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## ABSTRACT

A comparative morphological study and taxonomic revision of the species of Isoetes from Australia, New Zealand, South-east Asia, India, China and Japan is presented. Detailed descriptions are given for 29 species, including six previously unpublished; three new species (I. attenuata, I. cristata and I. pusilla); and two new varieties (I. drumondii var. anomala and I. kirkii var. flabellata). I. sinensis is reduced to a subspecies of $I$. japonica and I. alpina to a variety of $I$. kirkii. Distribution maps and a key to the species known from the study area are included.

Taxonomic characters used in classification of Isoetes have been assessed. The presence or absence of vela covering the sporangia, the morphology and size of the megaspores and microspores and leaf anatomical characters are considered to be the most useful taxonomic features in the genus.

Scanning electron micrographs of the megaspores of 27 species and of the microspores of 20 species are also included. Scanning electron microscopy is considered to be a satisfactory means for illustration of most spore characters and reveals details of the fine structure of spore surfaces previously not observed using light microscopy. This surface fine structure has been found. useful as a taxonomic character, especially for the study of species interrelationships.

The three previously used systems of subgeneric classification, based on plant habit, megaspore ornamentation and microspore ornamentation respectively are discussed, but all three systems have been found inadequate for subdivision of the genus.

The possible use of the presence or absence of vela covering the sporangia for infrageneric classification in the genus is discussed. The value of selected individual features useful for delimitation of species in Isoetes is considered. The fossil record as known for Isoetes is also discussed in relation to extant species of this genus, and phylogenetic relationships of the species examined are considered.

The investigations described in this thesis were performed in the Botany Department, University of Adelaide from January 1974 to January 1979. The following papers were published by the author during this study:

1. "A new sub-species of Isoetes coromandelina from Northern Australia," Contrib. Herb. Aust., 24, 1-10 (1976).
2. "Morphological variation and taxonomy of Isoetes miellexi A.Br." J. AdeZaide Bot. Gard., 1, 37-54 (1976)

To the author's knowledge and belief, this thesis contains no material submitted for a degree in any University by the author or by any other person, except where due reference is made in the text.
C.R. Marsden.
J.B. Hall (1971) writing on Isoetes in Ghana summed up the taxonomic problems within the genus thus

```
"Members of the genus Isoetes share a remarkable similarity
of morphology and habit and a corresponding paucity of obvious
distinguishing features. As is commonly the case with aquatics
there is considerable intraspecific variation. Consequently
the lack of agreement as to the specific limits in Isoetes is
as acute as the uncertainty about generic and family limits
in Filicales".
```

Isoetes occurs almost world wide and shows a high degree of localised endemism, with some species known only from a single locality. Estimates of the number of extant species in the genus vary from 60 species (Taylor et al, 1975) to 75 species (Alston, 1959; Cook et al, 1974). However the list, "Index Isoetales", compiled by Reed (1953) contained names of over 100 distinct species, and further species have been described since then.

Despite the simplicity of Isoetes plants and the remarkable consistency in morphology throughout the genus, a relatively large number of distinct species are currently recognised. Hence a thorough investigation of the criteria used to diagnose and separate species is justified. Even during examination of the relatively small group of South Australian species of Isoetes problems relating to species delimitation became apparent (Marsden, 1973) and examination of other Australian species indicated that revisionary work was needed to clarify the status of some species.

Since Isoetes is an extremely widespread genus with considerable localised endemism of species, this study has been restricted to species occuring in the geographical area indicated in figure 1. This area, including Australia, New Zealand, Southeast Asia, Kamchatka, China and Japan was chosen for several reasons:
(i) the area covers a traverse from southern temperate areas through the tropics to northern temperate regions and is thus likely to reveal climatically influenced characters and also give indications concerning patterns of dispersion within the genus;
(ii) presently available data indicates that most of the species recorded from this area are endemic to it. The notable exception to this is $I$. echinospora Dur. which is widespread in the Northern Hemisphere;
(iii) no detailed comparisons between the species known from this area have been made since those of Pfeiffer (1922), and at the time of Pfeiffer's monograph about half of the species presently recorded for this area were unknown.

Basic studies have been centred on the Australian and New Zealand species due to availability of fresh material and the opportunity for field study. Species from other areas have been compared and contrasted with these noting particularly possible intercontinental species relationships and indicators of pathways of dispersion within the genus.

### 1.2 BRIEF HISTORY OF THE GENUS

The genus Isoetes was first established by Linnaeus in 1753 in "Species Plantarum" although he had mentioned the name two years earlier (Linnaeus, 1751). The genus was based on a single species I. Zacustris L. from Europe and was further characterised in "Genera Plantarum" (Linnaeus, 1754).

The description of a second species, I. coromandeIina (Linnaeus fil., 1781) provided little added information on the nature of Isoetes. It was not until the third species of the genus, I. setacea Bosc. ex Delile, was described (Delile, 1827) that a detail account of any member of the genus was published. Delile placed Isoetes between Marsilea L. and Lycopodium L., indicating recognition of its currently accepted relationships with Lycopodirm and Selaginella Beauv.

Knowledge of the genus was greatly augmented by the work of Alexander Braun in the mid-nineteenth century. Braun described 19 new species from many parts of the world but died before he could finish a projected monograph of the genus (Baker 1880).

Baker (1880) published the first monographic treatment of the genus based on Alexander Braun's work. Baker's monograph contained brief descriptions of 46 species which were later included in his "Handbook of Fern Allies" (Baker, 1887) almost unchanged.

The genus was monographed again by Motelay and Vendryes (1883) and this monograph also included the same 46 species. Pfeiffer (1922) recorded 64 species in her monograph of the genus. Since Pfeiffer's monograph a number of further species have been described and Reed (1953) was able to list over 100 extant species.

A second genus, Stylites Amstutz (1957), belonging to the family Isoetaeae, was established for plants from the Andes in Peru. The morphology anatomy and life history of the two known species of Stylites have been studied in detail (Rauh and Falk, $1959 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ and recent comparative studies of Stylites with Isoetes triquetra A.Br. by Kubitzki and Borchert (1964) have left the taxonomic status of Stylites in some doubt.

Alexander Braun (1853) first described Isoetes from Australia, noting two species from Tasmania (I. elatior F.v.M. ex A.Br. and I. humilior F.v.M. ex A.Br.).

Hooker (1858) noted a species of Isoetes from alpine lakes in Tasmania, which he considered might be the same as I. Zacustris of Europe. Later in his additions and corrections to the "Flora Tasmaniae" (1858) he commented that these plants might belong to I. elatior or I. humilior. He also noted that Ferdinand von Mueller then considered that I. elatior was probably a variety of $I$. humilior.

Braun (1863) noted two new species (I. tripus and I. drummondii) from Western Australia. Subsequently Durieu (1864) described I. phaeospora also from Western Australia and at the same time combined $I$. elatior and $I$. humilior as a single species, I. tasmanica F.v.M. ex Dur.

Later Braun (1868) revised the Australian species of Isoetes, adding two further species, I. gunnii and I. muelleri. He also maintained I. elatior as a separate species, but considered I. humilior to include I. hookeri and I. stuartii, previously regarded as distinct species. Braun (loc.cit.) also recognised I. phaeospora as conspecific with I. tripus.

Bentham (1878) recognised only two species of Isoetes in Australia, I. Zacustris from Tasmania and I. drumondii from Western Australia. Baker (1880) retained Braun's species although he recombined $I$. hookeri and $I$ stuartii as a single species under the latter name. Mueller (1882) listed I. humilior as the correct name for this combination.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Marscon (1976 a,b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. tacustris <br> I. tripue . . . . . . . <br> 2. gunald <br> I. grante <br> I. gurort <br> I. guoril |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1. History of nomenclature of Isoetes species from
Australia.


#### Abstract

I. stuartii and I. hookeri were still recognised as distinct species by Motelay and Vendryes (1883) but Pfeiffer (1922) united them under the name 1 . humilior.

No further species were recorded from Australia until Williams (1943) described a most unusual species I. australis from Western Australia. A new sub_species of I. coromandelina (ssp. macrotuberculata) was described from northern Australia by Marsden (1976a), and in the same year I. stuartii was recognised as distinct from $I$. homilior but conspecific with I. mueZleri (Marsden, 1976b).

A recent study of Isoetes in Western Australia by the late Mrs E.R.L. Johnston recognised four new species (Hj. Eichler, pers. comm.) and descriptions of these are in preparation for publiçation.


1.4 TAXONOMIC HISTORY OF ISOETES IN NEW ZEALAND

Alexander Braun described I. kirkii in 1869, the first species of the genus to be described from New Zealand, whilst Kirk described a second species I. alpina in 1875. A further species, I. multiangularis was added by Colenso in 1890 but this species was subsequently considered to be conspecific with I. alpina by Cheeseman (1906). No further species have been recognised from New Zealand to the present time.

### 1.5 HISTORY OF ISOETES IN SOUTH-EAST ASIA

The first species of Isoetes recorded from South-east
Asia was $I$. neoguineensis Baker which was mentioned by Mueller in 1898, but not validly described until the following year (Baker, 1899).

A second species I. philippinensis was described by Merrill and Perry (1940) from Mindanao and a further species from New Guinea, I. habbemensis by Alston (1945). An undescribed species of Isoetes has been reported from Sumatra (Flora Malesiana Bulletin, 1977, 30 p.2767; Flenley and Morley, 1978) and two further species $I$. stevensii Croft and I. hopei Croft have been recorded for New Guinea (J. Croft, in press).

### 1.6 HISTORY OF ISOETES IN NORTH-EAST ASIA

Isoetes japonica from Japan, described by Braun in 1861 was the first species of the genus known from this area. Another species, I. edulis Sieb. ex Miq was described by Siebold (in Miquel 1866-1867) but Makino (1904) recognised this species as conspecific with $I$. japonica. Makino (1904) also recorded a new variety of $I$. echinospora Dur. from Japan, which he later described as I. echinospora var. asiatica (Makino, 1904). This variety was raised to species status by Makino in 1914.

Isoetes was first recorded from China when I. hysophila was described by Handel - Mazzetti (1923), and Palmer (1927) described a second chinese species $I$. sinensis. This latter species was also recorded from Japan by Iverson (1928) who, at the same time recorded $I$. japonica from China, and included I. asiatica as conspecific with $I$. echinospora.

Hulten (1958) again recognised I. echinospora var. asiatica as a distinct variety of $I$. echinospora and also recorded this taxon from Kamtchatka. Love (1962) raised this variety to sub species rank (I. echinospora ssp. asiatica) based on cytotaxonomic studies. I. asiatica was however still recognised as a separate species by Ohwi (1963).

In 1972 a new species, I. taiwanensis, was described by De Vol from Taiwan. De Vol also regarded I. asiatica as a distinct species.

### 1.7 HISTORY OF ISOETES IN INDIA

The first species of Isoetes described from the Indian sub-continent was I. coromandelina L.f. (1781) which at that time represented the second species known for the genus. Two further species $I$. capsularis (non Roxb.) Griffith (Griffith 1849) and I. brachygZossa A.Br. (Braun 1862) were described but both were later considered conspecific with I. coromandelina. I. capsularis was included in I. brachyglossa by Baker (1880) who later (1887) combined I. brachyglossa with I. coromandelina.

No further species of Isoetes were recorded from India until Mahabale (1938) described I. sahyadrii. I. sampathkumarani was added by Rao (1944) and I. dixitei by Shende (1945). Sharma, Patel and Moghe (1958) noted an undescribed species from Omkareshwar, but this plant has not been formally described, nor the specimens located.

Two additional species, I. indica and I. panchananii were described by Pant and Srivastava (1962) in a revision of Indian species of Isoetes, and another species, I. mirzapurensis, was added by Panigrahi and Dixit in 1966. The most recent species to be described from India was I. pantii Goswami and Arya (1970).

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Specimens: All available material, including fresh, dried and spirit preserved specimens, has been examined and where possible, attempts have been made to cultivate plants in the laboratory. Plants were grown either submerged in a large glass tank or kept moist in pots with daily mist spraying. Plants of I. drummondii, I. muelleri, I. kirkii and I. japonica were successfully cultivated, but plants of I. coromandelina, I. australis, I. 'attenuata'*, I. elatior, I. gunnii, I. 'caroli', I. 'brevicula' I. tripus, I. 'inflata' and I. 'mongerensis' all deteriorated quickly and died when kept under laboratory conditions.

Voucher material of all specimens collected during this study are lodged at the South Australian State Herbarium (AD).
2.2 Chromosomes: Large root-tips from short, usually unbranched roots were used for chromosome preparations. The root-tips were pretreated with 20 ppm chloro-IPC for 4 hours at room temperature. This treatment causes chromosomes to contract in the same way as described for I.P.C. (Storey and Mann, 1967). Colchicine, one of the most commonly used chromosome pretreatment substances, was found to be ineffective on all Isoetes species studied (Marsden, 1976 b).

The pre-treated root-tips were fixed in 3:1 absolute alcohol: glacial acetic acid mixture for 20 minutes and transferred to a mixture of approximately $0.2 \%$ cellulaseand $0.5 \%$ pectinase in phosphate buffer pH 5.2 and left overnight to soften cell walls and dissolve inter-cellular pectins. This treatment greatly facilitated squashing of the root-tips.

[^0]The softened root-tips were very carefully transferred to $45 \%$ acetic acid, and, if not used immediately, stored in $60 \%$ alcohol. Squash preparations were made in lacto-propionic orcein (Dyer, 1963) which was found to give differentiation of staining superior to that of aceto-orcein or aceto-carmine staining techniques.
2.3 Sections: Hand sections were adequate for most purposes where fresh plants were available with the advantage of being very quick in preparation and requiring no elaborate equipment. Delicate tissues and spirit preserved material was wax embedded using the technique of Johansen (1940) prior to sectioning on a microtome. Plastic embedding using poly-glycol methacrylate (o'Brien, pers-comm.) was also attempted, but severe staining problems coupled with restrictions on the size of specimens which could be successfully cut using a glass knife made this technique impractical for most purposes.
2.4 Drawings of sections and sporangial wall cells were made using a Leitz light microscope fitted with a camera lucida attachment.

```
2.5 Scanning electron microscopy: Megaspores for scanning
electron microscopy were fixed to small glass coverslips using
a very thin layer of synthetic rubber cement. Microspores were
dusted onto double-sided adhesive tape which was also affixed
to a small glass coverslip.
```

The coverslips bearing the spores were then fixed to SEM stubs, again using rubber cement. This treatment allowed removal of the specimens after examination so that spores could be retained with herbarium specimens. The coverslips were electrically connected to the SEM stubs with a small drop of silver dag at the edge.

With some specimens considerable difficulties were experienced with spores changing during examination in the SEM. To help overcome these problems the coverslips with spores attached were exposed to the vapours of a $2 \%$ solution of osmic acid for several hours prior to mounting onto the SEM specimen stubs. (Pfefferkorn, 1970; Marsden, 1976 a,b).

Specimens were then coated with pure gold using: either gold wire heated on a molybdenum filament in a Denton vacuum evaporative coater with a revolving specimen holder, or a gold target under an argon atmosphere in a Cambridge sputter coater. Sputter coating was found to give a more even coating to the spore thus producing less charging problems.

Specimens were examined in an ETEC Autoscan SEM and photographs were taken on either Ilford FP4 or Kodak Panatomic X film.

The SEM was fitted with a secondary X-ray analyser which permits elemental analysis of specimen composition for elements heavier than oxygen. This was used to examine silicon deposition in the megaspore walls.
2.6 Spore measurements: Megaspore diameters were measured using dry megaspores under a light microscope fitted with an eyepiece graticule. The megaspore diameter was measured as the distance from the tip of one arm of the tri-radiate ridge to the opposite side of the megaspore.

Effects of differing sample sizes in measuring megaspores were examined (fig. $12 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ). Although the average size for the Type I megaspores varied only slightly for sample sizes from 20-440 megaspores, size range and standard deviation varied considerably. Consequently a minimum sample size of 60 megaspores was chosen as a compromise between reliability of data, and practicality.
Microspores were measured for length and breadth also using a light microscope. Measurements were taken only for microspores seen in side view so that both measurements could be made from the same spores. The maximum length and breadth for each spore were the measurements used for the spores, including the wing along the top of the microspore, where present.

## 3. GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF ISOETES

The brief general description provided below defines the terminology used in species descriptions. More detailed morphological descriptions of the genus are available elsewhere (eg. Pfeiffer, 1922; Smith, 1955; Foster and Gifford, 1974). Isoetes L. Sp.Pl. (1753) 1100; Gen.Pl. (1754) 846.

Sporophyte: heterosporous; terrestrial, amphibious or submerged aquaiic herbs. Roots dichotomously branched with acentric vascular strand and air space resulting from degeneration of middle cortex (fig. 8); roots arising from furrows between lobes of the more or less flattened, compact, corm-like rootstock (usually referred to as the corm) which often has accumulations of dead sloughed-. off tissue. Shoot apex central in slight depression on the corm apex (fig.2). Leaves (microphylls) up to 100 or more in number, each up to 1 m long, spirally arranged on the corm (rarely distichously arranged), basally imbricate, glabrous, terete or semi terete, entire, proximally winged along lower portion with base broadly expanded by more or less membranous wings. (figs 3, 4, 6). Each leaf with single unbranched, collateral vascular strand and four longitudinal septate air spaces (lacunae)(fig.7) and with stomata present or absent, opening directly into lacunae. Ligule present above sporangial cavity (fig.3, 4), deltoid to subulate, frequently with cordate base, 1-6 mm long. Labirm (pseudo-ligule) sometimes present at base of ligule (fig.3), triangular to hemi-orbicular, entire or with serrate margin, membranous to sub-membranous, $0.5-5.0 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. All leaves
potential sporophylls. Sporangia comparatively large, single in an adaxial basal cavity (fovea) in leaf-base (fig.5), elliptic to oblong or abovate, 2-15 mm long, broadly adnate to sporophyll, uni-locular but irregularly traversed by columns of sterile tissue (trabeculae). Sporangial dehiscence by decay of sporangial walls or rarely by mucilage secre_tion and water mediated eversion of sporangial wall (Osborn, 1922). Sporangial wall cells sometimes heavily thickened and darkly pigmented. Sporangia often partly or completely covered by a more or less membranous velum. (figs 4, 5, 6). Megasporangia usually bórne on outer sporophylls, containing 20-400 megaspores.

Megaspores tri-lete (fig. 9, 10) of four distinct types, \# variously sculptured with spines, tubercles, ridges, or rarely smooth. Microsporangia usually borne on the inner sporophylls, containing 100,000-1,000,000 microspores. Microspores monolete, (fig.11) 25-40 um long, smooth, scabrous, papillose or spinulose, sometimes with a wing along the laesura. Gametophytes: dioecious, microscopic; development of prothallus within spore wall.

Megagametophyte with 1-12 archegonia. Microgametophyte with single antheridium releasing motile sperm (For details of gametophyte development see Foster and Gifford, 1974).

[^1]
## 4. TAXONOMY <br> 4.1 Trends in Taxonomy

Alexander Braun might rightfully be called the father of Isoetes taxonomy. Braun (1862, 1863, 1868) made the first detailed discussions of the taxonomic value of various features of the morphology, anatomy and ecology of Isoetes.

The features Braun considered useful as diagnostic characters included

- the number and shape of lobes of the corm, their shape and the quantity of accumulated dead tissue on the corm,
- the number of leaves per individual plant,
- leaf features including flexibility and hardness, the size of the lacunae, the number of cell layers in the lacunar wall, the presence on absence of stomates and peripheral fibre strands,
- the shape and size of the ligule and labium,
- the presence or absence of the velum and the extent of coverage of the sporangia,
- the size, colour and sculpturing of the megaspores and microspores,
- habitat.

Braun (1863) considered that anatomical details of the leaf were much less variable within species than external morphological features and consequently were more reliable as diagnostic features.

Clute (1905) questioned the usefulness of many of these features, describing intraspecific variation in such features as the number of corm lobes, habitat types and some leaf characters. West and Takeda (1914) also noted short_comings in the use of habitat information in taxonomy of the genus.

Spore characters, largely over_looked up to that time, were considered by Clute (1905) to be among the most reliable diagnostic characters. Pfeiffer (1922) considered the megaspores to be the primary characteristic for subdivision of the genus, although Reed (1945) stated that he thought the "stress placed on the markings of the gynospores by Miss Pfeiffer in her monograph of the Isoetaceae has resulted in a rather distorted presentation of the relationships of the species".

Nevertheless Pfeiffer's monograph has since remained the standard reference work for Isoetes taxonomy.

Matthews and Murdy (1969) examined the range of environmentally induced variation in I. melanospora Gay ex Dur. and I. piedmontana Pfeiffer of the south eastern piedmont of North America, and raised doubts about the reliability of many of the diagnostic characters in common taxonomic use for Isoetes however detailed work of this type has not yet been carried out on other species.

Despite Matthews and Murdy's study, the characters used in the taxonomy of the genus have remained virtually unchanged since the work of Braun and Pfeiffer, although a few new features such as additional leaf anatomy characters (Hall, 1971), have been examined in some species and the advent of scanning electron microscopy has improved the reliability and detail of spore examination (Wanntorp, 1970; Taylor et al, 1975; Marsden, $1976 \mathrm{a} ; 1976 \mathrm{~b})$.

Features used in classification and diagnosis of Isoetes species are discussed in detail below as follows

- The corm
- Leaf characters
- Scale leaves (or bud scales)
- Sporangial characteristics
- Megaspores
- Microspores
- Habitat
- Cytology.

Names of new species used in the discussion of taxonomy characters, are indicated by inverted commas.
4.2 The Corm (or root-stock)

Braun (1863) was the first to recognise the diagnostic usefulness of the number of corm lobes, which he considered characteristic for each species. Since that time, the lobing of corms has been widely used in the taxonomy of Isoetes (Baker, 1880; 1887; Motelay \& Vendreys, 1883; Pfeiffer, 1922; Duthie, 1929; Alston, 1959; Pant and Srivastava, 1962; Goswami and Arya, 1970; De Vol, 1972) although both Eaton (1903) and Clute (1905) recorded species from North America in which both two-lobed and three-lobed (and occasionally four-and five-lobed) corms were produced within individual populations of plants. Similar variation has been observed in the Australian species, I. mueZleri (Marsden, 1976 b).

The development of the corm lobes of $I$. tuckermannii $\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{Br}$. has been studied in detail by Karrfalt and Eggert (1977). They found that three-lobed and four-lobed corms developed from twolobed juveniles. Their observations suggest that the number of
corm lobes is determined at an early age in the development of the plants as no mature plants with two-lobed corms have been observed in this species. Consequently for taxonomic purposes, the number of lobes is only likely to be reliable in mature plants.

Of the species included in this study only $I$. muelleri, I. neoguineensis, I. kirkii, I. 'pusilla' and I. sampathkumarani have been observed to show significant variation in the number of corm lobes. Consequently this character is considered to be of some diagnostic value in taxonomy of Isoetes, although this feature has not been used in the key to species. (Chapter 5). Braun (1862) also noted differences between species in the quantities of accumulated dead tissue on the corm. These differences have not been observed to be significant in the species examined except for the distinct horn shaped caps of sloughed corm tissue produced by I. tripus. However this character is considered to be of limited use in any case, as the sloughed tissue is frequently absent from herbarium material, having been lost when specimens are washed free of soil.

Another corm characteristic considered useful by Braun (1862, 1863) was the shape and form of the corm lobes. This character has received little taxonomic useage since Braun's work and in this study considerable variation in the development of corm lobes has been observed between species examined. In some species (eg. I. gunnii, I. humilior and I. australis) the corm lobes are elongated and distinct whilst in others (eg. I. 'cristata' and I. 'attenuata') the lobes are so short and indistinct that they are only visible when the plants are sectioned. Corm lobes of most species fall between these two extremes and the extent of lobe development appears to be consistent for mature, fertile plants. These observed differences may later prove to be of diagnostic value.

In I. pantii the three-lobed corms have one lobe distinctly smaller than the others (Goswami and Arya, 1970) and this feature has been used to distinguish this species from the closely related I. coromandelina.

Corm size has been observed to vary markedly between species. Species with large corms such as I. gunnii and I. humiZior may be easily distinguished from others with very small corms such as I. australis, I. 'caroli', I. 'inflata' and I. 'pusizla'. However this feature is of limited use for the species studied as they form a continuous range between these two extremes.

### 4.3 Leaf Characters

Since the leaves (microphylls) are the most conspicuous portion of Isoetes plants leaf characters have been widely used by previous taxonomists. In the following discussion leaf features are arbitrarily separated into 3 groups:

- general morphological features
- anatomical features (including stomates)
- leaf appendages.
4.3a. General morphological features

Braun (1863) noted that in some species morphological
features of the leaves such as the habit, size, number, texture and colour were variable, and that these characteristics were apparently influenced by habitat conditions. Consequently he concluded that these characters were of limited taxonomic value.

Baker (1880; 1887) and Motelay and Vendreys (1883) recorded details of leaf morphology for those species they described but did not use these features as diagnostic characters.

Limited use of general morphological features of the leaves such as number, size and texture was made by Pfeiffer (1922) in the key to species included in her monograph of the genus. Pfeiffer noted, however, that such characters were often variable and influenced by environmental conditions. Similar variation was also noted by Duthie (1929) in some of the species of Isoetes from South Africa. Duthie attributed size variation to age as well as environmental factors.

Matthews and Murdy (1969) conducted a detailed experimental study on the effects of differing environmental conditions on the external morphology of I. melanospora and I. piedmontana. They found that the leaf morphology of these species could be significantly modified by varying environmental conditions.

The species included in the present study were observed to range from being highly variable in leaf morphology (eg. I. mueZleri, Marsden, 1976 b ; and I. kirkii, see Chapter 5) to others which were quite consistent in this feature (eg. I. australis, I. 'inflata' and I. gunnii, see Chapter 5). Some leaf morphological characters, however, appear to be of limited taxonomic value.

- Leaf size: Although leaf size is highly variable in some species such as I. muellexi (Marsden, 1976 b) this character is useful for distinguishing several of the consistently small species
(eg. I. 'brevicula') and consistently very large species (eg. I. elatior).
- Leaf texture: Leaf texture has been found to be a most useful character in separating $I$. humilior and $I$. gunnii which have rigid, hard, thick leaves from the other species studied.

Characters such as leaf habit and colour may however be highly variable for many of the species examined, for example the leaves in $I$. muelleri which can vary from erect, to spreading depending on immersion or emersion of the plants (Marsden, 1976 b ).

The arrangement of leaves on the corm has been found useful to separate several of the species examined. In most species the leaves are arranged spirally, but in $I$. australis, I. 'inflata' and I. humilior the leaves are arranged in two distinct ranks along the two lobed corms. It is noteworthy that no three lobed corms have been observed in any of these species.

## 4.3b. Anatomical features of leaves

Braun (1863) recognised that the anatomical characteristics of the leaves of Isoetes appeared to show less environmentally induced variation within species than the external morphology, and consequently he suggested that such characters were more useful taxonomically. The characteristics considered important by Braun included

- presence or absence of stomates
- presence or absence, and number and position of peripheral fibre strands
- number of cell layers in the outer (lacunar) wall of the leaves
- shape of leaves in transverse section
- size of the lacunae.

The presence or absence of stomates or peripheral fibre strands ("bast'bundles") were not considered useful diagnostic characters by Clute (1905) although no examples of variation within species were given. West and Takeda (1915) published the results of a detailed study of ecological effects on leaf anatomy of $I$. japonica. They noted that the peripheral fibre strands ("hypodermal fibre elements") were not always continuous along the length of the leaves, and that the presence or absence of these strands appeared to depend "entirely upon the environmental conditions", and that this feature could vary between the leaves of a single plant. They also observed that the frequency occurence of stomates was apparently correlated to the immersion or emersion of the leaves in this species.

Despite these findings, Pfeiffer (1922) observed that the occurence of stomates was not related to plant habitat in Isoetes and stomates were often found in aquatic species. Pfeiffer noted however that peripheral fibre strands were usually lacking in aquatic plants. The presence or absence of both stomates and peripheral fibre strands were used by Pfeiffer in her key to species. When revising the South African species of Isoetes, Duthie (1929) found several features of leaf anatomy to be useful for separating and diagnosing species. Duthie considered the presence or absence of stomates and peripheral fibre strands and the shape of the leaves in transverse section useful in the systematic treatment of the five species considered.

A comparison of aquatic and terrestrial plants of
I. engelmanii A.Br. by Parker (1943) indicated that ecological factors did not affect the production of stomates or peripheral
strands in this North American species. Although some leaf anatomy features, especially presence and absence of stomates and peripheral fibre strands have been commonly included in descriptions of species (eg. Alston, 1959; Wanntorp, 1970) these characters have not been discussed again as potential systematic features until the work of Hall (1971) in examining the species of Isoetes in Ghana.

Hall (1971) noted a number of features of leaf anatomy which had previously been overlooked as potential diagnostic characters. These included

- the number of canals occuring within the leaf stele (intrastelar canals). Hall considered this to be a reliable taxonomic character, the number of canals varying between $1-3$ in the species examined.
- the presence in some species of curious idioblast cells (internal hairs) projecting into the lacunae.
- the presence in some species of minute acicular spines projecting from the walls of the cells of the translacunar diaphragms.
- the occurence of tiny papillae on the cuticle of some species.

Internal hairs and acicular spines on the translacunar diaphragm cells were also noted by Marsden (1976 a) in I. coromandelina from India and Australia.

The following observations were made on the species studied:
Stomates: The presence or absence of stomates was found to be characteristic for all the species examined in this study. Pfeiffer (1922) recorded the presence of stomates in I. alpina (I. 'kirkii var alpina') and $I$. kirkii but no stomates have been found in these
species, even when growing emergent, during the present study. Thus the occurence of stomates may rarely vary in this species. The frequency of per unit area of stomates was found to vary within some species (eg. I. drumondii and I. tripus) and stomates were often restricted to the apical portion of the leaves. Thus whilst the presence or absence of stomates appears to be a reliable taxonomic character in Isoetes, density of stomates may show intraspecific variation. When present, stomates occur most frequently on the apical portion of the leaves. Lacunar wall: The thickness of the lacunar wall appears to be correlated with the presence or absence of stomates. In species which produce stomates (eg. I. 'attenuata', fig.323; I. muelleri, fig.325; I. coramandelina, fig.326; I.'nongerensis;' fig.327; I. 'cristata', fig.328; I. japonica, fig. 329 and I. drummondii,' fig. 330 ) the lacunar wall is usually only $1-2(-3)$ cells thick, including the epidermal cells, whilst in species lacking stomates (eg. I. gunnii, fig.316; I. humilior, fig.317; I. 'caroli,' fig.318; I. 'inflata,' fig.319; I. neoguineensis, fig.320; I. kirkii, fig.321; I. 'brevicula,' fig. 322 and I. elatior, fig.324) the lacunar wall varies from 3-8 cells thick.

Duthie (1929) noted variation between the lacunar walls on the adaxial and abaxial surfaces of leaves of $I$. wormaldii Sim , and although such variation was not observed in any of the species included in this study observations and diagrams of the lacunar wall thickness were made in all cases from the adaxial surfaces of leaves.

The thickness of the lacunar wall appears to be a reliable taxonomic character for the species examined, however the usefulness of this feature is limited to fresh plants as it may be very difficult to accurately determine the number of cell layers present from pressed herbarium material, stomates, however, may be easily observed in such material by softening small sections of leaf tissue in boiling water.

Leaf shape in transverse section: The shape of the leaves in transverse section was found to vary between the species examined, but not to the extent noted by Duthie (1929) or Wanntorp (1970) for African species. Leaves varied from more or less circular (fig. 301-307) to triangular (fig. 311, 314, 315) with several intermediate forms (fig. 308, 310, 312). The lacunae in these species were all approximately the same size, unlike I. wormaldii Sim and I. stelZenbossiensis Duthie illustrated by Duthie (1929).

Although cross-sectional leaf shape is potentially useful as a taxonomic character for Isoetes its use is limited by two factors: (i) it may be difficult to accurately determine the shape of the leaf in cross section in pressed herbarium material, although boiling small leaf segments will often restore the leaf shape; (ii) the shape of the leaf in cross section may vary in many species along the length of the leaf. This variation is usually not great (eg. a leaf will not be cylindrical in one part and triangular in another) and is sufficiently consistent for meaningful comparisons if the region of the leavesto be examined is specified. In this study sections were cut at a point approximately two thirds of the leaf length from the base.

Peripheral fibre strands: Peripheral fibre strands were only observed in seven of the species studied (I.'attenuata', I. coromandelina, I. dixitei, I. drumondii, I. indica, I. japonica and I. pantii). The positions of these strands can only be accurately determined by sectioning leaf material, which is best done from fresh or spirit preserved material. The presence or absence of these strands may easily be determined by microscopic examination of very small dried leaf segments - which have been softened and cleared by boiling, and gently flattened on a microscope slide.

The number and position of the strands varied only slightly within each of the species listed above, except in I. drummondii and I. japonica. The number of strands produced by these species varied from nil to six large strands, occasionally with some smaller accessory strands. The strands in I drummondii did not extend along the entire leaf as West and Takeda (1915) had also noted for 1 . japonica, and were either not present or were greatly reduced in size in the basal portion of the leaf.

Despite this variation within these two species, this character appears to be reliable in the majority of species examined.

Internal hairs: Internal hairs as described by Hall (1971) from I. abyssinica Chiovenda were observed in only three of the species examined (I. coromandelina, I. indica and I. pantii). This character was totally consistent in all material examined, and was easily observed by softening and gently flattening leaf segments as noted for observation of peripheral fibre strands.

The presence or absence of these curious idoblasts may prove to be useful as a diagnostic character in Isoetes.

Cuticular papillae: This feature of the leaves was frequently found to be very difficult to determine accurately, especially in dried herbarium material where observation of the cuticle was difficult. Also in many species the epidermis is often densely covered with small epiphytes such as small algae or diatoms, which obscure the leaf surface features.

Cuticular papillae were observed in only a few species and the cuticle of $I$. 'cristata' was found to be distinctly striated, however insufficient accurate observations were made to indicate the extent of intraspecific variation exhibited by this character. Thus this feature is not at present considered to be useful as a taxonomic character.

Acicular spines: Like the cuticular papillae, the minute acicular spines sometimes present on cell walls of the translacunar diaphragm are almost impossible to observe in dried herbarium specimens. Thus details of this feature have not been included for most of the species discussed.

These spinules were however observed in several species where fresh material was available to study. They varied from being long with tapering needle like points in some species (eg. I. coromandelina) to being short with rounded apices in others (eg. I. drummondii and I. muelleri). The spinules were almost totally restricted to the areas where the arms of the stellate aerenchyma cells of the translacunar diaphragms joined to each other.

The presence of these spinules is of very limited use as a taxonomic character, because of their minute size and difficulty of observation, especially in dried herbarium specimens, which is often the only material available for study.

## 4.3c. Leaf appendages

The leaves of Isoetes usually bear two appendages, the ligule and the labium (pseudo-ligule) just above the position of the sporangia.

The shape of the ligule, especially the ratio of the length to the breadth, was considered by Braun (1863) to be useful as a taxonomic character in Isoetes. Nevertheless both the ligule and the labium appear to have been frequently overlooked as diagnostic characters, although they are usually included in descriptions of species (eg. Baker, 1880; 1887; Motelay and Vendryes, 1883; Pfeiffer, 1922; Alston 1959).

Pfeiffer (1922) considered the labium to be "so small a character that it is difficult to use it in a diagnostic fashion". Wanntorp (1970) and Hall (1971) however noted that in several African species the labium was quite large, sometimes almost obscuring the ligule, whilst in other species it was very small, thus providing an easily observed diagnostic character. Marsden (1976 a) noted that the labium in $I$. coromandelina was large and broad, and had probably been confused with the ligule by some earlier authors (eg. Pfeiffer, 1922).

A revision of features of the ligule and labium in Isoetes was published by Goswami in 1976. Goswami stressed the importance of the labium which he noted had previously been largely ignored.

In the material examined in this study the labium was found to be consistently large and broad in I. coromandelina, I. indica and I. pantii, but was very small, or almost non-existant in other species. Thus the labium appears to be a most useful diagnostic character.

The ligule however was not as consistent in form as the labium in many of the species included in this study. The ligules were often very small and difficult to observe, and were frequently lost from mature leaves or damaged during the drying of herbarium specimens. Also variation was observed in the size and shape of the ligule in some species (eg. I. muelleri). For example, there was found to be a continuous range of variation in the size of the ligule, from $5-7 \mathrm{~mm}$ long in I. neoguineensis to $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long in $I$. 'brevicula' and shape from elongate-triangular in I. neoguineensis to deltoid in I. hopei and reniform in I. australis. The shape and size of the ligule may be useful for distinguishing species at the extremes of these ranges, but other characters have proved to be more useful in the compilation of the species key.

### 4.4 Scale leaves (or bud scales)

Osborn (1922) first described scale leaves from I. drummondii. The possible taxonomic usefulness of the occurence of these scales was recognised by Duthie (1929). Wanntorp (1970) also described scale leaves (in I. kersii) but did not discuss the taxonomic significance of these.

Scale leaves are apparently produced to protect the delicate leaf primordia on the corm apex in those species which survive by dying back during dry periods. These scale leaves.are usually shed when growth of the leaves commences, and their persistence in $I$. drummondii was considered to be an important feature distinguishing this species from the closely related I. tripus by the late Mrs E.R.L. Johnson (Hj. Eichler, pers. comm.)

The production and persistence of scale leaves has been found to be of limited value as a diagnostic feature however, since they are frequently lost during washing of specimens prior to pressing or preserving.

### 4.5 Sporangial Characteristics

Braun (1863) observed that the shape and size of the sporangia in Isoetes appeared to vary only slightly between species and therefore were probably not useful as diagnostic features. He considered that the pigmentation of the sporangial walls was a more useful feature, and he noted that, although most species had unpigmented sporangial walls, in some species the sporangial walls were spotted with small patches of dark cells (eg. I. tripus) whilst in others the wall was pigmented all over. Braun also. considered that the extent to which the velum covered the sporangium was useful for differentiating between species.

Only brief details of sporangial characters were included by Baker (1880, 1887) and Motelay and Vendryes (1883) in their descriptions of species, although both these authors used the presence or absence of the velum, and the extent of the velum coverage of the sporangia as a key character in species diagnosis.

Clute (1905) concluded that the velum may "be of minor importance in distinguishing species" but did not discuss this or other sporangial features in detail. In contrast with this view, Pfeiffer (1922) considered the degree of velum coverage of the sporangium to be an important diagnostic character which she used extensively in the key to species included in her monograph. Pfeiffer also noted spotting and pigmentation of the sporangial walls in some species, but did not use this feature.

The presence or absence of the velum was the only sporangial character used taxonomically by Duthie (1929) when revising the South African species of Isoetes.

Parker (1943) noted that differing habitat conditions did not produce any significant differences in the velum or sporangia in $I$. engelmannii. However under varying environmental conditions, Matthews and Murdy (1969) found a continuous range of coverage of the sporangia by vela varying from $12 \%-100 \%$ in the I. piedmontana - I. melanospora complex. They found that the velum coverage of the sporangia could vary from $30 \%-70 \%$ within a single plant.

Velum coverage of sporangia has been used as a key character by Jermy (1964) and Wanntorp (1970), and Hall (1971) also suggested the velum features as of possible taxonomic use in Isoetes. Hall, however, considered that sporangial size and shape showed sufficient intraspecific variation that these features were not always reliable as diagnostic characters. Hall also noted the usefulness of the thickening of sporangial wall cells in diagnosing $I$. tenuifolia Jermy in Hall, and the variation in sporangial wall colour between some of the species recorded from Ghana.

Variation in the amount of coverage of the sporangia by vela was noted for I. muelleri (Marsden, 1976 b) even within individual plants. Marsden also recorded a wide range of variation in sporangial size, and variation in the pigmentation of the sporangial wall, in this species.

The shape of sporangia has been found to vary between outer and inner sporophylls of I. coromandeZina by Marsden (1976 a). This characteristic has also been observed in several other species examined (eg. I. drummondii var. drummondii, I. tripus, and I. pantii) whilst the sporangial shape is relatively constant throughout plants of most species (eg. I. gunnii, I. australis, I. 'attenuata' and I. neoguineensis). This feature may be useful taxonomically but except for this, the shape of the sporangia is not here considered to be useful as a taxonomic character.

The size of the sporangia also appears to be of limited taxonomic use since there appears to be a continuous range of size of sporangia and depauperate or young plants of species normally producing large sporangia (eg. I. coromandelina) may sometimes produce quite small sporangia, similar in size to those produced by some of the smaller species.

The velum appears to be the most useful sporangial feature in taxonomy of Isoetes. Despite the variation in velum coverage observed in some species (especially I. mueZleri) which restricts the use of that aspect, the presence or absence of the velum appears to be constant within any species. Nine of the species included in this study were observed to produce vela. De Vol (1972 a) recorded a rudimentary velum in I. taiwanensis, however he appears to have interpreted the labium as a velum in longitudinal sections of the base of the sporophylls and his photograph (Plate III c) clearly shows no velum present in that species.

The colouring and thickening of the sporangial wall cells appear to be a reliable characteristic in most species. In some species all the sporangial wall cells are heavily thickened so that the cell lumens are almost totally occluded (eg. I. japonica, fig. 295; I. 'attenuata', fig. 296 and I. drummondii, Osborn, 1922). These species always have darkly pigmented sporangial walls. In other species the sporangia are spotted with small groups of pigmented cells, which were found to have thickened walls, although they were not as heavily thickened as the species above (eg. I. tripus, fig. 297 and I. mongerensis, fig. 298). The majority of species, including all those producing vela, have no thickening of the cell walls (eg. I. neoguineensis fig. 299 and I. humilior fig.300). These species may however have darkly pigmented sporangial walls. The pigmentation of the sporangial walls is variable in a few species (eg. I. mueZZeri) but has been found useful as a taxonomic character in the species studied, especially when coupled with the thickening of the sporangial wall cells.

