



Principles for Open-Arc Weld Deposition of High-Chromium White Iron Surface Layers

John Anthony Francis

Department of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Adelaide

July 1999

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	x
LIST OF SYMBOLS.....	xii
ABSTRACT.....	xvi
DECLARATION.....	xviii
PUBLICATIONS.....	xix
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	xx
DEDICATION.....	xxii
1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE.....	4
2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	4
2.2 THE EFFECTS OF WELDING PARAMETERS ON DILUTION.....	4
2.2.1 Dilution – Its Definition and Significance.....	4
2.2.2 Previous Dilution Studies.....	6
2.2.3 The Effects of Voltage on Dilution.....	9
2.2.4 The Effects of Welding Current on Dilution.....	11
2.2.5 The Effects of Work Distance on Dilution.....	13
2.2.6 The Effects of Travel Speed on Dilution.....	14
2.2.7 The Effects of Preheat Temperature on Dilution.....	14
2.2.8 The Effects of Bead Overlap on Dilution.....	14
2.3 FACTORS AFFECTING WELD BEAD GEOMETRY.....	15
2.3.1 Studies Treating the Arc as a Point Source of Heat.....	15
2.3.2 Studies Treating the Arc as a Distributed Source of Heat.....	16
2.3.3 Weld Pool Convection and Its Effect.....	16
2.3.4 Weld Pool Depression and Its Effect.....	20

2.3.5 Studies Considering the Effects of the Molten Droplets.....	21
2.3.6 Prediction of Weld Bead Geometry.....	21
2.4 HOMOGENEITY OF THE WELD BEAD	25
2.5 THE METALLURGY OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRONS	26
2.6 THE ABRASIVE WEAR OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRONS	29
2.6.1 Definitions and Types of Abrasive Wear.....	30
2.6.2 The Relation Between Abrasive Wear Resistance and Hardness.....	31
2.6.3 The Influence of Carbide Volume Fraction on Abrasive Wear Performance.....	31
2.6.4 The Influence of Matrix Structure on Abrasive Wear Performance.....	34
2.6.5 Check Cracking in Hardfacing Overlays.....	34
2.6.6 A Quantitative Approach to the Abrasive Wear of Composite Materials.....	35
2.7 THE RATIONALE FOR THE CURRENT WORK	37

3. GEOMETRY, DILUTION AND COMPOSITION OF SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS 39

3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	39
3.2 EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUE	39
3.2.1 Experimental Program for Consumable A.....	40
3.2.2 Experimental Program for Consumable B.....	41
3.2.3 Analysis of Samples for Consumable A.....	41
3.2.4 Analysis of Samples for Consumable B.....	41
3.3 THE EFFECTS OF WELDING PARAMETERS ON BEAD WIDTH.....	42
3.3.1 A First-Principles Approach	42
3.3.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	48
3.4 THE EFFECTS OF WELDING PARAMETERS ON PENETRATION	49
3.4.1 Expressions for Penetration.....	49
3.4.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	53
3.5 BEAD HEIGHT	54
3.5.1 An Expression for Bead Height.....	54
3.5.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	58
3.6 DILUTION OF SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS.....	60
3.6.1 The Bednarz-Deam Model (see Francis et al., 1998).....	60
3.6.2 The Effects of Work Distance and Preheat Temperature	62
3.7 COMPOSITION OF SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS.....	63
3.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	65

4. ABRASIVE WEAR RESISTANCE OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRONS	67
4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	67
4.2 VOLUME FRACTIONS OF CARBIDES	67
4.2.1 <i>Estimating the Total Carbide Volume Fraction</i>	68
4.2.2 <i>An Alternative Approach for Estimating the Total Carbide Volume Fraction</i>	68
4.2.3 <i>Estimating Primary Carbide Volume Fractions</i>	71
4.3 HARDNESS.....	74
4.4 PIN-ABRASION TESTING.....	76
4.4.1 <i>Experimental Technique (Muscara and Sinnott, 1972)</i>	76
4.4.2 <i>Results</i>	77
4.4.3 <i>Analysis of Results</i>	80
4.5 CONCLUSIONS.....	86
5. FIELD TRIALS.....	88
5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	88
5.2 THE HEEL PLATES EXPERIMENT	89
5.2.1 <i>Description of Experiment</i>	89
5.2.2 <i>Methodology for Selecting Welding Parameters</i>	91
5.2.3 <i>Achieving the Specified Overlay Height</i>	96
5.2.4 <i>Results</i>	98
5.3 THE JAW-CRUSHER EXPERIMENT	100
5.3.1 <i>Description of Experiment</i>	100
5.3.2 <i>Results</i>	101
5.4 DISCUSSION	103
6. AN ANALYSIS OF MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS.....	106
6.1 INTRODUCTION.....	106
6.2 DEVELOPMENT OF A MODEL	106
6.2.1 <i>Definition of Variables</i>	106
6.2.2 <i>Definition of Problem</i>	108
6.2.3 <i>Analysis</i>	108
6.3 STEADY-STATE DILUTION FOR λ LINEAR WITH ϕ	111

