

**MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURE OF CHROMOSOME 6R
OF TRITICALE T701-4-6**



by

**Sadia Kabir, M. Sc. (Genetics) (The University of Karachi)
Department of Plant Science
Waite Agriculture Research Institute
The University of Adelaide
South Australia**

**Thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

December, 1997

Summary

Cereal cyst nematode (CCN), *Heterodera avenae* Woll., is a most devastating pathogen of cereals causing serious crop losses. Chemical control of the disease is costly both to the environment and to the farmer. Resistant cultivars are considered the most economical component of a nematode management system. Wheat is susceptible to CCN attack whereas the hybrid triticale T701-4-6 possesses a useful resistance gene on the long arm of rye chromosome 6R. Earlier attempts using a whole chromosome 6R^{T701} as a starting material have failed to introgress the CCN resistance gene into wheat. Although pairing was induced in the absence of the *Ph1* locus, the structural differences between the rye chromosomes and its wheat homoeologues might have prevented the recombination. Thus it ~~was~~^{has} become necessary to understand the complexities in the structure of rye 6R which caused major differences between rye and wheat chromosomes.

The recent advent in recombinant DNA technology provides an array of useful techniques to understand the complex genomes structure and evolutionary chromosomal rearrangements in related species. Employing conventional hybridisation methods and molecular tools, this thesis reports the evidence for the diverse structure of 6R relative to wheat homoeologous chromosomes thus minimising its exploitation in a wheat breeding program.

Using RFLP and PCR techniques, DNA based markers were developed and mapped to rye 6R. A PCR based marker C1 derived from R173 (a dispersed repeat from the rye genome) was useful in determining the sub microscopic deletion in the structure of Imperial rye 6R, proved the efficiency of PCR technique as a fast screening assay for breeders seeds stock. Five new RFLP markers CDO534, CDO1158, PSR113, Tam-6 and Tam-24 were assigned to the short arm of rye 6R. Since only few markers have been mapped to 6RS, the new rye markers mapped in this study will be useful in mapping genes on the short arm of rye 6R. However the map position of new rye markers is not known as a suitable mapping population was not available. The order of the marker loci was inferred by comparing their locations on wheat and other cereals maps.

The comparative genome analysis using RFLP markers provided an opportunity to understand the level of homoeology between homoeologous group 6 wheat and rye chromosomes. Analysis revealed that the short arm of rye 6R contains a putative inversion in proximal region and a deletion of the distal region might have been translocated to 4RL via a 2L interchange during speciation. The presence of PSR148 (groups 2 and 7) on the intact 6RL confirmed a non-group 6 translocation at distal regions of 6RL. However, the assignment of a large number of group 6 probes on 6RL using deletion lines, indicated that a fragment of 6RL present in these deletion lines (in variable length) may maintain a complete synteny with wheat homoeologues and assumed to be useful in introgressing CCN resistance gene into wheat.

An important aspect of this study was the use of five variable 6RL length mutant lines to introgress the 6RL segment into wheat via homoeologous recombination. The Sear's *ph1b* mutant (1977) was used in test crosses to induce recombination between 6RL and wheat homoeologous chromosomes in genetic stocks having monosomes of translocated 6RL and 6D in a homozygous *ph1b* background. The successful screening of Sears *ph1b* mutant plants and test-cross progenies with the RFLP probe PSR128 (mapped in the deletion of region containing the *Ph1* locus) confirmed the homozygous status of *ph1b* in the plants. Isozymes markers, α -amylase and GOT were used to establish dosage of 6R and 6D and select plants monosomic for 6R and 6D. The isozyme markers in conjunction with RFLP markers, provided an opportunity to identify the recombination pattern along the homoeologous chromosomes.