All of these characters are easily observed in fresh, spirit preserved or dried specimens, but apply only to mature sporangia as pigmentation or thickening may not have developed in immature sporangia.
4.6 Megaspore characteristics

The large size of Isoetes megaspores and the consequent ease of observation of their characteristics, using relatively low magnifications, has resulted in widespread use of this character in the taxonomy of the genus.

Megaspores were described in detail by early workers studying Isoetes and features such as size, colour and the
ornamentation of the perispore were frequently recorded. Although Braun (1863) used habitat characteristics to subdivide the genus, he noted that megaspore features appeared to be important diagnostic characters. Later Braun (1868) used megaspore size and colour as key characters when comparing the Australian species.

Baker (1880, 1887) and Motelay and Vendryes (1883) also used megaspore features as diagnostic characters. When questioning many of the other characters commonly used in Isoetes taxonomy, Clute (1905) noted that megaspore markings appeared to be consistent within species and suggested that this character was likely to be less influenced by environmental variation than most other morphological features.

In 1922 Pfeiffer proposed a subdivision of Isoetes based entirely on megaspore ornamentation, and used this feature as the major character for separation of species in her monograph. Although she considered the sculpturing of the siliceous perispore as the most important megaspore feature, Pfefffer also used the colour and size of the megaspores in her taxonomic treatment of the genus and published light micrographs of the megaspores of many of the species described.

Since Pfeiffer's monograph the study of megaspores has become basic in Isoetes taxonomy. Although infraspecific variation in megaspore features has been recognised (Duthie,1929; Matthews and Murdy, 1969; Marsden, 1976b), megaspore morphology has continued to be widely used as an important diagnostic character (eg. Alston, 1959; Pant and Srivastava, 1962; Jermy, 1964; Goswami and Arya, 1970; Taylor et al., 1975).

Recently scanning electron microscopy has added a new dimension to the study of spore characteristics (Wanntorp,1970; Taylor et al., 1975; Marsden, 1976a; 1976b) and scanning electron micrographs have been included in this study for all species for which suitable material has been available.

The following features of megaspores described hereunder have been examined for each species studied:
a) Polymorphism of megaspores
b) Megaspore diameter
c) Megaspore colour
d) Megaspore shape
e) Perispore ultrastructure
f) Surface ornamentation
g) Ridges.
4.6a. Polymorphism of Megaspores

Polymorphism of megaspores from within individual sporangia was first recorded by Braun (Wanntorp, 1970) and has been well documented for several species (Jeffery, 1937; Pant and Srivastava, 1962; Goswami and Arya, 1970; Hall, 1971; Marsden, 1976a; 1976b). Due to previous confusion in terminology used to describe different types of megaspores the following groupings have been proposed (Marsden, 1976b):

Type I megaspores:
Almost spherical in shape, nucleate and containing large quantities of fats and oils and other storage products; usually fertile.

Type IIA megaspores:
Somewhat flattened and usually triangular in outline, enucleate and almost totally devoid of storage compounds; infertile.

Type IIB megaspores:
Flattened and triangular in outline, enucleate, and lacking any storage compounds; infertile. (Thus far only recorded for two species, I. pantii Goswami and Arya and I. indica Pant and Srivastava.)

Type III megaspores:
Irregular, dumb-bell shaped megaspores, usually appearing like parts of two Type I megaspores fused or joined together by one or more
tubular connections, probably bi-nucleate and containing storage products; possibly fertile. (Occur only in very low frequencies in sporangia containing Type I and Type IIA megaspores.)

The nature of the different spore types is discussed by Marsden (1976b).

Type I megaspores are produced by plants with monomorphic megaspores, although these appear to be haploid as compared to the apparently polyploid Type I megaspores produced by plants bearing polymorphic megaspores.

Production of polymorphic megaspores in Isoetes is apparently linked with polyploidy which causes disruption of regular meiotic division of the megaspore mother cells. All species which produce polymorphic megaspores, and which have been examined cytologically, have been found to be polyploid eg.
I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina-3n=33+1,4n=44+1
(Pant and Srivastava, 1965)
I. dixitei - 2n $=44$ (Ladha, 1977)
I. drummondii var. anomala $-4 n=44,5 n=55$ (this study)
I. indica $-2 n=44$ (Pant and Srivastava, 1965)
I. mueZZeri $-2 n=22,4 \mathrm{n}=44,5 \mathrm{n}=55$ (Marsden, 1976b)
I. panchananii - $2 \mathrm{n}=44$ (Pant and Srivastava, 1965)
I. pantii $-2 \mathrm{n}=36,2 \mathrm{n}=44+1$ (Goswami,1975)
I. sampathkumarani - $2 n=66$ (Ninan, 1958).

Diploid species (eg. I. 'attenuata', I. drumondii var. dmumondii) have been found to produce Type I megaspores only, except for a single population of I. mueZZeri (Marsden, 1976b).

The taxonomic usefulness of polymorphism in megaspores is limited by the fact that two of the species studied have been found to produce both monomorphic megaspores and polymorphic megaspores, each
from separate populations. Most species, however, are only known to produce either monomorphic (eg. I. australis, I. neoguineensis, and I. japonica) or polymorphic megaspores (eg. I. coromandelina and I. 'cristata'). This character is easily observed and has been found useful as a diagnostic feature.
4.6b. Megaspore diameter

For the purposes of comparisons, only Type I megaspores are considered because only this type of megaspore occurs in all taxa. Where they are produced, Type II megaspores appear to follow the same size trends as the Type I megaspores, but Type II megaspores are only found in a few species. Type III megaspores have also not been considered as these appear to be very variable in size, even within individual sporangia, and also only occur in a few of the species studied.

Although dimorphism of megaspores was known to Braun (Wanntorp, 1970), diameter ranges in most cases were only recorded for the Type I megaspores. Type II megaspores appear to have been sometimes overlooked as abortive Type I megaspores (eg. Duthie, 1929).

Although Braun $(1862,1863,1868,1869)$ recorded megaspore diameter ranges for many species, his data was subsequently overlooked by Baker (1880, 1887). Clute (1905) noted that megaspore size remained more constant under varying environmental conditions than other size characteristics of Isoetes plants, and Pfeiffer (1922) made extensive use of this feature in her key to species. This character has subsequently been frequently used as a diagnostic character (eg. Duthie, 1929; Alston, 1959; Jermy, 1964; Goswami and Arya, 1970; Wanntorp, 1970).

Figure 344 shows a plot of the size ranges for Type I megaspores for the species included in this study. There is continuous overlap between species and no distinct diameter size
groupings are apparent. However the megaspore sizes have still been found taxonomically useful in this study; for example, the megaspores of I. hopei and I. elatior are always larger than those of I. 'brevicula.' Megaspores diameters are easily measured in either fresh or dried material.

When plots of the megaspore diameter ranges and microspore length ranges (fig. 345) were compared, it was noticed that there appeared to be a correlation between these two characters. The mean megaspore diameter was plotted against the mean microspore length (fig. 346) and the line of best fit calculated using linear regression analysis. When the correlation coefficient was calculated, and a t - test applied to the data, this was found to be significant at the $5 \%$ level. Thus it appears that the megaspore and microspore sizes of each of the species are correlated, indicating that they have both been influenced by simmilar factors during evolution of species.
4.6c. Megaspore colour

Isoetes megaspores are normally white when dry, and if the spores are mature, dark grey or green when moistened. In a few species however, the megaspores may become stained when mature, and appear dark even when dry. This feature has been used by some authors (eg. Durieu de Maisonneuve, 1864; Braun, 1868; Duthie, 1929; Goswami and Arya, 1970) as a diagnostic character. Isoetes meZanospora Engelman is thus named because of the staining of its megspores.

In recent years the colour of dry megaspores has been found to be highly variable in some species (Matthews and Murdy, 1969). Among the species examined in this study, only a few were found to produce pigmented or stained megaspores, which were observed to vary
greatly in colour in some species (eg. in I. australis, I. 'brevicula' and $I$. dmumondii var. dmumondii.) The origin and function of pigmentation of the megaspores is not known, but pigmented megaspores have only been observed in aged sporangia, and both pigmented and non-pigmented megaspores were usually present on each individual plant examined. The colour of the pigmentation on staining varies from dark grey to brown. Because of its variability, this feature is considered to be of little taxonomic significance in the species studied.

Pfeiffer (1922) used differences in the colour of wet megaspores to separate species, and noted that a few species had megaspores which remained pale in colour even when wet. In all species included in this study it has been found that all but immature megaspores appeared dark when wet, and hence this character was used as a test for maturity of the megaspores before preparation for scanning electron microscopy. Immature megaspores may also be easily recognised by their translucent appearance when viewed under transmitted light and usually by lack of cell contents in dry spores when fractured.
4.6d. Megaspore shape

Isoetes Type I megaspores are usually more or less spherical in shape, however in I. 'inflata' the megaspores are distinctly lobed, and slightly flattened (fig. 217, 221) and this character alone is sufficient to distinguish I. 'inflata' from all other known species of Isoetes.
4.6e. Perispore ultrastructure

The technique of scanning electron microscopy as well as facilitating the examination of the gross ornamentation of the megaspore surface, has enabled the study of the fine details of the
perispore to be carried out. Pettitt (1970) examined the ultrastructure of the megaspore wall of $I$. engermannii using transmission electron microscopy, but this did not show the nature of the perispore surface. In 1970, Wanntorp published the first scanning electron micrographs of Isoetes megaspores, including details of the surface fine structure. Wanntorp noted two distinct types of perispore surface fine structure among the species examined:
(i) a loose cobwebby structure (I. kersii Wanntorp)
(ii) a dense net work with thick, uneven strands (I. erongensis Wanntorp, I. giesii Launert and I. alstonii Reed and Verdcourt). From these observations Wanntorp concluded that "sporoderm characters will probably be valuable in future taxonomical work on this difficult genus."

Robert et al. (1973) made a detailed investigation of the megaspore walls of $I$. setacea Bosc. ex Delile using both light and electron microscopy. They noted that the perispore, which was composed of pure silica, was covered with numerous minute, twisted spinules. Taylor et al. (1975) obseved that whilst I. melanopoda and I. butleri Engelm. appeared to be very similar in the gross ornamentation of their megaspores, these species could easily be distinguished by scanning electron microscopy of their spores. The fine structure of the surface of the megaspores of $I$. butleri was similar to that described by Wanntorp (1970) for I. kersii whilst I. melanopoda was more like I. setacea, and had dense spinules covering the perispore.

The fine structure of the perispore of I. coromandelina megaspores was examined by Marsden (1976a) and was also found to be similar to that of $I$. kersii and I. butleri. I. coromandelina produces Type IIA and Type III megaspores in addition to the Type I megaspores, and these were observed to all have very similar surface
ultrastructures:

The surface ultrastructure of the perispore of I. mueZZeri megaspores were also examined by Marsden (1976b) and this was found to be similar to those of I. melanopoda and I. setacea, although the size and density of the spinules was observed to be highly variable. Again in I. mueZleri the Type I, Type IIA and Type III megaspores were found to be consistent in their perispore surface ultrastructure.

Marsden (1976b) examined the development of perispore surface structure to determine whether or not the variation observed in I. mueZZeri was due to differences in megaspore maturity. Marsden observed that the characteristics of the perispore were formed very rapidly as the megaspore matured, and subsequently did not change significantly. Consequently it was concluded that the variation in I. muelZeri was not due to age differences in the spores examined.

The megaspore perispore fine structure has been studied in detail for all but two species in_cluded in this study (I. dixitei and I. taiwanensis). Three main groupings were apparent:
(i) cobwebby or spongy surface, often compacted into a flat meshwork on the apices of the raised features of the ornamentation (eg. on the apices of tubercles)
examples: I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina (Marsden, 1976a)
I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata (Marsden, 1976b)
I. indica (fig. 22)
(ii) close network or meshwork, often almost closed and becoming minutely punctate in appearance
examples: I. australis (fig. 46, 48)
I. hopei (fig. 60)
I. guennii (fig. 56)
(iii) a meshwork covered in minute spinules of varying densities examples: I. Kirkii var. flabellata (fig. 96)
I. muelleri (fig. 104; Marsden, 1976b)
I. sampathkumarani (fig. 162, 166)
I. neoguineensis (fig. 206, 208, 212)

Scanning electron micrographs of African species of Isoetes have also been available for study (Jermy, pers. comm.) and these have also been found to conform to these three groupings.

Some intergradation between the three groupings has been observed (eg. in I. muelleri), but most species could be clearly assigned to one of the groups. The megaspores of I. 'inflata' are included in the second group of species, but could possibly represent an early stage in the development of cobwebby surfaces, with some of the meshwork around the tubercles on the proximal faces raised up from the surface of the megaspores (fig. 218). The megaspores of I. 'inflata' also show a curiousstriated surface pattern between some of the tubercles on the distal faces (fig. 222) which has not been observed in any other species.

Megaspores representative of each of the three surface types described were fractured to examine the internal structure of the perispore. Some of the results are shown in figures $213,214,215$ and 216, however a complete range of photographs could not be obtained due to charging problems experienced with the fractured spores during examination in the scanning electron microscope. The fine structures. visible on the outer surface were found to be only a few microns deep in all the spore types, and were laid down over a layer of granular siliceous material. The chemical composition of the perispore was determined using a secondary $X$ - ray analyser attached to the scanning electron microscope, and the results were found to agree with the
with the observations of Robert et al. (1973) that the perispore is made up of silica.

The species producing megaspores which bear a spongy or cobwebby perispore surfaces constitute the most distinct group which shows close similarities in many other features (discussed later) and includes species from India, Africa and Australia.

Considerable intergradation has been observed between plants with meshlike and spinulose types of surface even within individual species (eg. I. mueZZeri, Marsden, 1976b). The spinulose perispore types appear to have developed from meshwork types with many stages of evolution still apparent in extant material. Although the spinules exhibit a wide variety of forms (fig. 52, 68, 84, 96, 114, 128,138 ) they all appear to have developed from similar meshwork type perispores which are visible under the spinules. Such forms are considered as a single group at the present time.

A granular surface structure was observed in one specimen of $I$. echinospora ssp. asiatica (fig. 18) but this appears to be atypical for this species (fig. 16) and apparently represents a meshwork surface clogged with amorphous granular silieous material.

The use of megaspore perispore fine structure characteristics for general taxonomic purposes must be limited by the availability of scanning electron microscope facilities at the present time. Although some intraspecific variation occurs, this feature has already been observed to be a useful diagnostic character in some species which are otherwise difficult to separate (Taylor et al.,1975) and may be of greater value in the future study of interspecific relationships.
4.6f. Surface ornamentation

Megaspore ornamentation has been one of the most widely used taxonomic characters for Isoetes. Because of the large
size of the megaspores, the gross ornamentation is readily visible using only a low power hand lens. In early studies of Isoetes (Braun, 1862; 1863; 1868; 1869; Baker, 1880; 1887; Motelay and Vendryes, 1883) details of megaspore sculpturing were often recorded in descriptions of species, but were not considered when subdividing the genus.

Clute (1905) noted that megaspore (and microspore) ornamentation was one of the most reliable diagnostic characters for Isoetes, but this character did not become prominent in taxonomy of the genus until Pfeiffer used megaspore markings as a basis for separation of taxa within the genus. Since that time the use of megaspore ornamentation as a diagnostic character appears to have become almost universal (Fassett, 1940; Reed and Verdcourt, 1956; Alston, 1959; Pant and Srivastava, 1962; Jermy, 1964; Reed, 1965; Goswami and Arya, 1970; De Vol, 1972a). Nethertheless, some difficulties have occured in the application of Pfeiffer's classification to species where the megaspore ornamentation has been observed to be very variable (Duthie, 1929; Marsden, 1976b).

Discussion of megaspore ornamentation has been restricted to the Type I megaspores which are the only type produced by most species. The ornamentation of the Type II and Type III megaspores usually closely resembles that of the Type I megaspores in the same species.

Matthews and Murdy (1969) noted that many of the problems associated with the taxonomic use of megaspore ornamentation for Isoetes arose from the multiplicity of descriptive terms used in relation to this feature, and hence attempted to limit the number of terms applicable to this feature. The wide range of megaspore ornamentation types observed in the species examined in this study indicates a necessity for a wider descriptive terminology than used by

Matthews and Murdy (1969).
Photographs of the megaspores of some species were used by Pfeiffer (1922) in an attempt to provide adequate spore descriptions, but unfortunately the light microscope photographs produced did not show satisfactory detail. Clear scanning electron micrographs now provide adequate illustration of Isoetes megaspores when used in conjunction with detailed descriptions. Megaspores from 27 of the 29 species examined are illustrated in figures $13-222$.

Megaspores were divided into four groups (echinate, reticulate, tuberculate and cristate) by Pfeiffer (1922) based on surface ornamentation patterns. A fifth group (psilate) was added by De Vol (1972a). Considerable intergradation exists between these three groups, with intraspecific variation observed within a few species (eg. I. muelleri, fig. 97 - 111) spanning as many as three of Pfeiffer's groups. Despite this variation, megaspore ornamentation remains one of the most useful diagnostic characters for species of Isoetes, and some species (or subspecies) may be identified or at least assigned to a small group of species on the basis of this feature alone (eg. I. echinospora ssp. asiatica, I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata, I. habbemensis, I. 'pusilla', I. philippinensis and I. 'cristata' etc.)

Of the groupings of megaspore types, the echinate group is the most distinct. Only one species belonging to this group has been observed in this study, but other species with echinate megaspores occur in the northern hemishere, in North America and Europe (Love, 1962). Little intergradation exists between echinate megaspores and those of the other types, although almost a complete range of intergradation from psilate through tuberculate and cristate to reticulate megaspores has been observed. Considerable variation in form also occurs within each type of megaspore; eg. in the tuberculate
species the tubercles may vary from being large and globular
(I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata) to being small and shallow (I. australis). The inter-relationships between megaspore ornamentation types and the development of this character are dicussed later, however the grouing of megaspore ornamentation types into five groups of Pfeiffer and De Vol appears to be artificial in most cases.
4.6 g Megaspore ridges

As in some other megaspore features, the discussion of the megaspore ridges is restricted to those of the Type I megaspores. The ridges of Type III megaspores are usually very similar in form to the equivalent Type I megaspores, but Type II megaspores may differ considerably in these features.

Except for occasional reference in descriptions of a few species (eg. Palmer, 1932; Alston, 1959) the characteristics of the tri-radiate and commissural ridges of Isoetes megaspores were neglected as possible diagnostic characters until Pant and Srivastava (1962) used these features for distinguishing between some of the Indian species. Goswami and Arya (1970) gave a comparative account of these features for five species and used the characteristics of the ridges extensively for comparison of species.

Both the tri-radiate and commissural ridges have been found to vary markedly between species and these features are easily observed using light microscopy.
(i) Tri-radiate ridge

The tri-radiate ridge of Isoetes megaspores vary from thin and blade-like (I. australis, fig. 50 and I. japonica ssp. sinensis, fig. 195) to broad and shallow (I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata, fig. 31, 32 and I. kirkii var. alpina, Fig. 75).

The ridge may be even in thickness and relatively smooth
(I. tripus, fig. 129) or very irregular (I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata, fig. 36; Marsden, 1976a, fig. 5). In I. indica the tri-radiate ridges may be bifurcated at the ends (fig. 19) and this feature alone is sufficient to distinguish this species.
(ii) Commissural ridge

The commissural ridge shows similar variation to that obsefved in the tri-radiate ridge. The commissural ridge varies from broad and pronounced (I. joponica ssp. sinensis, fig. 195, 196) to shallow and narrow (I. elatior, fig. 65 and I. 'brevicula', fig. 67, 70). Occasionally the commissural ridge is so shallow that it appears as only a scar around each megaspore (I. kirkii var. alpina, fig. 75).

The commissural ridge also varies from being smooth and more or less straight (I. habbemensis, fig. 139 and I. 'caroli', fig 140) to distinctly crenulate (I. indica, fig. 21).

Where the tri-radiate ridge adjoins, the commissural ridge is usually expanded into small acute points (eg. I. 'brevicula', fig. 70) although these are lacking in a few species (eg. I. 'attenuata', fig. 133, 135).

All of these ridge feature show a continuous range of variation as occurs in other characteristics of megaspore ornamentation, however the ridges appear to be at least as consistent within species as is the megaspore vestiture and have been found useful as supporting diagnostic characters. The form of the commissural ridge has been used in the taxonomy of species included in this study.

### 4.7. Microspore characteristics

The use of microspore features has largely been overshadowed by the megaspore features in Isoetes taxonomy. Microspore characteristics were frequently included in species
descriptions (e.g. Braun, 1863; 1868; Baker, 1880; Palmer, 1927) but details of microspores were not used as diagnostic characters.

Pfeiffer (1922) noted that microspore size ranges and possibly ornamentation and colouring might "be used to advantage" as diagnostic features, but she considered that the study of megaspores had many advantages over that of microspores.

The first detailed comparison between Isoetes microspores was made by Knox (1950). Knox described the microspores of forty species of Isoetes including details of their size, shape, ornamentation and the nature of the margin. On the basis of these species she proposed a division of the genus into three groups characterised by the microspore markings. She noted that the proposed division of the genus bore no apparent relation to the division proposed by Pfeiffer (1922) based on megaspore ornamentation, but argued that microspore characters might be equally as suitable for subdividing as Pfeiffer's megaspore characters.

Despite Knox's study, microspores have continued to be neglected in Isoetes taxonomy, however the advent of scanning electron microscopy has facilitated the study of these spores despite their small size. Wanntorp (1970) first published a scanning electron micrograph of an Isoetes microspore, although he ignored the microspores in his discussion of relationships between the species.

Taylor et al (1975) compared the microspores of $I$. butleri and I. melanopoda scanning electron microscopy, and found that the microspores as well as the megaspore surfaces were suitable for distinguishing between these two very similar species.

Scanning electron micrographs have been prepared for twenty of the species included in the present study (figs. 223-294). Microspores are not known or are very rarely produced in I. 'mongerensis',
I. pantii, I. indica, I. sampathkumarani, I. panchananii and I. 'cristata' and no mature microspores of I. 'brevicula' were available.

The ornamentation of the microspores was found to be considerably more consistent within the species than the ornamentation of the corresponding megaspores, although a few species did show some variation in microspore markings (e.g. I. drumondii var. drummondii, fig. 237-242). Thus the microspore ornamentation appears to be generally more reliable as a taxonomic character, but this character is of restricted diagnostic value since high magnification microscopy, preferably with a scanning electron microscope, is required. However, microspore ornamentation was found to be the best character for separating a few of the taxa studied (e.g. I. japonica ssp. sinensis and I. japonica ssp. japonica).

The size of microspores was measured and the length ranges are plotted in figure 345. The ranges of microspore lengths were found to divide into two groups:
(i) greater than $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ( 5 taxa)
(ii) less than $35 \mu m$ (17 taxa).

Only two species (I. mueZleri and I. tripus) varied across these two groups. Thus it appears that microspore length might be a valuable diagnostic character for the group of species studied. The microspore length is easily measured using light microscopy with medium power magnification. The size grouping of microspores does not conspicuously correlate with any other features although the microspore lengths and megaspore diameters were found to be significantly correlated (see under discussion of the megaspores).

Microspore colour was not observed to be useful as a diagnostic
feature. The microspores were noted to vary from grey to reddish or dark brown, but wide colour variations were observed within some species
(eg. I. drummondii var. drummondii and I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina).
4.8. Habitat

Early authors (Braun,1863; 1868; Baker, 1880; 1887; Motelay and Vendryes, 1883) used habitat extensively as a taxonomic character. Clute (1905) suggested that this feature was impractical as a taxonomic character, and Pfeiffer (1922) noted that this character was quite variable in some species. A few authors, however, have continued to use habitat features as a taxonomic character (eg. Mahabale, 1938; Shende, 1945).

Several of the species examined in this study were found to occupy diverse ranges of habitats (eg. I. muelleri and I. japonica ssp. japonica) and habitat is considered to be of very limited usefulness as a taxonomic character. (This character is discussed further in the section on subdivision of the genus.)

### 4.9. Cytology

Whilst lamenting that it appeared to be impossible to devise a natural classification for Isoetes, Williams (1943) noted that cytological data might be of some taxonomic usefulness when such data became available. Table 2 lists all known records of chromosome counts for Isoetes. Chromosome numbers are shown for Twelve of the twentynine species studied, however the record for $I$. humilior appears to be in error since the locality of the origin of the specimens is in Western Australia, and I. humilior is apparently endemic to Tasmania. This record is probably of I. muelleri which is widespread in Western Australia, and which has frequently been confused with $I$. humilior. Several Australian species, in addition to those listed in table 2. were collected fresh, but were not suitable for preparation of chromosome counts.. Some did not grow well in culture, whilst others

TABLE 2 - CHROMOSOME NUMBERS RECORDED FOR ISOETES

| Species | Chromosome number | Author |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. 'attenuata' | $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ | (this study) |
| I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina | $\begin{aligned} & 2 n=22+1 \\ & 3 n=33+1 \\ & 4 n=44+1 \end{aligned}$ | Abraham and Ninan (1958); Ninan (1958) <br> Abraham and Ninan (1958); Verma (1960, <br> 1961); Pant and Srivastava (1965) <br> Pant and Srivastava (1965) |
| I. dixitei | $2 \mathrm{n}=44$ | Ladha (1977) |
| I. dmummondii var. drummondii <br> I. drummondii var. 'anomala' | $\begin{gathered} 2 n=22 \\ 4 n=44 \\ 5 n=55 \end{gathered}$ | Marsden (1973); (this study) <br> (this study) <br> Marsden (1973) |
| I. echinospora ssp. echinospora <br> I. echinospora ssp.asiatica <br> I. echinospora ssp. muricata var. braunii | $\begin{aligned} & 2 n=22 \\ & 2 n=c a \cdot 100 \\ & 2 n=22 \\ & 2 n=24-26 \end{aligned}$ | Ekstrand (1920) <br> Ehrenberg (1945); Manton (1950) <br> Tatuno (1963) <br> Dunlop (1949) |
| I. engelmanii | $n=11$ | Wagner and Wagner (1966) |
| I. humizior* | $\mathrm{n}=22$ | Love (1975) |
| I. indica | $2 \mathrm{n}=44+1$ | Pant and Srivastava (1965) |
| I. japonica | $\begin{aligned} 2 n & =43-45 \\ n & =33 \end{aligned}$ | Takamine (1921) <br> Yuasa (1935) |
| I. kirkii var. kirkii <br> I. kirkii var. alpina <br> I. kirkii var. flabellata | $\begin{aligned} & 2 n=22 \\ & 2 n=22 \\ & 2 n=22 \end{aligned}$ | (this study) <br> (this study) <br> (this study) |
| I. Zacustris | $\begin{aligned} & 2 n=110 \\ & 2 n=c a .110 \end{aligned}$ | Rychlewski and Jankun (1972) Jermy (1964) |
| I. muelleri | $\begin{aligned} & 2 n=22 \\ & 4 n=44 \\ & 5 n=55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marsden }(1976 \mathrm{~b}) \\ & \text { Marsden }(1976 \mathrm{~b}) \\ & \text { Marsden }(1976 \mathrm{~b}) \end{aligned}$ |

* probably I. muelleri (see text)

TABLE 2 - CHROMOSOME NUMBERS RECORDED FOR ISOETES (CONT'D)

| Species | Chromosome <br> number | Author |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| I. meZanospora | $22=22$ | Matthews and Murdy (1969) |
| I. panchananii | $2 \mathrm{n}=44+1$ | Pant and Srivastava (1965) |
| I. pantii | $2 \mathrm{n}=36$ <br> $2 \mathrm{n}=44+1$ | Goswami (1975) <br> Goswami (1975) |
| I. piedmontana | $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ <br> $2 \mathrm{n}=44$ | Matthews and Murdy (1969) <br> Matthews and Murdy (1969) |
| I. sampathkumarani | $2 \mathrm{n}=66$ | Abraham and Ninan (1958), Ninan (1958) |
| I. setacea | $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ |  |
| 2 n 100 | Jermy (1964); Love and Love (1966) <br> Jermy (1964) |  |
| I. taiwanensis | $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ | De Vol (1972) |
| I. tenuifolia | $2 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{ca} .58$ | Hall (1971) |
| I. tuckermannii | $2 \mathrm{n}=44$ | Love (1976) |

only produced very fine roots (eg. I. australis, I. 'caroli' and I. 'brevicula').

From table 2 it can be seen that chromosome numbers alone are of little taxonomic value as almost all species show a base number of $n=11$, although numerous polyploids have been recorded, and this consistency of chromosome base number limits the usefulness of such data in the investigation of phylogenetic relationships between species. I. hystrix recorded by Manton (1950) as having $2 \mathrm{n}=20$ chromosomes is probably an aneuploid.

This consistency of chromosome base number is somewhat surprising in such an apparently ancient and widespread genus, whilst the closely related genera Lycopodium and SelagineZZa both exhibit wide diversity in their chromosome numbers (Foster and Gifford, 1974).

The incidence of polyploidy correlates with the polymorphism of megaspores (see section 4.6). Polyploidy also appears to supress the production of microspores, as these have been observed to be very rare in polyploid species such as I. muellemi (Marsden, 1976b), I. coromandeZina (Verma, 1961; Pant and Srivastava, 1962; 1965), I. sampathkumarani (Sharma, 1959b) and I. indica (Pant and Srivastava, 1962; 1965).

Megasporogenesis has only been studied in detail in a few species (eg. I. echinospora ssp. asiatica, Tatuno, 1963; I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina, Verma, 1960; 1961; Pant and Srivastava, 1965; I. japonica, Yuasa, 1935 I. macrospora, Jeffery, 1937; I. indica, Pant and Scrivastava, 1965; and I. panchananii (Pant and Srivastava, 1965). These studies have indicated that failure of the second meiotic division of the nuclei in polyploid species results in the production of tetrads consisting of two nucleate (diploid, Type I) and two enucleate (TypeII) megaspores. Type III megaspores are apparently produced when two of the megaspores in the tetrad do not separate resulting in "twin"
megaspores. The formation of these spores has been studied in detail in I. macrospora by Jeffery (1937). Megasporogenesis has not been studied in detail for either of the species known to produce Type IIB megaspores (I. pantii and I. indica), and thus the formation of these megaspores as distinct from Type IIA megaspores is not yet understood.

The diploid Type I megaspores produced by irregular meiotic division in polyploid species have been found capable of germination without the presence of microspores, indicating apomixis in these species (eg. I. muelleri, Marsden, 1976b; I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina, Verma, 1961 and I. macrospora, Jeffery, 1937)

A few diploid populations of usually polyploid species have been found to produce polymorphic megaspores (eg. I. muelleri, Marsden, 1976b and I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina, Ninan, 1958). This has been attributed by Ninan (1958) and Verma $(1960,1961)$ to hybridisation, although Ninan (1958) concluded that diploid plants of I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina were structural hybrids.

Only one attempt has been made to utilise cytology in taxonomy of Isoetes, by Love (1962) who examined the morphology and cytology of the $I$. echinospora complex in an attempt to establish distinct karyotpyes. Love concluded that the species examined did not show any significant differences in chromosome morphology and noted that the chromosome number was consistently $2 \mathrm{n}=22$. On the basis of . this information and the morphological similarities observed in the four species examined, Love grouped these species as subspecies of I. echinospora. Love's study did not however include any comparisons with species not included in the $I$. echinospora complex, and thus the uniformity observed in chromosome morphology within the complex may be of less significance if there is also little variation in chromosome morphology throughout the genus as a whole. Love also did not illustrate the chromosomes observed in his study so that
comparisons with other species are not possible.
4.10. Infrageneric classifications.

Following an increase in the number of $\underset{\lambda}{f}$ rognised species of Isoetes in the mid-ninteenth century, Braun (1847) proposed a system of subdivision of the genus based on plant habitat. In 1862, Gennari proposed a division of the genus into three separate genera:

Isoetes L.
Isoetella Gennari
Cephaloceraton Gennari.
Gennari's division was based on megaspore onnamentation, sporangial shape and leaf characters, but was rejected by Braun (1863) who claimed that the groups recognised by Gennari were not distinct and consequently that the genera were artificial.

Braun (1863) redefined his earlier subdivision of the genus based on habitat and recognised three sections:

Aquaticae
Amphibiae
Terrestres
based on both habitat and leaf characteristics. Baker (1880) added an additional grouping and changed the ranking of Braun's sections (see Table 3). Motelay and Vendreys (1883) again revised this system (Table 3) and reorganised the sections into two groups; Aquaticae and Terrestres. These groups were also based on leaf anatomy. Eaton (1908) again changed the system recognising Braun's original three sections, with one additional section Palustres.

West and Takeda (1915) rejected all the previous classifications as being "both unnatural and arbitrary," claiming that these systems were for the most part based on "very unstable morphological characters." They further pointed out that some species, especially

| Braun (1863) | Brker (1880) | Motelay and Vendreys (1883) | Eaton (1908) | West and Takeda (1915) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sect.1 Aquaticae | Gr.1 Aquaticae | Gr.1 Aquaticae Sect.1 Submersae | Aquaticae |  |
| Sect.2 Amphibiae | Gr.2 Sub-aquaticae | Sect.2 Palustres | Palustres |  |
| Gr.3 Amphibiae |  | Sect.3 Amphibiae | Amphibiae | Eu-Isoetes |
| Sect.3 Terrestres | Gr.4 Terrestres | Gr.2 Terrestres | Terrestres | Cephaloceraton |

Table 3 - Habitat classification systems for subdivisions of Isoetes.
I. japonica, may exhibit characteristics of up to three of the proposed sections of earlier authors. Consequently they united these three sections under the name Eu-Isoetes, which included all the aquatic, semi-aquatic and amphibious species, and renamed the other section (Terrestres) as Cephaloceraton.

In 1922 Pfeiffer monographed Isoetes and attempted a new approach to subdivision of the genus based on megaspore morphology. Although megaspores had been used in classification of Isoetes for some time, Pfeiffer was the first to propose a division of the genus into the following four sections based solely on megaspore ornamentation: Tuberculatae Pfeiffer Cristatae Pfeiffer Reticulatae Pfeiffer and Echinatae Pfeiffer.

The type species of the genus, I. Zacustris, was included in Cristatae and under Article 22 of ICBN this section must therefore be named Isoetes.

A fifth section, Psilatae, was added to Pfeiffer's subdivision by De Vol (1972a) but this name must be rejected as a nomen nudum under Article 35 of $\operatorname{ICBN}$ as it was not validated by a latin description.

Pfeiffer's megaspore classification has received wide acceptance and has been commonly used by subsequent authors, however several species which could be placed in more than one section were noted only a few years after the monogroph was published (Duthie, 1929) and this type of variation has also been for some of the species included in the present study (eg. I. muel,Zeri). Such species suggest that this type of classification is to some extent arbitrary, and that the megaspore characters may be little more consistent in this regard
than the vegetative characters.
Pfeiffer (1922) also noted that microspore characters might be "used to advantage" in classification of Isoetes. Because of their small size in relation to the megaspores and the need of high power microscopy for examination, microspores have tended to be overlooked at that time. Finox (1950) proposed a system of subdivision of Isoetes based on microspore morphology in which three groups, namely:
I. echinospora group,
I. adspersa group, and I. hystrix group,
were recognised on the basis of microspore ornamentation. Knox noted that this system showed no correlation with the megaspore groupings of Pfeiffer (1922). Division of the genus using microspore features has not yet been fully investigated, however such a division is not considered to be taxonomically useful as it is also likely to be arbitrary and incomplete because microspores are unknown for some species of Isoetes.

The only feature of Isoetes which appears to be reliable for use as the basis of a subdivision of the genus is the presence or absence of the velum. This character has been observed to be consistent within each of the species examined, unlike the characters used previously for subdivision of Isoetes.

Within the species examined, those producing vela appear to constitute a natural group of species, distinct from the non-velate species, but it is felt that a more comprehensive range of species should be examined in detail before any new infrageneric subdivision is proposed, based on this character.

### 5.1. Introduction.

Three species have not been included in the species descriptions below: I. hysophita, I. mirzapurensis and I. sahychrii.
I. hysophila has been excluded since the original diagnosis of this species (Handel-Mazzetti, 1923) did not include sufficient details of the morphology to permit detailed comparisons with other species to be made, and the type specimens, the only collection known for I. hysophila, were found to be immature.

The original description of I. sahyadrii (Mahabale, 1938) was more comprehensive than that of I. hysophiza, but it was still insufficient for detailed comparisons with other species. This species is only known from the type collection which has apparently been lost.

As with the two preceeding species, the description of I. mirzapurensis (Panigrahi and Dixit, 1966) is inadequate for detailed comparisons with other species. No specimens of this species were available for study.

No specimens of the undescribed species mentioned by Flenley and Morley (1978) from Sumatra were available for examination.
5.2. Generation of the key to species.

Initial studies into a key for the taxa included in this study were performed using a key generating computer program (KEY) prepared by Dr. M.J. Dallwitz of C.S.I.R.O., Division of Entomology. This program allowed for inter-taxon variability and contained provision for weighting of characters.

The computer studies were mainly intended to evaluate which characters would be most useful for preparation, with the constraint that those characters which were considered more reliable and easy to observe were given preferential weighting. The key to species below is the result of modification of the computer generated key considered to be most practical.

1a. Plants without vela over sporangia.

2 b . Leaves not as above.
3a. Megaspores lobed..................................... I. inflata
3b. Megaspores not lobed.
4a. Leaves distichous.............................. I. austraZis (3)
4b. Leaves spirally arranged.
5a. Internal hairs present in lacunae, megaspores polymorphic.

6a. Commissural ridges crenulate and/or irregular or Type I megaspores.
7a. Tubercles narrow, $\pm$ conical or pointed, tri-radiate ridges on Type I megaspores frequently bi-furcated..... I. indica
7b. Tubercles very large and globular, triradiate ridges on Type I megaspores never bifurcated........... I. coromandelina ssp.macrotuberculata.
6b. Commissural ridges on Type I megaspores straight.

8a. Types I, IIA, IIB and III megaspores present, 2-corm lobes larger than third..I. pantii (6)

8 b . Types I, IIA and III megaspores present, all corm lobes of approximately equal size. ................. coromandelina ssp . coromandelina (5a)
5b. Internal hairs absent, usually only Type I megaspores present.

9a. Stomates present on apical portion of leaves at least.

10a. Type I megaspores only present, microsporangia usually present.
11a. Peripheral fibre strands present.
12a. Megaspores reticulate, ornamentation
deep, as high as tri-radiate ridges.
13a. Microspores smooth. .....I. japonica ssp. japonica (7a)

13b. Microspores setose or papillate. .....I. japonica ssp. sinensis (7b)

12b. Megaspores tuberculate or with short cristae formed by confluence of tubercles.

14a. Microspores with dense conical spines on both proximal and distal faces......I. attenuata
14b. Microspores farinose or rarely tubercled on distal face only............ I. dmumondii var.dmumondii (9a)

11b. Peripheral fibre strands absent.
15a. Leaves $15-90$, $\pm$ trapezoidal in transverse section, stomates few............................... taiwanensis (10)
15b. Leaves 8-20, semi-circular or triangular in transverse section, stomata numerous.
16a. Sporangial wall cells all pigmented and thickened.
....................... I. drummondii var. drummondii (9a)
16b. Sporangial walls pigmented and thickened in patches only......................... tripus
10b. Type I and Type IIA or mostly Type III megaspores produced, microspores very rare.

17b. Sporangial walls pigmented and thickened all over, mostly Type III megaspores produced....I. drummondii var. anomala

9b. Stomates absent.
18a. Megaspores tubercled or smooth.
19a. Megaspores less than $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, plants very small,
less than 5 cm tall............................. brevicula (13)
19b. Megaspores greater than $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter, plant larger than
5 cm tall.
20a. Megaspores with numerous small tubercles, less than $700 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
in diameter................................ elatior. (14)
20b. Megaspores smooth, greater than $700 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter.
............................................... hopei.
18b. Megaspores reticulate or cristate.
21a. Megaspores densely reticulate, ornamentation as high as main ridges.....................................I. neoguineensis (16)
21b. Megaspores ornamentation open and shallow.
22a. Plants small with few leaves.........I. caroli
22b. Plants large, leaves numerous.
23a. Ieaves very long flexose, megaspores mostly smaller than $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter..........I. philippinensis (18)

23b. Leaves short, less than 30 cm long, megaspores mostly larger than $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter.
24a. Megaspores cristate with few tubercles on the proximal faces.............I. habvemensis (19).
24b. Megaspores evenly reticulate. .I. stevensii

1b. Plants with vela partly or wholly covering sporangia.
25a. Leaves stiff, rigid, thick, lacunar walls more than 6 cells
thick............................................. I. hrumitior (21)
25b. Leaves not as above.
26a. Peripheral fibre strands present, sporangial wall thickened in patches...................................... I. dixitei (22)

26b. Peripheral fibre strands absent, sporangial walls not thickened at all.
27a. Megaspores echinate.............I. echinospora ssp.asiatica
27b. Megaspores smooth, tuberculate cristate or reticulate.
28a. Stomates absent (or very rare).
29a. Megaspores smooth... I. kirkii var.alpina (24c)
29b. Megaspores tuberculed.
30a. Leaves spirally arranged on corm. ................ I. kirkii var. kirkii (24a)

30b. Leaves flabellately arranged on corm. ........... I. kirkii var. flabellata (24b)

28b. Stomates present, usually numerous.
31a. Type I megaspores only produced, microspores produced.
32a. Megaspores with rounded ornamentation. .......................... I. mueZzeri (25)

32b. Megaspores with shallow angular ridges. ......................... 1. pusizza (26)

31b. Types I, IIA and III megaspores produced, microspores very rare.
33a. Commissural ridges on Type I megaspores crenulate, cristae on distal faces rather inflated....... I. cristata (27)

33b. Commissural ridges straight, where distal faces cristate, cristae not inflated.

