

09PH
P3168



Transcriptional control of the mitotic regulator *string*, in *Drosophila*

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

Briony Patterson, B.Sc. (Hons)

Departments of Biochemistry and Genetics
University of Adelaide
Adelaide, S.A., 5005
Australia

December 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

STATEMENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION.....	1
1-1 PROLIFERATION IN THE <i>Drosophila</i> EMBRYO	1
1-1.1 Syncytial divisions	1
1-1.2 Cellular blastoderm, pole cells and yolk nuclei.....	1
1-1.3 Cycle 14 The first post-blastoderm division.....	2
1-1.4 Mitotic domains	2
1-1.5 Cycles 15 and 16.....	3
1-1.6 Proliferation in the neural tissues.....	3
1-1.7 Endoreplication.....	3
1-1.8 Mitotic domains delineate larval organ primordia.....	4
1-2 <i>string</i> IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MITOTIC DOMAIN PATTERN	4
1-2.1 The <i>string</i> phenotype.....	4
1-2.2 Cloning the <i>string</i> gene	5
1-2.3 <i>string</i> is a homologue of the mitotic inducer Cdc25	5
1-2.4 <i>string</i> expression precedes mitosis throughout embryogenesis.....	6
1-2.5 Ectopic <i>stg</i> expression can induce mitosis	7
1-2.6 Summary	8
1-3 CELL CYCLE CONTROL THE TRANSITION FROM G2 TO MITOSIS.....	8
1-3.1 Introduction	8
1-3.2 Cyclins and cyclin dependent kinases.....	8
1-3.3 Negative regulators of MPF.....	9
1-3.4 Removal of inhibitory phosphorylation induces mitosis.....	9
1-3.5 Cell cycle regulation of Cdc25	10
1-3.6 <i>string</i> is transcriptionally regulated in <i>Drosophila</i>	11
1-4 THE REGULATION OF ZYGOTIC <i>string</i> TRANSCRIPTION	11
1-4.1 Patterning in the <i>Drosophila</i> embryo.....	11
1-4.2 The patterning genes provide the spatial information for <i>string</i> transcription	14
1-4.3 <i>string</i> transcription is complex.....	15
1-4.4 Does <i>string</i> integrate the patterning information?.....	15
1-4.5 Developmental control of the cell cycle	16
1-5 THE SIGNIFICANCE OF MITOTIC DOMAINS.....	16
1-5.1 The roles of mitosis in morphogenesis.....	16
1-5.2 The roles of mitosis in differentiation.....	17
1-5.3 The requirement for mitotic domains.....	18

1-6 THIS STUDY	19
CHAPTER 2	
MATERIALS AND METHODS	21
2-1 MATERIALS	21
2-1.1 Chemical reagents	21
2-1.2 Enzymes	21
2-1.3 Radio-labelled compounds	21
2-1.4 <i>E. coli</i> strains	21
2-1.5 <i>Drosophila</i> strains	21
2-1.6 Media and buffers	22
2-1.7 Libraries	22
2-1.8 Plasmids	22
2-1.9 Oligonucleotides	23
2-1.10 Molecular weight markers	23
2-2 METHODS	23
2-2.1 Restriction analysis of DNA	24
2-2.2 DNA fragment purification	24
2-2.3 Creation of recombinant plasmids	24
2-2.4 Transformation of recombinant molecules	24
2-2.5 Colony cracking for analysis of recombinant clones	24
2-2.6 Isolation of plasmid DNA	25
2-2.7 Plating the cosmid library	25
2-2.8 Radiolabelling of DNA fragments	25
2-2.9 Hybridisation of radiolabelled probes to membrane immobilised nucleic acids	25
2-2.10 Autoradiography	26
2-2.11 Isolation of cosmid DNA	26
2-2.12 Nucleotide sequence analysis	26
2-2.13 <i>In vitro</i> mutagenesis of plasmid clones	26
2-2.14 Maintenance of <i>Drosophila</i> stocks	27
2-2.15 P element mediated transformation of <i>Drosophila</i>	27
2-2.16 Harvesting and 'fixing' <i>Drosophila</i> embryos	27
2-2.17 Whole mount immuno-staining of <i>Drosophila</i> embryos	28
2-2.18 Whole mount <i>in situ</i> RNA hybridisations	28
2-2.19 Light microscopy and photography	29
2-2.20 Expression of bacterial fusion proteins	29
2-2.21 Harvesting soluble fusion protein	30
2-2.22 Protein gel electrophoresis	30
2-2.23 Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA)	30
2-2.24 Regulatory considerations	31
2-3 ABBREVIATIONS	31

CHAPTER 3

INITIAL PROMOTER ANALYSIS OF STRING	33
3-1 BACKGROUND	33
3-2 CHARACTERISATION OF THE DOMAIN 10 ENHANCER REGION	33
3-2.1 Background	33
3-2.2 Construction of a new transformation vector	34
3-2.3 Testing for mesodermal expression in the new transformation vector	34
3-2.4 Comparison of <i>stg</i> and <i>zen</i> promoter sequences	35
3-3 CHARACTERISATION OF THE <i>string</i> GENOMIC REGION	36
3-3.1 Background	36
3-3.2 Mapping of upstream genomic clones	36
3-3.3 Mapping of downstream genomic clones	36
3-4 EXTENDED PROMOTER ANALYSIS	37
3-4.1 Background	37
3-4.2 An enhancer search	37
3-5 DISCUSSION	37