A total of five hundred TC-F2 progeny from the five different 6RL mutant lines and deficient for the *Ph1* locus, was screened using two isozyme markers and ten to twelve RFLP probes depending on the length of deleted fragment of 6RL. Initial screening used the isozyme markers α -amylase and GOT, and no dissociation was observed. Since these two markers are very close to each other and proximal to CCN resistance gene, RFLP markers which are distributed along the length of chromosome flanking the CCN resistance gene were used in secondary screening. However, no dissociation in RFLP markers was observed on 6RL and 6D chromosomes, although a total of eleven putative recombinants were isolated. These recombinants showed deletion of RFLP markers on chromosomes other than 6R and 6D. A putative cross-over point was identified between loci *Xpsr915* and *Xpsr149*. In most cases (7 of 11), the chromosomes

showing dissociation of marker loci were detected in the presence of two doses of 6R and 6D. In few cases a single dose of 6D was present (e.g. G7-17 and I5-24) or absent (e.g. I5-10); and a single dose of 6R was present (e.g. H1-10). The abnormal plants were isolated in four different families of 1411-54 line which possesses 6RL fragment containing *Got-R2* and *Cre-R* loci. The recombination status of these plants is not yet known and it was assumed that these plants involved the recombination between homoeologous wheat chromosomes. This study has also shown that RFLPs are superior and more reliable for the identification of recombinants than isozyme markers because of their increased ability to detect polymorphism. Although the pairing conditions were maximised using Sears *ph1b* mutant and 6RL deletion stock, the failure to isolate any wheat-rye recombinant chromosomes reconfirms the complex structure of 6R preventing its involvement in homoeologous recombination.

A molecular approach was taken to directly analyse the structure of 6R by constructing a cDNA library from ten days old roots of the wheat-6R^{T701}(-6D) substitution line. Differential screening of this library generated two hundred clones differentially expressed in wheat-6R^{T701}(-6D) substitution line. One hundred and five clones fall into four families, whereas relationships of the remaining 95 clones are still unknown. Southern hybridisation of representative clones from each family with two wheat varieties (Schomburgk and Chinese Spring), two rye varieties (Imperial rye and South Australian rye) and triticale T701-4-6 and its derived 6R lines identified homoeoloci on rye and wheat chromosomes. The results suggest that clones identified in this study represent genes expressed differentially in wheat-6R^{T701}(-6D) substitution line by repression of expression of homoeoalleles in Schomburgk.

In summary, this study shows that chromosome 6R^{T701} possesses a complex structure relative to its wheat homoeologues. This complexity suggests that introgression of the CCN resistance gene from 6R^{T701} into wheat may not be practicable.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1.....	1
1.1 General Introduction.....	1
1.2 Aims and Objectives.....	3
1.3 Literature review.....	3
1.3.1 Cereal cyst nematode (CCN)-A problem and its control.....	3
Use of resistant cultivars.....	4
CCN resistance in cereals.....	5
Genome size.....	6
Repetitive sequences.....	6
Distribution of genes on cereal chromosome.....	7
1.3.3 Homoeologous genomes of wheat and rye.....	8
Homoeology of wheat and rye chromosomes.....	8
1.3.4 Rye genome in wheat breeding.....	10
Wheat-rye addition, substitution, and translocation lines.....	10
Gene introgression using induced homoeologous recombination.....	11
1.3.5 Genetic markers and their applications.....	13
Mapping in cereals.....	16
Comparative mapping and coservation of synteny in cereal species.....	17
Marker assisted analysis of alien chromosome introgression.....	18
CHAPTER 2.....	19
Materials and methods.....	19
2.1 Seeds-Sources and History.....	19
2.2 Crossing.....	21
2.3 Cytological analysis of chromosomes.....	21
2.3.1 Mitosis.....	21
2.3.2 Meiosis.....	22
2.4 Isozyme analysis.....	22
2.4.1 α -amylase analysis by Isoelectric focusing.....	22
2.4.2 Glutamate Oxaloacetate Transaminase.....	23
2.5 Bacterial strains, Plasmids and Clones.....	24
2.6 Isolation and purification of DNA.....	24
2.6.1 Cereal genomic DNA isolation.....	24
2.6.2 Plasmid DNA isolation.....	24
2.7 Gel electrophoresis.....	24
2.8 Restriction endonuclease digestion.....	25
2.9 Southern Hybridisation.....	25
2.10 Oligolabelling of nucleic acids.....	26
2.10.1 Oligo labelling of DNA probes.....	26
2.10.2 Oligo labelling of RNA probes.....	27
2.11 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).....	27
2.11.1 RFLP Probe preparation.....	27
2.11.2 PCR amplification of plant genomic DNA.....	28
2.12 Recovery of DNA.....	28
2.12.1 Using Glass-Milk.....	28
2.12.2 Using Spin Clean TM.....	28
2.13 Construction and analysis of cDNA library.....	29
2.13.1 Total RNA extraction.....	29
2.13.2 RNA gel electrophoresis.....	29
2.13.3 mRNA synthesis.....	30
2.13.4 cDNA synthesis.....	30
2.13.5 Addition of adaptor Eco RI/Not I.....	31