34a. Ornamentation angular, triradiate ridges higher than wide. .............. panchananii (28)
34b. Ornamentation rounded, tri-radiate ridges wider than high. 35a. Plants from Australia. .............I. mueZzeri (25)

35b. Plants from India. .......I. sampathkumarani (29)

### 5.4. Descriptions of species.

The species descriptions below each begin on a new page to

1. Isoetes gunnii A.Br., Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, (1868), 535 (1868); Baker, J. Bot. Lond. 19, 66 (1880); Notelay and Vendryes, Actes Soc. Jinn. Bord., $\overline{3} 6,347-348$ (1883); Baker, Handbk., Ferm Allies, 124 (1887); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 9, 124, fig. 14 (1922); Wakefield, Fems of Vic. and Tas., 65 (1955); Aston, Aquatic Pl. of Aust., 34 (1973); Jones and Clenesha, Aust. Ferms and Fern AlZies, 35, fig. 4d (1976).

Syn. I. sp. Hook., FZ. Tas. 2, 128 (1860).
I. Iacustris non L. auctor Rodway, Tas. Fl., 279 (1903).

Calamaria gunnii (A.Br.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl.2, 828 (1891-93).
DESCRIPTION:- Submerged aquatic herb. Corm distinctly 3-lobed, very
large, 2-4 cm across, remains of old leaves dark, persistent on corm. Roots dark, coarse. Leaves up to $60,3-12$ (15) cm tall, erect, mostly slightly curved, thick, rigid and hard, crowded in spiral over top of corm, deep green with white bases, frequently edged in brown. Upper part of leaves slightly flattened on adaxial face, circular in transverse section (fig. 301), tapering gradually to acute apex or sometimes with obtuse rounded apex. Peripheral fibre strands absent but outer mesophyll cells with thickened walls (fig. 315), stomata and internal hairs absent. Trans-lacunar diaphragms not visible through leaves, lacunar wall $6-10$ cells thick (fig. 316), stele poorly developed with single intra stelar canal. Leaf bases expanded into thick wings, often brownish at edges sometimes becoming thin and translucent at extreme edges, wings extending $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ along leaf, gradually tapering. Ligule very short and broad, approximately $1 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$, thick and dark with cordate base, usually at edge of sporangium. Labium not produced. Velum absent. Sporangia orbicular to ovobate, up to $6 \times 8 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 50-150 megaspores. Sporangial wall two cell layers thick, dark brown, outer layer thickened such that the cell lumens are almost totally occluded, inner layer not thickened. Megaspores Type I only produced, very large 620 - 900 um in diameter, white or grey when dry, smooth
(fig. 54, 57) or covered with distinct, small, low, tubercles on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 55) surface of
megaspores covered by flat meshwork, becoming almost punctate (fig. 56) or granulose (fig. 58). Tri-radiate and commissural ridge narrow, low, almost straight (fig. 55) with slight points produced in commissural ridge where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 57). Microspores dark brown, 28-35 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 23-30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, distinctly granulose on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 228, 229, 230).

LECTOTYPE:- Tasmania, Lake St. Clair, R.C. Gumn 1563. 7.i.1841, (K). ISOTYPES:- as above, (B. NSW).

TYPIFICATION:- No holotype was designated by Braun, but elements of the Type collection by R.C. Gunn were located in Kew (K), Berlin (B) and Sydney (NSW). The Kew specimens were chosen as lectotype as these were the most complete specimens and also bore a label apparently in Gunn's handwriting as well as annotations in Braun's hand.

DISTRIBUTION:- Known only from sub-alpine lakes in Tasmania. A map showing the known distribution is shown in fig. 337.

ECOLOGY:- Growing submerged in 15 cm to several metres of water in cold sub-alpine lakes of Tasmania. Plants of $I$. gunnii are perennial with sporophylls retained for several seasons resulting in alternating rows of mega- and micro-sporophylls with one row of each added every year. Usually the dominant macrophyte growing in the lakes. Plants often up-rooted and fragmented by water fowl. Some vegetative growth of plants occurring by new growth occurring on lobes of corm. Occasionally growing with I. humizior e.g. in Lake St. Clair and Shannon Lagoon.

NOTES:- Isoetes gronnii is readily distinguishable from other species
occurring within the study area by its hard, rigid, thick leaves, its robust form and its lack of a velum. The megaspores of I. gronnii are among the largest recorded for any species of Isoetes. Dr. Curtis from Hobart University noted that there are two distinct forms of I. gumnii, one very common form with relatively compact corms and leaves with acute apices and another form (from Lake Augusta and Shannon Lagoon) with very large spreading corms and thicker leaves with rounded apices (Curtis, pers. comm.). Both these forms were examined in detail, and in addition to the features mentioned, the latter form has been found to have wider lacunar walls and much more heavily thickened epidermal cells than the common form. However in all other features such as size and shape and ornamentation of spores, sporangia, stomates etc., the two forms were indistinguishable. Furthermore specimens from Lake St. Clair (including the Types) were found to be intermediate between the two forms and consequently both forms are included as I. gronnii.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 62 collections examined.

## REPRESENTATIVE COLLECTIONS:-

TASMANIA:- Lake Augusta, I.J. Edwards and W.R. Barker 1028, 5.i. 1971 (AD); Clemes Tarn, Mt. Field N.P., Hj. Eichler 16765, 23.i.1960 (AD); Lake Johnson, Mt. Read Summit, L.S. Gibbs 6441, Dec. 1914 (BM); L. Echo, L.S. Cibbs 6733, Jan. 1915 (EM); Lake St. Clair, R.C. Gumn 1563, 7.i.1841 (B, NSW, K) (Type; Arthur's Lake, R.C. Gumn, 18.ii. 1843 (NSW); Crater Lake, Cradle Kountain, L.A.S. Johnson, 28.i.1949 (NSW); Shannon Lagoon, S. end Creat Lake, C.R. Marsden ond R.J. Chinnock 132, 1.xii. 1974 (AD); Lake St. Clair, C.R. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock 132, 1.xii. 1974 (AD); Pine Lake, N. end Great Lake, C.R. Larsden and R.J. Chinnock 155, 2.xii. 1974 (AD); Lake Dove, Cradle Nountain N.P., C.R. Narsden and R.J. Chinnock 156, 4.xii. 1974 (AD); Lake Dobson, C.R. Mareden and R.J. Chinnock 160, 6.xii. 1974 (AD); Clemes Tarn. R. Neluille 2327, 12.xii. 1952 (K, MEL); La Perouse, F.A. Rochory 110ミ1, Dec. 1898 (NSW); Lake Petrach, F.A. Roculcy IIO92, Dec. 1917 (HSW); Mt. Field, L. Rocwary 268, Dec. 1893 (HO ); Lake Fenton, L. Rochway, Dec. 1002 (HO ); Creat Lake, L. RochJay, Sept. 1914 (HO ); Lake near Mt. Lord N.P., L. Roducy, Jan. 1924 (HO ); Tarn on Worbat Moor N.P., O. Rochuay 113, 27.iii.1932 (HO ); Lake Pedder, P. TyZer, 13.iii. 1971 (AD); Small Lake N. Lodden Range, P. Tyler, 13.iii. 1971 (AD); Lake Dobson, J.H. Willis, 11.xii. 1952 (MEL).
2. Isoutes infiatr E.R.L. Johnson mss.

DESCRIPTION:- Small aquatic herb. Corm distinctly 2-1obed, upto 2 cm long, constricted slightly at centre, $\pm$ bi-conic. Roots, fine, brownish. Leaves $4-8,1-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, erect, in two ranks along centre of corm, bright green with pale bases often with minute, dark brown apex. Distal portion of leaves $\pm$ cylindrical, slightly flattened on adaxial side (fig. 303), slightly swollen above sporangial level, tapering abruptly to acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands, stomates and internal hairs absent. Translacunar diaphrams not visible through leaf except in the pale base; lacunar wall 4 - 5 cells thick (fig 319), stele small, poorly developed with single, small, intra-stelar canal. Leaf bases slightly expanded, 3-4mm across at base, wings translucent at extreme edges only, truncated abruptly, just above ligule position. Scale leaves not produced. Ligule very small, $\pm$ semi-circular, $1.0 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, base often covered by sporangium. Labium not produced. Velum absent. Sporangia very small, $\pm$ orbicular, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diam., megasporangia containing only 8-24 megaspores. Sporangial wall scarcely translucent, pale brown; wall cells not thickened. Megaspores; Type I only produced, 350-440 um in diam, sometimes stained when mature but usually white. Megaspores lobed (fig 217,221), proximal faces with distinct tubercles (fig. 217), proximal surface covered with irregular, matted, fibrous meshwork (fig 218,219) but tubercle apices almost smooth (fig. 218). Distal face almost smooth except for ends of lobes which are tuperculate (fig. 220,221), surface almost smooth except between tubercles where surface is striate (fig.222). Tri-radiate ridges thin and blade-like, verrucose (fig. 217,220). Commissural ridges very narrow e:xcept where tri-radiate ridges adjoin and commissural ridges are produced to obtuse points (fig. 217,221). Microspores dark brown, minutely spinulose (E.R.L.

Johnson, mss) or tuberculate (fig. 245,246), 35-40 um x 27-32 um. HOLOTYPE:- Western Australia, near Lake Monger, C.A. Gardner, Aug. 1958 (AD).

ISOTYPES:- as above (AD).
DISTRIBUTION:- Restricted to granitic outcrops in south-western
Western Australia. Distribution map shown in fig. 335.
ECOLOGY:- I. inflata grows in temporary rock pools on granitic outcrops. Plants commence growth when pools fill with water during winter and die back to corms when water dries up in summer. Corms are buried in soil at bottom of pools with only rows of leaves showing. Corms are perennial with all the leaves dying off each season. No vegetative propagation has been noted for this species. Often grows in association with Glossostigma species or as the only macrophyte present in rock pools.

NOTES:- This species appears closely related to another granite outcrop species $I$. australis, but can be distinguished from this, and all other species of Isoetes, by the peculiar lobed megaspores, characteristic of $I$. inflata. Although unique among the known species of Isoetes, lobed megaspores are found in numerous Pteridophytes, including some Lycopodirm species.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only 7 collections seen,
WESTERN AUSTRALIA:- n. Lake Monger, C.A. Gardner, Aug. 1958 (AD)
(Type); Lake Earlee, N.G. Marchont 16.ix. 1962 (AD,UWA); Elachbutting, E. of Muckinoudin, N.G. Marchant, 16.ix. 1962 (AD, UWA); N.W. of Morowa, N.G. Marchant, 17.viii. 1964 (AD); cd 3.5 km S of Pithara, N.G. Marchant $71 / 304$ (AD, PERTH); $30 \mathrm{~km} E$ of Pithara, C.R. Marsden 216, 15.viii. 1975 (AD); Pithara, G.G. Smith, Aug. 1974 (AD,UWA).
3. Isoctes austritis $S$. Williams, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. B,
$\frac{62,1-8 ., ~ p l . ~}{1-3(1943) .}$

DESCRIPTION:- Small aquatic herb. Corm small, distinctly 2-1obed, slightly constricted at centre, $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ broad, lobes tapering towards ends. Roots thin, dark, wiry. Leaves up to $15,1-4(-8) \mathrm{cm}$ tall, erect or slightly recurved, in two ranks along corm. Leaves mid-green with white bases, distal portion $\pm$ cylindrical, slightly flattened on both adaxial and abaxial faces, tapering gradually to acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands, stomates and internal hairs absent. Trans-1acuncar diaphrams visible through leaf, especially towards the base; lacunar wall $\pm 3$ cells thick; stele small, with single intra-stelar canal. Leaf bases expanded into translucent, membranous wings, up to 8 mm across at base, extending short distance along leaf, not tapering above ligule, truncated abruptly. Scale leaves not produced. Ligule very small, reniform, up to $1 \times 0.75 \mathrm{~mm}$, frequently hidden underneath top of sporangium or lost from older leaves. Labium not produced. Velum absent. Sporangia $\pm$ orbicular, small, 1 - 3 mm in diam, megasporangia containing 12-50 megaspores only. Sporangial wall semi-translucent, cells not thickened or pigmented. Megaspores; Type I only produced, usually very dark when mature 300 - 500 um in diam, from almost smooth (fig. 45-49) to covered with small, distinct tubercles, never confluent (fig. 44,47,50). Surface of megaspores covered with matted irregular meshwork (fig. 46) which is almost smooth on tubercle apices (fig. 48). Triradiate ridges thin and blade-like, verrucose or rarely smooth, higher than commissural ridge (fig. $44,47,50$ ). Commissural ridge extended to point where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 44,45). Microspores brown, spinulose (fig. 291) or with peculiar cylindrical projections surmounted by finger-like projections (fig. $288,289,290$ ), 28-32um x 19-22um.

LECTOTYPE:- Western Australia, 27 km E. of Jura Railway Siding, Merriden - Bruce Rock Line, E.T. Bailey, 30.ix. 1934 (OXF)

SYNTYPES:- as above (BM); loc.cit., E.T. Bailey 22.vii. 1934 (BH. OXF); loc. cit., E.T. Bailey, 29.vii.1934(EM, OXF); loc. cit., E.T. Bailey, Aug 1934 (BM,OXF); loc cit., E.T.Bailey, 23.ix. 1934 (BM.OXF). TYPIFICATION:- Williams' description of I. australis was based mainly on specimens collected in 1930 from Bruce Rock. This material was sent to Prof. J. Walton by Miss A. Baird and was subsequently forwarded to Williams. However the collector of this material was not stated. Williams also referred to specimens collected in 1934 by E.T. Bailey from Bruce Rock. Thus these two collections must be regarded as syntypes as no Type collection was designated.

Mrs. Johnson has annotated as the lype, spirit specimens from the University of Western Australia (now at AD), collected by Swan and Drummond from Bruce Rock in 1930. This material cannot be confirmed to be part of the Type collection studied by Williams as the collector of the latter is not known. Furthermore, this material has been mixed at some stage with a separate collection of I. australis from Norman's Lake (about 145 km from Bruce Rock).

The collections of E.T. Bailey cited by Williams have been located however (BM, OXF). Bailey's collections were made from the same locality several times during 1934, and the last of these, coilected on 30.ix.1934, has arbitrarily been chosen as lectotype. The remaining collections (on the same sheets are therefore syntypes. The collection of Bailey on $30 . i x .1934$ at the Fielding Herbarium (OXF) has been chosen over the equivalent collection in the British Museum (BM) as this is the larger part of the original sheet and bears annotations apparently in Williams
own handwriting.
DISTRIBUTION:- Widespread on granite outcrop rock pools in southwestern Western Australia. Distribution map shown in fig. 334. ECOLOGY:- I. australis grows in temporary rock pools on granite outcrops. Plants commence growth when pools fill with water during winter and the leaves die back again when pools dry up in summer. Corms are perennial, surviving buried in soil between seasons. All leaves are lost each season. I. australis may be the sole macrophyte in rock pools, but frequently grows in association with Glossostigma species or I. caroli or I. brevicula.

Vegetative reproduction has been observed by fragmentation of corms (Johnson, mss), which may account for the dense colonies often found in small pools.

NOTES:- Isoetes australis is one of the most morphologically distinct species in the genus, with only one closely related species, I. inflata. The corm, which lacks a condensed central vasular core is very distinctive, as is the distichous arrangement of the leaves. Williams (1943) felt that the small size, the distichous phyllotaxy and the related anatomical features suggested that the plants may be thought of as showing a permanently juvenile condition as compared with other species. However I. australis has since frequently been found to grow to several times the size of the original specimens seen by Williams under suitable ecological conditions. The small plants appear to be restricted in size by environmental conditions such as water and soil depth and degree of exposure. Apparently this species has adapted to the shallow soil and harsh conditions of exposed rock pools.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED: - 54 collections examined.

WESTERN AUSTRALIA:- 27 km E of Jura Railway Siding, MerridenBruce Rock Line, E.T. Bailey, July-Sept. 1934 (BM, OXF) (Type); Wittenoon Hills, N.N. Donner 2893, 4.x.1968 (AD); Wongan Hills, C.A. Gardner 844a, 4.ix. 1924 (PERTH); Tuttanning Reserve, S.E. of Pingelly, A.S. George 10904, 5.ix.1971 (AD, PERTil); Stennet Rock, A.S. George 11030, 12.ix. 1971 (AD,PERTH); ca 58 km S.E. of Perth on Albany Hwy, A.S. George 11139, 10.x. 1971 (AD, PERTH); N. of 32 mile peg, Albany Hwy, A.S. George 11261, 2.iii. 1972 (AD, PERTH); Hyden Rock, J.O. Knignt, 12.viii. 1972 (PERTH); Jilakin Rock, N.G. Marchant 70/267 (AD); Kwolyin Rock, N.G. Marchant 70/269 (AD); Tandegin Rock, N.G. Marchant 70/316 (AD); Duracutting Rock, N.G. Marchant 70/321 (AD); Nungarin Hill, N.G. Marchant 70/362 (AD); 470 mile peg S . of Norseman, N.G. Marchant 71/525, 18.ix. 1971 (AD, PERTH); Lucy Rock, N.G. Marchant 71/618, 21.ix. 1971 (PERTH); Mt. Madden, C.R. Marsden 206, 9.viii. 1975 (AD); Mt. Gibb, C.R. Marsden 207, 9.viii. 1975 (AD); Graham Rock, C.R. Marsden 208, 10.viii. 1975 (AD); Bruce Rock, C.R. Marsden 217, 16.viii. 1975 (AD); Mt. Hampton, C.R. Marsden 222, 17.viii. 1975 (AD); Jilbadgie Rock, C.R. Marsden 224, 17.viii. 1975 (AD); Yorkakine Rock, G.G. Smith, 7.x. 1962 (AD,UWA); Middle Is., Recherche Archipelago, J.H. WiZlis, 22.xi. 1960 (MEL); High Is., Duke of Orleans Bay, P.G. Wilson 8191, 2.x. 1968 (PERTH).
4. Isoetes indica Pant and Srivastava, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India

Sect. B., 28 246-251, Fig. 4-7, p1. 14-16 (1962);
Goswami and Arya, J. Indian Bot. Soc., 49, 32-33 (1970).

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm large, up to 2.5 cm across, 3-(4-) lobed, lobe distinct. Roots mid-brown, medium to thin. Leaves up to $35,8-55 \mathrm{~cm}$ long (mostly $30-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) $\pm$ erect, bright green with white bases, distal portion $\pm$ cylindrical with adaxial face flatted, apex acute. Peripheral strands present, 4-6 main strands with numerous smaller strands. Stomates and internal hairs present. Lacunar walls 1 - 2 cells thick, translacunar diaphrams visible through the leaf. Leaf bases expanded into translucent, membranous wings, $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across, tighly imbricate, wings extending several cm along leaf, gradually tapering. Ligule cordate, longer than broad, apparently lost on older leaves. Labium not observed. Velum absent. Sporangia obovate, $5 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $4 \times 19 \mathrm{~mm}$, Sporangial wall pale, wall cells not thickened. Megaspores Types I, IIA and IIB occuring within individual sporangia. Type I megaspores 450 - 640 um in diam, proximal and distal faces with distinct conical tubercles (fig. 19, 20, 21, 24), spore surface between tubercles cobwebby (fig. 22), flat and almost smooth on tubercle apices (fig. 23). Tri-radiate ridges about as broad as high, frequently bifurcated at ends (fig. 19, 20, 21), mostly straight. Commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges, crenulate (fig. 20, 21). Type IIA megaspores 400-510 um In diam, flattened, similar in ornamentation to Type I megaspores (fig. 25,26 ) but tri-radiate ridges never bifurcated. Type IIB megaspores 90 - 380 um in diam., usually with only one tubercle per proximal face but otherwise like Type IIA megaspores. Microspores not available for study.

HOLOTYPE:- India, Village Ram Nai, Rewa, Madya Pradesh D. D. Pant, 13.xi. 1960 (K n.v.)

ISOTYPES:- as above, (CAL n.v. DD, DUH n.v., K, LE n.v., MO, Allahabad University Herbarium n.v.)

DISTRIBUTION:- only recorded for two localities in Madhya Pradesh, India.

ECOLOGY:- Amphibious species growing in shallow ponds and marshes. I. indica gregariously intermixed with $I$. panchananii and I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina.

NOTES:- The description of this species is mainly based on the published description by Pant and Srivastava (1962) and the description of the megaspores by Goswami and Arya (1970) as only isotype material was available for study and this could not be dissected.

This species is very closely related to $I$. coromandeZina, differing only in megaspore morphology. Further discussion of this species group is included in the next section.

SPECIMENS. EXAMINED:- Only isotype specimens seen.
5. Isoetes coromandelina L.f. Supp. Pl., 447 (Z78Z); Braun, Verh. Bot. Ver. Brandenb. III, 3-4, 327 (1862); Baker, J. Bot. Lond. 18, 109 (1880); Motelay and Vendryes, Actes. Soc. Linn. Eond. 36, 380. fig 7 (1883); Baker, Handbk Ferm Allies, 182 (1887); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. Pfl. - fom. I(4), 778 (1902); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 109-110, fig 2 (1922); Pant and Srivastava, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B, 28, 251-253 fig. 8 pl. 24 (1962) Marsden, Contrib. Herb. Aust. 24,1-10 (1976)
Syn. I. brachygZossa A. Br. Verh. Bot. Ver. Brandenb. III, 4, 328 (1862); Baker, J. Bot. Lond., 28, 109 (1880); Motelay and Vendreys, Actes Soc. Linn. Bord., 36, 377-378, pl. 15 (1883); I. capsularis Griffith (non Roxb.) Posth. Papers Cryptog. pl. 572-575 (1849);

Calomaria coromandelina (I.f.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. PZ. 2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm 3-(4-5) lobed, up to 1.5 cm in diam., leaves completely covering top of corm so that lobes are not always obvious until corm is sectioned. Roots medium on fine, pale brownish. Leaves 15-60, up to $60(-80) \mathrm{cm}$ long, erect, bright green, with white bases, upper portion of leaves flattened on adaxial face (fig. 311), tapering to acute apex. 4 strongly developed and numerous accessory peripheral fibre strands (fig. 311). Stomates and internal hairs present. Lacunar walls 1-2 cells thick (fig. 326), translacunar diaphragms visible through leaf; stele strongly developed with 3-4 intra-stelar canals. Leaf bases expanded into translucent membranous wings up to 2 cm across at base, tightly imbricate. Ligule triangular, 2-3 mm long, often lost from older leaves. Labium large and conspicuous, hemi-orbicular, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, covering most of ligule, and persisting after ligule is lost. Velum absent. Sporangia orbicular to obovate, $7 \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $5 \times 12 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 100-300 magaspores. Sporangial wall not pigmented, wall cells not thickened. Megaspores Type I, IIA and III produced.

5a. ssp. coromandelina.
DESCRIPTION: Megaspores: Type I 470-660 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam. Type IIA $350-460 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam. Types I and III megaspores covered with low, rounded tubercles (fig. $31,32,33$ ) on both proximal and distal faces. Megaspores surface cobwebby between tubercles, tubercle apices covered by close network (Marsden, 1976a, fig. 8, 10). Tri-radiate ridges thick, absent as broad as high, smooth (fig. 31, 32). Commissural ridges thinner than tri-radiate ridges, only slightly expanded where commissural ridges and tri-radiate ridges fuse (fig. 31). Type IIA megaspores also tuberculate (fig. 34, 35), surface as for Type I and Type III megaspores, except cobwebby surface less pronounced. Microspores rare, reddish or pale, smooth, rugose papillate (Knox, 1953) or echinate (fig. 261, 262), $26-32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ x 20-25 $\mu \mathrm{m}$.

HOLOTYPE:- India, Coromandel Coast, Konig (LINN) (photograph seen). DISTRIBUTION:- Widespread throughout India, distribution map shown in fig. 343.

ECOLOGY:- Growing along the edges of lakes or pools, or in marshes. Often growing intermixed with other species of Isoetes (e.g. I. indica, I. pantii and I. panchononii).

NOTES:- Notes on I. coromondelina are given under ssp.
macrotubercuilata.
SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 29 collections examined.
REPRESENTATIVE COLLECTIONS:-
INDIA: ANDHRA PRADESH: Pakhal, Warangul District, A.N. Henry, 25.ii. 1963 (MH); Gabanapalam, West Godavari District K. Subramanyam, 25.i.1958 (MH); Ballapalle, Cuddapah District, J.L. Ellis, 23.ii. 1963 (MH).

MADHYA PRADESH: Kesla-Banglapore, Horshangabad District, J. éceeph, 23.vii.l961 (MH); Mohli Tank, Saugor District, N.P. Balakmisinan, 6.xi.1961 (MH); near Sankarghat Hill, Lagargawan, Satna District, K.M. Sebastine, 2l.ix. 1959 (MH); Rajbandha Lake Kanker, Bastar District. K. Subramanyom, 17.xi.1958 (MH); Shivipuri (collector unknown) (DD).

MADRAS: Melamadam forest, Tinipathur, Ramnad District, K. Romomurthy, 18.xii. 1964 (MH); Poovarasankudi, Trichirapally District, K. Ramamurthy, 29.ix. 1965 (MH); Aliyar, Coimbatore, K.M. Sebastine, 27.vii.l962 (MH); Perumalkoil Tank, Alagar Hills, Madwiai District, K. Subromanycm, l5.vi. 1957 (MH); Ilengi Tank, Courtallam, Tinnevelly District, K. Subramanyom, 28.vii.1957 (MH); Gandigan Lake, Salem District, E. VajraveZu, 4.xii. 1964 (MH).
MAHARASHTRA: Khandala, C. McCann, 4.ix. 1931 (BLAT); Khandala, Base of Bhoma Hill, H. Santapau, 2.ix. 1944 (BLAT); Khandala, Kuue Plateau, H. Santapau, 9.ix. 1944 (BLAT); Khandala, Old Lavavla Rd., H. Santapau, 5.viii. 1945 (BLAT).

ORISSA: Puri Const, Y.A. Rao 5923, 3.xii. 1965 (CAL).
PUNJAB: Meerut, S.N. Bhambie, 2955 (K): Mowana, Meerut, Y.D. Tyogi, 9.ix. 1949 (DD).

UTTAR PRADESH: Kalvari, Mirzapur District, M.B. RaZzada, Oct. 2946 (DD).

5b ssp. macrotuberculata C. Marsden, Contrib. Her. Aust. 24, 1-10 fig. $3,5,7,9,11,13,15,16,18,19,20,21,22,23,24$.

DESCRIPTION:- Megaspores; Type I 420-430 um in Diam., Type IIA 330-410 in diam. Types I and III megaspores tuberculate on both proximal and distal faces, tubercles much larger and more globose than ssp. coromandelina (fig. 36,37,42). Megaspore surface cobwebby between tubercles (fig. 38), but tubercle apices covered by flat close mesh-work (fig. 39). Tri-radiate ridges thick, about as broad as high, irregular (fig. 36). Conmissural ridges nearly as wide as tri-radiate ridges, irregular and slightly crenulate; slight pointed projections produced where commissural and tri-radiate ridges join (fig, 37). Type IIA megaspores also tuberculate (fig. 40,41); surfaces similar to Type I megaspores although cobwebby structure between tubercles less pronounced. Microspores not observed for this subspecies.

HOLOTYPE:- Australia, Northern Territory, Mt. Bundey Station, C. Dunlop 3293, 26.iv. 1974 (AD).

ISOTYPES:- as above (AD, BM, BRI, CANB, DNA, NT).

DISTRIBUTION:- Widespread across northern Australia. Distribution map shown in fig. 332 .

ECOLOGY:- Amphibious herb, growing totally or partially submerged in still or running water up to 50 cm . deep, or growing in wet marshy soil or swamps amongst grasses. I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata has been found co-existing with other species of Isoetes in two localities only; (i) with I. muelleri in the Kimberleys in Western Australia (A.C. Beauglehole 47902A) and (ii) with I. cristata (10 km S. of Jimmy's Creek in Northern Territory (C.R. Dunlop 4244).

NOTES:- The two subspecies of Isoetes coromandeZina are very similar but differ in the ornamentation of the megaspores. The megaspores of ssp. macrotuberculata have much larger tubercles than those of ssp. coromandelina (Marsden, 1976a) and the commisural ridge of Type I megaspores of ssp. macrotuberculata are thick and irregular, whilst those of ssp. coromandelina are narrower and almost smooth and straight. Since ssp. macrotuberculata was described (Marsden, 1976a), further collections have been examined, one of which (DunZop 4244) showed tubercles much larger than those previously observed. The tubercles on the Type I megaspores from this collection were often up to 150-200 um in diameter with usually only one very large tubercle $\pm$ a few small tubercles per proximal face, and only 6-12 very large tubercles per distal face. Both subspecies of $I$. coromandelina resemble I. indica and I. pantii, with which they may coexist. The inter-relations between these species are discussed in the following chapter.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 14 collections examined.

AUSTRALIA: NORTHERN TERRITORY: ca 3 km N . of Katherine, L.G. Adoms 7750, l2.iv. 1967 (CANB, MEL); Survey Ck, Daly River Area, N. Bymes 658, 2.v. 1968 (MEL, NT); Survey Ck, N. Bymes i925, 10.iii. 1970 (AD, MEL) ; Survey Ck, N. Bymmes 2072, 6.iv.1971 (AD, NT); Mt. Bundey Station, C.R. Dunlop 3293, 26.iv.1974 (AD, BA, BRI, CANB, DNA, NT), (Type); Arnhem Hwy, 3 km E. of Adelaide R., C.R. Dunlop 3688, 15.iv. 1975 (AD, DNA); Berrimah Downs, C.R. Dunlop 3693, 24.iv. 1975 (AD, DNA)' Phillip's Farm, Katherine, C.R. Dunlop 4201, 7.v. 1976 (AD, DNA); ca. $10 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{S}. \mathrm{Jimmy's} \mathrm{Creek}, \mathrm{C.R}$. 4244, 13.v. 1976 (AD, DNA); South Brolga, Tortilla Flats, Upper Adelaide R., A.O. NichoZZs, April 1967 (NT).

QUEENSLAND: Cooktown, T.S. Blake 2l834, 22.v.1962 (BRI); Iron Range, Cape York Peninsula, L.J. Brass 29228, 17.vi. 1948 (BRI, LE, TNS).
WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Galvins Gorge, Kimberleys, A.C. Beauglehole and G.W. Carr ACB 47902A, 25.vii. 1975 (MEL).
6. Isoetes panti.i Goswami and Arya, J. Indian Bot. Soc., 49,

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm 3- lobed, 2 lobes larger than third, tilted. Leaves $15-39,15-33 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, green, slender. Leaf bases expanded into translucent membranous wings, extending several cm along leaves. Stomata and internal hairs present; peripheral fibre strands numberous. Ligule tongue shaped, mostly hidden behind labium, usually lost on older leaves. Labium, large, hemi-orbicular, 3-4 mm across. Velum absent. Sporangia orbicular to oblong, $2-10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3-13 \mathrm{~mm}$ Sporangial wall pale, cell walls not thickened. Megaspores, Types I, IIA, IIB and III megaspores occuring within each megasporangia. Type I megaspores 480 - 600 um in diam. Type $I$ and Type III megaspores with few large rounded tubercles per proximal face (fig. 27) and large rounded tubercles, sometimes confluent, on distal faces (fig. 28, 43). Tri-radiate ridges narrow and high, semi-blade-like. (fig 27,28). Commissural ridges straight, narrow, low, only slightly produced to points where tri-radiage ridges adjoin (fig. 28). Types IIA and IIB megaspores compressed, 280-310 um and 70 - 110 um in diameter respectively, usually with a single rounded tubercle per proximal face (fig. 30) and crowded tubercles on distal faces (Fig. 29). Tri-radiate and commissural ridges straight (fig. 29, 30), triradiate ridges not blade - like as in Type I megaspores. Surface of all megaspores cobwebby between tubercles and with flat fine meshwork, almost smooth, on tubercle apices like I. indica and $I$. coromandelina. Microspores tri-morphic, not observed in this study, (see Goswami and Arya, 1970).

HOLOTYPE:- India, Narsinghgarh, Rajgarh, Madhya Pradesh, Rao BM n.v. ISOTYPES:- as above, D.D. n.v.; Botany Dept. Allahabad University n.v.; Government Degree College Narsinghgarh n.v.; Botany Department,

DISTRIBUTION:- Restricted to a few known localities in Mashya
Pradesh, India.
ECOLOGY:- Isoetes pantii grows along margins of ponds intermixed at the type locality with I. coromandelinassp. coromandelina and I. sampathkrmarani.

NOTES:- The description of this species is based largely on the type description as no whole specimens of I.pantii have been available for study. Additional information has been added based on sporophylls and spores received from Dr. Goswami.

This species very closely resembles I.coromandelina ssp. coromandelina and a detailed discussion on this species group is in the following chapter.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only three fragmented collections seen.
INDIA:- Madhya Pradesh, locality unknown, H.K. Goswami HKG - 8 1976 (ADU); Madyha Pradesh, Patchtalli, H.K. Goswami HKC -9, 1976 (ADU); Madhya Pradesh, locality unknown, H.K. Goswomi, 1976 (ADU). (These three collections consist of megasporangia and bottom portions of sporophylls only.)
7. Isoetes japonica A. Br., Verh. Bot. Ver. Brandenb. III, 乌, 329 (1862); A. Br. Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin I, 459, (1861); Baker. Bot. Lond., 28,109 (1880); Motelay and Vendryes, Acts. Soc. Linn. Bord., 30, 360-361, pl. 11 (1883); Baker, त̈atiok. Fern Allies, 132 (1887); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. Pfl. fom 2 (4), 778 (1902); Makino, Bot. Mag. Tokyo, 28, 130-131 (1904); West and Takeda, Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond. Bot II, $\overline{8}$, 333-376, P1. 33-40 (1915); Pfeiffer. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 9, 208 (1922); Iversen, Dansk. Bot. Ark., 5, 2 (1928); Ohwi, FZ. of Japan, 38 (1965).

Syn. I. edulis Sieb. ex Miq., Prol. FZ. Jap., 390 (1867). Calamaria japonica (A.Br) Kuntze, Rev. Gen Pl. 2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm (2-) 3-1obed, 0.5-5.0 cm across, lobes distinct. Roots thick, pale brown. Leaves, 8-100(-200), up to 110 cm long, erect or re-curving, flaccid, bright green with white bases, upper portion of leaves flattened on adaxial surface, $\pm$ triangular in transverse section, adaxial lacunar slightly larger than abaxial ones (fig. 314). Peripheral fibre strands present, 4 main strands with occasionally 2 accessory strands. Lacunar wall mostly 2 cells thick, stele well developed, translacunar diaphragms clearly visible through leaf. Leaf bases expanded into translucent membranous wings $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, translucent narrow wings extending several cm along leaf margins above ligule, usually tapering abruptly. Stomata present and internal hairs absent. Ligule elongate - triangular, $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, $1.5-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, Labium not developed. Velum absent. Sporangia orbicular, oblong or elliptical, $2.5-4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3-9 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 80-150 megaspores. Sporangial wall pale and translucent or partially or wholly pigmented, wall cells heavily thickened (fig. 295). Megaspores: Type I only produced. Microspores present.

## 7a. ssp. japonica

DESCRIPTION:- Megaspores 440-660 um in diam, proximal faces irregularly crested (fig. 201, 203), deeply reticulate or distal faces (fig. 119,

202, 203), ornamentation as high as tri-radiate and commissural
ridges. Surface of spores flat, matted meshwork, appearing almost punctate (fig. 200). Tri-radiate and commissural ridges narrow and somewhat blade-like, largely obscured by ornamentation of faces
(fig. 119, 201, 203). Commissural ridges straight or very finely crenulate, only slightly expanded into points where commissural ridges adjoin. Microspores pale brown, 28-32 um x 20-25 um, smooth (fig, 225, 226, 227).

HOLOTYPE:- Japan, Honshu, Yokohama, SchottmuZZer, Oct. 1860 (B).

DISTRIBUTION:- Widespread throughout Honshu, Japan. Distribution map shown in fig. 342.

ECOLOGY:- Aquatic or amphibious perennial growing partially or completely submerged. Leaves on larger specimens are apparently retained for more than one season resulting in alternating concentric rows of mega- and micro- sporophylls.

NOTES:- I. japonica ssp. japonica is the largest of all known species of Isoetes. Notes on this subspecies are included under ssp. sinensis

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 51 collections examined (including two living).

## REPRESENTATIVE COLLECTIONS:-

JAPAN:HONSHU: Kyoto Pref., Gamo, Schuchi-cho, Y. Araki 25650, 14.ix. 1940 (KYO, MAK); Shiga Pref., Hebi-mizo, Ichibe-mura, Gamo-gun, C. Hashimoto 7032, 10.viii. 1940 (KYO) Hyogo Pref., Imanaka, Sakiyamamura, S. Hosomi, l2.vii. 1937 (KYO); Mie Pref. Nagata, Ueno City, K. Iwatsuki 3529, 28.x. 1957 (KYO); Iwate Pref., near Takamatsu-ike, Morioko City, M. Kikuchi, 8.x. 1967 (TNS); Toyama Pref., Chokushiike, Ikeda-mura T. Koto 22, 16.x. 1938 (KYO); Inside Koishikawa Bot. Garden, Bunkyoka, Tokyo, T. Makino, July 1904 (MAK); Tokyo Pref., Wada, Suginami-ku, T. Makino 6.xi.l904 (KYO, MAK, US); Tokyo Pref; Kimaba, H. Muromatsu, May 2923 (TI): Hyogo Pref., Shizimi-cho, Miki-shi, Gen. Murata and H. Nishimura 352, 22.ix. 1968 (KYO, TNS); Iwate Pref, Morioka, T. Muroi, 20.vii. 1936 (KYO); Aomori Pref., Shiriya-zaki, H. Ohashi 4248, 30,vii. 1964 (TI); Aomori Pref., Mt. Hakkoda, Esuta-numa, H. Ohashi 68789, ll.vii. 1962 (TI); Chiba Pref., Komagaya, H. Ohba 627278, 7.x. 1962 (TI); Akita Pref., Wakimoto, Ogahanto, N. Satoni, L.ix. 1964 (MAK); Yokohama, Schottmuller, Oct. 2869 (Type); Mie Pref., Nakazato, Miyama-cho, Kitamuro-gun, K. Seto 22585, 6.vii. 1962 (KYO, OSA); Hyogo Pref., Ashiya, Mamasakacho, Mikata-gun, K. Seto 22825, 24.vii. 1963 (KYO, OSA); Nagano Pref., Iyari-iko, Ohmachi-shi, K. Seto 27875, 26.ix. 1968 (OSA);

Chiba Pref., Omachi, Ickikawa, K. Tagawa 3723, l.vi. 1969 (TNS);
Nishi-kamo village, Northern Kyoto, Y. Tonaka, Sept, 1895 (MAK); Nagano Pref., Kirigamine, H. Tobita, l9.ix.l936 (KYO); Aichi Pref., Ishi-maki, K. Torii 3842, 23.ix.1941 (KYO); Ibaragi Pref., Ishioka, K. Tsurumachi, 27.viii. 1920 (KYO); Akita Pref., Kawashima, Nishigo-mura, Y. Yuki, 15.vii. 1932 (KYO).

7b. ssp. sinensis (Palmer) C. Marsden comb. nov.
Syn. I. sinensis Palmer, Am. Fern J. 27, 112...(1928); Iversen, Dansk. Bot. Arkiv, 5, 1-4 text fig (1928); Steward, Man. Vasc. Pl. of Yangtze Valley China, 25 (1958).

DESCRIPTION:- Megaspores $330-460 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam. (Mostly 370-430 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ), proximal faces irregularly crested (fig. 189, 191, 195, 196), often with spines; distal faces irregularly crested to reticulate, usually with spiny projections from crests (fig. 187, 189, 190, 191, 193, 197), distal faces never as regularly reticulate as ssp. japonica. Megaspore surfaces usually covered with dense spinules (fig. 188, 189), sometimes with only sparse or short spines from matted meshwork (fig. 192, 194). Tri-radiate and commissural ridges thin and blade-like (fig. 189, 191, 195, 196, 107), usually straight. Commissural ridge only slightly expanded into points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin. Microspores pale, 28-32 um x 20-25 um, covered with small conical spines or rarely tuberculate (fig. $255,256,263$ ), never smooth.

LECTOTYPE:- China, Kiangsu Provinse, Spirit Valley Nanking. A.N. Steward 2253 9.vi. 1922 (US).

SYNTYPES:- as above, (PH; Herbarium, Bureau of Science, Manila, n.v.). loc. cit., E.D. Merrill 27352 (KYO); Herbarium, Bureau of Science Manila n.v.).

TYPITICATION:- The two collections, by E.D. Merrill and A.N. Steward, are apparently from the same collection of $9 . v i .1922$, and therefore both are included as parts of the type collection (Palmer, 1927), Palmer, however, did not nominate a holotype from the four type specimens listed by him. Consequently the collection
by Steward at the Smithsonian Institution (US) is hereby
nominated as lectotype. In addition to the syntypes noted by Palmer an additional specimen collected by Merrill is lodged at Kyoto University (KYO).