CHAPTER 4

FURTHER PROMOTER ANALYSIS	39
4-1 BACKGROUND	39
4-2 INCLUSION OF PROXIMAL SEQUENCES IN CONSTRUCTS	39
4-2.1 Introduction	39
4-2.2 Construct generation	39
4-2.3 Analysis of expression patterns	41
4-2.4 The role of proximal sequences in <i>string</i> transcription	44
4-2.5 Further constructs using the 500bp proximal fragment	45
4-3 ANALYSIS OF THE 500bp PROXIMAL FRAGMENT	46
4-3.1 Background	46
4-3.2 Construct generation	46
4-3.3 Analysis of deletion constructs	47
4-4 DISCUSSION	47
4-4.1 The proximal 500bp	47
4-4.2 Summary of enhancer regions	48
4-4.3 The search for mitotic domain enhancer regions	49

CHAPTER 5

REGULATION OF DOMAIN 2 <i>string</i> EXPRESSION	51
5-1 DOMAIN 2	51
5-1.1 Background	51
5-1.2 Analysis of domain 2 <i>string</i> expression in mutant backgrounds	51
5-1.3 Other possible regulators of domain 2 <i>string</i> expression	53
5-2 SEQUENCE ANALYSIS OF THE 1.2KB <i>string</i> FRAGMENT	53

5-2.1 lacZ expression in domain 2.....	53
5-2.2 Sequence generation.....	54
5-2.3 Sequence analysis.....	54
5-2.4 Further sequencing and analysis.....	55
5-3 CONSTRUCTS TO DEFINE THE DOMAIN 2 ENHANCERS.....	56
5-3.1 Background.....	56
5-3.2 Construct generation.....	56
5-3.3 Analysis of constructs.....	57
5-3.4 Mutation of the putative Btd binding sites.....	58
5-3.5 Mutant analysis of the construct <i>pstg-βXB1.4</i>	59
5-4 <i>In vitro</i> BINDING STUDIES.....	60
5-4.1 Background.....	60
5-4.2 Expression of fusion proteins.....	60
5-4.3 Band shifts using the 1.4kb fragment.....	61
5-4.4 Oligo shifts.....	61
5-5 DISCUSSION.....	62
5-5.1 Genes involved in regulating <i>string</i> expression in domain 2.....	62
5-5.2 Direct regulators of domain 2 <i>string</i> expression.....	63
CHAPTER 6	
DISCUSSION.....	65
6-1 INTRODUCTION.....	65
6-2 REGULATION OF <i>string</i> EXPRESSION IN DOMAIN 2.....	65
6-2.1 <i>string</i> directly integrates early patterning gene information.....	65
6-2.2 Further experiments.....	65
6-3 TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATION OF <i>string</i> IS COMPLEX.....	66
6-3.1 Independent position specific elements direct <i>string</i> transcription.....	66
6-3.2 Promoter dissection disrupts some expression domains.....	66
6-3.3 Promoter specificity in <i>string</i> transcription.....	66
6-3.4 Identifying further <i>string</i> enhancer regions.....	67
6-3.5 Verification of <i>string</i> promoter specificity.....	67
6-4 THE ROLE OF CELL DIVISION IN DEVELOPMENT.....	68
REFERENCES.....	69

ABSTRACT

Before morphogenesis can begin, positional information must be in place to direct the complex array of cellular processes. In a *Drosophila* embryo the transcription factors encoded by the patterning genes are expected to provide this information. However, the genes regulated in this manner, to bring about morphogenesis, have so far been elusive. One potential candidate is *string*, a homologue of the mitotic initiator *cdc25* from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Early in embryogenesis a complex spatio-temporal pattern of *string* transcription partitions the embryo into mitotic domains which delineate larval organ primordia. The complexity and timing of *string* transcription, at such an early stage, suggests that this morphogenetic event is regulated by the patterning genes.

If *string* is integrating patterning gene information it is anticipated that the promoter may be comprised of many position specific elements (PSE's), each defining individual mitotic domains. To test this, promoter fragments from the *string* gene were used in *lacZ* reporter constructs to look for these PSE's. Initial constructs failed to identify any such elements. However, the addition of a proximal *stg* promoter fragment, to these constructs, allowed the identification of some *stg* regulatory regions that activated transcription in specific domain-like patterns. In particular, PSE's for cycle 14 domains 1, 2, and 21, were identified as well as distinct PSE's for different subsets of domains N and M.

The PSE's that activated transcription in domains 1 and 2 were defined to a region of 1.4kb by further construct generation and a detailed analysis of the regulation of mitotic domain 2 was undertaken. The early patterning genes *buttonhead (btd)* and *snail (sna)* were found to be required for the transcriptional regulation of domain 2, and their products were shown bind specifically to the defined 1.4kb region.

This work has demonstrated that *string* is a downstream target of the patterning genes, making a direct connection between patterning information and morphogenesis, which suggests that mitotic timing forms an independent and important part of morphogenesis.