

## Extended phase equilibrium modelling methods

The phase equilibria model was calculated using THERMOCALC (TC340i, Powell and Holland, 1988; Holland and Powell, 2011) using the internally-consistent thermodynamic dataset 'ds5' (filename tc-ds55.txt; November 2003 updated version of the Holland & Powell, 1998 data set) and activity–composition (*a*–*x*) models (Supp. Table 2; Holland and Powell, 1988; Holland and

Powell, 2003; White et al., 2007; Green et al., 2007; Holland and Powell, 2011; Diener et al., 2012) in the chemical system NCKFMASHO. The latest thermodynamic dataset ds62 (Green et al., 2016) was not used, to allow direct comparison with the phase equilibria models of Tamblyn et al. (2019a). The Mn-rich interiors of prograde-zoned garnet were not included in the calculated local rock composition for the stage 2 mineral assemblage, so Mn was not considered in the modelled system. Ti was also not considered, due to limitations in modelling the stability of titanite at high pressure. Titanite has been reported to be the stable Ti-bearing phase rather than rutile in systems due to the low activity of CO<sub>2</sub>, or minor amounts of Al and Fe<sup>3+</sup> in its crystal lattice (Enami et al., 1993; Castelli & Rubatto; 2002: Brovarone et al., 2011). As we did not investigate rutile/titanite stability as a function of X<sub>CO2</sub>, and the current *a*–*x* model does not allow Al or Fe<sup>3+</sup> substitution in titanite, we did not include it in the calculation of bulk compositions or the phase equilibria models. Stilpnomelane was also not predicted in the model as currently there is no *a*–*x* model available for it.

Water content was handled in two ways. A model for the local rock composition was calculated with water in excess, and a model for the local rock composition was calculated with a set water content, derived from the abundance of hydrous minerals (Table 2; Supp. Table 1). This was done to approximate the two 'end-members' of hydration the eclogite may have experienced, with the water content calculated from the abundance of hydrous minerals representing the minimum amount of water available in the rock system. We acknowledge this assumes essentially closed-system behaviour, i.e. does not model water flux into the rock from an external source. As we have no indication that this process occurred, we did not model such behaviour.

Oxidation state (Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) for the sample was constrained from the measured microprobe chemical analyses from each mineral used to calculate the metamorphic local rock composition, by using mineral stoichiometry in the calculation of cations from raw weight % oxides (Table 2; Supp. Table 1; Droop, 1987).

#### Extended Rb-Sr LA-QQQ-MS methods

Phengite was analysed in-situ for Rb and Sr isotopes and major and trace elements using an ESI 213 NWR (TwoVol2) laser ablation system with an attached Agilent 8800QQQ ICP-MS/MS in the Microgeochemistry Laboratory at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden, following the methods of Zack & Hogmalm (2016) and Hogmalm et al. (2017). Laser parameters were a fluence of  $\sim 6$  Jcm<sup>-2</sup> and a frequency of 10 Hz. Phengite was analysed with a spot size of 40 µm, both perpendicular and parallel to cleavage. Titanite was also analysed to obtain initial <sup>87</sup>Sr/<sup>86</sup>Sr ratios, with a spot size of 50 μm. The ICP-MS/MS allows separating <sup>87</sup>Sr+ from <sup>87</sup>Rb+ where Sr+ reacts with a reaction gas (here N<sub>2</sub>O) to form SrO+ while Rb+ does not react. Complete separation is achieved by first allowing only one specific M/Z through the first quadrupole (O1) into the reaction cell and then collect the reacted ion through the second quadrupole (Q2). In detail, to measure  ${}^{86}$ Sr, Q1 is set to M/Z 86 and Q2 to M/Z 102, while for <sup>87</sup>Sr, Q1 is 87 and Q2 is 103. Since not all Sr reacts with N<sub>2</sub>O (only about 80%; Hogmalm et al., 2017), <sup>87</sup>Rb cannot be analysed interference-free. Instead, <sup>85</sup>Rb can be used as a proxy for <sup>87</sup>Rb, as <sup>87</sup>Rb/<sup>85</sup>Rb can be assumed to be constant on Earth within the precisions required for this approach. As Rb+ does not react with N2O, Q1 is set to M/Z 85 and Q2 is set to M/Z 85 as well. A range of other isotopes were collected during each analysis together with <sup>85</sup>Rb, <sup>86</sup>Sr and <sup>87</sup>Sr in order to detect and exclude contamination by other minerals, such as: <sup>23</sup>Na, <sup>24</sup>Mg, <sup>27</sup>Al, <sup>28</sup>Si, <sup>39</sup>K, <sup>43</sup>Ca,  $^{48}$ Ti,  $^{55}$ Mn,  $^{56}$ Fe,  $^{89}$ Y,  $^{133}$ Cs,  $^{137}$ Ba, and  $^{140}$ Ce. Laser parameters chosen were a fluence of ~ 6 Jcm<sup>-2</sup> and a frequency of 10 Hz.