DISTRIBUTION:- I. japonica ssp. sinensis occurs over a wide area including eastern China, South-eastern Honshu, Shikoku and Kyushu in Japan. Distribution map is shown in fig. 341.

ECOLOGY:- I. japonica ssp. sinensis is amphibious, growing either submerged in lakes or in temporary seasonal ponds among sedges and grasses.

NOTES:- When Palmer described I. sinensis (Palmer, 1927) no comparison was made with $I$. japonica. Iversen (1928) who compared I. japonica, I. sinensis, I. echinospora and I. hypsophila suggested that I. japonica was a very variable species and that $I$. japonica and $I$. sinensis were closely related.

During this study I. sinensis has been found to resemble I. japonica very closely, except for differences in megaspore and microspore morphology. The megaspores of $I$. japonica are more regularly reticulated than those of $I$. sinensis and have a peculiar papery appearance when viewed dry by reflected light microscopy which is lacking in the latter species. I. sinensis megaspores often have large projections from the reticulated ornamentation and are usually covered by sparse or dense spinules on the surface. Both of these features are absent in I. japonica. The microspones of $I$. japonica have been found to be smooth whilst those of $I$. sinensis are either spiny or tuberculate. Except for these differences the character observed for $I$. sinensis are encompassed by the ranges observed for the more variable I. japonica. These differences are not considered to be sufficient grounds for recognition of two distinct species
delimitation and $I$. sinensis is hereby placed as a subspecies
of $I$. japonica. Iversen (1928) recorded $I$. sinensis for Japan
and I. joponica from China. During this study several specimens
from Japan have been confirmed as belonging to $I$. japonica ssp.
sinensis (formerly I. sinensis), but no specimens of $I$. japonica
ssp. japonica from China have been located. The collection of
I. japonica ssp. japonica noted from China by Iversen (Prov. Yunnar, Slatten Kring Yunnan, Calvarie, 2922) have been examined, and this was found to be $I$. japonica ssp. sinensis, with distinctly tuberculate microspores (fig. 256), and irregularly crested to reticulate magaspores (fig. 190, 191, 192). From the known collections, the two subspecies of $I$. japonica appear to be geographically separated with ssp. japonica only occurs east of latitude $135^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ whilst ssp. sinensis was only occurring west of this latitude (fig. 341, 342). I. japonica has also been recorded for Korea (Ohwi, 1965, p. 28), but no specimens from this area have been observed. However from the known distributions of the two subspecies of $I$. japonica this material would be expected to belong to ssp. sinensis.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 13 collections examined.
CHINA: Yunnan Prov., Slatten Kring Yunnan fu, Cavalerie, 1921 (UPS);
Checkiang Prov., Lishui, K. Ling 3049, 9.vii. 1928 (MICH, PE); Nanking Prov, Spirit Valley near Nanking, A.N. Steward 2253, and E.D. Merrill LZ362, 9.vi. 1922 (KYO, PH, US) (Type); Kiangsu Prov., Ling Ku Aze, collector unknown, Flora of Kiangsu 9634, 12.ix. 1925 (US); locality and collector unknown, (PE).
JAPAN: HONSHU: Yamaguchi Pref., Tokusa-Abu-gun, N. Miake 23823,
10. xi. 1970 (KYO, TNS); Hiroshima Pref., Nomi-mura, Z. Tashiro, 13.vi. 1910 (KYO); Okayama Pref., Ohwi-mura, Z. Tashiro, 16.iii. 1930 (KYO)
KYUSHU: Kunamoto Pref., Taragi-mura, K. Mayebara, 1.xii. 1918 (KYO, TNS); Saga Pref., Mt. Jurokami Z. Tashiro, 11.ix. 1910 (KYO). SHIKOKU: Tokushima Pref., Ushijima, Y. Fugii, Sept. 1933 (KYO); Kochi Pref., Sakawa-cho, Takacka-gun T. Makino, 1934 (KYO, MAK); Ehime Pref., Ishiki-mura, Higashiuwa-gun, Y. Nomura 58, 3.xi. 1954 (KYO).
8. Isoetes attenuata C. Marsden sp. nov.

DIAGNOSIS:- Cormus trilobus. Folia ad 23 cm , prope 20 viridiacum albis basibus, attenuata gradatim per totam longitudinem, cum base dilatata late. Quattuor majores atque crebrae minores perimetriae fibres. Multa stomata ad extremis folii. Basis folii per latas membraeas pellucidas alas ad 15 mm latus extensa est. Ligula triangulata $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ per longitudinem, labium truncatum circa 0.5 mm per longitudinem, velum adsunt. Sporangia aut orbiculata aut elliptica $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}-3 \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Muri sporangiorum fusci crassique. Megasporae monomorphices 415-590um per lineam mediam, facies anteriores tuberculatae, facies posteriores tuberculatae aut cum jugis ex anastomosibus tubercularum. Microsporae pallidae spinis cum dense sunt velatae.

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm 3-1obed, ca. lcm in diam., lobes very shallow, scarcely visible except in transverse section, top of corm completely covered by leaf bases. Roots coarse, pale brown. Leaves up to $20,15-23 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, erect, bright green with white bases. Peripheral fibre strands present with 4 main strands and several smaller strands (fig. 311). Stomata numerous on upper portion of leaves, internal hairs absent. Distal portion of leaves on adaxial face, leaves rather triangular in transverse section (fig. 311). Leaves gradually attenuate along entire length above dilated leaf bases, apex acute. Translacunar diaphrams clearly visible through leaves, lacunar wall 1-3 cells thick (fig. 323), adaxial lacunae slightly larger than abaxial (fig. 3l1); stele strongly developed with 1 - 3 intrastelar canals. Leaf bases expanded in wide translucent membranous wings up to 15 mm across, wings extending $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ along leaf above ligule, gradually tapering. Wide bases of wings tightly imbricate. Large
scale leaves as produced by $I$. dmmondii protect shoot apices during summer, but these are shed when growth begins. Ligule triangular, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Labiim truncate, ca. $0.5-\mathrm{m}$ long. Velum absent. Sporangia orbicular to elliptic, 3-4mm x $4-7 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 150-400 megaspores. Sporangial wall brown, wall cells heavily thickened (fig. 296). Megaspores: Type I only produced, white when dry, 410-590 um in diam., proximal faces covered with distinct large tubercles (fig. 135, 136), distal faces covered with large tubercles or ridges formed by joined tubercles which still appear as lumps in ridges (fig. 133), megaspores surface covered by dense spinules (fig. 134). Tri-radiate ridges even, about as broad as high, covered with spinules (fig. 135, 136). Commissural ridges straight, broad but thinner than tri-radiate ridges, also covered with spinules. No extended points produced where commissural and tri-radiate ridges join (fig. 133, 135). Microspores $30-33 \mathrm{um} x 22-25 \mathrm{um}$ pale grey in colour, covered with dense conical spines on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 279, 280, 287).

HOLOTYPE:- South Australia, south-east, small swamp on N.W. side of Comaum Forest Reserve, C.R. Marsden and K.M. Alcock 34, 19.xii.1973 (AD).

DISTRIBUTION:- Only known from type locality which is shown on map in fig. 334.

ECOLOGY:- I. attenuata grows in an ephemeral swamp, seasonally inundated with $20-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ water. Plants appear when soil becomes flooded and the leaves die off after swamp dries up towards the middle of summer. Corms are perennial but all leaves are shed each season. I. attenuata grows intermixed with I. muellomi amongst grasses and sedges.

NOTES:- This species is closely related to I.drummondii var. Gummondii, but differs from this species in several characteristics. The general appearance of $I$. attenuata is more slender and erect than I.drumondii var. drumondii. The peripheral fibre strands are more numerous and more strongly developed in I.attenuata than in I.drumondii and the leaves of the former species are gradually attenuated along their entire length (except for the dilated base), whilst those of the latter species are almost linear along most of their length.

The megaspores of I.attenuata show large rounded tubercles on their proximal faces, and either tubercles or cristae formed by joining of tubercles on their distal faces. The tubercles on I. drummondii megaspores are much smaller and less regular than those observed for $I$. attenuata, and the short cristae formed by coelescence of tubercles (fig. 122) are distinctly different to those observed for I. attenuata megaspores (fig. 133).

The microspores for these two species are also different. I.attenuata has very spiny microspores, whilst those of I. drumondii var. drummondii vary from granulose to $\pm$ tuberculate.
I. attenuata differs from I.elatior in having stomates and peripheral fibre strands in its leaves, as well as having megaspores with much larger tubercles.

The lack of velum, presence of stomates and peripheral fibre strands in the leaves and the megaspore ornamentation are sufficient to distinguish this species from all the other species included in the study area. The name $I$. attenuata refers to the leaves, which are gradually attenuated along their entire length, a unusual feature amongst the Australian species of Isoetes.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only Type collection seen.
9. Isoetes dmanondii A. Br., Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 573-594 (1863): Braun, Monatsber K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 542-544 (1858); Bentham, E2. Aust., 7, 672 (1878); Baker, J. Bot. Lond., 18, 70 (1880); Botelay and Vendryes, Acts Soc. Linn. Bord., 36,379, pl. 15 (1883); Baker, Handibえ̆. Fern Allies, 128 (1887); Sadebeck Isoetaceae in itat. Pfl.-fam., 1(4), 777 (1902); Osborn, Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Aust., 42, 1-12 (1918); Osborn, Bot. Gaz., 36, 41-54, 15 fig. (1922); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mio. Bot. Gard., 9, 125, fig. 15 (1922); Williamson, Victorian Naturalist, 44, 228 (1927); Ewart Fl. of Vic., 19 (1930); Black, Fl. of. S. Aust. 1,43 (1943); Wakefield, Ferns of Vic. and Tas., 65, fig (1955); Aston, Aquatic Pl. of Aust., 34, fig. 10 (1973); Jones and Clemesha, Aust. Ferns and Ferm Allies, 34-35, fig. 4a (1976).
Syn Calamaria drummondii (A.Br.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen Pl.2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm 2- or 3- lobed. Roots medium coarse, usually pale brown. Leaves up to $30,3-13(-30) \mathrm{cm}$ long, erect or recurved, spirally arranged on corm, mid-green with white bases. Peripheral fibre strands usually present, 2 or 4 main strands rarely with few small accessory strands (fig. 310). Stomata numerous on distal portions of leaves, internal hairs absent. Distal portions of leaves adaxially flattened, usually $\pm$ hemi-circular in transverse section (fig. 310), tapering to acute apex. Translacunar diaphrams visible through leaf, especially towards base, lacunar walls 1 - 2 cells thick (fig. 330), stele well developed with (1-) 3-4 intra-stelar canal: Leaf bases expanded into translucent membranous wings, up to 2 cm across at base, tightly imbricate. Wings extending along leaves for about a third of their length. Small, dark,hard, scale leaves formed during dry period to protect shoot apex, usually persistent, though frequently lost from herbarium specimens. Ligule tri angular - cordate, 1 - 2 mm long. Labium often slightly produced, triangular, ca. 0.75 mm long. Velum absent. Sporangia orbicular to obovate or elongate-elliptic. Sporangial wall dark shiny brown when mature, cell walls heavily thickened.

9a. var. drummondii
DESCRIPTION:- Corm (2-) 3- lobed. Sporangia; megasporangia orbicular to obovate, $4 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $5 \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$, containing 50-200 megaspores, microsporangia elongate-elliptical, up to $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm}$. Megaspores, monomorphic, Type I only produced 260580 um in diam., usually tuberculate on both proximal and distal faces (fig. $119,121,122,123$ ), tubercles frequently confluent into short irregular cristae, surface of megaspores covered with dense fine spinules (f-g. 120). Tri-radiate ridges low, not blade-like (fig. 121,123 ), even and straight. Commissural ridges slightly thinner than tri-radiate ridges, produced to small point where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 122). Microspores granulose (fig. 237, 238), or slightly papillose (fig. 239, 240) to tuberculate (fig. 421,422 ), $26-35$ um x $21-28 \mathrm{um}$.

LECTOTYPE:- Western Australia, Swan R., Drummond 989 (W).
ISOTYPES:- as above (BM, GL, $K, L E, P, W)$.
DISTRIBUTION:- Widespread across southerm Australia, including
Tasmania. Distribution map is shown in fig. 332.
ECOLOGY:- I. drummondii var dmmmondii is an amphibious or semi-terrestrial species, growing submerged or emergent in seasonal swamps, or in seepages. Plants commence growth when soil becomes soaked at the beginning of winter, and persist until the soil dries out completely in summer. The corm is perennial, but all the leaves are shed each season.

This species has been found growing on a very wide variety of soils from heavy clay to leached sands.
I. drummondii var. drummondii appears to have poor competitive ability and only grows in otherwise sparsely populated microhabitats. The species readily adapts to disturbed sites and is frequently found growing in wet patches in fire-breaks around pine forests in South Australia and Victoria.

NOTES:- I. drummondii var. drumondii is discussed under
I. drummondii var. anomala.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 91 collections examined.

## REPRESENTATIVE SPECIMENS: -

SOUTH AUSTRALIA: South-east, Wrattonbullie Station, K.M. Alcock 162, Dec. 1965 (AD); Pines Oval, Belair N.P., Mt. Lofty Ra., J.B. Cleland, 8.x. 1934 (AD); Kangaroo Is., ca. $3 \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~W}$ of Kelly Hill, H. Eichler 15270, 7.xi. 1958 (AD); 1 km N. Penola-Casterton Rd at S.A.- Vic border, D.N. Krachnbuehz and A.C. Beauglehole,7.i.1965 (AD); Penola - Dergholm Rd., 1 km W S.A. - Vic border, C.R. Marsden 42, 20.xii. 1973 (AD); 15 km NNE Millicent, C.R. Marsden 240, 10.xii. 1975 (AD); Mt. Charles Conservation Park Centre, C.R. Marsden 245, 25.viii. 1976 (AD); Anstey Hill, n. Tea Tree Gully, T.G.B. Osborn, 13.x.1917 (AD); Victor Harbour, Mt. Beckau, T.G.B. Osborm, 16.vi. 1918 (AD).

TASMANIA: n. Georgetown at mouth Tamar R. W.M. Curtis, Jan 1955 (MEL) ; Low Park, Formosa, R.C. Gunn, 2.xii. 1848 (N.S.W); Small lake on edge of Gt. Lake on Lake Hwy., C.R. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock 151, 2.xii. 1974 (AD).
VICTORIA: ca 35 km N.W. Casterton, 8 km W. of Dergholm, A.C. Beauglehole, 5.xi. 1964 (MEL); Grampians, Mt. Arapiles, S.E. slope, A.C. Beauglehole 28698, 22.ix. 1968 (MEL); Grampians Dundas Ra., S.W. side of northern end. A.C. Beauglehole 29907, 5.xii. 1968 (MEL); Grampians, 2.5 km E.N.E. of Hall's Gap, A.C. Beauglehole 30124, 20.xii. 1968 (MEL); Serra Range, Mt. Abrupt, A.C. Beauglehole 30219, 31.xii. 1968 (MEL); 38 km n.n.w. Coleraine P.O., Woodaire Road, A.C. Beauglehole 50319, 23.x. 1975 (MEL); Grampians, Hall's Gap, T.G.B. Osborm, 7.xi. 1952 (AD); Mt. Beckworth, $5 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~km}$ S.W. of Clunes, J.H. WilZis 28.ix. 1963 (BRI,MEL).

WESTERN AUSTRALIA: 13.5 km S.E. of Badgingarra, A.S. George 6423, 26.ix. 1964 (PERTH); Mersea Lake n. Wilgarup, W. Lonergan, 23.x. 1962 (AD, PERTH, UWA) ; Scrivener's Soak n. Tinkurrin, N.G. Marchant, Oct. 1963 (AD); 8 km S. of Yornup on Bridgetown to Manjimup Rd., C.R. Marsden 210, 11.vii. 1975 (AD); 22 km S. Dwellingup on Collie to Dwellingup Rd., C.R. Marsden 211, 12.vii. 1975 (AD); Cannington, G.G. Smith, 30.ix. 1973 (PERTH).

9b. var. anomala c. Marsden var. nov.
DIAGNOSIS:- Cormus bis (aut ter) lobus. Megasporangium orbiculata vel
obovata paulum clongata solum ad $5 \times 8 \mathrm{~mm}$ continens circa $50-200$
megasporae. Megasporae irregulares plerumque Type III cum paucis
Type I tuberculatis; tuberculae congregatae sunt, aliquando in juga
brevia confluens (fig. 124, 125). Pellis megasporium spiculis velatae
sunt (fig. 126). Microsporae absunt.

DESCRIPTION:- Corm 2- (3-) lobed. Sporangia: megasporangia only produced, orbicular to obovate not usually as elongate as in var. drummondii up to $5 \times 8 \mathrm{~mm}$, containing ca. 50-200 megaspores. Megaspores irregular, mostly Type III with a few Type I produced. Megaspores tuberculate, tubercles usually crowded and sometimes confluent into short cristae (fig. 124, 125). Megaspore covered with dense spinules, (fig. 126). Commissural ridges as for var. drumondii. Microspores not observed.

HOLOTYPE:- Comaum Forest Reserve, swamp in centre of pines near Arleena, C.R. Marsden and K.M. Alcock 33, 19.xii. 1973 (AD).

DISTRIBUTION:- var. anomala occurs widely in south-east of South Australia, Victoria, and at isolated localities in Western Australia and New South Wales. Distribution map for this variety is shown in fig. 333.

ECOLOGY:- I. drummondii var. anomala grows under identical conditions to I. drummondiivar. drummondii.

NOTES:- Isoetes drumondii var. anomala was first recognised as distinct from $I$. drummondii var. drummondii on the basis of the abnormal megaspores and bi-lobed corms. When these two varieties were examined cytologically var. anomala was found to be consistently pentaploid $(5 n=55)$ whilst var.drumondii was diploid ( $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ ). This is only the second time pentaploid Isoetes has been recorded pentaploids having been recorded for I. mueZlevi (Marsden, 1976b).

In var. anomala irregular meiosis apparently produces the irregular Type III megaspores, whilst in var. drumondii Type I megaspores are produced in tetrads. Consequently var. anomala would be expected to have diploid apomictic megaspores, such as occur in I. muelleri(Marsden, 1976b) although germination of var.
anomala megaspores have not yet been observed.

Based on the differences in megaspores, corm lobes and cytology, I. drummondii var. anomala is hereby proposed as a new variety. The varietal name anomala was chosen in reference to the anomalous megaspores produced by this variety compared with the typical variety of $I$. drummondii.

The description of $I$. drummondii var. drummondii given here differs somewhat from the details described by Braun (1863, 1868). The Type specimens described by Braun were somewhat atypical for this species. The sporangia of I. drumondiiare usually shiny dark brown, but those of the Type specimen are pale. The microspores of the Type specimens are tubercled, with occasional blunt spines (fig. 241, 242), whilst all other collections examined had more or less granulose microspores (fig. 237, 238, 239, 240). I. drummondii is also frequently much larger than the Type specimen.
I. drummondii var. drumondii shows a peculiar method of spore dispersal (Osborn, 1922) whereby pads of mucilage form at the base of the sporangia at the end of the growing season, as the plants dry off. The dry sporangia remain attached to the top of the corm during summer; when the wet season begins, the mucilage expands, pushing the sporangia to the soil surface where the sporangia split open at the edges, releasing the spores. This mucilage production has not yet been observed for var. anomala, however the heavily thickened sporangial wall of this variety splits open when wet in a similar way to var. drumondii.
I.drumondii is closely related to I. tripus, I. caroli
and I.attenuata and the relationships between these species will be discussed in the following chapter. I. dmumondii is distinguishable from other species in the study area by the ornamentation
of the megaspores, the thickening and pigmentation of the
sporangial walls and the presence of stomates.
SPECIMENS EXAMINED:-11 collections examined.
NEW SOUTH WALES: 5 km S. of Gerogery, E.J. McBarron, 5905, 24.X. 1952 (NSW).

SOUTH AUSTRALIA: 4 km S.W. of Wandilo, Mt. Gambier Forest Reserve, B. Grigg, 1973 (AD); Comaum Forest Reserve, C.R. Marsden and K.M. Alcock 33, 19.xii.1973 (AD) (Type); W. of Durr Swamp, Southeast, K.M. AZcock, 23.xiii. 1973 (AD).

VICTORIA: $2 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~N}$. of Beechworth on Wodonga Rd., E.J. McBarron 5931, 2.xi. 1952 (NSW); Hawkesdale, H.B. WiZZiamson, Feb. 1904 (AD); Warmwillah, H.B. Williamson, March 1904 (MEL); 51/2 km NNW of Creswick, J.H. Wizlis, 3.i.1953 (MEL); Chiltern, collector unknown, Dec. 1910 (LE).
WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Gnarlbine Rock, R.J. Chinnock P 1093, 16.ix. 1976 (AD); Petruda Rocks, E. of Pithara, N. G. Marchant 71/310, 23.vii. 1971 (AD).
10. Iscetes $\frac{\text { taiwarensis }}{\text { De Vol, Taiwania, } \frac{17,1-7(1972) ;}{\text { Vol, Taiwania, } 17,304-305(1972) ; ~ D e ~ V o l, ~}}$ Isoetaceae in Flora of Taiwon 1, 53-54 (1975).

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm distinctly 3-(4-5-) lobed, leaves extending out along lobes. Leaves $15-90,7-24 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, spreading. Distal portion of leaves flattened on both adaxial and abaxial surface, rather trapezoidal in tranvserse section. Peripheral fibre strands and internal hairs absent, stomates present on apical portions of leaves. Translacunar diaphragms visible through leaves, lacunar walls $\pm 2$ cells thick. Leaf bases expanded into translucent membranous wings, 0.5-0.7 mm across at base. Iigule elongate - triangular, ca. 1 mm long. Labium slightly produced, very broad and short. Velum absent. Sporangia elliptic, those on outer sporophylls $2.5 \times 2 \mathrm{~nm}$, inner sporangia longer. Sporangial wall apparently not pigmented, semi-translucent (De Vol, 1975, p. 54, fig. 2). Negaspores monomorphic, only Type I produced, 310 - $390 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., proximal faces smooth, distal faces covered with anastomosing ridges, becoming rather reticulate (no megaspores were available for observation by scanning electron microscopy). Microspores grey, ca. $25 \times 15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, covered with short thick spines (fig. 253, 254) on both proximal and distal faces.

HOLOTYPE:- Taiwan, Taipei County, Chong Hu, Seven Star Mountain, K.S. Hsu and H.J. Chong 1715, 22.viii. 1971 (TAI n.v.).

TYPIFICATION:- De Vol (1972a) mentions the holotype as a single specimen selected from the collection by Hsu and Chang, and the remaining Isotypes are presumably also at TAI. Two specimens lodged at the British Museum (BM) were labelled as isotypes, however, these are part of a later collection by Hsu (28.xi.1971) and therefore must be regarded as topotypes.

DISTRIBUTION:- Recorded only from Type locality on Seven Star Mountain at the northern end of Taiwan.

ECOLOGY:- I. taiucnensis is apparently perennial although plants of this species do not bear mature sporangia during the early months of the year suggesting that all mature sporophylls are shed each year. I. taiwonensis usually grows submerged, but is not a true submerged aquatic as the plants survive when water dries up and normally produce stomates on the apical portions of their leaves even when growing submerged.

NOTES:- No specimens of I. taiwanensis were available for dissection as only two small specimens from the British Museum were examined and these could not be dissected. The microspores examined in the scanning electron microscope were loose on the herbarium sheet. Consequently the description above is almost entirely based on the information given by De Vol (1972a; 1972b; 1975).

This species was compared to numerous species by De Vol (1972a) but no comparison was made with I. dmumondii or I. tripus which I taiwanensis resembles in many aspects. However the habit of $I$. taiwanensis, with the leaves extending outwardly across the lobes, the megaspores with smooth proximal faces and cristate to reticulate distal faces, and the usually greater number of leaves, distinguish this species from
I. drummondii and I. tripus. I. taiwanensis is also similar to I. philippinensis, but differs in the size of the megaspores and the presence of stomates.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only one collection seen, Taiwan, Chong-hu, Seven Star Mt., C.C. Hsu 11261, 28.xi. 1971 (BM).
11. Isoetes tripus A. Br. Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 559-593 (1863); Braun, Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 544.(1868); Baker, J. Bot. Lond., 28, 109 (1880); Motelay and Vendryes, Actes Soc. Linn. Bord., 36, 361-362 (1883); Baker, Handbk. Ferm Allies, 132 (1887); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. Pfl 2(4), 777 (1902); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 9, 176-177 (1922).
Syn. I. phaeospora Dur., Bull. Soc. Bot. France, II, 103 (1864). Calamaria tripus (A. Br.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen PI. 2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm tri-lobed, $0.7-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ across, about as deep as wide, lobes distinct, each lobe with conical cap of sloughed off tissue. Roots medium to fine, pale in colour. Leaves $5-15,2,5-7 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, erect or recurved, bright green with pale bases. Distal portion of leaves $\pm$ cylindrical, flattened on adaxial face, tapering gradually to acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands and internal hairs absent, stomata numerous on apical portions of leaves. Lacunar walls 1-3 cells thick, translacunar diaphragms visible through leaf. Leaf bases expanded into semi-translucent membranous wings $0.7-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, wings extending up to half-way along leaf, gradually tapering. Scale leaves, if produced, not persistent. Ligule elongate-triangular, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long. Labirm occasionally present; small, broad and short, up to $1.0 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Velum absent. Sporangia orbicular to elliptic, $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 20-100 megaspores. Sporangial wall semi-translucent, spotted with dark brown, thickened cells, otherwise pale and cell walls not thickened (fig. 297). Megaspores Type I only produced, usually pale in colour when dry, 390-460 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diam, rarely almost smooth (fig. 129), usually covered with distinct cristae on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 127, 131, 132), cristae often anastomosing (fig. 132). Megaspore surface covered with matted network with few tiny spinules (fig. 130) or densely spinulose (fig. 128). Tri-radiate ridges slightly higher
than broad (fig. 127, 129), commissural ridges narrow, fine, $\pm s t r a i g h t$, produced to slight point where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 129, 131, 132). Microspores 33-39 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 26-31 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, dark brown, scabrous (fig. 243, 244).

LECTOTYPE:- Western Australia, Swan River. Drumond 990 (W). ISOTYPES:- as above, (BM, K, P, W.) Typification - Braun (1863, 1868) did not designate a holotype for $I$. tripus, but mentions Drummond's collection 990 as the type collection. Several isotype specimens from this collection have been located, and consequently one of the two sheets from Vienna Natural History Museum (W) has been selected as lectotype, and anotated accordingly.

DISTRIBUTION:- I. tripus is only recorded from the south-western corner of Western Australia (fig. 336).

ECOLOGY:- I. tripus is amphibious to semi-terrestrial and grows in the same type of habitats as I. drummondii. like I. dmumondii the corm of $I$. tripus is perrenial but leaves are only present during the growing season, with all leaves shed each season. Unlike I. dmumondii var. drummondii, however no mucilage production, used to push sporangia to the surface of the soil, has yet been observed for $I$. tripus.

NOTES:- Reed (1953) lists Braun's first mention of I. tripus (Braun 1863) as a nomenhudum. Although no formal description of I. tripus was given at this time, Braun did describe some characteristics of this species in comparison with those other taxa, and hence validly published the name I. tripus. Reed (1953)
is inconsistent on this point, as $I$. drummondii which was noted in the same publication as $I$. tripus (Braun, 1863) was not listed in "Index Isoetales" as a nomen nudum. I. tripus is similar to I. drummondii, but differs in several characteristics. I. tripus has spotted sporangia, with only the dark cells thickened, whilst all the cells of $I$. drummondii are pigmented and heavily thickened. In $I$. tripus megaspores are distinct in ornamentation from those of $I$. drummondii which are never as smooth nor as distinctly cristate as those of the former species.
Microspores I. tripus (fig 243, 244) are also distinct from all forms of microspores found in $I$. drummondii var drumondii (fig. 237, 239, 241, 242). Also the corms of $I$. drummondii do not produce the conical caps of sloughed off tissue such as as usually found in $I$. tripus.

Hence $I$. tripus can be distinguished from other species by the lack of vela, presence of stomates, ornamentation of the megaspores and microspores and the spotting of the sporangial walls.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 8 collections examined.

WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Swan R., Drummond 990 (BM, K, P, W) (Type); Lake Monger, C.A. Gardner, Aug. 1958 (AD); Pine Hill, ca 58 km N.E. Israelite Bay, N.G. Marchant 72/437, 15.ix. 1971 (AD, PERTH); ca. 5 mls S. Koojan P.0., C.R. Marsden 2Z3, l3.viii. 1975 (AD); Mt Walker, ca. 34 km E. Narambeen, C.R. Marsden 220, 16.viii. 1975 (AD); Mundaring, Beraking Forest Rd, G.G. Smith, 17.ix. 1962 (AD, UWA); Mulle, G.G. Smith, Aug. 1964 (AD, UWA); G.G. Smith Oct. 1964 (AD, UWA).
12. Isoetes mongerensis E.R.L. Johnson mss.

DESCRIPTION:- Small amphibious herb. Corm 0.6-0.8 cm in diam. 3-1obed, each lobe capped by sloughed off dead tissue and roots, caps easily detached. Roots medium to fine, mostly pale. Leaves up to $10,1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, erect or erect-patent, tips of leaves dark green, leaf bases white. Distal sections of leaves $\pm$ cylindrical, slightly flattened on lacunar walls (fig. 304), tapering gradually to acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands and internal hairs absent, stomates present on distal portions of leaves. Translacunar diaphragms visible through leaf, lacunar wall $\pm 2$ cells thick, stele very small with single intra-stelar canal. Leaf bases dilated into translucent membranous wings $0.7-1.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, tightly imbricate, wings extending only a short distance along the leaf, tapering gradually. Ligule very small, reniform, ca 0.5 ma x 1.0 mm , sometimes lost on older leaves. Labizm not developed. Velum absent but upper edge of forea whick, not membranous like a velum slightly overarching top of sporangia. Sporangia orbicular to slightly elliptic, up to $2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 30-70 megaspores. Sporangial walls usually spotted with pigmented thick walled cells (fig. 298), otherwise cells semi-translucent, pale in colour and not thickened. Megaspores polymorphic, Type I and Type IIA produced with occasional Type III megaspores. Type I 355-420 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam. and Type IIA megaspores 280-330 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam. All megaspores tuberculate on both proximal and distal faces, tubercles hemi-spherical to elongated, occasionally anastomosing especially on distal faces (fig. 113, 115, 116); megaspore surface covered with dense spinules (fig. 114). Tri-radiate ridges about as high as wide (fig. $113,115,116$ ), spinulase on edges like rest of spore surface, becoming smooth on top. Commissural ridges crenulate
on Type I megaspores (fig. 113), straight on Type IIA megaspores (fig. 115), almost no pointed extension produced where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 113, 115). Type III megaspores ornamented similarly to Type I forms (not illustrated). Microspores not observed, although apparently found by Johnson (unpublished data); a spinulose microspore is present with the megaspore in fig 113, but this may possibly be a contaminant from other herbium specimens.

HOLOTYPE:- Western Australia, n. Lake Monger, south-western part of Eremean Province, C.A. Gardner, Aug 1958 (AD).

DISTRIBUTION:- Only known from four localities in the south-western corner of Western Australia. Distribution map, fig. 336.

ECOLOGY:- I. mongerensis grows in rock pools on granite outcrops. The corms are perennial, but the leaves are shed when water dries up at the end of each growing season.

NOTES:- I. mongerensis is similar to $I$. muelleri and $I$. drumondii in many characteristics, but differs from $I$. drumondii var. drummondii and $I$. drummondii var. anomala by forming the dimorphic megaspores and the non-pigmented or spotted sporangial walls; it differs from $I$, mueZleri by the lack of vela. The megaspores of $I$. mongerensis are also distinct in form and ornamentation from those of $I$. tripus, the only other species known to have spotted sporangial walls. Unless carefully examined the slight overarching of the fovea over the top of each sporangium may easily be mistaken for a short velum. The edge of the fovea is however thick with a distinctly thickened rounded margin, whilst a velum is always much thinner, and lacks a rounded edge. This overarching of the top of the fovea over the sporangium has also been occasionally found in
I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata.
I. mongerensis can be distinguished from other species by the lack of a true velum, the dimorphism and ornamentation of the megaspores and the presence of stomates on the leaves.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 4 collections seen.

WESTERN AUSTRALIA: n. Lake Monger, C.A. Gardner Aug. 1958 (AD) (Type); Kwolyin Rock, N.G. Marchant 70/270 (AD); Granite outcrop on roadside by pipeline, ca 150 km W. of Coolgardie, C.R. Marsden 228, 17.viii. 1975 (AD); Kallurie N.P., ca 120 km N. of Geraldton, P.G. WiZson 8326, 26.viii. 1969 (AD).
13. Isoetes brevicula E.R.L. Johnson, mss.

DESCRIPTION:- Small, semi-aquatic herb. Corm very small, $0.4-0.6 \mathrm{~cm}$ across, 3-1obed, lobes small but distinct. Roots brown, fine. Leaves $5-8,0.5-2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, erect or erect patent, bright greenm but bottom half white. Distal section of leaves $\pm$ cylindrical (fig. 305), usually flattened on adaxial face, tapering suddenly to acute apex, tip of leaf usually dark brown. Peripheral fibre strand, stomates and internal hairs absent. Translacunar diaphragms scarcely visible through leaves except in the white bases, lacunar walls $3-4$ cells thick (fig 322 ), stele small with single small intra-stelar canal. Leaf bases dilated into narrow membranous wings, 2-4 mm across at base, translucent only at edges, extending 1-2 mm along the leaf margin above the sporangium, tapering. Ligule tiny, broadly triangular, $0.25-0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ across and long. Labium minute, triangular 0.1 mm long. Velum absent. Sporangia small $\pm$ orbicular, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diam., megasporangia containing 8-20 megaspores. Sporangial walls translucent, pale in colour, wall cells not thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I only produced, $350-480 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam, proximal faces almost smooth (fig. 67,70 ) or with very low tubercles (fig. 71), distal face with low, rounded tubercles (fig. 67, 69), tubercles occasionally elongated; megaspore surface covered with short spines (fig 68) or a meshwork with fine spicules (fig 72). Tri-radiate ridges about as high as wide, straight. Commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges, expanded into broad points where triradiate ridges adjoin (fig. 70). No mature microspores observed.

HOLOTYPE:- Western Australia, Graham Rock, ca. 17.5 km E. of Hyden, N.G. Marchant 7V/622, 21.ix.1971 (AD).

ISOTYPES:- as above. (AD, PERTH).

DISTRIBUTION:- I. brevicula is known only from three localities in south-western Western Australia. (fig. 335).

ECOLOGY:- I. brevicula grows submerged in shallow rock pools, usually in association with $I$. australis and occasionally with I. caroli (C.R. Marsden 225). The growth cycle appears to be identical to that of $I$. australis.

NOTES:- This is one of the smallest of all species of Isoetes, snd this feature alone is often sufficient to distinguish I. brevicula from other species. I. brevicula is most sinilar to .I. caroli, and was initially considered likely to be only an extreme form of the latter species. However when occurring together in the same rock pool (Jilbadgie Rocks, C.R. Marsden 225, 226).
I. brevicula and $I$. caroli appeared quite distinct. These species differ in the ornamentation of megaspores, stature of plants and leaf form. The leaves of $I$. caroli are longer and develop wider translucent, membranous wings at their bases than those of $I$. brevicula.

Although this species is recorded from only three localities, the small plants of $I$. brevicula may easily be overlooked by collectors and this species may be more widely distributed than is pressnt.ly recorded.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 3 collections examined.

AUSTRALIA, WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Lucy Rock ca. 50 km . S.E. of Hyden. N.G. Marchant 7l/628, 21.ix.1971 (PERTH); Graham Rock, ca. 17.5 km E. of Hyden, N.G. Marchant 7l/622, 21.ix. 1971 (AD, PERTH): (Type); Jilbadgie Rocks, C.R. Marsden 225, 17.viii. 1975 (AD).
14. Isoetes elatior F. v. M. ex A. Br., Linnaea, 25, 722 (1852); Braun, Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 536 (1868); Baker, J. Bot. Lond., 28, 66 (1880); Motelay and Vendryes, Actes Soc. Linn. Bord., 36 , 348-349 (1883); Baker, Handbk. Fem Allies, 124-125 (1887); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. Pfl - fa: L( $\sim$ ), 777, (1902); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 9, 126-127 (1922); Wakefield, Fems of Vic. and Tas. 65 (1955); Aston, Aquatic D7. of Aust. 34 (1973); Jones and Clemesha, Aust. Ferms and Fern Allies, 35, fig 4b (1976).
Syn. I. tasmanica F. v. M. ex Dur. (ex parte), Bull Soc. Bot. Fr., 22, 104 (1864); Mueller, Frag. Phytogr. Austr. 5, 140-141 (186566).

Calomaria elatior (F. v. M. ex A. Br.) Kuntz, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Submerged aquatic herb. Corm 3-1obed, $1-1,5 \mathrm{~cm}$ in diam, lobes distinct. Roots medium, brownish. Leaves up to 50, $30-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, flexuose, bright green with pale bases. Leaver narrow linear, distal portion flattened on adaxial fact (fig. 313), tapering gradually to an acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands, internal hairs and stomates absent. Translacunar diaphragms faintly visible through leaf, lacunar walls $\pm 3$ cells thick. Leaf bases expanded into wide translucent, membranous wings, $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, wings extending several cm along leaf margins, leaves gradually tapering. Ligule elongate, triangular, 1.5 x 2.5 mm with cordate base. Labium broad and short, $1.0 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Velum absent. Sporangia elliptic, up to $5 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Sporangial walls dark brown, wall cells thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, only Type I megaspores produced, 480-650 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam, white when dry, covered on both proximal and distal faces by small irregular tubercles (fig. 64, 65); surface of megaspores covered by dense spinules (fig. 66). Tri-radiate and commissural ridges narrow and low, $\pm$ straight, commissural ridges extended into slight points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 64). Microspores dark brown, 26-34 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 20-28 \mu \mathrm{~m}, \pm$ denticulate (fig. 265,266 ) or with short conical spines (fig. 267).

LECTOTYPE:- Tasmania, S. Esk R., C. Stuart 462, Dec. 1848 (MEL 1002781).

ISOTYPE:- as above (MEL).

Typification - Brown (1852) did not nominate a single element as type when describing $I$. elatior, but cited Stuart's collection (461) as recorded above. Two sheets of this collection are held in National Herbarium of Victoria (MEL) and one of these (sheet no 1002781) has to be designated as lectotype. No other isotype material has been located to date.

DISTRIBUTION:- I. elatior is known from only three localities, in rivers in Tasmania (fig. 338), although the exact locations of the collections from the South Esk River are not given by the collectors.

ECOLOGY:- Habitat details of this species are not known since apparently only drift specimens have been collected. From the little data available and the form of the plants, $I$. elatior appears to be perennial. Further details regarding the life history of this species will require study of living populations. NOTES:- I. elatior resembles I. dmmondii and I. attenuata, but differs from both of these species in the length of leaves, the absence of stomates and the ornamentation of the megaspores and microspores.
I. elation can be distinguished from other species in the study area by its lack of velum and stomates, its long flexuose leaves and the ornamentation and form of its megaspores. Although $I$. elatior has been recorded from South Australia (Aston, 1973; Jones and Clemesha, 1976), no plants of this species from mainland Australia have been discovered during this study. The name $I$. elatior appears only as a pencilled annotation on
specimens at AD (D.N. Kraehnbueht and A.C. Beauglehole, 7.1.1965, AD 96524073) from near Penola in South Australia, but these specimens appear to be exceptionally tall specimens of I. drummonaii var drumondii as they bear numerous stomates on the leaves and have megaspores resembling those of the latter species.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 4 collections examined.
TASMANIA: S. Esk. R., R.C. Gumn, Mar. 1842 (HO ); locality unknown, R.C. Gumn (GH, LE); Lake R. at Longford, D. Morris, 12.iv. 1972 (AD); S. Esk. R. C. Stuart 467, Dec. 1848 (MEL) (Type).
15. Isoetes hopei J.R. Croft, mss.

DESCRIPTION:- Terrestrial herb. Corm large, deeply buried in bog. Leaves $\pm 100$, dark green on exposed portions, subterranean parts pale. Stomates absent. Leaf bases expanded, up to 8 mm across, triquetrous. Ligule broadly deltoid, 1 - 1.5 mm wide. Velum absent. Sporangia elliptic-oblong, to $6 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I only produced. 800-875 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam, pale grey or white when dry, almost smooth on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 59, 61); megaspore surface a fused meshwork, minutely punctate (fig. 60, 62). Tri-radiate ridges about as broad as high (fig. 59). Commissural ridges low, produced to obtuse point where tri-radiate ridges adjoin. Microspores pale brown, 39-46 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 26-29 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, densely spinulose (fig. 283, 284) on both proximal and distal faces, spines irregular, of ten curved (fig 283).

HOLOTYPE:- Irian Jaya, Kamambu Plateau, Carstenz Mountains. G.S. Hope ANU 26224, 6.ii. 1972 (CANB).

DISTRIBUTION:- Only known from the type locality in Irian Jaya.
ECOLOGY:- I. hopeii grows in a wet alpine bog. The corm is buried ca 7 cm below the surface of the soil, possibly as a protection against harsh, cold, winter alpine conditions. Details of life history for this species are not known.
NOTES:- No complete specimens of $I$. hopeii have been seen during the present study, consequently the description, apart from megaspore and microspore details, is based almost entirely on the manuscript description by Croft (pers. comm).