6.4 RATE OF CONVERGENCE FOR λ LINEAR WITH ϕ	112
6.5 A SPREADSHEET FOR PREDICTING DILUTION IN MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS	116
6.5.1 <i>Generating a Spreadsheet</i>	119
6.6 COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTS.....	119
6.7 AN EMPIRICAL CORRECTION	123
6.8 REFINEMENT OF THE MODEL.....	127
6.8.1 <i>A New Variable, γ</i>	128
6.8.2 <i>Dilution of an Overlay</i>	129
6.9 SUMMARY	132
7. A GEOMETRIC SOLUTION FOR MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS	134
7.1 INTRODUCTION.....	134
7.2 THE STEADY-STATE BEAD PROFILE.....	134
7.3 PEAK-TO-VALLEY RIPPLE	138
7.4 THE FUSION LINE	140
7.4.1 <i>A Spreadsheet Solution</i>	142
7.5 COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTS.....	146
7.6 GAS-TUNGSTEN ARC WELDING EXPERIMENTS	149
7.6.1 <i>Description of Experiments</i>	150
7.6.2 <i>Results</i>	152
7.6.3 <i>Discussion</i>	155
7.7 LIMITATIONS OF THE MODEL	159
7.7.1 <i>Sensitivity to Input Data</i>	159
7.7.2 <i>Transient Conditions</i>	160
7.7.3 <i>Inter-Pass Temperature</i>	160
7.7.4 <i>Bead Profile</i>	162
7.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	163
8. PRINCIPLES FOR WELD DEPOSITION OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRON	
SURFACE LAYERS.....	164
8.1 INTRODUCTION.....	164
8.2 ACHIEVING THE DESIRED MICROSTRUCTURE.....	164

8.3 DILUTION CONTROL FOR SINGLE-BEAD DEPOSITS	166
8.3.1 <i>Predicting Single-Bead Dilution</i>	166
8.3.2 <i>The Effects of Welding Parameters on Single-Bead Dilution</i>	166
8.3.3 <i>Achieving Minimum Dilution for Single-Bead Deposits</i>	168
8.4 DILUTION CONTROL FOR MULTI-PASS OVERLAYS.....	169
8.4.1 <i>Predicting the Dilution of Multi-Pass Overlays</i>	169
8.4.2 <i>The Significance of Overlay Height</i>	169
8.4.3 <i>Achieving the Specified Overlay Height</i>	170
8.4.4 <i>The Effects of Welding Parameters on the Dilution of Multi-Pass Overlays</i>	171
8.4.5 <i>Achieving Minimum Dilution in Multi-Pass Overlays</i>	176
8.5 PREDICTING THE DILUTION IN A SECOND LAYER	177
8.6 PREDICTING THE GEOMETRY OF HIGH-CHROMIUM WHITE IRON OVERLAYS	178
8.6.1 <i>Single-Bead Deposits</i>	178
8.6.2 <i>Multi-Pass Overlays</i>	180
8.7 PREDICTING THE WEAR RATE IN A SPECIFIC ABRASION SYSTEM	181
9. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	185
9.1 CONCLUSIONS	185
9.2 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH.....	188
10. REFERENCES.....	191
APPENDIX 1: - EXAMPLES OF THE OUTPUT FROM THE k-λ SPREADSHEET	
PROGRAM.....	199

ABSTRACT

This study examines the mechanisms controlling the dilution, geometry and wear performance of weld-deposited high-chromium white iron surface layers. These layers are often deposited on steel components when resistance to abrasion is required. Manual-metal-arc welding (MMA) and flux-cored-arc welding (FCAW) are the processes most frequently employed to deposit such overlays. This work focuses on layers deposited by mechanised FCAW, as this process achieves higher deposition rates than MMA welding and affords a greater degree of control over individual welding variables.

Attention is first given to the factors affecting the dilution and geometry of single-bead deposits. The work presented in previous studies is reviewed and the findings are explained with reference to the welding literature. The findings of previous studies are also compared with results obtained in the current work. Expressions for the single-bead width and height are presented.

The wear performance of high-chromium white iron weld deposits is addressed. Wear testing was conducted both under laboratory conditions and in a series of field trials. The results of both sets of experiments are presented. The observed trends are then discussed and compared with the results of previous studies.

The mechanisms controlling dilution in multi-pass overlays were found to be different to those controlling the dilution of a single-bead deposit. Consequently, two distinct approaches were developed for predicting dilution in multi-pass overlays deposited in the

down-hand position. The first approach is semi-empirical and the second is based on first principles and geometry. The trends in the output data from each model were in close agreement. This agreement and the accuracy of the predictions provided a level of confidence in the output and, as such, the models could be used to draw conclusions and identify trends over a broader range of welding conditions.

This work culminates in what is believed to be the first set of working principles for the deposition of high-chromium white iron multi-pass overlays. Until now, the two most important features of a multi-pass overlay, namely the composition and geometry, had been determined by a trial-and-error process or retrospectively. It is believed that these principles will substantially improve the production and performance of weld overlays.