### **Supplementary Figure captions:**

Supplementary Figure 1: Phase map and corresponding images showing an example of inclusion assemblages from garnet porphyroblast in Figure 2d. White dashed line indicates the boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2. a) Band contrast image, showing that there are no cracks or fractures leading to the minerals included on the boundary of garnet 1 and garnet 2. b) Phase map, showing chlorite 1 trapped at the boundary of garnet 1 and garnet 2, and chlorite 2 cross-cutting the garnet porphyroblast. Phengite, omphacite, titanite, quartz and pyrite are also trapped at the boundary of garnet 1 and garnet 2. c) Mn EDS map of the garnet porphyroblast, showing the boundary between

garnet 1 and garnet 2 but also deflections in the Mn zoning around inclusions, indicating they were trapped during garnet growth. d) Mg EDS map, confirming the high-Mg chlorite grains, and also showing the boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2. e) Schematic diagram of the inclusion assemblages in garnet, traced from phase maps and EPMA compositional maps (Supp. Fig. 1; 2). Chlorite 1 is from both stage 1 prograde and stage 1 retrograde. See figure 2 for abbreviations.

Supplementary Figure 2: Additional EPMA element maps of garnets from lawsonite eclogite. a) Maps of a different garnet that was used to trace the inclusion assemblages in Figure 4 of the main text. b) Maps of two garnets showing prograde zoning in stage 1 garnet. Stage 2 garnet is evident as rims with elevated  $X_{Mg}$ . Multiple embayments can be seen in Mn-rich oscillatory zoning.

Supplementary Figure 3: Additional EPMA maps of phengite from the lawsonite eclogite, showing prograde zoning, defined by increasing Si, Fe and Mg.

Supplementary Figure 4: BSE images of omphacite, showing zoning related to Fe content. a) Coarse omphacite crystal in the matrix. b) Omphacite crystals captured in garnet 2, showing similar zoning to omphacite in the matrix.

Supplementary Figure 5: EPMA maps of chlorite in textural positions throughout the lawsonite eclogite, showing that chlorite composition appears related to the minerals it is forming in association with. Continuous white/black line indicates the boundary of a chlorite crystals. Dotted white/black line indicates the boundary between garnet 1 and garnet 2. a) Zoned chlorite, forming in stage 2 retrograde fractures which cross-cut both garnet 1 and garnet 2. b) Chlorite 1, trapped as inclusions between garnet 1 and garnet 2, and chlorite 2, which is consuming garnet 2. The two different stages of chlorite have different compositions. c) Chlorite 2 forming from the breakdown of omphacite in the matrix. The chlorite zoning is patchy, showing strong differences in composition throughout the maps.

Supplementary Figure 6: EPMA maps of texturally late glaucophane from the lawsonite eclogite, showing retrograde zoning that developed during exhumation following stage 2 burial.

### References:

- Brovarone, A. V., Groppo, C., Hetényi, G., Compagnoni, R., & Malavieille, J. (2011). Coexistence of lawsonite- bearing eclogite and blueschist: phase equilibria modelling of Alpine Corsica metabasalts and petrological evolution of subducting slabs. *Journal of metamorphic Geology*, 29(5), 583-600.
- Diener, J., & Powell, R. (2012). Revised activity–composition models for clinopyroxene and amphibole. *Journal of metamorphic Geology*, *30*(2), 131-142.
- Droop, G. (1987). A general equation for estimating Fe3+ concentrations in ferromagnesian silicates and oxides from microprobe analyses, using stoichiometric criteria. *Mineralogical Magazine*, *51*(361), 431-435.
- Enami, M., Suzuki, K., Liou, J., & Bird, D. K. (1993). Al-Fe3+ and F-OH substitutions in titanite and constraints on their PT dependence. *European Journal of Mineralogy*, *5*(2), 219-231.
- Green, E., Holland, T., & Powell, R. (2007). An order-disorder model for omphacitic pyroxenes in the system jadeite-diopsidehedenbergite-acmite, with applications to eclogitic rocks. *American Mineralogist*, 92(7), 1181-1189.
- Green, E., White, R., Diener, J., Powell, R., Holland, T., & Palin, R. (2016). Activity–composition relations for the calculation of partial melting equilibria in metabasic rocks. *Journal of metamorphic Geology*, 34(9), 845-869.
- Hogmalm, K. J., Zack, T., Karlsson, A. K.-O., Sjöqvist, A. S., & Garbe-Schönberg, D. (2017). In situ Rb–Sr and K–Ca dating by LA-ICP-MS/MS: an evaluation of N 2 O and SF 6 as reaction gases. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 32(2), 305-313.

- Holland, T., & Powell, R. (1998). An internally consistent thermodynamic data set for phases of petrological interest. *Journal of metamorphic Geology*, 16(3), 309-343.
- Holland, T., & Powell, R. (2003). Activity–composition relations for phases in petrological calculations: an asymmetric multicomponent formulation. *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 145(4), 492-501.
- Holland, T., & Powell, R. (2011). An improved and extended internally consistent thermodynamic dataset for phases of petrological interest, involving a new equation of state for solids.
   *Journal of metamorphic Geology*, 29(3), 333-383.
- Zack, T., & Hogmalm, K. J. (2016). Laser ablation Rb/Sr dating by online chemical separation of Rb and Sr in an oxygen-filled reaction cell. *Chemical Geology*, *437*, 120-133.