This species of Isoetes is very distinctive in habit, and is distinguished from other species by this characteristic and the
very large megaspores, lack of velum and stomates and the ornamentation of the microspores.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only megaspores and microspores and photo of type specimen, in situ, examined.
16. Isoetes neociinconsis Baker, Kew Bull., 1899, 122 (1899); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. Pfl.-fom. 11؛) 775-777 (1902); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 9, 211 (1922); Posthumus in van Steenis, Bull. Jard. Bot. Buit. Ser. 3, 13 169(1934);Alston, Fl. MaZ. Ser. 2, 1, 64 (1959).
Syn. I. neoguineensis F. v M., Ann. Rep. Brit. N. Guinea 1897-98, 149 (1898), nomen nudum. I. neoguineensis van rheophila Croft (mss). Isoetes sp. Coode and Stevens, Papua New Guinea Sci. Soc. Proc. 23, 25 (1972).

DESCRIPTION:- Submerged, aquatic herb. Corm usually large, up to 4 cm across and 2 cm deep, 3-4(-5) lobed. Roots very robust at base, sometimes almost as thick as the leaves, young roots pale, older roots brown. Leques $15-100,10-17(-45) \mathrm{cm}$ long, crowded on upper surface of corm, dark green, pale towards base. Peripheral fibre strands, internal hairs and stomates absent. Distal portions of leaves flattened on adaxial face, rather triangular in trarsverse section (fig. 309), tapering gradually to acute apex. Translacunar diaphragms faintly visible through leaf, lacunar walls $\pm 4$ cells thick (fig. 320). Leaf bases expanded into translucent, membranous wings, ca. 1 cm across at base, wings extending $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ along leaf, tapering gradually. Leaves retained for more than one season producing alternate bands of micro- and mega- sporangia. Ligule large, elongatetriangular, 2-3 mm x 5-7 mm. Labirm not developed. Velrm absent. Sporangia oblong, up to $3 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing ca. 50-80 megaspores. Sporangial walls semitranslucent, brownish, wall cells not thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I only produced, 510-710 (-800) $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam; both proximal and distal faces irregularly reticulate, ormamentation about as high as tri-radiate and commissural ridges (fig. 204, 205, 207, 209, 210, 211); megaspore surface covered with matted meshwork (fig. 208) with projecting spinules (fig. 206, 208, 212). Tri-
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radiate ridges $\pm$ blade-like (fig. 209,211 ) straight, surface as for megaspore surface. Commissural ridges about as wide as tri-radiate ridges, slightly crenulate (fig. 204, 205, 207 , 209), no extension of commissural ridges produced where tri-radiate ridges adjoin. Microspores dark brown, 35-42 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ x 25-30 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, covered on both proximal and distal faces with fine conical spines (fig. 258, 259, 260).

HOLOTYPE:- New Guinea, Mt. Scratchley, A. Giulionetti, 1896 (K). DISTRIBUTION:- Restricted to the Owen Stanley Range in eastern New Guinea (fig. 340).

ECOLOGY:- I. neoguineensis is known only from alpine tarns except for a single collection from Mt. Albert Edward where the plants were growing in a flowing stream (J.R. Croft, LAE 61486). Whilst no data is available on the growth cycle of this species, the presence of alternate bands of megasporophylls and microsporophylls indicates that the sporophylls persist for several years attached to the corm. Consequently the plants bear mature sporangia continuously throughout the year, although active growth may be seasonal.

NOTES:- Croft (unpublished mss) considered the river form of I. neoguineensis to be a distinct variety of this species which he proposed in manuscript as var. rheophila. However these plants resemble I. neoguineensis var. neoguineensis except in the length and texture of the leaves. The leaves of var. rheophila are $35-45 \mathrm{~cm}$ long and flaccid whilst those of var. neoguineensis are $10-17$ cin long, stiff and erect.

Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores and microspores of the two varieties show that the spores of var.
neoguineensis (fig. 210-212 and 258 - 259) resemble those of the suggested variety var. rheophila (fig. 204-209 and 260).

The differences in leaf morphology between the two varieties of $I$. neoguineensis proposed by Croft are not considered sufficient for recognition of distinct varieties.
I. neoguineensis most closely resembles other New Guinea species I. habbemensis and I. stevensii, but can be distinguished from these species by the omamentation of the megaspores. The only other taxa known to produce similar megaspores are $I$. juponica ssp. sinensis and I. japonica ssp. joponica which can be distinguished from $I$. neoguineensis by the presence of stomates on leaves and the omamentation of microspores.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 14 collections examined,
NEW GUINEA: Mt. Albert Edward, L.J. Brass 4366, May - June 1933 (GH, NY); Mt. Strong summit, M. Coode and P. Stevens LAE 51360, 25.iv. 1971 (LAE); M. Coode and P. Stevens NGF 46201, 30.iv. 1971 (LAE); M. Coode cond P. Stevens NGF 46254, 3.v. 1971 (LAE); Mt. Scratchley Repeater Station, M. Coode and P. Stevens NGF 46337, 7.v.1971 (LAE); Mt. Albert Edward, L.A. Craven 2717, 22.vi.1974 (LAE); Mt. Victoria, L.A. Craven 3069, 13.vii. 1974 (LAE); Mt. Victoria, L.A. Craven 3070, 13.vii. 1974 (LAE); Neon Basin, 15 km NNE Woitape, J.R. Croft LAE 61486, 28.vi. 1974 (LAE); Neon Basin, 15 km NNE Woitape, J.R. Croft LAE 61531, 28.vi. 1974 (LAE); Mt. Victoria, J.P. Croft LAE 61775, 13.vii. 1974 (LAE); Mt. Albert Edward, J.R. Croft and Y. LeZean LAE 61483, 27.vi. 1974 (LAE); Mt. Scratchley, A. Giulicanetti, 1896 (K) (Type); Mt. Dickson, T.G. Hartley 13011, 11.ii. 1964 (LAE).
17. Isoetes caroli E.R.L. Johnson mss.

DESCRIPTION:- Small semi-aquatic herb. Corm 0.5-0.9 cm in diam., 3-(-4) lobes, lobes small, mostly obscured by leaf bases. Roots relatively thick for such a small species, pale brown in colour. Leaves 5-10, $1.5-5 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $\pm$ erect, usually slightly swollen in distal section, tapering rapidly to acute apices, bright green with white bases, older leaves frequently with dark spots on epidermis. Distal portion of leaves flattened on adaxial face (fig. 307) almost square in transverse section (fig. 307), apex acuminate. Peripheral fibre strands, stomates and internal hairs absent. Lacunar walls 4 - 5 cells thick (fig. 318), translacunar diaphragms scarcely visible through leaf, stele small with only one intrastelar canal. Leaf bases expanded into memivranous wings, $4 \cdots 8 \mathrm{~mm}$ across, wings extending only short distance along leaf margins, gradually tapering. Ligule minute, ca. 0.5 mm long, reniform to ovate, frequently lost from mature leaves. Labium occasionally slightly produced, broad, very short. VeZum absent, but upper edge of sporangium often recessed into top of forea which slightly over-arches the sporangium (not as pronounced as in I. mongerensis). Sporangia small, orbicular to elliptic, $1.5 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $2.5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing $16-80$ megaspores. Sproangial walls semi-translucent, brown, wall cells not thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, Type $I$ only produced, $370-435 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., whitish when dry, proximal faces tuberculate, tubercles low, often somewhat confluent (fig. 140, 141), distal faces covered low cristae, usually anastomosing into somewhat reticulated pattern (fig. 141, 143); megaspore surface covered with open,
crosslinked network (fig. 142). Tri-radiate ridges thin and somewhat blade-like, straight and even (fig. 140), commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges with slight obtuse points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 143). Microspores brown, smooth or with large conical spines sometimes flared at apex into digitate projections (fig. 292, $293,294), 26-31 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 19-23.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

HOLOTYPE:- Westem Australia, n. Lake Monger, C.A. Gardner, Aug. 1958 (AD).

ISOTYPE:- as above (AD).
DISTRIBUTION:- $I$. caroli is only recorded from south-western Western Australia. A map of the known distribution is shown in fig. 333.

ECOLOGY:- I. caroli grows in seasonal granite rock pools, usually in association with I. custralis, and Glossostigma drmmondii Benth. and occasionally I. brevicula. Plants sprout new leaves when pools flood at the start of winter and growth continues whilst the pools remain flooded. The leaves die when the pools dry up in mid-summer, and the perennial corms remain buried in shallow soil in the bottom of the pools.

NOTES:- I. caroli most closely resembles $I$. tripus and I. drumondii var. drumondii; however it differs from both these species in having thick lacumar walls and in lacking stomates. The ornamentation of the megaspores and microspores of $I$. caroli also differs from that of these two species. The microspores of $I$. caroli are similar to those of $I$. australis, but I. caroli is easily distinguished from this species by the 3 -lobed corms. I. caroli can be distinguished from other species of Isoetes by the lack of stomates and the ornamentation of megaspores and
microspores.
SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 14 collections seen,
WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Bushfire Rock, ca. 1.5 km S of Fyden - Norseman Rd., ca. 48 km E of Hyden, W. R. Barker 2525, 2526 (AD); on roadside, ca. 22 km N of coast at Stokes Inlet, Hj. EichZZer 20005, 27.ix. 1968 (AD); n. Lake Monger, C.A. Gardiner, Aug. 1958 (AD) (Type); 45 km W of Nt. Magnet, A.S. George 824, 17.iv. 1960 (PERTH); granite flats n. Ballidu, A.S. Ceorge 838, 4.v. 1960 (PERTH); Ivor Rocks, n. White Cliffs, A.S. George 4551, 1.vii. 1963 (PERTH); n. Lake Monger, road from Perenjori, 1.7 km E of rabbit proof fence, C.R. Mars den 214, 15.viii. 1975 (AD); Jilbadgie Rocks, C.R. Marsden 226, 17.viii. 1975 (AD); ca. 27 km N of Young River crossing on Ravensthorpe - Esperence Road, E.N.S. Jackson 1373, 10.x. 1968 (AD); 12 km W of Ballidu on Bindi-Bindi to Ballidu Road, K.F. Kenneally, 26.ix. 1971 (AD); Mullewa dist. G.G. Smith, Aug. 1964 (AD, UWA) ; E. of Wubin on Payngs Find Road, G.G. Smith, Aug. 1964 (AD, UWA); Junga Dam in Kalbari N.P., P.G. Wilson 8316, 26.vii. 1969 (PERTH).
18. Iscetes philippinensis Merrill and Perry, Am. Fern J., $\frac{30,}{19-} 20$, fig. (1940); Alston, in FZ. LaZesicaia Ser 2, 1, 64 (1959).

DESCRIPTION:- Submerged aquatic herb. Corm large, distinctly 3-1obed, up to 3 cm across and $1.5-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep. Roots thick and mostly dark in colour. Leaves 20-50, mostly $30-50 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, dark green along entire length, flexuose but $\pm$ erect in water. Peripheral fibre strands, stomates and internal hairs absent. Lacunar walls 3-4 cells thick. Leaf bases dilated into translucent membranous wings, up to 1.5 cm wide at base. Ligule elongate-triangular usually lost from older leaves. Labium not developed. Sporangia elliptic to obovate, up to $6 \times 12 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 200-300 megaspores. Sporangial walls pale brown, semitranslucent, wall cells not thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I megaspores only produced, $400-500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., proximal faces smooth (fig. 148) or with short, narrow cristae and small tubercles (fig. 149, 153), distal faces covered with narrow, sometimes anastomosing cristae (fig. 149, 151); megaspore surface covered with base meshwork with tiny recurved spinules (fig. 152) or granular upper surface (fig. 150). Tri-radiate and commissural ridges even, smooth and thin (fig. 148, 149, 153), slight obtuse pointed extension produced where commissural and tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 148, 151). Microspores $25-30 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, rather verrucose (fig. 247, 248) to almost granulose (fig. 249). HOLOTYPE:- Mindanao, vicinity of Olangu, n. Momungan, A.L. Zwickey 776, 18.xi. 1938 (GH).

ISOTYPE:- as above (MICH).
DISTRIBUTION:- Only recorded from Olangu $R$. on Mindanao in the Philippines.

ECOLOGY:- I. philippinensis is only known from sub-alpine streams, growing submerged in 1-2 m water. No details of the seasonal growth of this species are known.

NOTES:- I. philippinensis has only been collected twice, both collections in the vicinity of the Olangu River on Mindanao. The second collection (M.G. Price 500) is labelled as collected from the type locality; however, the altitude details are different ( $400-500 \mathrm{~m}$ for the type and 300 m for the Price 500 collection), and the microspore features differ markedly in the two collections (fig. 247, 248, 249). Consequently it appears that the two collections are from different populations although the plants of the Price collection are similar to the type plants in other characteristics.

Numerous $\pm$ spherical spore-like bodies $5-10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter (fig. 151, 153) were present with the megaspores in the megasporangia of the holotype of $I$. philippinensis. The origin and nature of these bodies could not be determined for certain although it is likely that they are fungal in origin.
I. philippinensis can be distinguished from other species of Isoetes by the lack of stomates and velum, and the ormamentation and size of the megaspores.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only 2 collections seen,
PHILIPPINES: MINDANAO: Barrio Balut, Balo-i, Lanao de Norte, M. G. Price 500, 24.viii. 1969 (MICH, NY, PNH); Vicinity of Olangu, n. Momungan, A.L. Zwickey 776, 18.xi.1938 (GH, MICH ).
19. Isoetes habbemensis Alston, J. Arm. Arb., 26, 180 (1945); Alston, in Fl. lialesiana Ser. II 1, 64, fig. 1 (1959).

DESCRIPTION:- Submerged aquatic herb. Corm (2) - 3 - (4) lobed, 1-2 cm across, almost completely covered by leaf bases on upper surface. Roots thick, brownish. Leaves up to 60, each ca. $15(-30) \mathrm{cm}$ long, $\pm$ erect or strongly recurved, dark green with pale bases. Distal portion of leaves flattened on adaxial face, $\pm$ triangular in transverse section, tapering to acute apices. Peripheral fibre strands, stomates and intermal hairs absent. Translacunar diaphragms not visible through leaf, lacunar walls $5-6$ cells thick. Leaf bases expanded into wings, $1.5-2.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, wings thick, not translucent. Iigule broadly deltoid, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, $2-2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, Labium sometimes slightly produced, 1 mm broad and 0.5 mm long. VeZum absent, but sides of fovea sometimes slightly folded over edge of sporangium. Sporangia elliptic to ovate, up to $4 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$. Sporangial walls pale or brownish, wall cells slightly thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I megaspores only produced, 500-735 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam., pale when dry, proximal faces covered with low sparse tubercles (fig. 139), distal faces with narrow cristae apparently formed from tubercles joined together (fig. 137) with the tubercle positions marked by swellings in the cristae; surface of megaspores covered with matted network with small irregular protuberences (fig. 138). Tri-radiate ridges narrow and blade-like (fig. 139). Commissural ridges low, thin, produced into obtuse pointed extensions where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 137). Microspores brown, $40-45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ x ca. $25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, densely spinulose
(not figured, but almost identical to $I$. humition microspores fig. 235, 236).

HOLOTYPE:- New Guinea, Lake Habbema, L.J. Brass e440, Aug. 1938 (BM).

ISOTYPES:- as above (LAE).
DISTRIBUTION:- I. habbemensis is recorded from Irian Jaya and
Papua New Guinea, for Lake Habbema and Mts. Wilhemina, Scorpion and Auriga. Distribution shown in fig. 340.

ECOLOGY:- I. habbemensis grows only in alpine tarns, where it is locally very abundant. The growth cycle of this species is
poorly recorded but appears to be similar to that of I. neoguineensis.
NOTES:- This species resembles $I$. neoguineensis and I. stevensii,
but can be distinguished from both these species by the
ornamentation of the megaspores. The megaspores of
I. habbemensis differ from most other suecies of Isoetes
except $I$. philippinensis from which it is readily distinguished
by its general habit and ornamentation of the microspores.
SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 3 collections only,
IRIAN JAYA:- Lake Habbema, L.J. Brass 9440 Aug. 1938 (BM, LAE)
(Type); Lake Habbema, L.J. Brass 9441, Aug. 1938 (BM, LAE);
4 km NE of Mt. Wilhemina summit, L.J. Brass and E. Myer-Drees 9974, Sept. 1938 (GH).
20. Isoetes stevensii J.R. Croft, mss.

DESCRIPTION:- Submerged aquatic herb. Corm 1-2 cm across, 3-lobed, lobes distinct. Foots thick, mostly dark. Leaves up to $55,5-10(-18) \mathrm{cm}$ long, crowded on upper surface of corm, dark green with pale bases. Distal portion of leaves flattened on adaxial face, $\pm$ semi-circular in transverse section, gradually tapering to acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands, stomates and internal hairs absent. Trans-1acunar diaphragms visible through leaf, lacunar wall $4-5$ cells thick. Leaf bases dilated into wings, ca. 1 cm across at base, brownish, sub-membranous towards edges, not translucent, wings extending to about halfway along leaves, gradually tapering. Ligule triangular with cordate base, $1-1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ long and wide, frequently lost or damaged on mature leaves. Lubium not developed. Velum absent. Sporangia (orbicular-) elliptic, up to $4 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 60-150 megaspores. Sporangial walls pale to tan in colour, wall cells not thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I only produced, $490-600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., white when dry, distal faces regularly reticulate (fig. 183, 185), reticulum low and open, proximal faces more irregularly reticulate than distal faces (fig. 182, 185); megaspore surface meshlike with fine spines (fig, 184) except on raised ridges of reticulum which lack spines on the upper surfaces (fig. 186). Tri-radiate ridges broad, shallow and straight (fig. 182, 183, 185). Commissural ridges narrower thas tri-radiate ridges, almost straight (fig. 185) or slightly crenulate (fig. 183), broadening into obtuse point where tri-radiate ridges adjoin. Microspores brown, 35-39 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ x $22-25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, distal faces densely covered with conical spines
(fig. $277,278,286$ ) less dense on proximal face (fig. 286), rarely spines shortened to small tubercle-like processes (fig. 285).

HOLOTYPE:- E. Mt. Giluwe, P.F. Stevens ond D.B. Foremon LAE 52251, 15.viii. 1972 (LAE).

ISOTYPES:- as above (BRI n.v., CANB n.v., A n.v., K n.v., NSW n.v.).

DISTRIBUTION:- Known only from Mt. Giluwe and Mt. Sarawaket in central and eastern New Guinea. Distribution map fig. 340. ECOLOGY:- I. stevensii occurs in shallow alpine tarns, usually less than 50 cm deep. The plants are able to survive periodic exposure, but normally grow completely submerged. No details of the annual growth cycle for this species are available. NOTES:- The general norphology of $I$. stevensii is close to that of I. neoguineensis and I. habbemensis, but is distinguished by the large reticulate megaspores (fig. 182, 183, 185) which are also sufficient to distinguish this species from all other species in the study area, except possibly from I. phizippinensis. The plant form and microspore features of $I$. philippinensis are however distinctly different from those of $I$. stevensii.

```
SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- }8\mathrm{ collection,
```

PAPUA NEW GUINEA:- Eastem slopes Mt. Giluwe, M. Coode, P. Wardle and P. Katik NGF 40229, 19.vi. 1969 (LAE); Kenzohroh, Salawaket Range, Huon Peninsula, R. D. Hooglond 9846, 14.ix. 1964 (LAE); upper western slopes Mt. Giluwe, R. Pullen 2883, 22.ix. 1961 (LAE); western summit Mt. Giluwe, R. Schodde 1843, 14.viii. 1961 ( $B R I$, LAE) ; western summit Mt. Giluwe, R. Schodic 1843 A, 14.viii. 1961 (LAE); western summit Mt. Giluwe, R. Schodde 1915, 19.viii. 1961 (LAE); ENE Mt. Giluwe, P.F. Stevens cond D.B. Foremon LAE 52251, 15.viii. 1972 (LAE) (Type); western slopes Mt. Giluwe, L.K. Wade cond D.N. McVean ANU 7756, 16.vii. 1967 (LAE).
21. Isoetes humilior F. V. M. ex A.Br., Linnaea 25, 722 (1952); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 9, 134 (1922).
Syn. I. hookeri A.Br., Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 538 (1868); Motelay and Vendryes, Actes Soc. Linn. Eord., 36, 340-341 (1883); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. Pfl.-fom. 1(4), 777 (1902).
I. tasmonica F. v. M. ex Dur., ex parte, Bull. Soc. Bot. France, 11, 104 (1864); Mueller, Frag. Fhytogr. Aust. 5, 140 (1865-66).
Calamaria humilior (F. v. M. ex A.Br.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. PZ. 2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Submerged aquatic herb. Corm 2 - lobed, lobes distinct, elongate, corm up to 5 cm in length, ca. 1 cm deep. Roots thick, mostiy dark. Leaves 5-15, each 5-8 cm long, erect, rigid, hard, dark green along entire length. Peripheral fibre strands, stomates and intemal hairs absent. Upper portion of leaves $\pm$ cylindrical, slightly flattened on adaxial face (fig. 302), $\pm$ linear with obtuse apex. Translacunar diaphragms not visible through leaf, lacunar walls 6-7 cells thick (fig. 317), stele with only one intrastelar canal. Leaf bases dilated into wings, up to 1.5 cm across at base, thick and opaque, often dark brownish at edges, only extending 1-2 cm along leaf margins, very narrow above sporangia. Ligule small, thick, triangular, ca. $1.0 \times 0.7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Labirm not developed. Velum completely covering sporangium, thick, opaque, Sporongia small, orbicular to elliptic, $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $3 \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 40-70 megaspores. Sporangial walls pale and translucent under velum, not thickened (fig. 300). Megaspores monomorphic, Type I megaspores only produced, 680-900 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam., both proximal and distal faces shallowly tuberculate to almost smooth (fig. 51, 53); megaspore surface densely covered with twisted spines (fig. 52) except on the tubercle apices. Tri-radiate ridges about as broad as high, straight,
covered with spinules except on apices (fig. 51, 53). Commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges (fig. 51), barely produced to small obtuse points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 53). Microspores dark brown, 28-35 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ x $20-28 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, surface granulose (fig. 233,234 ) to densely spinulose (fig. 235,236 ) especially on distal faces.

HOLOTYPE:- Tasmania, S. Esk River, C. Stuart 579, April 1849 (MEL).

ISOTYPE:- as above (B).
DISTRIBUTION:- I. humilior is known from a few sub-alpine lakes in Tasmania (fig. 338). This species has been recorded in error for mainland Australia by Wakefield $(1945,1955)$, Willis (1962) and Aston (1973). All specimens recorded as $I$. humilior from mainland Australia have been found to be specimens of I. muelleri or I. pusilla. ECOLOGY:- Except for the type collection, I. humilior has only been recorded from permanent sub-alpine lakes where it often grows in association with I. gunnii. The specimens collected from the S. Esk River may be drift specimens washed downstream from higher altitudes.
I. humilior is a perrenial, with leaves retained for two or three seasons as indicated by the presence of alternating groups of microsporangia and megasporangia found along the elongate lobes of the corm.

This species is often up-rooted, as, for example, by swans, and drift plants are found at the edges of lakes. No vegetative growth of fragments, as is sometimes found in I. gronnii, has been observed for 1 . humilior.

NOTES:- I. hrmilior is almost identical to I. gronii (with which it often grows) but is distinct in
(i) the presence of the velum and (ii) the two-lobed corm. Although two-lobed corms may very rarely occur in I. gromii, (only one single bi-lobed specimen observed) this latter species never shows velum development.

Thus it is likely that some characters common to these two species could be adaptions to the environmental conditions. The development of thick, tough leaves without stomates, as developed in these species appear to be characteristic of species from cold lakes, and are also found in I. Zacustris from Europe and to a lesser extent I. neoguineensis, I. habbemensis and I stevensii from New Guinea.

The texture of the leaves, lack of stomates and the presence together
of the velum $\lambda_{\text {distinguish this species from all other species. }}^{\text {a }}$
SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 7 collections,
TASMANIA:- Lake Ada, ca. 35 km NW of Miena, J. Eduards, April 1970 (AD); Lake Echo, L. G. Gibbs 6734, Jan. 1915 (BM); Lake Echo, L.G. Gíbbs 6882, Jan. 1915 (BM); Shannon Lagoon, C.R. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock 131, 28.xi. 1974 (AD); Franklin Bay, Lake St. Clair, C.R. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock 148, 1.xii. 1974 (AD); S. Esk River, C. Stuart 579, April 1849 (B, MEL) (Type); S. Esk River, C. Stuart (K)
22. Isoetes dimitei Shende, J. Univ. Bombay, 1s, 50-52, fig. (1945); Pant and Srivastava, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Seet. B., 28, $254-255$, pl. 8, 9, text fig. 10 (1962); Goswami and Arya, J. Indian Bot. Soc. 49, 32 - 33 (1970).

DESCRIPTION:- Amphibious herb. Corm 3-lobed. Leaves 10 - 25, each $8-20 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, green, $\pm$ cylindrical in distal portion, adaxial face flattened. Peripheral fibre strands present, 4 major strands and numerous subsidiary strands, stomates numerous. Leaf bases expanded into membranous wings, ca 1 cm across at base, wings extending $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ along leaf margins above sporangia, terminating abruptly. Ligule elongatetriangular with cordate base, 4-6 mm long. Velum rudimentary or covering up to $50 \%$ of each sporangium. Sporongia ovate to elliptic, $2-4.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2-6 \mathrm{~mm}$. Sporangial wall often mottled with brown pigmented cells. Megaspores dimorphic, Type I and Type IIA produced within individual sporangia. Type I megaspores $480-660 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam. . Type IIA megaspores $320-460 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam. Both types of megaspores white when dry, tuberculate, with irregular rounded tubercles on both proximal and distal faces. Microspores rare, reddish brown, $27-32 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., muricate. HOLOTYPE:- India, Panchgani, Bombay Presidency, D.V. Shende, Oct. 1939 (Karnatak College, Dharwar, n.v.).

ISOTYPE:- as above (BM).
DISTRIBUTION:- I. dixitei is only known from a few localities in India.

ECOLOGY:- I. dixitei grows in shallow rock pools, and, from the few records cited, apparently exhibits an annual cycle of growth during the wet seasons and dormancy during dry months. NOTES:- This description given for this species is mainly based on the description given by Shende (1945) with supplementary
information from Pant and Srivastava (1962) and Goswami and Arya (1970). The only specimen available for study was the isotype, which was not available for dissection. This species can be readily distinguished from other species by the presence of a velum and peripheral fibre strands. Ladha (1977) recorded a population of I. dixitei from Tigra in which the plants were larger than those of the type, lacked vela and developed numerous Type III megaspores; this type of megaspore had been recorded by Goswami and Arya (1970) as very rare in this species. These specimens have not been available for study during this investigation, and further detailed examination is necessary to confirm the identity of these plants.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only isotype collection examined.
23. Isoetes echinospora ssp. asiatica (Mak.) Love, Am. Fern J., 52, 121 (1962).

Syn. I. asiatica (Nak.) Mak., Bot. Mag. Tokyo, 28, 184 (1914); Reed, Am. Ferm J., 35, 81 (1945); Tatuno, Cytologia, 28, 293 - 304 (1063); Ohwi, Fl. of Jcpar, 28 (1965). I. echinospora Duv. sensu Hulter, Kungl. Sv. Vet. Akad. Handi., 5, 64 (1927); Iversen, Dansk. Bot. Arkiv., III, 23, 2 (1928).
I. echniospora var. asiatica Mak., Bot. Nag. Tokyo, 18, 129 (1904); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 9 , 156 (1922); Hulten, Kungl. Sv. Dansk. Bot. Arkiv., IV, $\mathbb{1}, 1$ - 340 (1958).

DESCRIPTION:- Aquatic herb. Corm small, 7 - 10 (-20) mmacross, 2-1obed, slightly constricted in centre, leaves completely covering the top of the corm. Roots moderately fine, pale. Leaves up to 30 , each $3-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, dark green with white bases, erect or rarely spreading, gradually attenuate along the entire length, apex acute. Distal portion of leaves slightly flattened on adaxial side. Peripheral fibre strands, stomata and internal hairs absent. Translacumar diaphragms visible through leaves, especially towards base. Leaf bases expanded into semi-membranous wings, $0.7-1.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, often brownish at edges, wings extending $3-4 \mathrm{~cm}$ along leaf margins, gradually tapering. Iigule ver short, semiorbicular with cordate bases, 1 - 1.5 mm across. Labium not developed. VeZum present, covering $10-70 \%$ of sporangium. Sporangia orbicular to obovate, pale to brownish, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 2.5-6 mm, megasporangia containing 20-200 megaspores. Sporangial wall pale to brownish, cells not thickened. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I megaspores only produced $450-600 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam. white when dry, covered on both proximal and distal faces by long (rarely short) conical spines (fig. $13,14,15,17$ ) often broken or truncated (fig. 13, 15, 16); surface of megaspores and spines somewhat granulose (fig. 16, 18).

Both commissural and tri-radiate ridges thin and blade-like, about as high as spines (fig. 13, 14, 17), only slight projections on commissural ridges where tri-radiate ridges adjoin. Microspores pale, smooth, $29-32 \mu \mathrm{mx} 19 \cdot 5-22 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. LECTOTYPE:- Japan, Honshu, Lake Nojiri, 30.viii. 1904 (MAKO. TYPIFICATION:- Makino cites 3 collections from Lake Nojiri in his description of I. echinospora var. asiatica (now ssp. asiatica). These syntypes have not been located. Makino's herbarium was housed at Tokyo Metropolitan University after his death, but extensive study of the collections by Dr. H. Ito has thus far failed to uncover any of the syntypes (H. Ito, pers. comm.). One sheet of specimens collected from the type locality, dated 30th Aug. 1904 has been located amongst Makino's specimens (MAK) and although it bears no collector's name, the sheet has apparently been annotated by Makino and is possibly one of the syntypes, and is provisionally proposed as lectotype.

DISTRIBUTION:- I. echinospora ssp. asiatica is recorded from Honshu, Hokkaido, Sakhalin and the Kurile Islands and also from Kamtchatka. A map showing the known distribution for this species is given in fig. 341.

ECOLOGY:- I. echinospora ssp. asiatica is a submerged aquatic herb which grows in shallow water in lakes. No details of the seasonal cycle of this species are available, but all collections have been made in the latter half of the year. The plants are small and all sporophylls appear to be shed each season. The lack of stomates indicates that I. echinospora ssp. asiatica is a true aquatic rather than an amphibious species.

NOTES:- I. echinospora is a widespread trans-boreal species.
Five sub-species are currently recognized only one of which
(ssp. asiatica) has been examined in this study. This
subspecies differs from I. echinospora sensu stricto in
having coarser spines, in lacking stomates and in bearing
smooth microspores (Love, 1962).
I. echinospora ssp. asiatica is the only species with
spiny megaspores occurring within the study area.
SPECIMEN EXAMINED:- 29 collections examined.

## REPRESENTATIVE SPECIMENS:

JAPAN, HONSHU:- Iwate Pref., Hachimanta, near Hachiman numa,
H. Hara 21.vii. 1953 (TI); Yamagata Pref., Grassan, S. Kato 4, 2.viii. 1959 (TNS); Nagano Pref., Mt. Kazafuki, Crater Lake, H. Koidzrmi, 22.viii. 1922 (KYO); Aomori Pref., Mt. Hakkoda, Mutsu, T. Makino, 1928 (KYO, MAK, US); Aomori Pref., Nt. Hakkoda, Suirennuma, U. Mizushima, 8.vii. 1952 (TI); Nagano Pref., Lake Nojiri, K. Oguma, 13.viii. 1910 (SAP); Fukushima, Inawashiro Lake, J. Ohuri and S. Okatmoto, Sept. 1953 (TNS); Nagano Pref., Matsubarako, K. Seto 2150, 3.viii. 1960 (TI); Nagano Pref., Lake Nojiri, S. Takahashi, Aug. 1904 (MAK); Nagano Pref., Yanaba, Nakatsuna Lake, K. Seto 21593, 18.viii. 1975 (OSA); Fukushima, Mt. Azuma, Y. Yuki and T. Sato 4239, 5.viii. 1942 (KYO); Nagano Pref., Lake Nojiri, collector prob. T. Makino, Aug. 1904 (MAK) (Type).
HOKKAIDO:- Nemuro marais, U. Faurie 7527, 27.viii. 1891 (KYO); Lac d' Akan, U. Fourie 10732, 9.viii. 1899 (KYO); Prov. Kushiro, Lake Akon, L.T. Karwakami, 24.viii. 1897 (B); Prov. Kushiro, Kuttyaro Lake K. Miyabe, 6.ix. 1925 (SAP); Numanohara-yama, S. Okconoto, 19.viii. 1959 (KYO); Numnohara, Mt. Taisetsu, J. Samejima and T. Misumi, 10.viii. 1952 (SAP); Prov. Kushiro, Kuttyaro Lake, S. Tonakadate, Aug. 1917 (SAP).
KURIL ISLANDS:- Etrof Island, Mt. Atoiya, T. Kowakomi, (SAP); Kunashiri Island, Furukamappu, J. Ohumi 946, 20.viii. 1931 (TI, TNS).
SAKHALIN:- Lake Chipesani, G. Nakahara, July 1906 (SAP, TNS). U.S.S.R., KAMCHATKA:- peat bog between Kljuchi and Mikizena, Parashunka River Valley, V. Komarov, 29.vi. 1908 (LE); Jugrian Haligerea River Valley, n. of river estuary, V. Komarov, 29.ix. 1909 (LE).
24. Iscetes kirki. A.Br., Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 649 (1869); Kirk, Trans. N.Z. Inst., 2 , 107, pl. 7 (1869); Baker, J. Bot. Lond., 18, 69 (1880); Notelay and Vendryes, Actes. Soc. Linn. Eord., 36, 390 (1883); Eaker, Fonabk. Ferm Allies, 127 (1887); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. Pfl. - fcom. 1(4), 775 (1902); Cheeseman, N.Z. Fl., 1043 (1906); Pfeiffer, Ann. No. Bot. Gard., 9, 123, fig. 13 (1922); Allan, FZ. of N.Z. I, 8 (1961). I. multiongulaxis Colenso, Trans. N.Z. Inst., 22, 449-451 (1890).

Syn. Calcmaria kirkii (A.Br.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. PZ. 2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Submerged aquatic herb. Corm small, 0.4-0.7 cm in diam., 2-3-(4-) lobed. Roots dark brown, medium to fine. Distal portion of leaves $\pm$ cylindrical, flattened on adaxial surface (fig. 306), tapering to acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands, and internal hairs absent, stomata very rare. Translacunar diaphragms visible through leaves, lacunar wall 3-4 cells thick, stele small with single small intra-stelar canal. Leaf bases expanded into wings $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, usually semitranslucent at edges, wings extending $2-4 \mathrm{cms}$ along leaf margins. Ligule triangular, ca. 0.5 mm long and broad, frequently lost from mature leaves. Labium minute and tooth shaped or sometimes not produced at all. Velum present, thin, usually completely covering each sporangium (rarely covering only 50\%). Sporangia orbicular, elliptic or ovate, 2.5-4mm m - 4 ( -9 ) mm. Sporangial walls translucent under velum, membranous, occasionally pigmented brown but never thickened. Megaspores monomorphic or dimorphic, Type I only or Types I and IIA produced within individual megasporangia, spore types within sporangia uniform within populations. Microspores produced only by plants which produce monomorphic (Type I) megaspores.

24a. var. kirkii
DESCRIPTION:- Corm 3 - (4-) lobed. Leaves usually erect, thin, flexuose,
with white bases, crowded spirally on top of corm. Negaspores monomorphic or dimorphic, Type I or Types I, IIA and rarely III produced. Type I megaspores $440-575 \mathrm{\mu m}$ in diam., faintly or distinctly tuberculate, tubercles occasionally confluent on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 81, 83, 85, 87, 88, 89) rarely lowly cristate, spore surface a flat meshwork (fig. 86) usually with small spine-like projections (fig. 82, 84). Tri-radiate and commissural ridges both distinct (fig. 81, 85, 87, 88), slightly higher than broad. Commissural ridges straight (fig. 81, 83) or slightly crenate (fig. 87, 88), slight points produced where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 81, 83, 89). Ornamentation of Type III megaspores like that of Type I megaspores. Type IIA megaspores $250-445 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, flattened, tuberculate (fig. 90), surface as in Type I megaspores. Microspores brown, with finely pointed spinules on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 272, 273, 274), spinules sometimes hooked (fig. 271), $26-32 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 21-23 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. LECTOTYPE:- New Zealand, North Island, Waikato, T. Kirk (ond F.W. Hutton), 1869 (B) (photograph seen).

SYNTYPE:- New Zealand, North Island, Whangape Lake, T. Kirk and F.W. Hutton, 1869 (not located).

TYPIFICATION:- Braun cited two collections when he described I. Kirkii; one from Hooker's herbarium (Whangape Lake) and the other sent to him by Mueller (Waikato). These collections are both syntypes. Both these collections were made by Kirk, accompanied by E.W. Hutton in 1869. Only the Waikato material has been located (B) to date and this is therefore proposed as the lectotype of I. kirkii (and therefore I kirkii var. kirkii). DISTRIBUTION:- I. kirkii var. kirkii is recorded from several localities throughout New Zealand, on both the North and South

Islands. A map showing the distribution of this variety is given in fig. 339.

ECOLOGY:- I. Kirkii var. kirkii is an aquatic species, usually growing submerged in sub-alpine lakes, but rarely emergent. The plants are perennial, bearing leaves throughout the year, but only known to produce sporangia in the spring and summer months. All sporophylls appear to be shed each year, as there is no build up of alternating bands of megasporophylls and microsporophylls as is likely to be found in perennial lacustrine species. This variety often forms dense colonies on lake bottoms, sometimes in deep water, and many collections consist of drift specimens only.

NOTES:- Detailed notes and comparisons for this variety are included in the notes for var. alpina.

Both Cheeseman (1906) and Pfeiffer (1922) record the presence of stomates on the leaves of I. kirkii var. kirkii but no stomates have been observed in the specimens examined during this study. Dimorphic megaspores are present in plants of this species collected from the type locality. Type IIA megaspores appear to have been mistakenly identified and illustrated by Kirk (1869) as microspores. The dimorphism of the megaspores has remained un-recognized until the present study.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 19 collections,
NEW ZEALAND: NORTH IS.:- Lake Whangape, Waikato, T.F. Cheeseman, Jan. 1879 (AK, BM, NY) ; Wairau River, R.J. Chinnock P862, 24.i. 1974 (AD); Lake Taupo, A.P. Druce, April 1956 (CHR); Whangape Lake, Dr. Hector; (GH, LE); Waihi Lake, Waikato, T. Kirk, (WELT); Waikare Lake, Waikato, T. Kirk, April 1870 (CHR, OTA, WELT); Waikato, T. Kirk, (OTA): Whangape Lake, Waikato, T. Kirk, April 1870 (CHR, MO, OTA, WELT); Lake Ponui, Wairarapa Valley, R. Mason 4432, 14.v. 1956 (CHR); Lake Ponui, R. Mason 4438, 15.v. 1956 (CHR); Wairau River,

Whanjaree, A. Thompson, April-1900 (AK, CHR).
SOUTH IS:- Lake Te Anau, South Otago, G.T.S. Baylis, 6.xii. 1952
(OTA); Lake Orbell, Fiordland, G.T.S. Saylis, Feb. 1956
(OTA); n. Lake Tekapo, Canterbury Alps, T.F. Cheesemon, Jan. 1883 (AK); Lake Wakatipu, P.N. Johnson (OTA); Cass Biological Station, Canterbury, R. Nason 663, 24.i.1951 (AD, CHR); Head of Lake Tekapo, D. Scott, 4.xii. 1958 (OTA); Lake Te Anau, W.P., Jan. 1892 (WELT).

24b. var. flabelZata C.R. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock, var. nov. DIAGNOSIS:- Cormus bis aut trilobatus, unus lobus cormi trilobati saepe paucior. Foliae $8-20$, ad 30 cm longi, basi follae umbrini plerumque sicco, imbricati, flabellati. Megasporae monomorphicae, facies proximales distantesque tuberculatae 400-520 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diametro. Microsporae fuscae in facibus proximalibus distantibusque spinis conicis.

DESCRIPTION:- Corm 2-3-1obed, one lobe of 3-1obed corms usually smaller than the other lobes. Leaves $8-20$, each up to 30 cm long, thin, flexuose, erect, leaf bases usually dark brown, especially when dry, imbricate in distinct flabellate arrangement. Megaspores monomorphic, Type I only produced, $400-520 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., proximal and distal faces distinctly tuberculate, tubercles sometimes slightly confluent (fig. 91, 92, 93, 94, 95), megaspore surface mesh-like with numerous minute recurved spinules. Tri-radiate ridges slightly higher than broad (fig. 92, 94, 95). Commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges, slightly crenate (fig. 92, 94, 95), only slightly produced to points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 92, 93, 95). Microspores brown, covered with conical spines on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 275, 276), $27-29 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 22-23.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

HOLOTYPE:- New Zealand, North Island, Lake Omapere, R.J. Chinnock

P 853, 22.i.1974 (CHR).
ISOTYPE:- as above (AD).
DISTRIBUTION:- This variety is known only from the type locality
in the far north of New Zealand.
ECOLOGY:- I. kirkii var. flabellata is an aquatic perennial, which appears able to withstand brief periods of exposure above water level. The growth cycle of this variety is virtually identical to that of var. kirkii.