2.13.6 Cloning into λ gt10 vector.....	31
2.13.7 Differential screening.....	32
2.13.8 Cross-hybridisation.....	32
CHAPTER 3.....	33
A comparison of rye chromosome 6 (T701) and wheat group 6 chromosomes based on molecular markers	33
3.1 Introduction.....	33
3.2 Results	34
3.2.1. Development of PCR based markers for short arm of 6R ^{T701}	34
3.2.2 RFLP based-homoeology between 6W, 6R ^{Imp} and 6R ^{T701}	35
3.2.2.1 Polymorphism detected by RFLP probes.....	35
Relative efficiencies of restriction enzymes used	36
Efficiency of different probes types used.....	36
3.2.2.2 Mapping of RFLP markers to 6R.....	37
RFLP markers for 6RST701.....	37
RFLP markers for 6RL ^{T701}	38
Conservation of synteny between 6W and 6R ^{T701}	39
3.3 Discussion	39
3.3.1 C1 a PCR-based marker for 6RS derived from the border region of rye specific R173 element.....	40
3.3.2 Efficiencies of restriction enzymes and probes in revealing RFLPs within Triticeae	41
3.3.3 Comparative analysis of 6R ^{T701} and group 6 homoeologous chromosomes using RFLP markers	41
Comparison of rye 6RS ^{T701} with homoeologous 6WS	42
Comparison of 6RL ^{T701} with 6WL	43
CHAPTER 4.....	45
Induction of homoeologous recombination between chromosomes rye 6R and wheat 6D using 6RL ^{T701} deletion mutants and Sears' <i>ph1bph1b</i> mutant.....	45
4.1 Introduction.....	45
4.2 Results	46
4.2.1 The generation of a TC-F2 population: A strategy to induce recombination between wheat and rye chromosomes.....	46
4.2.2 Selection of plants homozygous for <i>ph1b</i> using RFLP marker PSR 128	47
4.2.3. Selection of plants monosomic for 6R ^{T701} and 6D using isozyme markers ...	48
4.2.3.1 α -amylase analysis to screen for the presence of 6RL	48
4.2.3.2 Glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase (GOT) analysis to screen for the presence of 6RL	49
4.2.4 Screening of TC-F2s using isozymes and RFLP markers to characterize putative recombinants	51
4.3 Discussion	52
4.3.1 Comparative use of isozyme and RFLP markers as selection tools in isolation of recombinants	52
4.3.2 Strategy used for introgression of 6RL ^{T701} segment into wheat	53
4.3.2.1 Effects of using Sears' <i>ph1b</i> mutant.....	53
4.3.2.2 Test-cross with Sears' <i>ph1b</i> mutant.....	53
4.3.2.3 Effects of using various 6RL ^{T701} (deletion).6WS translocation lines	54
Comparison of different 6RL mutants in producing recombinants	54

CHAPTER 5.....	57
Construction of cDNA library and isolation of 6R putative clones from a wheat-6R ^{T701} (-6D) disomic substitution line	57
5.1 Introduction.....	57
5.2 Results	58
5.2.1 Construction and analysis of cDNA library from a wheat-6R ^{T701} (-6D) substitution line.....	58
5.2.2 Isolation of differentially expressed putative 6R clones	58
5.2.3 PCR amplification of inserts	59
5.2.4 Relationships between the clones by cross-hybridisation	59
5.2.5 Assignment of putative 6R specific clones	59
5.3 Discussion	60
CHAPTER 6.....	63
General Discussion and Future Prospects	63
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	68