NOTES:- This variety closely resembles var. kirkii, and the relation-
ship between these varieties and var. alpina is discussed under
var. alpina.
SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 5 collection,
NEW ZEALAND: NORTH IS.: Lake Omapere, R.J. Chinnock $P$ 447, 25.x. 1972 (AD, BM); Lake Omapere R.J. Chinnock $P 853$, 22.i.1974 (AD, CHR) (Type); Lake Omapere, A.E. Ester 4281, 25.ii. 1973 (CHR); Lake Omapere, G.B. Row Zings, 21.ix. 1972
(CHR); Lake Omapere G.B. Rowlings, $24 .: .1972$ (CHR).

24c. var. alpina (Kirk) C. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock comb. nov.
Syn. I. alpina Kirk, Trans. N.Z. Inst., I, 377, pl. 25 (1875); Baker, J. Bot. Lond., 18, 70 (1880); Motelay and Vendreys, Actes Soc. Linn. Bord., 36, 368 (1883); Baker, Hoondbk. Fern Allies, 127 (1887); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Nat. PfZ. - fam. 1 (4), 775 (1902); Cheeseman, N.Z. FZ., 1043 (1906); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 9, 122, fig. 12 (1922); Allan, FL. of N.Z. I, 8 (1961). Calomaria alpina (Kirk) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2, 828 (1891-1893).

DESCRIPTION:- Corm 3-1obed. Leaves 8-25,5-30 cm long erect to recurved, sometimes thick, rigid or flexuose, leaf bases white or green, crowded in a tight spiral. Negaspores monomorphic or dimorphic, Type I only or Type I, IIA and rarely III produced, Type I megaspores $450-610 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., smooth or nearly so (fig. $73,75,77,79$ ), surface a close meshwork to almost punctate (fig. 74, 76, 80). Tri-radiate
ridges about as high as wide. Commissural ridges narrow and very low (fig. 73, 75) or not visible at all except where tri-radiate ridges adjoin producing broad points on commissural ridges (fig. 75). Type III megaspores similar to Type I. Type IIA megaspores $260-440 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., smooth or tuberculate (fig. 78), surface as for Type I megaspores or sometimes with minute spines (fig. 78). Microspores brown, covered with thick conical spines, numerous on distal faces but sparse on proximal faces (fig. $268,269,270$ ) , 26.5-30 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 21-22.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

HOLOTYPE:- New Zealand, South Island, Lake Guyon, W.T. Travers (WELT).

DISTRIBUTION:- I. kirkii var. alpina is widespread in the South Island of New Zealand and has also been recorded from one locality in the North Island. The distribution of this variety is shown in fig. 339.

ECOLOGY:- Like the other varieties of $I$. kirkii, the seasonal growth cycle for $I$. kirkii var. alpina is imperfectly known. From observations by collectors, the plants appear to be perennial as in the other varieties and apparently exhibit a similar seasonal growth pattern.

NOTES:- Cheeseman (1906) considered I. multiongularis to be synonomous with I. alpina and his classification has subsequently been retained (Pfeiffer, 1922; Allan, 1961). In this study the type specimen of I. multiongulamis was examined (L. Taupo, C.J. Norton, June 1889, WELT ) and found to be cospecific with I. kirkii var. kirkii rather than with I. kirkii var. alpina (formerly I. alpina). Other specimens from Lake Taupo (A.P. Druce, April 1956, CHR) have also been identified as I. kirkii var. kirkii.

The three varieties of I. kirkii recognised in this study are all similar. Var. flabellata more closely resembles var. Kirkii than var. alpina, differing from the former variety only in the arrangement of the leaves, the number of corm lobes, and in minor details of megaspore and microspore ornamentation. The typical form of var. alpina differs from var. Kirkii and var. flabellata in having thicker, darker leaves, smooth megaspores, with indistinct commissural ridges, and microspores with shorter, thicker, blunt spines predominantly on the distal faces. However each of these characters shows intergradation with the range of variation exhibited by var. kirkii with numerous intermediate forms between the typical forms of var. alpina and var. kirkii.

Consequently I. alpina and I. kirkii are probably not distinct species, and I. alpina is here included as variety of I. kirkii. In placing plant forms which appear to be intermediate between var. alpina and var. kirkii specimens with smooth megaspores and poorly developed commissural ridges have been placed in var. alpina even when in general appearance the plants resemble var. kirkii and specimens with tuberculate megaspores have been placed in var. Kirkii. Since spore characteristics appear to be less environmentally influenced than leaf characters. A few specimens have been observed with slightly tubercled and smooth megaspores within individual sporangia. In these cases the shallow commissural ridges have indicated that the specimens are best placed in var. alpina.

Var. alpina is readily distinguishable from var. flabellata by megaspores and leaf characteristics.
I. kirkii var. kirkii and I. kirkii var. alpina are both very variable in form, and detailed ecological and field studies are necessary to clarify the relationship between them.

Stomates have been recorded in the past for both var. aipina and var. Kirkii (Cheeseman, 1906; Pfeiffer 1922); however no stomates have been observed for these taxa in this study. Even plants growing with the leaves exposed above water level appear to lack stomates. Plants of var. kirkii growing totally exposed at the edge of Lake Te Anau (G.T.S. Baylis, OTA) produced short thick leaves, but even these plants lacked stomates.

Only one population for each variety has been available fresh for cytological examination and in each case a diploid chromosome number of $2 \mathrm{n}=22$ was counted. However the formation of dimorphic megaspores in plants from some populations of both var. alpina and var. kirkii indicates that these populations may be polyploid as occurs in I. muelleri and I. coromondelina and in other species which produce polymorphic megaspores. Unfortunately no live plants of I. kirkii with polymorphic megaspores were available for study.

The three varieties of I. kirkii closely related to I. muelleri, which is a very variable species from Australia. However they differ from I. mueZleri in usually lacking stomates, ormamentation of the megaspores and in the thickness of the lacunar walls. Thus varieties of $I$. kirkii can be distinguished from the other species in the study area by the presence of a velum, the ornamentation of the megaspores and the lack of peripheral fibre strands.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 71 collections examined.

## REPRESENTATIVE COLLECTIONS:-

NEW ZEALAND: NORTH IS.: Gisbourne Point, Lake Rotoiti, V.J. Chopmon, 24.v. 1968 (AKU).

SOUTH IS.: Tekapo R., n. outlet of Lake, H.H. AlZon, 17.ix. 1944 (CHR); Mt. Albert, J.H. Ardley, 22.xii. 1950 (WELT); Lake Pearson, S. Berggren, Feb. 1874 (WELT); Lake Rotoiti, Nelson, T.F. Cheeseman, Jan. 1878 (MO, NY); Lake Rotoiti, Nelson, T.F. Cheesemon 100, Jan. 1881 (BM, NY); Lake Alexandrina, T.F. Cheesemon, Jan. 1883 (AK); Lake Pearson, J. Cooper, 19.ii. 1949 (AK); Lake Lyndon, Canterbury, L. I. CroonneZI, 3.i. 1931 (AK); Lake Manapouri, A. Homilton, 1891 (WELT); Selfes Lake, n. L. Coleridge, P. Hynes, 24.i. 1964 (AK); Lake Janthe, P.N. Johmson, Feb. 1970 (OTA); L. Guyon, T. Kirk 239, (AD, AK, BM, MO, NY, OTA, US, WELT); Lake Middleton, W. Larson, 14.ii. 1960 (OTA); Lake Rotoiti, Nelson, H.C. Martindale, 1882 (MO); Cass, Canterbury, R. Mason 679, 24.i. 1951 (AD, CHR); Lake Clearwater, headwaters Ashburton R., R. Mason 4399, 26.iii. 1956 (AD); Lake Clearwater, R. Mason 10417, 2.ii. 1966 (AD, CHR); Lake Manapouri, n. Buncrana Is., R. Mason 11946, 8.xii. 1971 (CHR); Lake Rotoroa, Nelson, R. Mason and N.T. Moar 5104, 1.iii. 1957 (CHR); Lake Middleton, D. Scott, 20.v. 1958 (OTA); Lake Manapouri, at mouth of Spey R., M.J.A. Simpson 1300, 17.ii. 1959 (CHR); Lake Manapouri, B.F. Slade, 15.ii. 1951 (OTA); Lake Guyon, W.T. Travers, (WELT) (Type); Newton River, P. Wardle and A.D. Campbell, 7.ii. 1972 (CHR, OTA); Lake Rotoiti, Nelson, F.B. WelZs, 21.i. 1971 (CHR).
25. Isoetes muelleri A.Br., Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 541 (1868); Baker, J. Bot. Lond., 13, 69 (1880); Bailey, Syn. Queensl. Fl., 672 (1883); Motelay and Vendryes, Actes. Soc. Linn. Bord., 36, 389 (1883); Baker, Handijk. Femi Allies, 127 (1880); Sadebeck, Isoetaceae in Ilat. PfZ. - fom. 1(4), 777 (1902); Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 9, 127 (1922); Aston, Aquatic Pl. of Aust., 35 (1973); Narsden, J. Adelaide Bot. Gard., I, 37-54, fig. (1976).
Syn. I. humilior non F.v.M. ex A.Br. auctt. Wakefield pp., Vict. Nat., 62, 125 (1945); pp Nakefield, Ferns of Vic. cond Tas., 65 (1955); Willis, Hondok. Pl. Vic. I, 53 (1962); Eichler, Supp. Black's FI. S.A., 33 (1965), Jones and Clemesha, Aust. Ferns and Fern allies, 35, fig. 4c (1976). I. stuartii A.Br., Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 539 (1868); Motelay and Vendreys, Actes Soc. Linn. Bord., 36, 339-340 (1883).
I. tenuissima F.v.M. ex A.Br. (non Bor.) Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 541 (1868) nom. nud.
Calamaria muelleri (A.Br.) Kuntze, Rev. Gen. Pl. 2, 828 (1891-93).

DESCRIPTION:- Aquatic or amphibious herb. Corm 0.5-2.5 cm in diam., 2- or 3-lobed, numbers of 2- to 3-lobed plants varying greatly between populations, corm apex completely covered by leaves obscuring lobe number unless coim is sectioned. Roots medium - fine, pale to brownish. Leaves 5-20, each 3-25 cm long erect or recurved, bright green with white bases, distal portions flattened on adaxial faces (fig. 312), tapering to acute apices. Peripheral fibre strands and internal hairs absent, stomates present, even when plants grow completely submerged, usually numerous but sometimes restricted to distal tips of leaves, very rarely absent. Lacunar walls 1 - 3 cells thick (fig. 325), translacunar diaphragms visible through leaf, especially towards base. Leaf bases expanded into membranous wings up to 1.5 cm wide at b ase, loosely imbricate, wings extending along about $40 \%$ of leaf length, gradually tapering. Ligule cordate - triangular, $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, usually retained on older leaves, sometimes covered by sporangium inside forea. Labium sometimes very slightly produced, triangular. Velum present,
covering 15 - $100 \%$ of sporangium. Sporongia orbicular to elliptic or obovate, $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $5 \times 9 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia with from 20 - 200 megaspores, microsporangia very rare. Sporangial walls semi - translucent (under velum), pale or distinctly brown, not thickened. Megaspones usually dimorphic, Types I, IIA and III, or rarely Type I only produced within individual sporangia. Type I megaspores $360-750 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., rarely tuberculate with tubercles usually confluent into short cristae, cristate or reticulate (fig. $97,99,100,101,102,103,111$, 117), megaspore surface varying from matted irregular meshwork (fig. 98) to densely spinulose (fig. 104). Tri-radiate ridges about as wide as high, regular and straight (fig. 97, 99, 102, 117). Commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges regular or slightly crenulate (fig. $99,100,103$ ), with slight points produced where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 97, 101). Type IIA megaspores $250-520 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., varying from almost smooth to tuberculate and reticulate (fig. 105, 106, 107, 108, 109); surface as for Type I megaspores. Tri-radiate ridges low, thick, smooth. Commissural ridges even, thinner than tri-radiate ridges (fig. 105, 106, 107). Type III megaspores virtually identical in ornamentation to the corresponding Type $I$ megaspores. Type IIA megaspores always smaller than Type I megaspores. Microspores $32-38 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 22-27 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, very rare, covered with stout spinules on both proximal and distal faces (fig. 281, 282).

LECTOTYPE:- Queensland, n. Rockhampton, P. O'Shonesy, 1867 (B). ISOTYPE:- as above (K).

TYPIFICATION:- Braun (1868) did not specify a type specimen when describing $I$. muelleri and as two specimens of the type
collection have been located ( $B, K$ ) the specimen in the Berlin Botanical Museum has now been selected as lectotype (Marsden, 1976b)

Plants described by Braun as I. stuartii are now recognised as conspecific with $I$. muellem. The name I. muelleri has been retained as the type of this species appears to be more representative of the species (Marsden, 1976b).

DISTRIBUTION:- I, muelleri is widespread throughout Australia, occurring in all states and Territories. A map showing the known distribution is given in fig. 331.

ECOLOGY:- I. mueZZeri grows under a very wide range of conditions from permanent sub-alpine tarns to ephemeral swamps and rockpools. The plants are often found growing near other species, such as in moss swards around rock pools containing $I$. australis or the banks of lakes where $I$. gonnii and I. humilior occur, but has only rarely been found growing intermixed with other Isoetes species (I. dmumondii var. dmumondii and $I$. attenuata) in swamps.

Like I. drumondii, I. muelleri grows in micro-habitats where few other macrophytes occur.

Most populations of I. mueZlemi appear to be apomictic (Marsden, 1976b) with germination of diploid megaspores often producing dense colonies of plants in small localised areas, such as in and around granite rock pools.

Plants of $I$. mue Zleri have a perennial corm, but lose all leaves each year. The plants growing in seasonally wet and dry localities lose their leaves when the water dries up, whilst the leaves on perpetually submerged plants are lost as they are pushed outwardly by new growth. Unlike I. dmmmondii,
I. muelleri plants are capable of germination at almost any time of the year when the corms are wetted after a dry period. In I. dmmondii however, corms do not shoot until late autum even if wet, and plants lose most of their leaves in late summer even if continually watered. Plants of I. me Zlemi will continue to grow as long as conditions remain moist.

This feature in I. muelleri is most likely to be an adaptation to the irregular pattern of rainfall over much of the area of distribution of this species. Sprouting of the corms is remarkably rapid, and green shoots often appear within 24-48 hours of wetting.

NOTES:- Alexander Braun based his description of I. mueZleri upon a specimen sent to him by Ferdinand von Mueller, and which bore the manuscript name of I. tenuissima F.v.M. (ined.) in von Mueller's handwriting. Brau however rejected von Mueller's manuscript name because it had already been used by Boreau (1850) for a European species of Isoetes. Braun however included the name I. tenuissima F.v.M. non Bor. as a synonym of I. mueZleri. As no description has been published for I. muellemi F.v.M. non Bor. this is in any case a nomen nudum.

In 1945 Wakefield noted $I$. humilior for the first time from mainland Australia basing his record upon misidentified specimens of I. muelleri. During the following period the identity of these plants remained confused probably in part because
(i) it was not realised that plants of I. muellemi could produce either 2-lobed or 3-lobed corms, with 2-lobed plants being recognised as $I$. humilior whilst those with 3 -lobes were
classified as I. mueZZeri, and
(ii) Wakefield possibly compared the newly found plants with the type specimen of I. stuartii (which is located in NEL) which was at that time believed to be conspecific with I. humilior (Pfeiffer, 1922).
I. muellem is one of the most variable species of Isoetes known (Marsden, 1976b). In addition to the range of variation recorded by Marsden (1976b) specimens producing only monomorphic megaspores and microspores have been observed from northerm New South Wales.
I. muellemi closely resembles I. sampathkumarani, another variable species, from India. These species show considerable overlap in morphological features and are possibly conspecific. Detailed discussion of these species is included in notes on I. sampathkumaroni.
I. muelleri also closely resembles the three varieties of I. Kirkii. I. mueZleri normally has numerous stomates whilst stomates are very rare in I. kirkii (even in emergent plants) and the megaspores of $I$. kirkii vary from smooth to tuberculate whilst the megaspores of $I$. muelleri are only rarely tuberculate.
I. mueZleri can be distinguished by the presence of velum and stomates, the ornamentation of the megaspores, the lack of peripheral fibre strands and the flexible leaves with lacunar walls only 1 - 3 cells thick.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 95 collections examined.
NEW SOUTH WALES (incl. A.C.T.):- Dumaresq Dam, Armidale, N.C.W. Beadle, April 1969 (NE); Betts Creek, Kosciusko Area, B.G. Briags, 11.i. 1960 (NSW); Snowy Mountains, 1.7 km W. of Kiandra, C.R. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock 177, 19.i.1975 (AD); Gerogery Rd., Jindera,
E.J. McBarron 5936 , 7.xi. 1953 (NSW); Upper Naas Creek, Rendezvous Creek Dist., R. Pullen 4105, 5.v. 1965 (BM, CANB); Hospital Creek, ca. 10 km S. of Gudgenby, I.R. Telford 3094 , 19.vi. 1972 (CBG).

NORTHERN TERRITORY:- George Gill Range, Kings Canyon along Kings Creek, A.C. Beauglehole 20919, 8.x. 1966 (MEL); Mt. Benstead, P.K. Latz 2256, 7.i. 1972 (NT); John Hayes Rockhole, P.K. Latz 2265, 29.i. 1972 (AD, NT); Water hole on N.E. side of Ayers Rock, R. Schodde, 29.viii. 1957 (AD, CANB, K).

QUEENSLAND:- Gilruth Plains, Cannamulla, H.S. McKee 10334, 12.iv. 1963 (BRI); near Rockhampton, P. O'Shonesy, 1867 (B, K) (Type).
SOUTH AUSTRALIA:- South-east, W. of Durr Swamp n. Comaum F.R., K.M. Alcock, 23.xii. 1973 (ADU); Eyre Peninsula, Carrappee Hill, ca. 10 km E. of Darke Peak, M. D. Crisp 779, 18.v. 1974 (AD) ; Paddock on E. edge of Comaum F.R., C.R. Marsden 32, 19.xii. 1973 (AD); South-east, Swamp n. homestead, Wrattonbullie Station, C. R. Marsden 39, 19.xii. 1973 (AD); Tassie Creek, Corunna Station, NNW of Iron Knob, R.D. Seppelt, 23.i.1973 (AD).
TASMANIA:- Edge of Shannon Lagoon, $n$. Great Lake, C. R. Marsden and R.J. Chinnock 133, 28.xi. 1974 (AD); Lake River at Longford, D.I. Morris, 19.vii. 1971 (AD).

VICTORIA:- Winton Swamp, ca. 15 km ENE of Benalla, H.I. Aston WS31, 12.ii. 1959 (MEL); Grampians, Mt. Arapiles, S. side of Dicksonia Gorge, A.C. Beauglehole 30657, 14.v.1969 (MEL); Goroke to Nhill Rd., ca. 37 km S. of Nhill, J.H. WiZlis, $21 . i x .1948$ (MEL); Mt. Pilot, ca. 13 km N. of Beechworth, J.H. WilZis, 4.vi. 1962 (MEL).
WESTERN AUSTRALIA:- Kimberleys, Galvins Gorge, A.C. Beauglehole 47901 B, 25.vii. 1974 (MEL); Rawlinson Range, Glen Cummin Gorge, R.J. Chinnock P556, 27.vii. 1973 (AD); n. Lake Monger, east side, C.R. Marsden 215, 15.viii. 1975 (AD); Mt. Hampton, C.R. Marsden 223, 17.viii. 1975 (AD).
26. Isoetes pusilla C. Marsden, sp. nov.

DIAGNOSIS:- Cormus bi- aut trilobus. Folia 4-8, $2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ longe, erecta aut recurvata, praesina cum albis basibus, quae in alas translucidas membranaceas circa $4-5 \mathrm{~mm}$ lata dilatae sunt. Peripherales fibrae absens. Stomata ad extremitati folii. Ligula minuta, late triangulata, circa 0.5 mm longe. Labium absens. Velum dilutum translucidum, fere tegens unicuiusque sporangif. Sporangium exiguum, orbiculatum aut ellipticum, $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ad $1.5 \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$, cuius paries tenuis, translucidus et plerumque dilutum absque parietibus cellularum crassis est. Megasporae monomorphicae, $345-435 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diametro cum angustis, humilibus, atque anastomosandis porcis in utroque facie, in distalibus facibus formandis reticulis. Microsporae ferrugineae, 28-33 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 20-25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, facibus distalibus spinulosis, facibus proximalibus fere levibus.

DESCRIPTION:- Small amphibious herb. Corm very small, $0.3-0.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ in diam., 2- or 3- lobed, lobes small. Roots brownish, thin and wiry. Leaves $4-8,2-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ long $\pm$ erect or recurved, light green with pale bases. Peripheral fibre strands, and internal hairs absent, stomates present. Lacunar walls 1 - 2 cells thick, translacunar diaphragms clearly visible through leaves. Leaf bases dilated into translucent membranous wings 4-5 mm across at base and extending a short distance along the leaf margins above the sporangia, tapering gradually. Ligule minute, triangular, broader than long, ca. 0.75 mm across. Labium absent. Velum present, pale translucent, usually completely covering the sporangia. Sporongia very small, orbicular to elliptic, $1^{\frac{1}{2}}-2 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia
containing 10 - 20 megaspores. Sporangial wall thin, translucent, wall cells not thickened, rarely pigmented. legcaspores always monomorphic, Type I only produced, $345-435 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., white or pale grey when dry, ornamented on both proximal and distal faces by narrow, low, sharp, anastomosing ridges, becoming reticulated on distal faces (fig. $144,145,147$ ), megaspore surface covered by a matted meshwork bearing recurved spinules (fig. 146). Tri-radiate ridges straight, narrow and high, semibladelike, covered with recurved spinules like spore surface (fig. 144, 147). Commissural ridges straight, very narrow and low (fig. 144), produced to small points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 144, 145, 147). Microspores rusty brown in colour, 28-33 $\mu \mathrm{m} \times 20-25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, distal faces covered with $\pm$ conical spines (fig. 250, 251), proximal faces $\pm$ smooth (fig. 252) or with slight projections (fig. 251).

HOLOTYPE:- Australia, Victoria, Mt. Pilot Scenic Reserve, ca. 12 km N of Beechworth, A.C. Beauglehole 43797, 8.xii. 1973 (AD) . DISTRIBUTION:- Isoetes pusizla is known only from south-eastern Australia and is confined to Victoria.

ECOLOGY:- Very little has been recorded concerning the habitat and growth cycle of populations of I. pusilZa. This species is only recorded from shallow rock pools, and appears to follow a similar growth pattern to $I$. muellemi from the same areas.

NOTES:- I. pusilia closely resembles I. muelZemi but differs from this species in two important characteristics:
(i) the ornamentation of the megaspores of $I$. pusizla is much more angular than that of I. muelleri, and although the megaspores of I. mueZlem may be cristate they are clearly distinct from those of I. pusilla.
(ii) Plants of I. pusilla produce only monomorphic megaspores and usually produce microspores, whilst plants of
I. mueZlewi only rarely produce monomorphic megaspores and microspores.

Plants of I. pusilla are usually smaller than those of
I. mueZleri, although the size of plants of the latter species
is very variable. The specific name pusilla refers to the small stature of the plants of this species.
I. pusilla can be distinguished from other species of

Isoetes by the presence of a velum and stomates and the ornamentation and monomorphism of the megaspores.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 5 collections examined:-
AUSTRALIA, VICTORIA: Mt. Pilot Scenic Reserve, ca. 12 km . of Beechworth, A.C. Beauglehole 43797, 8.xii. 1973 (AD) (Type); near Minyip, Wimmera, J.P. Eckert, Nov. 1892 (AD, NEL); Hawkesdale, H.B. Williamson, Sept. 1903 (LE); Chiltern, H.B. Williomson Nov. 1910 (MEL); Beechworth, H.B. Williamson, Dec. 1922 (CANB).
27. Isoetes cristata C. Marsden, sp. nov.

DIAGNOSIS:- Cormus bilobus. Folia ad $65,6-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, erecta patentia, viridia cum albis basibus. Fibres peripheralis absens; multa stomata in extremitate foliae. Cuticula cum parcis angustis in foliae longistrorsum. Ligula exigua triangularis, circa $1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Labium minutum, late triangulare. Velum tegens 5-15\% unicuisque sporangii. Sporangium ellipticum, $1.5-2.5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$, cuius paries translucidus, dilatus est cum parietibus cellulae absque spissescendibus. Megasporae dimorphicae, Typae I et IIA una in utroque sporangio facti sunt. Typa I 340-460 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diametro cum tuberculo uno (rare duobus aut tribus) in utraque facie proximale, cum porcis anastomosandis crassis in facibus distalibus. Typa IIA 240-290 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diametro, cum ormamentis similibus. Microsporae non visae sunt.

DESCRIPTION:- Small amphibious herb. Corm 2 - lobed, lobes not visible unless plant is transversely sectioned as leaf bases are crowded around the corm except at the base where the roots arise. Corm small, $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across, $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep, appearing larger in whole fresh plants due to the numerous crowded leaf bases surrounding it. Roots pale brown, medium thickness. Leaves up to $65,6-10 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, erect patent, bright green except for the white bases. Distal parts of leaves flattened on adaxial face (fig. 308). Peripheral fibre strands and internal hairs absent, stomates present on apical portion of leaves. Lacunar wall 2-3 cells thick (fig. 328), translacunar diaphragm visible through leaves, stele small with single intra-stelar canal. Cuticle covered
with striations appearing as papillae in transverse sections (fig. 328). Leaf bases dilated into translucent membranous wings, up to 5 mm across at base, only extending $2-3 \mathrm{~mm}$ along leaf margins above ligule, tapering. Ligule very small, triangular, ca. $1 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Labium slightly produced at base of ligule, triangular, less than 0.5 mm long. VeZum present, covering 5-15\% of each sporangium. Sporangia $\pm$ elliptic, $1.5-2.5 \times 2.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$, megasporangia containing 50 - 200 megaspores. Sporangial walls translucent, not pigmented or thickened. Megaspores dimorphic, Types I and IIA produced, Type III megaspores not observed. Type I megaspores $340-460 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., with one large (or rarely two or three) tubercle in the centre of each proximal face (fig. 176), distal faces with broad anastomosing ridges (fig. $177,179)$, spore surface a densely matted meshwork with a few small erect spinules (fig. 178). Tri-radiate ridges about as broad as high straight and even (fig. 176). Commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges, crenulate (fig. 176, 177,179 , only very slightly expanded to points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin. Type IIA megaspores $240-290 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., ornamentation similar to Type I megaspores except that the ridges on the distal faces are broader and shallower with almost no space between adjacent ridges at their bases (fig. 180, 181). Microspores not observed for this species. HOLOTYPE:- Australia, Northern Territory, ca. 10 km S. Jimmys Creek, C.R. Dronlop 4243, 13.v. 1976 (AD).

ISOTYPES:- As above (AD, BM, DNA).
DISTRIBUTION:- This species is known only from the type locality in the north of the Northern Territory in Australia.

Tristonia Zactiflua and Melaleuca symphyocarpa dominated swamp, growing in 15 cm water. I. coromandelira ssp. macrotuberculata was also collected from the same locality, on the same date, but no information regarding whether the two species were growing gregariously was recorded. No other details on the growth cycle of this species are available.

NOTES:- I. cristata most closely resembles I. panchonomii
from India; however the Type I megaspores of I. cristata show distinctly thicker, somewhat inflated cristae on the distal faces and tubercles on the proximal faces, whilst the Type I megaspores of I. ponchananii have thinner more angular cristae on both the proximal and distal faces. The commissural ridges of the Type I megaspores of I. cristata are somewnat crenulate whilst those of I. panchononii are smooth and almost straight.
I. cristata bears more numerous but generally shorter leaves than I. ponchononii and the epidermis of the former species bears distinct striations in the cuticle whilst the cuticle of the latter species is sparsely papillate.

Although these two species are obviously closely related, the differences between them are sufficient for recognition of I. cristata as a distinct species.

The specific name cristata refers to the prominent cristae on the distal faces of the megaspores.
I. cristata can be distinguished from other species in the study by the presence of the velum and stomates and the dimorphism and ornamentation of the megaspores.
28. Isoetes ponchomonii Pant and Srivastava, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B, 28, 243-246, fig. 1 - 2, pl. 13 (1962).

DESCRIPTION:- Semi-aquatic herb. Corm small, 0.8 - 1.2 cm across, distinctly two lobed. Roots medium thickness, brownish. Leaves up to 38 , each $7-24 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $\pm$ erect, flexuose bright green with white bases, distal portion of leaves $\pm$ cylindrical, adaxial surface slightly flattened, tapering gradually to acute apex. Peripheral fibre strands and internal hairs absent, stomates present on apical portion of leaves. Lacunar wall 1 - 2 ce11s thick, translacunar diaphragms visible through leaves. Leaf bases dilated into translucent membranous wings, $0.5-1.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base. Ligule triangular, ca. 1 mm long. Labium not developed. Velum present thin and translucent covering ca. $50 \%$ of each sporangium, rarely complete. Sporangia elliptic, $2-3 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 3-5 mm, megasporangia each containing 60-150 megaspores. Sporangial wall thin and translucent, rarely pigmented, not thickened. Megaspores dimorphic, Type I and Type IIA megaspores produced within individual sporangia, Type I megaspores 330-410 $\mu m$ in diam., proximal faces with irregular high cristae and occasional tubercles (fig. 173, 174), distal faces covered with large confluent, angular cristae (fig. 171, 174) sometimes becoming irregularly reticulate, spore surface a fused meshwork with spinules (fig. 172). Tri-radiate ridges thick and tall (fig. 173). Commissural ridges thinner than tri-radiate ridges (fig. 174) almost straight, expanded to small points where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 171, 173). Type IIA megaspores $240-330 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam., flattened and $\pm$ triangular, with one to a few tubercles per proximal and distal face (fig. 175) or cristate (not illustrated), surface similar to Type I megaspores.

## NOTE:

Page 152 is missing from the print copy

Microspores not observed in this species.
HOLOTYPE:- India, Madhya Pradesh, Village Ram Nai, Rewa, D.D. Pont 1, 13.xi. 1960 (K).

ISOTYPES:- as above (CAL n.v., DD. DUH n.v., K, LE n.v., MO n.v., Allahabad University Herbarium n.v.).

DISTRIBUTION:- I. panchononii is only recorded from isolated localities in Madhya Pradesh (Pant and Srivastava, 1962; Ladha, 1977) Maharashtra (Pant and Srivastava, 1962) and Andhra Pradesh in India.

ECOLOGY:- I. panchonanii grows gregariously with I. coromandelina ssp. coromondelina and I. indica along the shallows at the edge of pond at the type locality, and intermixed with $I$. dixitei at Panchgani (Pant and Srivastava, 1962). No details of the growth cycle of this species are presently available.

NOTES:- I. panchonanii most closely resembles I. cristata and I. sampathkumarani. The differences between I. panchanonii and I. cristata has already been discussed under the latter species.

Pant and Srivastava (1962) distinguished I. ponchononii from I. sompathkumarani by differences in megaspore ornamentation and plant size. They recorded the Type I megaspores of I. ponchononii as reticulate and the Type I megaspores of I. sampathkumaroni as merely showing "a jumble of crowded branched ridges".

In the present study megaspores of $I$. sompathkumaroni examined under the scanning electron microscope have sometimes been found to be distinctly reticulate on the distal faces (fig. 159, 161), whilst the megaspores of I. ponchononii are not always
clearly reticulate on the distal faces (fig. 171). Nethertheless the Type I megaspores of I. ponchouronii have been found to be distinct from those of I. sampathkumaroni in ornamentation. Those of the latter species (fig. 154-167) are more rounded than those of the former species (fig. 171 - 174) which show very angular, sharp ridges. The ridges on the Type I megaspores of I. sampathkumaroni frequently appear to be formed from tubercles joined together whilst those of $I$. ponchononii are straight and mostly regular.

The Type IIA megaspores of I. panchonconii have only one tubercle per proximal face and are smooth or sparsely tuberculate on the distal faces whilst those of $I$. sompathkumaroni are densely tuberculate on both the proximal and distal faces. Plants of I. ponchononii are also usually larger (leaves 7-24 cm long) than plants of $I$. sampathkumarani (leaves $1.5-9 \mathrm{~cm}$ long).
I. ponchanonii may be distinguished from other species of Isoetes by the presence of the velum and stomates and the polymorphism and ormamentation of the megaspores.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- Only 2 collections studied.
INDIA: ANDHRA PRADESH: Pakhal Lake, A.N. Henry, 2.ii. 1963 (MH). MADHYA PRADESH: Ram Nai Village, Rewa, D.D. Pont 1, 13.xi. 1960 (DD, K) (Type).
29. Isoetes sampathkumarconi Rao, Curr. Sci. 13, 286-287, 3 fig. (1944); Sharma, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. B, 47, 210-224, 22 fig., pl. 12 - 17 (1958); Sharma, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect. B, 50, $319-339,28$ fig. pl. 4 - 5 (1959); Pant and Srivastava, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, Sect. B, 28, 253 - 254, fig. 9, pl. 13 (1962).

DESCRIPTION:- Small amphibious herb. Corm small 3-5mm inam., 2-(3-4-) lobed. Roots fine, pale brown. Leaves 3-16, each 1.5-9 cm long, spirally arranged on corm very slender, bright green with pale bases. Distal portions flattened on adaxial faces and with acute apices. Peripheral fibre strands and internal hairs absent, stomates present near tips of leaves only. Lacunar walls 1 - 2 cells thick, translacunar diaphragms visible through leaves, stele small with single intra-stelar canal. Leaf base dilated into translucent, membranous wings $0.3-1.0 \mathrm{~cm}$ across at base, wings extending $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ along leaf margins, gradually tapering. Ligule reniform to triangular with cordate base, membranous ca. $1 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, often lost from older leaves. Labium not formed. Velum pale, covering ca. 50\% or more of each sporangium. Sporongia elliptic, 1.5-3mmx 2-6 mm, megasporangia each containing 40-150 megaspores. Sporangial walls pale and translucent, walls of cells not thickened. Megaspores dimorphic, Type I and Type IIA produced within same sporangium. Type I megaspores $350-460 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diam. proximal faces varying from tuberculate to cristate (fig. 154, $160,163,1.64$ ), distal faces cristate to reticulate (fig. 155, $157,159,161,163,165,167$ ) sometimes with almost smooth band adjacent to commissural ridge (fig. 165), megaspore surface usually densely spinulose (fig. 158,166 ) occasionally a matted meshwork with few spinules (fig. 156). Tri-radiate ridges about as high as broad, straight, covered with spinules like spore
surface (fig. $154,159,160,164$ ). Commissural ridges narrower than tri-radiate ridges, slightly sinuous (fig. 159, 160, 163), slight points produced where tri-radiate ridges adjoin (fig. 154, 155, 159, 161). Type IIA megaspores 280-380 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ in diam., flattened, densely tuberculate on both proximal and distal faces (fig. $168,169,170$ ) tubercles often confluent. Triradiate and commissural ridges straight, spore surface as for Type I megaspores except on tubercle apices which often lack spinules. Microspores not observed for this species. LECTOTYPE:- India, Madras State, Bangalore, Govermment Botanical Garden, L.N. Rao, 6.viii. 1944 (K).

ISOTYPES:- As above (Central College, Bangalore, n.v.; Royal Botanical Garden, Calcutta, n.v.)

DISTRIBUTION:- I. sampathkumarani is widespread throughout India (fig. 343) but is not common.

ECOLOGY:- Few details of the habitat or growth cycle of this species have been recorded. However the growth pattern of I. sampathkumarani appears to be similar to that of I. coromandelina ssp. coromondelina with which it sometimes coexists.

NOTES:- I. sampathkumaroni most closely resembles I. panchonoonii and I. mueZleri. The differences between I. sompathkumaroni and I. ponchononii are discussed under the latter species.

In the key to species I. sampathkumanani and I. muelleri are separated on geographical data only. This is because both of these species are very variable (Marsden, 1976b; Sharma, 1959) and show considerable overlap in the ranges of morphological features. The reticulate Type I megaspores of I. sampathkumarani (fig. 155, 159, 161) are clearly
different from the reticulate Type I megaspores of I. muelleri (fig. 103) but the cristate Type I megaspores and the Type IIA megaspores of both species are frequently indistinguishable. The plant size ranges and the size ranges for the megaspores for these species overlap, and both
species bear stomates, lack intemal hairs and peripheral fibre strands. Although I. muelleri is usually tri-lobed and I. sampathkumarani bi-lobed, tri-lobed plants of
I. sampathkumarani and bi-lobed plants of I. muelleri are not rare.

The species are maintained at this time until more adequate material of I. sampathkumaroni becomes available allowing more accurate comparisons. I. sarpathkumaroni can be distinguished from other species of Isoetes by the presence of velum and stomates and the dimorphism and ornamentation of the megaspores.

SPECIMENS EXAMINED:- 6 collections seen.
INDIA: MADRAS STATE: Bangalore Botanical Garden, L.N. Rao, 6.viii. 1944 (K) (Type).

UTTAR PRADESH: Mirzapur, collector unknown. 6.xi.1953 (K). STATE UNKNOWN: Labbagh, M.R. Anandaramiah, 8.x.1946 (BM); locality unknown, H.K. Goswami HKG - 2, 1976 (ADU); locality unknown, H.K. Goswami, 1976 (ADU), (sporophylls only); Chikkamagalur District, Kemmanngundi, S.N. Ramaswamy 118, 5.xii. 1968 (K).

## 6. INTERSPECIFIC RELATIONSHIPS.

6.1. Species concept in Isoetes.

This discussion of species concept is not intended to encompass the classical or genetic definitions of "species", but gives a brief consideration of taxonomic characters in relation to species delimitation in Isoetes.

Plants of the genus Isoetes generally show a remarkable similarity in general morphology, although a few morphologically distinct species are known (eg. I. australis, Williams, 1943). Consequently minute details such as morphology and size ranges of spores and leaf anatomy features have been widely used as diagnostic characters, along with the macroscopic features such as plant size and lobing of the corms.

Little indication was given by some early authors of what they considered to be species determining characters within the genus Isoetes (Linnaeus fil., 1781; Baker, 1880; 1887; 1901; Handel-Mazzetti, 1923) although a few (eg. Delile, 1827; Braun, 1862; 1863; 1868; Durieu, 1864) made detailed comparisons with other taxa when describing new species. Bentham (1878) accepted a very broad species concept for Isoetes and he considered that Braun and Durieu had "multiplied the species far beyond what could be adopted on the principles laid down" for his "Flora Australiensis". Bentham also noted that sone taxonomists considered the Australian species to be all "reducible to the generally spread I. Zacustris."

Clute (1905) noted that up to that time no statement defining what constituted recognised specific differences in Isoetes had been published. Although Clute did not provide a definition of a species in Isoetes, he discussed various features of the genus and concluded that spore characteristics were more reliable than other diagnostic
characters examined.

In her monograph of the genus, Pfeiffer (1922) did not provide a detailed discussion of species concept. Pfeiffer (1922, 1937) however used a wide range of morphological, anatomical and spore characters when diagnosing species.

Following the emphasis placed on megaspore ornamentation in Pfeiffer's monograph of the genus, diagnoses of new species of Isoetes since this time have usually relied heavily on this character, frequently in conjunction with one or more other features such as leaf morphology or anatomy, lobing of the corm, plant stature or microspore ornamentation (eg. Palmer, 1927; Merrill and Perry, 1940; Reed and Verdcourt, 1956; Pant and Srivastava, 1962; Goswami and Arya, 1970).

In recent years a few authors have re-examined the characters used for diagnosis of species of Isoetes. Matthews' and Murdy's (1969) detailed ecological studies of I. piedmontana and I. melanospora showed that many of the characters previously used to distinguish Isoetes species were variable within these species. Hall (1972) examined leaf morphology and anatomy in an attempt to find additional useful taxonomic features.

Most of the characters examined in this study have been found to show some degree of intraspecific variation. The following list ranks the groups of features examined in order of apparent reliability and usefulness as species diagnostic characters:
i) megaspore characters
ii) presence or absence of the velum
iii) microspore characters
iv) leaf anatomy characters
v) sporangial wall characters

> vi) ligule and labium
> vii) lobing of the corm
> viii) plant morphology

These features may now be cunsidered in greater detail:
i) Megaspores: Despite the intraspecific variation observed in megaspore features, the wide variety of morphological forms shown by megaspores provides the most useful, most widely used species determining characters. Many species may be identified or relegated to a small group of species on the basis of megaspore morphology alone. Megaspore features, unlike general plant morphological features, are not known to vary greatly under different growing conditions.
ii) Velum: Although the extent of sporangial coverage by vela has been found to be highly variable within some species, the presence or absence of a velum appears to be an excellent diagnostic feature. However the usefulness of this character for determining species is very limited since only two character states are involved.
iii) Microspores: Microspore characters have generally been found to exhibit less intraspecific variation than megaspore characters and also show correspondingly less variety in form between species. Microspores are less easily observed than megaspores because of their small size and are rare or not known for some polyploid species and hence their usefulness in species determination is limited.
iv) Leaves: Leaf anatomy characters provide many excellent supportive features for consideration in species determination; eg. presence or absence of stomates, peripheral fibre strands or internal hairs. These characters alone are not considered reliable for recognition of species and are useful only if considered together with other features.
v) Sporangial walls: Although pigmentation of the sporangial
wall has been found to be variable in some species, the presence or absence of heavy thickening of sporangial wall cells appears to be a reliable diagnostic character. This feature, however, like leaf anatomy features, is not sufficient for species delimitation when considered in isolation.
vi) Ligule and labium: Ligule features are of very limited use as diagnostic characters. The labium however appears to be more useful than the ligule, being consistently broad and large in a few species which may thus be distinguished from all other species in which the labium is very small and narrow or lacking. The labium, like the velum, only shows two useful character states and consequently is of limited usefulness in determining species.
vii) Corm lobing: The lobing of the corm has been found to vary within many species. This severely limits the use of this feature for species determination.
viii) Plant morphology: The overall morphological similarity of plant form between species restricts the value of this feature for species recognition, however morphological features are useful in a few extreme cases such as the unusual distichous arrangement of leaves in I. australis and I. inflata which easily distinguishes these from other species.

The above ranking of characters is intended only as a guide to general reliaiility and usefulness of the features considered. In consideration of possible new taxa, all available characters should be taken into account. Where significant differences occur in minor characters between populations, and intermediate forms are not known, the recognition of subspecies or varieties may be appropriate. Subspecies are most commonly recognised where there is a distinct geographical separation of the populations, whilst the status of
variety is applied where this is not the case.
The following distinctions provide examples of taxonomic
criteria which have been proposed in the present study:
Isoetes attenuata: most closely resembles I. drummondii but was found to differ from the latter species in the ornamentation of both the megaspores and microspores and in the general morphology of the leaves. Also, the sporangia in I. attenuata do not become as elongated towards the centre of the plants as those of $I$. drummondii var. drummondii, and I. attenuata does not produce Type III megaspores which are characteristic of I. drummondii var. anomala.

Isoetes cristata: appears most closely related to I. panchananii, but differs in the ornamentation of the megaspores and in the general morphology of the plants.

Isoetes pusilla: is very closely related to I. muelleri, but differs in the ornamentation of the megaspores and the types of megaspores produced. I. pusilla usually produces microspores whilst these are very rare in I. muelleri, and the microspores of these two species differ in both ornamentation and size.

Isoetes drumondii var. anomala: this variety is distinguished from var. drummondii by the production of numerous irregular (Type III) megaspores, apparently as a result of polyploidy. Because this difference is genetic in origin it is considered useful to recognise a separate variety for these plants, which only occur in separate populations from var. dmumondii.

Isoctes kirkii var. flabellata: this variety is distinguished from var. kirkii and var. alpina by the distinct flabellate arrangement of the leaves. I. kirkii var. flabellata is only known from a single locality, but has been collected over several years and consistently shows this unusual leaf arrangement.

Isoctcs kirkii var. alpina: I. alpina was originally distinguished from I. Kirkii on the basis of differences in megaspore ornamentation and plant habit. In the present study however, a virtually continuous range of intermediate forms between these two species has been found, and thus the species are not recognised as being distinct. I. alpina has been retained as a variety of $I$. kirkii since the typical forms of these varieties are clearly distinct, and maintain these distinctions even when cultured under similar conditions. The name var. kirkii is applied to plants where at least some of the megaspores are distinctly tuberculate, whilst plants with only smooth or very faintly tuberculate megaspores are placed in var. alpina.

Isoetes japonica var. sinensis: examination of plants ascribed to I. sinensis by earlier workers indicated considerable confusion between this species and I. japonica. I. sinensis was found to closely resemble I. japonica except for differences in microspore ornamentation and minor differences in megaspore ornamentation. These differences are not considered sufficient to maintain two separate species and consequently $I$. sinensis has been reduced to a subspecies of $I$. japonica.

## Examination of I. sompathkumarani and I. muelleri has

 revealed considerable overlap in the ranges of plant form of each of these species, although the typical forms of each are distinct. I. sompathkumarani and I. muelleri are retained as separate species at the present time, however only a small amount of material of I. sampathkumarani was available for study and it is possible that further investigations may indicate insufficient differences for the recognition of two distinct species.
### 6.2. The fossil record of Isoetes.

Iroetes is believed to be a very ancient genus with its evolutionary history well documented in the fossil record. It is
believed that the development of Isoetes can be traced from the giant Lepidodendralian plants of the Carboniferous era, through the smaller Pleuromeia Corda from the Triassic and Nathorstiana Richer from the Jurassic (Foster and Gifford, 1974). The latter fossil genus has been placed in the order Isoetales and fossils from the Lower Cretaceous have been placed in the genus Isoetites Munster a genus closely related to the extant genus Isoetes.

Despite this well documented fossil record of the proposed evolutionary history of Isoetes, it is not possible to clearly reconstruct details of the ancestral forms of the genus. Most fossil species of Isoetites are based on detached sporophylls (eg. Becker, 1973) although Brown (1939) described two species, Isoetites serratus Brown and I. horridus (Dawson) Brown based on fossils of complete plants from the Upper Cretaceous in North America. These fossil species show several major differences from extant Isoetes species. The apices of the leaves are spathulate and the sporangia appear to alternate between megasporangia and microsporangia in Isoetites, and the megaspores of these fossils were only about four times as large as the microspores, and lobing of the corms was not evident.

The fossils described by Brown may represent an intermediate form between. Nothorstiana and Isoetes where the sporophylls still surround a shortened stem-corm which is not as reduced as in the living species of Isoetes where the sporophylls are confined to the apex of the corm.

The proposed fossil ancestors of Isoetes generally show a root-stock with more numerous lobes than the three or four usually found in extant Isoetes corms. Stigmarian root-stocks have been found to show four to seven lobes (Bierhorst, 1971) and Pleuromeia fossils usually have four lobes on their root-stocks (Foster and Gifford, 1974). Nothorstiana fossils have multilobed root-stocks,
with roots arising from grooves as in Isoetes. Thus from these fossil records, it appears that the three lobed corms represent a more primitive form than the two lobed corms, which are probably derived from the former.

The fossil plants are insufficiently well preserved to allow elucidation of other ancestral features of the genus, and many gaps in the proposed evolutionary sequence still exist.
6.3. Evolutionary trends in extant species of Isoetes.

Just as little information about the ancestral form of Isoetes can be deduced from the fossil record as presently known, the extant species provide little indication of evolution within the genus.

A few species, such as I. australis which bears distichously arranged leaves, appear to be highly specialised, and in this case it appears that it would be more likely that ancestral forms of the genus produced spirally arranged leaves as recorded for Pleuromeia and

Nathorstiana as well as for most of the extant species of Isoetes.
It also appears more likely that stomates were originally present and became lost during evolution of aquatic species of Isoetes than that they were derived as plants moved from aquatic to terrestrial habitats. This is indicated by the many amphibious and aquatic species which produce stomates, and thus it is concluded that ancestral forms of Isoetes produced stomates on their leaves and were terrestrial or amphibious plants. As most of the amphibious and terrestrial extant species die down after each growing season, this is predicted for the ancestral forms also.

Until the present function of the ligule and labium are better understood, little is able to be deduced about the evolution of these organs. The production of a velum is widespread throughout the genus and thus it appears that this structure was probably present in anosstral forms of the genus; it seems unlikely that such a strunture
would have evolved more than once.
A possible phylogeny for the evolution of the various megaspore ornamentation types is shown in figure 347 , which indicates how all ornamentation types could have derived from tuberculate megaspores.

Echinate megaspores may have developed by elongation of tubercles into spines, although no intermediate forms between tuberculate and echinate forms of megaspores were found in the material examined. Cristate ornamentation has apparently evolved by the joining together of tubercles into ridges, and these ridges appear to have developed until they have become fused into a reticulum as is observed in reticulate megaspores. Numerous intermediate stages in this evolutionary sequence have been observed. Similarly psilate megaspores could have evolved by reduction in height of tubercles as Observed in I. kirkii var. alpina (fig. 73, 75, 79) which has apparently evolved from I. kirkii var. kirkii (fig. 81, 83, 85, 87, 88)

Figure 347 shows the tuberculate group of megaspores divided into two parts ( I and II) inrecognition of the diverse nature of the ornamentation of the spores within this group:eg. I. coromandelina (fig. 31 - 37) and I. kirkii var. flabellata (fig. 91 -95) would both be included in this group, although their megaspores are quite distinct. Although this proposed phylogeny for megaspore ornamentation represents an over simplification of the probable evolutionary trends, it nevertheless indicates possible interrelationships between the various existing megaspore ornamentation types.

As discussed earlier (section $4.6 e$ ), the different forms of megaspore perispore surface fine structure appear to have developed from a meshwork type, which would thus appear to be the ancestral form of this character.

The majority of species examined were observed to produce spinulose microspores with great variation in the form and size of the spinules. As in the megaspores, the spinulose ornamentation may have evolved from a tuberculate or granulose surface onamentation such as observed in I. drummondii var. drummondii (fig. 237, 239). However possible evolutionary trends in microspore ornamentation are not as clear as those recorded for the ornamentation in the megaspores. Little other information can be determined concerning the morphology of ancestral forms of Isoetes based on the data currently available. Cytological information, as discussed in section 4.9 , is also of little assistance in the study of the evolution of this genus, since almost all extant species which have been studied show a constant chromosome base number of $n=11$. From this it can only be concluded that ancestral species probably had a chromosome number of $2 n=22$.
6.4. Species interrelationships.

The taxa included in the present study have been divided into six groups as set out in table 4.

Group I. I. echinospora ssp. asiatica is apparently not closely related to any of the other taxa included in this study, but is a component of a circum-polar species complex (Hulten, 1958; Boivin, 1961; Love, 1962). This complex is characterised by the production of echinate megaspores and all species, and subspecies, within the complex also produce bilobed corms and are velate.

Group II. This group is characterised by the presence of a velum and the production of psilate, tuberculate, cristate or reticulate megaspores. Within this group, I. muelleri, I. pusizla and I. sampathkumarani are very similar in plant form. I. dixitei appears to be closely related to $I$. sampathkumarani, but adequate material of

Table 4 - Groupings of related species and their occurence.

| Group I | Group II | Group III |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. echinospora ssp. asiatica (Japan and Kamtchatka) | I. cristata (Aust.) <br> I. dixitei (India) <br> I. humilior (Aust.) <br> I. kirkii <br> var. alpina (N.Z.) <br> var. kirkii (N.Z.) <br> var. flabellata(N.Z.) <br> I. muelleri (Aust.) <br> I. panchananii (India) <br> I. pusizla (Aust.) <br> I. sampathkumarani (India) | I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina (India) ssp. macrotuberculata (Aust.) <br> I. indica (India) <br> I. pantii (India) |
| Group IV | Group V | Group VI |
| I. gunnii (Aust.) <br> I. habbemensis (N.G.) <br> I. hopei (N.G.) <br> I. japonica ssp. joponica (Japan) ssp. sinensis (China and Japan) <br> I. neoguineensis (N.G.) <br> I. philippinensis (Philippines) <br> I. stevensii (N.G.) | I. attenuata (Aust.) <br> I. brevicula (Aust.) <br> I. caroli (Aust.) <br> I. dmumondii var. anomala (Aust.) var. drummondii (Aust.) <br> I. elatior (Aust.) <br> I. mongerensis (Aust.) <br> I. taiwanensis (Taiwan) <br> I. tripus (Aust.) | I. australis (Aust.) <br> I. inflata (Aust.) |

these species was not available for study.
I. panchananii and I. cristata apparently represent a line of development from I. sampathkumarani where the ornamentation of the megaspores has become thicker and more reticulate.
I. kirkii appears closely related to I. mueZleri, and I. humilior, the most distinct form of the velate plants studied, may be a further development from $I$. kirkii (especially var. alpina). I. humilior is however more robust than $I$. kirkii, and the megaspores are much larger than those produced by the other velate species.

Group III. Group III plants probably represent the most distinct, close-knit group of species in the area studied. These species (I. coromandelina, I. indica and I. pantii) are characterised by the production of a large, broad labium, internal hairs (both features absent in all other species examined) and the distinctive cobweb-like perispore surface fine structure of the megaspores. The three species included in this group are all very similar in general plant morphology and spores characteristics and might be considered to represent varieties of a single species. However both $I$. indica and $I$. pantii have been found growing intermixed with I. coromandeiina ssp. coromandeZina and yet retain their distinguishing characteristics and thus can be clearly identified.

Group IV. This group includes seven species: I. gunnii, I. habbemensis, I. hopei, I. japonica, I. neoguineensis, I. philippinensis and I. stevensii. Croft (in press) has suggested that the four species from New Guinea represent a geographical series along the central mountain range. I. philippinensis appears to be closely related to these New Guinea species, athough it does not appear to represent an extension of Croft's series, but resembles more closely one of the central species of the series, $I$. stevensii, in
most characteristics. I. japonica, which is recognised as including two subspecies, more closely resembles species of this group than those of the other groups listed, and is therefore placed in Group IV.

The megaspores of $I$. gunnii are very similar to those of
I. hopei; however the plant morphology is more like that of
I. habbemensis, I. stevensii and I. neoguineensis, although the leaves of $I$. gunnii are much thicker and more rigid than those of the other species. I. gunnii is also found in sub-alpine lakes and tarns as are the other species in this group, except I. japonica.

The species included in Group IV show a range of megaspore ornamentation of increasing complexity and relief from I. gunnii and I. hopei (smooth) - I. habbemensis and I. philippinenais (lowly and irregularly reticulate) - I. stevensii (low, reticulate) - I. japonica and I. neoguineensis (very pronounced reticulate)

All species in this group are non-velate, have corms with three or more lobes and produce microspores and monomorphic megaspores. The two subspecies of $I$. japonica differ from the other species in the group by the presence of stomates and peripheral fibre strands in the leaves, and the absence of these features in the other species appears to be the result of adaption to aquatic environments.

Group V. This is the most diverse of the groups listed, and contains most of the non-velate species from Australia. I. drummondii, I. elatior, I. attenuata and I. tripus closely resemble each other except for a few distinguishing features in each case. I. elatior appears to have become adapted to an aquatic habitat by the loss of stomates from its leaves, whilst these are present in the other three species.
I. mongerensis and $I$. caroli also resemble these species in most features, but produce distinctive megaspores and are much smaller
in stature, the latter feature possibly an adaption to growth in the shallow, ephemeral rock pools where these species are found. I. brevicula closely resembles $I$. caroli in form although it is generally much smaller in size. This species was considered to possibly be only a small form of $I$. caroli, but these two species have now been found growing together, along with $I$. australis, and each species retained its distinctive form.
I. taiwanensis most closely resembles I. dmmondii var. drumondii, although it is generally more robust in form. This is the only non-Australian species assigned to Group V.

All species in this group have tuberculate to cristate megaspores with their perispore covered in minute, usually twisted, spines. Spores of $I$. taiwanensis have not been available for scanning electron microscopy, but are similar to other members of the group in general morphology.

Group VI. This group only contains two species: I. australis and I. inflata. These species closely resemble each other in all features except in their megaspore morphology. The megaspores of I. inflata are distinctively lobed whilst those of $I$. australis are more or less spherical, nevertheless these species appear to be closely related due to other similarities.

Both species are clearly distinct in general morphology from all other species in the genus, and these are the only non-velate species studied which consistently produced bilobed corms.

The interrelationships between the various groups listed above cannot be clearly determined. Each group appears to represent a different line of development and links to any common ancestor are not apparent.

### 6.5. Distrifution and dispersal of species.

Most species of Isoetes appear to be confined to narrow distribution ranges, although a few species, eg. I. celiminspora, occur over a wide area.

Underwood (1880) noted that no centre of distribution seemed apparent for the genus and hence it was not possible to assign a "headquarters" for the genus. Almost 100 years later this still appears to be true, despite the increase in the number of species now known and their respectivedistributions. Isoetes appears to consist of numerous localised groups of related species, as indicated by the groupings of species shown in table 4.

Some dispersal patterns are apparent within these proposed groupings, although the relationships between the groups, and consequently their origins, are not clear at this time.
I. echinospora ssp. asiatica (Group I) is the only representative of the I. echinospora complex considered in this study, and consequently other members of this complex would need to be studied in detail before any inference could be made concerning the origins of this complex.

Group II (velate) plants appear to have originated in the Australia-New Zealand region and spread to India where I. sampathkumarani has evolved from I. muelleri or from a common ancestor of these two species. I. panchananii is thought to have developed from I. sampathkumarani, and appears to have reached Australia where I. cristata has evolved as a further development along this line of evolution. I. humilior appears to have evolved from I. kirkii var. alpina, which in turn is closely related to I. muelleri.

Group III species are centred in India, and appear to have evolved on the Indian sub-continent and subsequently spread to Northern Australia.

The possible origins of Group IV species are not as clear as those of the other groups. The New Guinea and Philippine species are intermediate in morphology and distribution between I. japonica from Japan and China and I. gunnii from Tasmania, but the evolution of this group is not yet understood.

The species comprising Group $V$ appear to have originated in South-eastern Australia where I. dmumondii, I. elatior and I. attenuata still occur. The species found in Western Australia appear to have developed from I. drumondii, which is also found in this region, or a precursor species similar to I. drumondii, and adapted to the rock pool habitat where they are found. It appears most likely that I. taiwanensis originated from this group of species in Australia, as this species does not appear to be related to any of the other species studied from nearby areas.

The two Group VI species are unlike any other species known for the genus, and are confined to the south-west corner of Australia. I. inflata appears to have evolved from I. australis which is considerably more common and widespread.

The method of long range dispersal in Isoetes is not readily apparent. Underwood (1880) suggested that waterfowl may be involved. This may be the case in amphibious or aquatic species such as I. coromandelina, where the birds might easily pick up spores in mud on their feet and carry them when migrating. This would be facilitated by apomixis of some species like I. coromandelina and I. panchananii in which megaspores alone can germinate to produce new plants. This explanation is however not satisfactory for long range dispersal of terrestrial species.

Continental drift does not appear to have played a major role in the present day, disjunct distributions observed within some of the
groups of species since the great southern land mass, Gondwanaland, is thought to have broken up in the early Cretaceous (Sclater and Fisher, 1974) whilst the only known complete fossil Isoetaceous plants from this era are markedly different from modern species of Isoetes. Thus speciation of Isoetes appears to have taken place much more recently in the geological time scale.

### 6.6. Climatic effects.

From observations of the species included in this study, microclimatic conditions appear to play a greater role in distribution of species than the more general climatic varaiations between tropical and temperate regions.

The species occuring within the tropics are mostly confined to alpine or sub-alpine localities and consequently are adapted to cool conditions. Most of these species are aquatic as are the species from the alpine and sub-alpine temperate regions, eg. in Tasmania and New Zealand, and are perennial. The lowland temperate species are mostly amphibious or terrestrial in the study area. These species die back each summer as their respective habitats dry out.

The alpine aquatic species are generally more robust than the lowland species, although plants of the latter may be much taller. Other morphological features such as the spores, corms and most anatomical features appear to be independant of climatic conditions.
7. BIBLIOGRAPHY.
(References marked with asterisk not seen in original.)
ABRAhAM, A., and NINAN, C.A. (1958). Cytology of Iscetes. Curr. Sci., 27, 60-61.

ALLAN, H.H. (1961). "Flora of New Zealand". Vol. 1, p. 7-8. Government Printer, Wellington.

ALSTON, A.H.G. (1945). A new species of Isoetes from New Guinea. J. Arn. Arb., $26,180$.

ALSTON, A.H.G. (1959). Isoetaceae in Fl. Males. Ser. 2, 1, 62-64. Noordhoff-Kolff, Djakarta.

AMSTUTZ, E. (1957). Stylites, a new genus of Isoetaceae. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 44, 121-123.

ASTON, H.I. (1973). "Aquatic Plants of Australia". Melbourne University Press, Melbourne.

BAKER, J.G. (1880). A synopsis of the species of Isoetes. J. Bot. Lord., 18, 65-70, 105-110.

BAKER, J.G. (1887). "Handbook of Fern Allies". p. 123-134. G. Bell and Sons, London.

BAKER, J.G. (1901). "Flora of New Guinea". Kew Bull., 1899, 95-126.

BAILEY, F.M. (1883). "A synopsis of the Queensland flora". Brisbane, Government Printer. p. 672.

BECKER, H.F. (1973). The York Ranch Flora of the Upper Ruby River Basin, Southwestern Montana. Palaeontographica, 143, 18-93, pl. 12-40.

BENTHAM, G. (1878). "Flora Australiensis". Vol. 7, p. 671-672. L. Reeve, London.

BIERHORST, D.W. (1971). "Morphology of Vascular Plants". p. 41-70. Macmillan, New York.

BLACK, J.M. (1943). "Flora of South Australia." Vol. I. (second edition). Government Printer, Adelaide.

* BRAUN, A. (1847). Weiter Bemerkungen uber Isoetes. Flora, 29, 33-36.

BRAUN, A. (1852). Isoetes in "Plantae Muellerianae". Linnaea, 25, 657-722.

BRAUN, A. (1861). Uber eine neue art der gattung Isoetes. Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Beriin, 1861, 459-460.

BRAUN, A. (1862). Zwei deutsche Isoetes - Arten nebst Winken zur Aufsuchung derselben.
Verh. Bot. Brandenb. III, 4, 299-333.

BRAUN, A. (1863). Sitzung der physikalisch-mathematischen Klasse. Monatsber. Ǩ. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 1863, 554-624.

BRAUN, A. (1868). Uber die oustralischen Arten der Gattung Isoetes. Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 1868, 522-545.

BRAUN, A. (1869). Uber eine neue in Neuseeland entdeckte Art der Gattung Isoetes. Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 1869, 1-3.

BROWN, R.W. (1939). Some American fossil plants belonging to the Isoetales. J. Washington Acad. Sci., 29, 261-269.

CHEESEMAN, T.F. (1906). "Manual of the New Zealand Flora". p. 1042-1043. Government Printer, Wellington.

CLUTE, W.N. (1905). What constitutes a species in the genus Isoetes. Fern BuZZ., 13, 41-47.

COLENSO, W. (1890). A description of two newly-discovered indigenous cryptogamic plants. Trans. N. Z. Inst., 22, 449-451.

COODE, M.J.E., and STEVENS, P.F. (1972). Notes on the Flora of two Papuan mountains.
Papua New Guinea Sci. Soc. Proc. 23, 18-25.
COOK, C.D.K., SUT, B.J., RIX, E.M., SCHNELLER, J., and SEITZ, M. (1974). "Water plants of the world". Dr. W. Junk, The Hague.

DELILE, A.R. (1827). Examen de la vegetation de I'Isoetes setacea et exposition de ses caracteres. Mus. Paris Mem., 14, 100-119, pl. 6-7.

De VOL, C.E. (1972a). Isoetes found on Taiwan. Taiwania, 17, 1-7.

De VOL, C.E. (1972b). A correction for Isoetes taiwanensis De Vol. Taiwania, 17, 304-305.

De VOL, C.E. (1975). Isoetaceae. In Li et al. "Flora of Taiwan". vol. 1., p. 53-54, pl. 2. Taipei.

DUNLOP, D.W. (1949). Notes on the cytology of some lycopsids. BulZ. Torrey Bot. Club, 76, 266-277.

DURIEU DE MAISONNEUNE, M. (1864). Notes sur quelques especes nouvelles d'Isoetes de l'amerique du nord et de l'australie. Buzl. Soc. Bot. France, 11, 100-105.

DUTHIE, A.V. (1929). The species of Isoetes found in the Union of South Africa.
Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Africa, 27, 321-332, pl. 11-12.
DYER, A.F. (1963). The use of lacto-propionic orcein in rapid squash methods for chromosome preparations.
Stain. Tech., 38, 85-90.

EATON, A.A. (1903). Three new varieties of Isoetes. Rhodora, 5, 277-280.

* EATON, A.A. (1908). Isoetaceae. In Gray. "New Nanual of Botany" seventh edition. Robinson and Fernald.
* EHRENBERG, A.L. (1945). Kromosomtalen nos nagra Karluaxter. Bot. Notiser., 1945, 430-437.

EICHLER, Hj. (1965). "Supplement to J.M. Black's Flora of South Australia". Government Printer, Adelaide.

EKSTRAND, H. (1920). Uber die Microsporenbildung von Isoetes echinosporum. Svensk. Bot. Tidskr., 14, 312-317.

EWART, A.J. (1931). "Flora of Victoria". p. 19. Melbourne University Press.

FASSETT, N.C. (1940). "A Manual of Aquatic Plants". McGraw-Hill, New York.

FLENLEY, J.R., and MORLEY, R.J. (1978). Isoetes in Sumatra. J. Biogeog., 5, 57-58.

FOSTER, A.S., and GIFFORD, E.M. (1974). "Comparative Morphology of Vascular Plants". 2nd edition. pp. 184-196. W.H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco.

* GENNARI, P. (1862). Rivista della Isoetee della Flora Italiana II. Comment. Soc. Critt. Ital., 1, 111-116.

GOSWAMI, H.K. (1975). Chromosome studies in natural populations of Isoetes pantii, with heterosporous sporangia. Cytologia, 40, 543-551.

GOSWAMI, H.K. (1976). A revision of the ligule and labium in Isoetes. Acta Soc. Bot. Pol., 45, 69-76, pl. 3-4.

GOSWAMI, H.K., and ARYA, B.S. (1970). A new species of Isoetes from Narsinghgarh, Madhya Pradesh. J. Indian Bot. Soc. 49, 30-37.

* GRIFFITH, W. (1849). "Notulae ad Plantas Asiaticus". Pt 2. Posth. Popers Cryptog. Pl., 572-575.

HALL, J.B. (1971). Observations on Isoetes in Ghana. Bot. J. Linn. Soc., 64, 117-139, pl. 1.

HANDEL-MAZZETTI, H. (1923). Plantae novae Sinenses. Anzeiger Akad. Wiss. Wien, 13, 95.

HOOKER, J.D. (1858). "Flora Tasmaniae". Part III, vol. 2, p. 158, 376. Lovell Reeve, London.

HULTEN, E. (1927). Flora of Kamtchatka and the adjacent islands. I. - Pteridophyta, Gymnospermae and Monocotyledonae. Kungl. Sv. Vet. Akad. Handl. Ser. 3, 5, 1-346, 6 pl.

HULTEN, E. (1958). The amphi-atlantic plants and their phytogeographic connections.
Kung2. Sv. Dansk. Bot. Arkiv., Ser. 4, 1, 1-340.

IVERSEN, J. (1928). Uber Isoetes in China und Japan. Dansk. Bot. Ark., 5, 1-4.

JEFFERY, E.C. (1937). The cytology of a heterosporous Isoetes. Bot. Mag. Tokyo, 51, 203-209.

JERMY, A.C. (1964). Isoetaceae. In Tutin, T.G. et al. "Flora Europaed' vol. 1, p. 5-6. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

JOHANSEN, D.A. (1940). "Plant Microtechnique". McGraw-Hill, New York.

JONES, D.L., and CLEMESHA, S.C. (1976). "Australian Ferns and Fern Allies". p. 33-35. Reed, Sydney.

KARRFALT, E.E., and EGGERT, D.A. (1977). The comparative morphology and development of Isoetes L. I. - Lobe and furrow development in $I$. tuckermanii A. Br.
Bot. Gaz., 138, 236-247.
KIRK, T. (1869). On the discovery of Isoetes and other genera of Rhizocarpae new to the Flora of New Zealand. Trans. N.Z. Inst., 2, 107, pl. 7.

KIRK, T. (1875). Description of a new species of Isoetes. Trans. N.Z. Inst., 7, 377, pl. 25.

KNOX, E.M. (1950). The spores of Lycopodium, PhyllogZossum, SeZagineZla and Isoetes and their value in the study of microfossils of Palaeozoic age. Trans. Bot. Soc. Edinb., 35, 209-359, pl. 8-19.

* KUBITZKI, K., and BORCHERT, R. (1964). Morphologische Studien an Isoetes triquetra A. Braun und Bemerkungen ther das verhaltnis der Gattung Stylites E. Amstutz zur Gattung Isoetes L. Ber. Deutsch. Bot. Ges., 77, 227-233.

KUNTZE, 0. (1891-93). Revisio Genera Plantarum, Pt. 2, p. 828. Leipzig.

LADHA, J.K. (1977). A study of Isoetes in Madhya Pradesh. Acta Botanica Indica, 5, 85-86.

* LINNAEUS, C. (1751). Skanska Resa, 417, fig. 1.

LINNAEUS, C. (1753). "Species Plantarum". Vol. II. p. 1100. (A facsimile of the original edition, Tokyo).

LINNAEUS, C. (1754). "Genera Plantarum". p. 486. (A facsimile of the original edition, Tokyo).

LINNAEUS, C. fil.(1781). "Supplementum Plantarum Systematis Vegetabilium". p. 447. Brunsvigae.

LÖVE, A. (1962). Cytotaxonomy of the Isoetes echinospora complex. Am. Fern J., 52, 113-123.

LÓVE, A. (1975). IOPB Chromosome number reports XLIX. Taxon, 24, 501-516.

LÖVE, A. (1976). IOPB Chromosome number reports LIII. Taxon, 25, 483-500.

LÖVE, A., and LOVE, D. (1966). Cytotaxonomy of the alpine vascular plants of Mount Washington. Univ. Colo. Stud. Ser. Biol., 24, 1-74.

MAHABALE, T.S. (1938). On a new species of Isoetes in India. Curr. Sci., 7, 62-63.

MAKINO, T. (1904). Observations on the Flora of Japan. Bot. Mag. Tokyo, 18, 129-131, 146.

MAKINO, T. (1914). Observations on the Flora of Japan. Bot. Mag. Tokyo, 28, 184-185.

MANTON, I, (1950). Problems of cytology and evolution in the Pteridophyta. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

MARSDEN, C.R. (1973). "The genus Isoetes in South Australia". Unpublished Honours Thesis, University of Adelaide.

MARSDEN, C.R. (1976a). A new sub-species of Isoetes coromandelina from Northern Australia. Contrib. Herb. Aust., 24, 1-10.

MARSDEN, C.R. (1976b). Morphological variation and taxonomy of Isoetes muelleri A. Br. J. Adelaide Bot. Gard., 1, 37-54.

MATTHEWS, J.F., and MURDY, W.H. (1969). A study of Isoetes common to the granite outcrops of the southeastern piedmont, United States. Bot. Gaz., 130, 53-61.

MERRILL, E.D., and PERRY, L.M. (1940). A new Philippine Isoetes. Am. Fern J., 30, 18-20.

MOTELAY, L., and VENDRYES, A. (1883). Monographie des Isoeteae. Actes Soc. Linn. Bord., 36, 309-404, pl. 8-17.

MUELLER, F. von (1865-66). "Fragmenta phytographicae Australiae". p. 140-141. Melbourne.

MUELLER, E. von (1882). "Census of genera of plants hitherto known as indigenous to Australia." Government Printer, Sydney.

* MUELLER, F. von. (1898). (Title not available). Ann. Rep. Brit. N. Guinea 1897-98.

NINAN, C.A. (1958). Studies on the cytology and phylogeny of the Pteridophytes. V. - Observations on the Isoetaceae. J. Indian Bot. Soc., 37, 93-103, pl. 4-8.

OHWI, J. (1965). "Flora of Japan". p. 28. Smithsonian Institution, Washington.

OSBORN, T.G.B. (1918). On the habitat and method of occurrence in South Australia of two genera of Lycopods hitherto unrecorded for this state.
Trans. Roy. Soc. S. Aust., 42, 1-12, 1 pl.
OSBORN, T.G.B. (1922). Some observations on Isoetes drummondii A. Br. Bot. Gaz., 36, 41-54.

PALMER, T.C. (1928). A Chinese Isoetes.
Am. Fern J., 17, 111-113.
PALMER, T.C. (1933). More about Isoetes lechleri Mett. Am. Fern J., 22, 129-132.

PANIGRAHI, G., and DIXIT, R.D. (1966). A new species of Isoetes from Mirzapur district (India). Proc. 53rd Indian Sc. Cong. Pt III, 243-244.

PANT, D.D., and SRIVASTAVA, G.K. (1962). The genus Isoetes in India. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B. 28, 242-280, pl. 13-16.

PANT, D.D., and SRIVASTAVA, G.K. (1965). Cytology and reproduction of some Indian species of Isoetes. Cytologia, 30, 239-251.

PARKER, D. (1943). Comparison of aquatic and terrestrial plants of Isoetes engelmani in the Mountain Lake, Virginia area. Am. MidZ. Nat., 30, 452-455.

PETTITT, J.M. (1970). Some ultrastructural aspects of sporoderm formation in pteridophytes. In Erdtman, G., and Sorsa, P., "Pollen and spore morphology/plant taxonomy". Almquist and Wiksell, Stockholm.

PFEFFERKORN, G.E. (1970). Specimen preparation techniques. In "Scanning Electron Microscopy 1970". Proc. Third Annual Scanning Electron Microscope Symposium, pp. 89-96.

PFEIFFER, N.E. (1922). Monograph of the Isoetaceae. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard., 9, 79-232, pl. 12-19.

PFEIFFER, N.E. (1937). A new Isoetes from Virginia. Claytonia, 3, 28-30.

* POSTHUMUS, 0. (1934). In Van Steenis, (title not available). Bul2. Jard. Bot. Buit. Ser. 3, 13, 169.

RAO, L.N. (1944). A new species of Isoetes from Bangalore, Mysore state. Curr. Sci., 13, 286-287.

RAUH, W., and FALK, H. (1959a). Stylites E. Amstutz, eine neue Isoetaceae aus den Hochanden Perus. 1. Teil: Morphologie, Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte der Vegetationsorgane. Sitzb. Heidelb. Akad. Wiss. Jahrgang, 1959, 1-83.

RAUH, W., and FALK, H. (1959b). Stylites E. Amstutz, eine neue Isoetaceae aus den Hochanden Perus. 2. Teil: Zur Anatomie des Stammes mit besonderer Berucksichtigung der Verdikungsprozesse.
Sitzb. Heidelb. Akad. Wiss. Jahrgang, 1959, 87-160.
REED, C.F. (1945). Some nomenclatural changes in the genus Isoetes. Am. Fern J., 35, 77-86.

REED, C.F., (1953). Index Isoetales. Bol. Soc. Brot., 27, 1-72.

REED, C.F., (1965). Isoetes in south-eastern United States. Phytologia, 12, 369-400.

REED, C.F., and VERDCOURT, B. (1956). Isoetes alstonii, a new species of Isoetes from Rhodesia. Kirkia, 5, 18-20.

ROBERT, D., ROLAND-HEYDACKER, F., DENIZOT, J., LAROCHE, J., FOUGEROUX, P., and DAVIGNON, L. (1973). La paroi megasporale de l'Isoetes setacea Bosc. ex Delile.
Adansonia, Ser. 2, 13, 313-332.
RODWAY, L. (1903). "The Tasmanian Flora". p. 279.
Hobart.
RYCHLEWSKI, J., and JANKUN, A. (1972). Chromosome numbers of some Polish pteridophytes. Acta Biol. Cracov., 40, 51-60, pl. 17, 18.

SADEBECK, R. (1902). Isoetaceae. In Engler and Prantl, "Die naturlichen pflanzen familien". p. 756-779.
Engelmann, Leipzig.
SCLATER, J.G., and FISHER, R.L. (1974). Evolution of the central
Indian Ocean, with emphasis on the tectonic setting of the Ninetyeast Ridge.
BuZZ. GeoZ. Soc. Am., 85, 683-702.
SHARMA, R., PATEL, R.M., and MOGHE, S.S. (1958). Occurrence of Isoetes in Madhya Pradesh.
Curr. Sci., 27, 410.
SHARMA, U. (1958). Contributions to our knowledge of Isoetes sampathkumarani Rao, L.N.
Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. B., 47, 210-224, pl. 12-17.
SHARMA, U. (1959). Further contributions to our knowledge of Isoetes sampathkumarani Rao, L.N.
Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. B., 50, 319-339, pl. 4-5.
SHENDE, D.V. (1945). A new species of Isoetes from the Bombay Presidency. J. Univ. Bombay, 14, 50-52.

* SIEBOLD, P.F. (1867). In Miquel, F.A.W. "Prolusio florae japonica".
p. 390 .

Amsterdam, Utrecht.
SMITH, G.M. (1955). "Cryptogamic Botany". Vol. II, pp. 223-233.
McGraw-Hill, New York.
STEWARD, A.N. (1958). "Manual of Vascular Plants of the Yangtze Valley
in China" p. 25.
Oregon State College Press, Corvallis.

STOREY, W.B., and MANN, J.D. (1967). Chromosome contraction by O-isopropyl-n-phenylcarbamate (I.P.C.).
Stain Tech., 42, 15-18.
TAKAMINE, N. (1921). Some observations on the life history of Isoetes. Bot. Mag. Tokyo, 35, 184-190.

TA'TUNO,.S. (1963). Zytologische Untersuchungen der Pteridophyten I. Chromosomen von Isoetes asiatica Makino. Cytologia, 28, 293-304.

TAYLOR, W.C., MOHLENBROCK, R.H., and MURPHY, J.A. (1975). The spores and taxonomy of Isoetes butleri and I. melanopoda. Am. Fern J., 65, 33-38.

UNDERWOOD, L.M. (1880). The distribution of Isoetes. Bot. Gaz., 13, 89-94.

VERMA, S.C. (1960). Enucleate spores in Isoetes coromandelina L. Caryologia, 13, 274-284, pl. 34.

VERMA, S.C. (1961). Cytology of Isoetes coromandelina. Am. Ferm J., 51, 99-104.

* WAGNER, W.H., and WAGNER, F.S. (1966). Pteridophytes of the Mountain Lake area, Giles Co., Virginia: Biosystematic Studies, 1964-1965. Castanea, 31, 121-140.

WAKEFIELD, N.A. (1955). "Ferns of Victorie and Tasmania". Field Naturalists Club of Victoria, Mtlbourne.

WANNTORP, H. (1970). Isoetes in south west Africa. Svensk Bot. Tidsk., 64, 141-157, pl. 1-3.

WEST, C., and TAKEDA, H. (1915). On Isoetes japonica A. Br. Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond. Bot. II, 8, 333-376, pl. 33-40.

WILLIAMS, S. (1943). On Isoetes australis S. Williams, a new species from Western Australia. Part I. General morphology. Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. B., 62, 1-8, pl. 1-3.

WILLIAMSON, H.B. (1927). The clubmosses of Victoria. Victorian Naturalist, 44, 222-229.

WILLIS, J.H. (1962) "A handbook to plants in Victoria". Vol. 1, p. 53. Melbourne University Press, Melbourne.

YUASA, A. (1935). Cytology of Pteridophyta, VII. - Reduction division in Isoetes japonica. Al. Br . Bot. Mag. Tokyo, 49, 27-31.

## 8. ACKNONLEDGEMENTS.

I am indebted to Dr. E.M. Wollaston for her constant guidance and encouragement throughout the course of this work. I would also like to thank Mr. R.J. Chinnock and Dr. J.P. Jessop of the State Herbarium of south Australia, and Prof. P.G. Martin, Mr. D.T. Blackburn, Mrs. M.P. Robinson and my other colleges at the Botany Department, University of Adelaide for their advice and helpful discussions.

I am grateful to the Curator of the State Herbarium of South Australia for facilities made available during this study, and to the Curators/Directors of the herbaria of the Auckland Institute and Museum (AK); University of Auckland (AKU); Botanischer Garten und Botanisches Museum, Berlin (B); St. Xaviers College, Bombay (BLAT); British Museum (Natural History) (BM); Queensland Herbarium (BRI); Botanical Survey of India (BSA,CAL, MH); Herbarium Australiense (CANB); Canberra Botanic Gardens (CBG); Botany Division, D.S.I.R., Christchurch (CHR); Forest Research Institute, Dehra Dun (DD); Darwin Herbarium (DNA); Royal Botanic Gardens, Edinburgh (E); University of Glasgow (GL); University of Tasmania (HO); Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew (K); Kyoto University (KYO); Department of Forests, Lae (LAE); Academy of Sciences of U.S.S.R. (LE); Tokyo Metropolitan University (MAK); Royal Botanic Gardens, Melbourne (MEL); University of Michigan (MICH); Missouri Botanical Gardens (MO); University of New England (NE); Royal Botanic Gardens, Sydney (NSW); Herbarium of the Northern Territory (NT); New York Botanic Gardens (NY); Osaka Museum of Natural History (OSA); University of Otago (OTA); Fielding-Druce Herbarium (OXF); Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris (P); Academia Sinica, Peking (PE); Western Australian Herbarium (PERTH); Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia (PH); National Museum, Manilla (PNH); Hokkaido University
(SAP); University of Tokyo (TI); National Science Museum,Tokyo (TNS); Uppsala University (UPS); Smithsonjan Institution (US); Naturhistoriches Museum, Vienna (H); National Museum, Wellington (WELT); University of Vienna (WU) for specimens loaned for study.

I am indebted to Mr. J. Croft for making available a draft manuscript of his paper on Isoetes in New Guinea, and to Dr. Hj. Eichler for information in relation to the unpublished manuscript on Western Australian Isoetes by the late Mrs. E.R.I. Johnson.

I would also like to thank Mr A.C. Beauglehole for the loan of his extensive collections of Isoetes, and for supplying fresh material, Mr Hans Wissemann for fresh material of Isoetes from the New England area, to Dr. Taketo Nakano for fresh material of I. japonica and to Dr. H.K. Goswami for sporophylls of Indian species of Isoetes. Dr. A.C. Jermy of the British Museum kindly provided scanning electron micrographs of megaspores from African species of Isoetes.

For the latin diagnoses of new taxa, I am most grateful to Mr. S. Skinner, and for typing the thesis, to Mrs. C. Grose and Miss. C. Wilkins.

Finally I would like to thank my family and friends, especially my flat-mate John, for their encouragement during the course of my studies, especially during the final months.

This work was carried out during the tenure of a Commonwealth Postgraduate Research Award, and with assistance under the Australian Biological Resouces Survey.

## FICURES.

SUMARY OF FICURES

FIGURE 1 Map of the study area.
FIGURES 2-11 Stylised drawings of plant parts.
FIGURE 12 Graphs of megaspore measurements.

FIGURES 13-222 Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores.
FIGURES 223-294 Scanning electron micrographs of microspores.
FIGURES 295-300 Sporangial wall cells.
FIGURES 301-315 Transverse sections of leaves.

FIGURES 316-330 Transverse sections of lacunar walls.

FIGURES 331-343 Distribution maps.
FIGURE 344 Plot of megaspore diameter ranges.

FIGURE 345 Plot of microspore length ranges.

FIGURE 346 Plot of microspore length against megaspore diameter.
FIGURE 347 Phylogeny of megaspore ornamentation.


Figure 1. Map showing geographical area included in the present study (shaded area).

Fig. 2. Longitudinal section through stylised plant of Isoetes.

Fig. 3. Leaf base, adaxial side, sporangium not covered by velum, large labium (la) covering base of ligule (li).

Fig. 4. Leaf base, adaxial side, sporangium $50 \%$ covered by velum.

Fig. 5. Longitudinal section through leaf base showing velum (v) completely covering sporangium (s) containing megaspores (ms).

Fig. 6. Transverse section through leaf base and sporangium, with velum, showing trabeculae (tr) traversing sporangium.

Fig. 7. Transverse section through apical portion of leaf with central stele (st) and six peripheral fibre strands (pfs) and four lacunae (lac).

Fig. 8.
Transverse section through root showing acentric stele (st) and air space (as) produced by degradation of middle cortex.

Fig. 9.
Fig. 10.

Fig. 11.
most.


FIGURE 12.
A. Graphs of arithmetic mean, standard deviation, and standard error of mean for diameter of Type

I megaspores of Isoetes muellemi (spores measured in microns, in groups of 20).
B. Size range (shaded area) for Type I megaspores of I. mueZlexi (in microns) for increasing sample size.


Number of Megaspores

FIGURES 13-18. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes echinospora ssp. asiatica.

| Fig. 13. | Side view (Japan, Lake Nojiri, S. Takahashi. MAK). <br> Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fig. 14. | Distal face (as for Fig. 13). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Fig. 15. | Detail of surface of proximal face (same spore |
|  | as Fig. 14). Scale $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Fig. 16. | Further detail of surface (same spore as |
|  | Fig. 14). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Fig. 17. | Side view (Japan, Nakatsuna Lake, K. Seto |
|  | 21593, 18.vii.1975. OSA). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Fig. 18. | Ultrastructural surface detail (same spore as |
|  | Fig. 17). Scale $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ |



FIGURES 19-24. Scanning electron micrographs of type I megaspores of Isoetes indica.

Fig. 19. Proximal face (India, Isotype, DD).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 20. Proximal face (as for Fig. 19). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 21. Side view (as for Fig. 19). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 22. Detail of surface structure between tubercles
(same spore as Fig. 21). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 23. Detail of surface structure on tubercle apex
(same spore as Fig. 21). Scale $=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 24. Distal face (as for Fig. 1a). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 25-30. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoeves indica and I. pontii.

Fig. 25. Side view, Type IIA megaspore of I. indica (India, Isotype, DD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 26. Proximal faces, Type IIA megaspore of I. indica (as for Fig. 25). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 27. Side view, Type I megaspore of I. pontii
(India, locality not known, H.K. Goswami
HKG-8 1976. ADU). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 28. Distal face, Type I megaspore of I. pantii
(as for Fig. 27). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 29. Side view, Type IIA megaspore of I. pantii
(as for Fig. 27). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 30. Proximal faces, Type IIA megaspore of
I. pontii. (as for Fig. 27). Scale $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 31-36. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes coromondelina ssp. coromandelina and I. coromondelina ssp. macrotuberculata.

Fig. 31. Proximal faces, Type I megaspore of I. coromondelina ssp. coromandelina (India, Dabra, H.K. Goswomi Dab/Gos 1973, ADU). Scale - $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 32. Side view, Type I megaspore of I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina (as for Fig. 31).

```
                                    Scale = 100 \mum
```

Fig. 33. Distal face, Type I megaspore of $I$. coromandelina ssp. coromondelina (as for Fig. 31). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 34. Distal face, Type IIA megaspore of I. coromande lina ssp. coromondelina (as for Fig. 31). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 35. Proximal faces, Type IIA megaspore of I. coromondelina ssp. coromondelina (India, Chatarpur, H.K. Goswami HK6-6, 1976, ADU). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 36. Side view, Type I megaspore of I. coromande Iina ssp. macrotuberculata (Northern Territory, Arnhem Hwy, C. Dronlop 3474, 13.iii. 1974. AD). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 37-42. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata.
Fig. 37. Type I megaspore, distal face (Northern
Territory, Isotype, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fig. 38. Detail of surface between tubercles of spore in Fig. 37. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fig. 39. Detail of surface of tubercle of spore in

Fig. 37. Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 40. Type IIA megaspore, proximal face (Northerm Territory, holotype, $A D)$. Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Dig. 41. Type IIA megaspore, distal face (Northerm
Territory, isotype, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 42. Type III megaspore, distal face (Northern
Territory, holotype, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$




FIGURES 43-48. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes pontii and Isoetes australis.

Fig. 43. Type III megaspore, distal face, I. pontii (India, Photata, H.K. Goswami H.K.G.-6, 1976. ADU).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 44. Type I megaspore, proximal faces, I. australis
(Western Australia, Kwolyin Rock, N. Marchont
70/269. AD). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 45. Type I megaspore, distal face, I. australis
(Western Australia, Nungarin Hill, N. Marchant
70/362. AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 46. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 45.
Scale $=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 47. Type I megaspore, side view, I. australis
(Western Australia, Tandegin Rock, N. Marchont
70/316. AD). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 48. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 47.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 49-54. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes australis, I. humilior and I. gunnii.

Fig. 49. Proximal faces, I. australis (Westem Australia, Nungarin Hill, N.G. Marchont 70/362. AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 50. Side view, I. australis (Western Australia, Tandegin Rock, N.G. Marchont 70/316. AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 51. Side view, I. humilior (Tasmania, Lake St. Clair, C.R. Marsden 148, 1.xii.1974. AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 52. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 51.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 53. Side view, I. humilior (as for Fig. 51).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 54. Proximal face, I. gronii (Tasmania, Shannon Lagoon, C.R. Marsden 132, 28.xi.1974. AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 55-60. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes gronii and I. hopei.

Fig. 55. Side view I. gronnii, (Tasmania, Lake Augusta, W. R. Barker 1028, 5.i.1971, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 56. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 55.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 57. Distal face, I. gronii. (Tasmania, Shannon Lagoon, C.R. Marsden 132, 28.xi.1974).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 58. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 57.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 59. Proximal face, I. hopei. (Papua New Guinea, holotype, ANU). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 60. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 59.

FIGURES 61-66. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I
megaspores of Isoetes hopei and I. elatior.
Fig. 61. Distal face, I. hopei. (Papua New Guinea,
holotype, ANU). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 62. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 61.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 63. Proximal faces, I. hopei (as for Fig. 62).
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 64. Distal face, I. elatior (Tasmania, syntype,
MEL). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 65. Side view, I. elatior (as for Fig. 64).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 66. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 65.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 67-72. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes brevicula.

Fig. 67. Side view (Western Australia, isotype, PERTH). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 68. Detail of surface of spore shown in Fig. 67. Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 69. Distal face (Western Australia, Jilbadgie Rock, C. R. Mars den 225, 17.viii.1975, AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 70. Proximal face (as for Fig. 69).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 71. $\quad$ Side view (as for Fig. 69). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 72. Detail of distal face of spore in Fig. 71.

$$
\text { Scale }=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 73-78. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes kirkii var. alpina.

Fig. 73. Side view, Type I megaspore (New Zealand, Lake Rotoroa, R. Mason and N.T. Moar 5104, 1.iii.1957. CHR). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 74. Detail of distal of surface of megaspore
in Fig. 73.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 75. Proximal faces, Type I megaspore (New Zealand,
Lake Rotoiti, Nelson, T.F. Cheesemon.
AK). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 76. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 75.

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 77. Distal face, Type I megaspore (New Zealand,
Lake Guyon, T. Kirk. AK). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 78. Proximal faces, Type IIA megaspore (New
Zealand, Gisbourne Point, Lake Rotoiti,
V.J. Chapman, 24.v.1968. AKU).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 79-84. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes kirkii var. alpina and I. kirkii var. kirkii.

Fig. 79. Side view, I. Kirkii var. alpina (N.B. two
Type IIA megaspores under main spore)
(New Zealand, Gisbourne Point, Lake
Rotoiti, V.J. Chapman, 24.v. 1968.
AKU). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 80. Detail of distal surface of megaspore in
Fig. 79. $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 81. Distal surface, I. kirkii var. kirkii (New
Zealand, Lake Te Anau, South Otago, G.T.S. Baylis, 6.xii.1952. OTA)

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 82. Detail of distal surface of megaspore in Fig. 81. $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 83. Side view, I. kirkii var. kirkii (New
Zealand, Lake Whangape, Waikato, T.F. Cheesemon. AK). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 84. Detail of distal surface of megaspore in
Fig. 83. $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 85 - 90. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes kirkii var. kirkii.

Fig. 85. Side view, Type I megaspore (New Zealand, Wairau R., R.J. Chinnock P862, 24.i.1974. AD). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 86. Detail of distal surface of megaspore in fig. 85. $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 87. Proximal faces, Type I megaspore (as for fig. 85). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 88. Distal face, Type I megaspore (as for fig. 85). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 89. Distal face, Type I megaspore (New Zealand, Lake Whangape, Waikato, T.F. Cheeseman, AK). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 90. Proximal faces, Type IIA megaspore (as for fig. 89). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 91-96. Scanning electron micrographs of Type 1 megaspores of Isoetes kirkii var. Fink and I. Finkin flabellata.

Fig. 91. Distal face, I. kirkii var. flabellata (New Zealand, Isotype, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 92. Proximal faces, I. kirkii var. flabellata (New Zealand, Holotype, CHR) Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 93. Distal face, I. kirkii var. flabellata (as for fig. 92). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 94. Side view, I. kirkii var. flabellata
(as for fig. 92). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 95. Side view, I. kirkii var. flabellata
(as for fig. 92). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 96. Detail of proximal surface of megaspore in fig. 95. $\quad$ Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 97-102. Scaming electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes muellem.

Fig. 97. Side view (New South Wales, Snowy Mountains, Naas Creek, Marsden 178A, 25.i.1975, AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 98. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 97.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 99. Proximal face (New South Wales, Snowy Mountains, near Kiandra, Marsden 177, 19.i.1975, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 100. Distal face (as for Fig. 99). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 101. Distal face (South Australia, Comaum Forest, Marsden 35, 19.xii.1973, AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 102. Side view (Queensland, Lectotype, B).



FIGURES 103-108. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes muelleri.

Fig. 103. Type I megaspore, distal face (Northern Territory, Trephina Gorge, A.C. Beauglehole 44864, 1.vi.1974, MEL).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 104. Type I megaspore, distal face, detail of surface structure, (Queensland, Lectotype, B). $\quad$ Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 105. Type IIA megaspore, proximal faces (New South Wales, Snowy Mountains, near Kiandra, Marsden 177, 19.i.1975, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 106. Type IIA megaspore, proximal face (South Australia, Wrattonbullie Station, Marsden 39, 19.xii.1973, AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 107. Type IIA megaspore, proximal face, (Tasmania, South Esk River, C. Stuart, MEL).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 108. Type IIA megaspore, side view (Queensland, Lectotype, B). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 109 - 114. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes muellemi and I. mongerensis.

Fig. 109. Proximal faces of Type IIA megaspore of $I$. mueZleri (Northern Territory, Trephina Gorge, A.C. Beauglehole 44864, 1.vi.1974, MEL). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 110. Proximal faces of Type III megaspore of $I$. mue Zleri (New South Wales, Snowy Mountains, near Kiandra, Marsden 177, 19.i.1975, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 111. Side view of Type I megaspore of I. muelleri
(New South Wales, Faulkner Creek, H. Wissemon, 23.ix.1976, AD).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 112. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 111.

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 113. Side view of Type I megaspore of I. mongerensis (Western Australia, Kwolyin Rock, N.G. Marchant 70/270, AD). M = microspore on surface of megaspore. Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 114. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 113.

$$
\text { Scale }=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 115-120. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes mongerensis, I. muelleri and I. drummondii var. drummondii.

Fig. 115. Type I megaspore, I. mongerensis, proximal
faces (Western Australia, Kwolyin Rock,
N.G. Marchont 70/270, AD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 116. Type IIA megaspore, I. mongerensis, proximal
faces (as for Fig. 115). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 117. Type I megaspore, I. muellem, side view
(Westem Australia, near Norseman, Marsden 204, 8.viii.1975, AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 118. Detail of distal face of spore in Fig. 117. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 119. Type I megaspore, I. drumondii var. drummondii, side view (South Australia, 15 km NNE Millicent, Marsden 244, 10.xii.1975, AD). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 120. Detail of proximal face of spore in Fig. 119.


FIGURES 121 - 126. Scaming electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes drumondii var. dmmmondii and I. drummondii var. cnomala.

Fig. 121. Type I megaspore, I. dmumondii var. drumondii, side view (Hestern

Australia, lectotype, W). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 122. Type I megaspore, I. dmumondii var.
drumondii, distal face (as for Fig.
121). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 123. Type I megaspore, I. drumnondii var. drummondii, side view (Victoria, Gippsland, Marsden 60, 6.vii.1974, $A D) . \quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 124. Type III megaspore, I. drummondii var. anomala, distal face (South Australia, Comaum Forest Reserve, Marsden 33, 19.xii.1973, $A D) . \quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 125. Type III megaspore, I. drummondii var. anomala, proximal face (as for Fig. 124).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 126. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 125.

$$
\text { Scale }=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 127-132. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes tripus.

Fig. 127. Proximal faces (Western Australia, Lectotype, W). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mathrm{~mm}$

Fig. 128. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 127.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 129. Proximal faces (Western Australia, Pine Hill, ca 56 km N.E. of Israelite Bay, N. G. Marchont, 71/437, 15.ix.1971. AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 130. Detail of surface of distal face of megaspore in Fig. 129. $\quad$ Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 131. Distal face (as for Fig. 12a). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 132. Distal face (Westem Australia, Mundaring, G.G. Smith, 17.ix.1962. AD).


FIGURES 133-138. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes attenuata and I. habbemensis.

Fig. 133. I. attenuata, distal face (South Australia, isotype, AD). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 134. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 133.
Scale $=2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 135. I. attenuata, proximal face (as for Fig. 133).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 136. I. attenuata, side view (as for Fig. 133).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 137. I. habbemensis, distal face (New Guinea, isotype, LAE). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 138. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 137.


FIGURES 139-144. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes habbemensis, I. caroli and I. pusilla.

Fig. 139. I. habbemensis, proximal face (New Guinea, isotype, LAE). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 140. I. caroli, side view (Western Australia, isotype, $A D$. $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 141. I. caroli, distal face (as for Fig. 140).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 142. Detail of distal face of megaspore in Fig. 141. $\quad$ Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 143. I. caroli, distal face (as for Fig. 141).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 144. I. pusilla, proximal faces (Victoria, isotype, MEL). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

FIGURES $145-150$. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I
megaspores of Isoetes pusilla and I. philippinensis.

Fig. 145. I. pusilla, distal face (Victoria, isotype, MEL). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 146. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 145. Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 147. I. pusilla, side view (as for Fig. 146).
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 148 I. philippinensis, proximal faces (Mindanao, Bo. Balut, M.G. Price 500, 24.viii.1969, PNH). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 149 I. philippinensis, side view (as for Fig. 148). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 150. Detail of surface of distal face of megaspore

$$
\text { in Fig. 149. } \quad \text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 151-156. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes philippinensis and I. sompathkrmarani.

Fig. 151. I. philippinensis, distal face (Mindanao, holotype, GH). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 152. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 151. Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 153. I. philippinensis, side view (as for Fig. 151). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 154. I. sompathkrmaroni, proximal face (India, Mirzapur, 6.xi.1953, K). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 155. I. sampathkumaroni, distal face (as for Fig. 154). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 156. Detail of surface of megaspore shown in
Fig. 155.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 157-162. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes sampathkumaroni.

Fig. 157. Distal face (India, locality unknown,
H.K. Goswami, 1976. ADU). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 158. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 157.

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 159. Side view (as for Fig. 157). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 160. Side view (as for Fig. 157). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 161. Distal face (India, locality unknown,
H.K. Goswami HKG-2, 1976. ADU).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 162. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 161.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 163-163. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoeves sompathkumaroni.

Fig. 163. Side view, Type I megaspore (India, locality unknown, H.K. Goswami HKG-2, 1976. ADU). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 164. Proximal faces, Type I megaspore (India, Kemmanngundi, Chikkamagalur District, S.N. Ramaswarmy 118, 5.xii.1968. K). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 165. Distal face, Type I megaspore (as for Fig. 164). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 166. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 165.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 167. Distal face, Type I megaspore (India, Labbagh, M.R. Anondaramiah, 8.x.1946. BM). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 168. Distal face, Type IIA megaspore (as for Fig. 163). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 169-174. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspo:ses of Isoetes sampathkumarani and I. panchononii.

Fig. 169. Distal face, Type IIA megaspore of
I. sampathkrmaroni (India, Kemmanngundi,

Chikkamagalur District, S.N. Ramaswamy
118, 5.xii.1968. K). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 170. Proximal face, Type IIA megaspore,
I. sampathkumarconi (as for Fig. 169).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 171. Distal face, Type I megaspore of I. panchononii (India, Isotype. DD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 172. Detail of surface of megaspore in Fig. 171.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 173. Proximal faces, Type I megaspore of
I. ponchanonii (as for Fig. 171).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 174. Side view, Type I megaspore of $I$. panchononii
(as for Fig. 171). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 175-180. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspones of Isoetes panchananii and I. cristata.

Fig. 175. Side view, Type IIA megaspore of I. ponchononii (India, Isotype, DD). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 176. Proximal face, Type I megaspore of I. cristata (Northern Territory, Isotype. AD).

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 177. Distal face, Type I megaspore of I. cristata (as for Fig. 176). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 178. Detail of surface of spore show in Fig. 177.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 179. Distal face, Type I megaspore of I. cristata (as for Fig. 176). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 180. Proximal faces, Type IIA megaspore of I. aristata (as for Fig. 176).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 181-186. Scanning electron micrographs of megaspores of Isoetes cristata and I. stevensii.

Fig. 181. Distal face, Type IIA megaspore of I. cmistata (Northern Territory, Isotype, AD).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 182. Proximal surface, Type I megaspore of I. stevensii, contaminated with fungal hyphae (New Guinea, Summit of Mt. Giluwe, R. Schodde 1843, 14.viii.1961. LAE).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 183. Side view, Type I megaspore of I. stevensii, contaminated with fungal hyphae (as for Fig. 182). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 184. Detail of distal face of megaspore in Fig. 183.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 185. Side view of Type I megaspore of I. stevensii (New Guinea, Holotype. LAE).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 186. Detail of distal face of megaspore in Fig. 185.

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 187 - 192. Scanning electron micrographs of type I megaspores of Isoetes japonica ssp. sinensis.

Fig. 187. Proximal face (China, Syntype, KYO)

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 188. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 187. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 189. Side view, (as for fig. 187). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 190. Proximal face (China, Yunnan province, Slatten kring Yunnan fu, Cavalerie, UPS) $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 191. Side view (as for fig. 190)
Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 192. Detail of distal surface of spore in
fig. 191.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 193-198. Scanning electron micrographs of type I megaspores of $I$. japonica ssp. sinensis.

Fig. 193. Distal face (Japan, Sikoku, Pref. Tokushima, Ushijima, Y. Fujii Aug. 1933. KYO). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 194. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 193. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 195. Proximal faces (Japan, Kumamoto Pref., Taragi, K. Mayebara, 1.xii.1918, KYO) Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 196. Side view (as for fig. 195)

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 197. Distal face (as for fig. 195)

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 198. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 197.

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 199-204. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes japonica ssp. japonica and I. neoguineensis.

Fig. 199. Distal face, I. japonica ssp. japonica (Japan, Honshu, Sanpajiike, Musashi, T. Makimo, МАК)

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 200. Detail of surface of megaspore in fig. 199.
Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 201. Proximal faces I. japonica ssp.japonica (as for fig. 199) $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 202. Distal face, I. japonica ssp. japonica (as for fig. 199) Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 203. Side view, I. japonica ssp.japonica (as for fig. 199) $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 204. Side view, I. neoguineensis (New Guinea, Neon Basin, Mt Albert Edward, J.R. Croft LAE 61486, 28.vi.1974. LAE)

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 205-210. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of I. neoguineensis.

Fig. 205. Distal face (New Guinea, Neon Basin, Mt Albert Edward, J.R. Croft LAE 61486, 28.vi.1974. LAE). $\quad$ Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 206. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 205. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 207. Distal face (as for fig. 205). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 208. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 207. Scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 209. Side view (as for fig. 205).

$$
\text { Scale }=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 210. Side view (New Guinea, Mt Albert Edward J.R. Croft, LAE 61531, 28.vi.1974. LAE)

Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 211 - 216. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of I. neoguineensis and fractured megaspores.

Fig. 211. Side view, I. neoguineensis (New Guinea, Mt Albert Edward, J.R. Croft LAE 61531, 13.vii.1974. LAE). Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 212. Detail of distal face of spore in fig. 211. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 213. Fractured wall of I. sampathkumarani
Type Imegaspore (India, locality unknown, H.K. Goswomi 1976. ADU)

$$
\text { Scale }=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 214. Fractured wall of I. scmpathkumarani Type I
megaspore (India, locality unknown, H.K. Goswami H.K.G.-2, 1976. ADU) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 215. Fractured wall of I. neoguineensis (New Guinea,
Neon Basin, Mt Albert Edward, J.R. Croft LAE 61486, 28.vi.1974. LAE). Scale $=5 \mu_{\mathrm{m}}$

Fig. 216. Fractured wall of I. philippinensis (Mindanao, Bo. Balut, M.G. Price 500, 24.viii. 1969. PNH). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$




FIGURES 217-222. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of Isoetes inflata (Western Australia, isotype, $A D$ ).

Fig. 217. Proximal faces. Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 218. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 217.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 219. Further detail of surface of spore in Fig. 217.
Scale $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 220. Side view. Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 221. Distal face. Scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 222. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 221.
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 223-230. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes echinospora ssp. asiatica, I. japonica ssp. japonica and I. gunnii.

Fig. 223. Side view, I. echinospora ssp.asiatica (Japan, Lake Nojiri, S. Takahashi, MAK). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 224. Proximal faces, I. echinospora ssp. asiatica, (as for fig. 223). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 225. Proximal faces, I. japonica ssp.japonica (Japan, Sanpagiike, Musashi, T. Makino, MAK $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 227. Side view, I. japonica ssp. japonica (Japan, Wada village, Musashi, T. Makino, 6.xi.1904. MAK).

Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 228. Proximal faces, I. gunnii (Tasmania, Shannon Lagoon, C.R. Marsden 132, 28.xi.1974. AD) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 229. Side view, I. gunnii (as for fig. 228) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 230. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 229. Scale $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 231-238. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes gunnii, I. humilior and I. drummondii var. drumondii.

Fig. 231. Distal face, I. gunnii (Tasmania, Lake Dobson, Mt Field N.P., C.R. Marsden 160, 6.xii.1974. AD). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 232. Distal face, I. gunnii (Tasmania, Lake Dove, Cradle Mountain N.P., C.R. Marsden 156, 2.xii.1974. AD)

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 233. Side view, I. humilior, (Tasmania, Holotype, MEL.) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 234. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 223. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 235. Side view, I. humilior, (Tasmania, Shannon Lagoon, C.R. Marsden 131, 28.xi.1974. AD). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 236. Side view, I. humilior (Tasmania, Lake St Clair, C.R. Marsden 148, 1.xii.1974. AD)

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 237. Proximal faces I. drumondii var. dmmondii
(South Australia, 15 km NNE Millicent, C.R. Marsden 244, 2.i. 1976 AD)

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 238. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 237.


FIGURES 239-246. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes drummondii var.drummondii, I. tripus and I. inflata.

Fig. 239. Proximal faces, I. dmmmondii var. drumondii (Victoria, Gippsland, C.R. Marsden 60, 6.vii. 1974, AD) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 240. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 239. Scale $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 241. Distal face, I. drumondii var. drummondii
(Western Australia, Syntype, W). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 242. Side view, I. drummondii var.drummondii (as for fig. 241) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 243 Side view, I. tripus (Western Australia, Pine Hill, ca. 56 km N.E. Israelite Bay, N.G. Marchant 71/437, 15.ix.1971. AD) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 244 Proximal faces, I. tripus (as for fig. 243)
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 245 Side view, I. inflata (Western Australia, Isotype, AD $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 246 Proximal view, I. inflata (as for fig. 245)

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 247-254. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes philippinensis, I. pusilla and I. taiwanensis.

Fig. 247.

Fig. 248.

Fig. 249.

Fig. 250.

Fig. 251.
I. pusilla, side view (as for Fig. 250). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 252. I. pusilla, side view (as for Fig. 250). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 253. I. taiwonensis, side view (Taiwan, Chung-hu, C.C. $H s u, 28 . x i .1971$. BM).

Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 254. I. taiwonensis, end view (as for Fig. 253). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 255-262. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Tsoetes japonica ssp. sinensis, I. neoguineensis and. I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina.

Fig. 255. Side view, I. japonica ssp. sinensis
(China, Lishui, Chekiang Province,
K. Ling 3049, 1929. PE). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 256. Distal face, I. japonica ssp. sinensis
(China, Yunnan Province, reg. bor
Slatten Kring Yunnan fu, Cavalerie,
UPS). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 257. Distal face, I. japonica ssp. sinensis
(Japan, Kumamoto, Pref. Taragi.
K. Mayebara, 1.xii.1918. KYO)

Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 258. Distal face, I. neoguineensis (New Guinea,
Mt Albert Edward, P. Stevens and M. Coode, LAE 51360, 10.xi.1970. LAE).

Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 259. Side view, I. neoguineensis (as for fig. 258)
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 260. Side view, I. neoguineensis (New Guinea, Neon
Basin, Mt Albert Edward, J.R. Croft LAE
61486, 28.vi.1974. LAE). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 261. Side view, I. coromandelina ssp.coromandelina
(India, Khandala, C. McCann, v.ix.1971,
BLAT). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 262. Proximal faces, I. coromandelina ssp.coromandelina
(as for fig. 261). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 263-270. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes japonica ssp. sinensis. I. elatior and I. kirkii var. alpina.

Fig. 263. I. joponica ssp. sinensis, side view (Japan, Sikoku, Y. Fujii, ix.1933. KYO)

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$

Fig. 264. I. elatior, proximal face (Tasmania, Lake River at Longford, D. Morris, 12.iv.1972. AD). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 265. I. elatior, proximal face (Tasmania, syntype, MEL). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 266. Detail of surface of spore in Fig. 265.
Scale $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 267. I. elatior, distal face (as for Fig. 265).
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 268. I. Kirkii var. alpina, distal face (New Zealand, Lake Guyon, T. Kirk 239. AD). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 269 I. kirkii var. alpina, proximal face (as for Fig. 268). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 270. I. kirkii var. alpina, distal face (New Zealand, Lake Rotoroa, R. Mason and N.T. Moar 5104, 1.iii.1957. CHR).

$$
\text { Scale }=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}
$$



FIGURES 271-278. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes kirkii var.kirkii, I. kirkii var. flabellata and I. stevensii.

Fig. 271. Distal face, I. kirkii var. kirkii
(New Zealand, Lake Te Anau, South
Otago, G.T.S. Baylis, 6.xii.1952.
OTA). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 272. Side view, I. kirkii var. kirkii
(New Zealand, Wairau R. R.e. Chinnock
P862, 24.i.1974, AD). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 273. Side view, I. kirkii var. kirkii (as for
fig. 272). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 274. Proximal faces, I. kirkii var. kirkii (as for fig. 273). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 275. Proximal view, I. kirkii var. flabellata
(New Zealand, Isotype, AD)
Scale $=10 \quad \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 276. Side view, I. kirkii var.flabellata (as for fig. 275). $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 277. Distal face, I. stevensii (New Guinea, Holotype, LAE). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 278. Distal face, I. stevensii (New Guinea, Mt Giluwe, R. Schodde 1843, 14.viii. 1961

LAE) $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 279-286. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes attenuata, I. muelleri, I hopei and I. stevensii.

Fig. 279. Side view, I. attenuata (South Australia, Isotype, AD $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 280. Detail of spore in fig. 279.
Scale $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 281. Side view, I. muelleri (New South Wales, Faulkner Creek, E. of Guyra, H. Wisseman, 23.ix.1976. AD) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 282. Distal face, I. muelleri (as for fig. 281) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 283. Distal face, I. hopei (New Guinea, Holotype, CANB) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 284. Side view, I. hopei (as for fig. 283) Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 285. Side view, I. stevensii (New Guinea, Kenzohroh, Salawaket Range, R.D. Hoogland 9846, 14.ix.1964. LAE)

Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 286
Side view, I. stevensii (New Guinea, Mt. Giluwe, R. Schodde 1843, 14.viii.1961.

LAE) $\quad$ Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$


FIGURES 287-294. Scanning electron micrographs of microspores of Isoetes attenuata, I. australis and I. caroli.

Fig. 287. I. attenuata, proximal faces (South Australia, isotype, AD). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 288. I. australis, side view (Western Australia, Nungarin Hill, N. G. Marchant 70/362, AD). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 289. I. australis, side view (as for Fig. 288). Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Fig. 290. Detail of spore in Fig. 289. Scale $=1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ Fig. 291. I. australis, side view (Westem Australia, Tandegin Rock, N.G. Marchant 70/316, AD).

Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 292. I. caroli, distal face (Western Australia, Ravensthorpe - Esperence Rd., E.N.S. Jackson 1373, 10.x.1968. AD).

Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 293. I. caroli, side view (as for Fig. 292).
Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 294. Detail of distal face of spore in Fig. 293.


FIGURES 295 - 300. Sporangial wall cells of Isoetes.

Fig. 295. I. joponica ssp. joponica, cell walls heavily thickened and pigmented.

Fig. 296. I. attenuata, cell walls heavily thickened and pigmented.

Fig. 297. I. tripus, some cells with thickened walls and pigmented.

Fig. 298. I. mongerensis, some cells with thickened walls and pigmented.

Fig. 299. I. neoguineensis, cells pale, with thin walls.
Fig. 300. I. humilior, cells pale, with thin walls.


FIGURES 301 - 307. Transverse sections through upper portions of leaves (adaxial side uppermost).

Fig. 301. I. gronnii.
Fig. 302. I. humilior.
Fig. 303. I. inflata.
Fig. 304. I. mongerensis.
Fig. 305. I. brevicula.
Fig. 306. I. kirkii var. kirkii.
Fig. 307. I. caroli.


# FIGURES 308-315. Transverse sections through upper portions of leaves (adaxial side uppermost). 

Fig. 308. I. cristata.
Fig. 309. I. neoguineensis.
Fig. 310. I. dmumondii var. dmmmondii.
Fig. 311. I. attenuata.
Fig. 312. I. mueZlexi.
Fig. 313. I. elatior.
Fig. 314. I. japonica ssp. japonica.
Fig. 315. I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata.
(pfs = peripheral fibre strands)


# FIGURES 316-322. Transverse section through lacunar wall on adaxial side of leaf. 

Fig. 316. I. gronii.
Fig. 317. I. humilior.
Fig. 318. I. caroli.
Fig. 319. I. inflata.
Fig. 320. I. neoguineensis.
Fig. 321. I. kirkii var. kirkii.
Fig. 322. I. brevicula.
(316)




(32)

(322)
 (100

```
FIGURES 323 - 330. Transverse sections through lacunar
    wall on adaxial side of leaf.
```

Fig. 323. I. attenuata.
Fig. 324. I. elatior.
Fig. 325. I. muelleri.
Fig. 326. I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata.
Fig. 327. I. mongerensis.
Fig. 328. I. cristata.
Fig. 329. I. joponica ssp. japonica.
Fig. 330. I. dmmmondii var. drummondii.
(pfs = peripheral fibre strands, sto $=$ stomate, and str $=$
striations in cuticle.)
(324)

(3)




Figure 331. Map showing distributions of I. cristata and I. muelleri.

Figure 332. Map showing distributions of I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina and I. drummondii var. drummondii.


Figure 333. Map showing distributions of I. caroli and I. dmomondii var. anomala.

Figure 334. Map showing distributions of I. pusilla, I. australis and I. attenuata.

Figure 335. Map showing distributions of I. inflata and I. brevicula.

Figure 336. Map showing distributions of I. tripus and I. mongerensis.


Figure 337. Map showing distribution of I. gunnii.

Figure 338. Map showing distributions of I. elatior and $I$. humilior.




Figure 339. Map showing distributions of I. Kirkii var. alpina, I. kirkii var. kirkii and I. kirkii var.flabellata.
(2)
Figure 340. Map showing distributions of $I$. hopei, I. habbemensis, I. stevensii and I. neoguineensis.


Figure 341. Map showing distributions of I. japonica ssp. sinensis, I. echinospora ssp. asiatica, I. philippinensis and I. taiwanensis.
Figure 342. Map showing distribution of I. japonica ssp. japonica.


Figure 343. Map showing distribution of I. pantii, I. indica, I. dixitei, I. panchananii, I. sampathkumarani and I. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina.


Figure 344. Plot of size ranges of megaspore diameters.


Figure 345. Plot of size ranges of misrospore lengths.

RETICULATE


Figure 347. Proposed phylogenetic development of megaspore omamentation in Isoetes.
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#### Abstract

Marsden, C. R. A new subspecies of Isoetes coromandelina from northern Australia. Contrib. Herb. Aust. 24: $1-10,1976$. Isoetes coromandelina L. f. is recorded for the first time for Australia, where it is known only from the northern part. The Australian populations of this species differ from the Indian ones in their megaspore morphology and they are here segregated as a new subspecies, ssp. macrotuberculata. Various vegetative features of $I$. coromandelina are discussed.


## INTRODUCTION

Isoetes coromandelina L.f. was the second species referred to Isoetes (Linnaeus 1781). This species has been well documented, with detailed investigations of its anatomy (Bhambie 1957, 1962, 1963a, 1963b, 1971) and cytology (Verma 1960, 1961; Pant and Srivastava 1965) having been published. However, no comprehensive account of the morphology of $I$. coromandelina has been published since Pfeiffer (1922), except for megaspore morphology, which has been one of the main characters used in delimiting this and other Indian species of Isoetes (Pant and Srivastava 1962; Goswami and Arya 1970).
I. coromandelina has previously been considered endemic to the Indian subcontinent where it is widespread (Pant and Srivastava 1962). Recently, however, several collections apparently closely related to $I$. coromandelina were noted during examination of northern Australian specimens of Isoetes. Subsequent comparison between Australian and Indian plants revealed differences in the ornamentation of the megaspores, and the former are here described as a new subspecies.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Dried, spirit-preserved and fresh plant material was examined for morphological features and hand sections of fresh leaves were used for anatomical investigation.

Spores were examined by light and scanning electron microscopy. To reduce coating and charging difficulties, megaspores for electron microscopy were exposed to the vapours of a $2 \%$ solution of osmic acid for several hours prior to mounting, as suggested by Pfefferkorn (1970). Specimens were then coated with gold, or gold-palladium alloy, and examined and photographed using an ETEC Autoscan scanning electron microscope.

## DIAGNOSIS

The original description of I. coromandelina L.f. was very brief, and additional details were published by Pfeiffer (1922) in her monograph of Isoetaceae. However, as further information is now available, a more detailed description is included here.

## Isoetes coromandelina L. f., Suppl. Plant.: 447 (1781).

Corm 3(-4-5) lobed. Leaves 15-60, up to $60(-80) \mathrm{cm}$ long, bright green, erect, flexible, with four strongly developed peripheral fibrous strands and several small strands between (Fig. 18). Stomata present on apical portion of leaves (Fig. 22). Internal hairs present, projecting into the four lacunae. Labium conspicuous, hemiorbicular, covering all but the apex of the lanceolate ligule (Figs 23, 24). Ligule often lost as leaf develops. Outer sporangia orbicular (Fig. 23), up to 7 mm in diameter; inner sporangia obovate (Fig. 24), up to 12 mm long. Mature sporangial wall pale buff in colour, cell walls not thickened (Fig. 21). Velum absent. Megaspores white when dry, grey when wet, tuberculate, dimorphic in each sporangium with two main size classes plus a few joined or double megaspores, or both, also present. Smaller megaspores flattened, larger megaspores almost spherical. Microspores rare, reddish or buff in colour, smooth, rugose to papillate or echinate.

## Ssp. coromandelina

Megaspores $350-460$ and $470-660 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, with short blunt tubercles. Larger megaspores with few to numerous tubercles on each proximal face (Figs 2, 4) and numerous tubercles on distal faces (Fig. 6).

Holotype: Linn 1256.2, König (annotated by L. f.). Photograph seen.

## Additional specimens examined

Dabra, near Gwalior, H. K. Goswami Dab/Gos 1973, Dab/Gos 1974; Kalvari, Mizapur district, M. B. Ralzada (DD); Khandala, C. McCann (BLAT); Khandala, H. Santapau (DD, BLAT); Meerut, G. D. Tyogi (DD); Puri Coast, Y. A. Rao 5923 (CAL); Shivpuri (DD).

## Distribution

This subspecies is confined to the Indian subcontinent, where it is widespread (Pant and Srivastava 1962).

Ssp. macrotuberculata Marsden, ssp. nov.
Megasporae 330-410 et 420-530 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, tuberculis globulis. Megasporae majores uno vel aliquot tuberculis magis et aliquot tuberculis parvioribus per superficiem proximalem, tuberculis numerosis in superficie distali.

Type: Northern Territory, Mt Bundey Station $13^{\circ} 03^{\prime}$ S., $121^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ E., 26.iv.1974, C. Dunlop 3193. (Holotype: AD 97522176; isotypes: AD, BM, BRI, CANB, DNA, NT.)

Megaspores 330-410 and 420-530 $\mu \mathrm{m}$, with globular tubercles. Larger megaspores with one to a few large and several smaller tubercles on each proximal face, numerous tubercles on distal faces (Figs 3, 5, 7).

The subspecific epithet macrotuberculata refers to the large tubercles on the megaspores which distinguish this subspecies from ssp. coromandelina.

## Additional specimens examined

NORTHERN TERRITORY: Arnhem Highway, C Dunlop 3474 (DNA); Berrimah, Darwin, C. Dunlop 3593 (DNA, NT); Jabiru, C. Dunlop 3688 (DNA); c. 3.5 km N. of Katherine, L. G. Adams 1705 (MEL); Survey Creek, N. Byrnes 658 (BRI, NT); Survey Creek, N. Byrnes 1812 (AD, MEL); Survey Creek, N. Byrnes 2072 (AD, NT); South Brolga, A. O. Nicholls (NT). QUEENSLAND: Cooktown, S. T. Blake 21834 (BRI); Iron Range, Cape York Peninsula,
L. J. Brass 19218 (BRI, TNS). WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Kimberleys, Galvin's Gorge, A. C. Beauglehole 47901A.

## Distribution

This subspecies is known only from northern Australia (Fig. 1), but it will probably be found to occur more widely than now recorded. At present, Isoetes is poorly known throughout Australia, possibly in part because of difficulty in field recognition of the genus.


Fig. 1. Distribution map of Isoetes coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata.

## DISCUSSION

## Anatomy and morphology

Megaspores. The ornamentation of the megaspores is the main feature used to distinguish the two subspecies of I. coromandelina and has been investigated in detail using scanning electron microscopy (Figs 2-16). The larger megaspores of ssp . macrotuberculata (Figs 2-7) differ from those of ssp. coromandelina in having dimorphic tubercles on the proximal faces, markedly larger tubercles on the distal faces and commissural ridges which are thicker and more irregular. The smaller megaspores of ssp. macrotuberculata also have larger tubercles and thicker, more irregular, ridges than those of ssp. coromandelina (Table 1). However, the ultrastructure of the megaspores was found to be similar in both subspecies (Figs 8-11). The apex of each tubercle is covered by a close reticulum (Figs 10, 11), which is densely packed so as to appear closed on some of the tubercles of megaspores of ssp. coromandelina (Fig. 10). Between the tubercles, the surface pattern is an open, cross-linked network of threads overlaying a pattern similar to that found on the tübercle apices (Figs 8, 9). The megaspores of ssp. macrotuberculata are slightly smaller (330-410 and 420-530 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) than those of ssp. coromandelina ( $350-460$ and $470-660 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), although there is considerable overlap in the size ranges.


Table 1. Comparison of the megaspores of the two subspecies of Isoetes coromandelina

|  | Ssp. macrotuberculata | Ssp. coromandelina |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Larger megaspores |  |
| Spore diameter ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 420-530 | 470-660 |
| Ornamentation |  |  |
| Proximal face | Tubercles dimorphic: large globular tubercles $1-3$ (rarely 4), each $50-80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter, or if only one present, $100-150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter; smaller, low tubercles up to 18 , each 20 $45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter | Tubercles all similar: low tubercles $10-15$, each $30-70 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter |
| Distal face | Numerous globular tubercles, most $70-140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter | Numerous low tubercles, most 40$90 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter |
| Ridges | Triradiate and commissural ridges irregularly corrugate | Triradiate and commissural ridges almost smooth |
|  | Smaller megaspores |  |
| Spore diameter ( $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) | 330-410 | 350-460 |
| Ornamentation |  |  |
| Proximal face | 1 (rarely 2 or 3 ) globular tubercle, often almost spherical, $55-80 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter (Fig. 13) | Up to 5 (commonly 3 or 4) low tubercles $40-65 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter (Fig. 12) |
| Distal face | Numerous globular tubercles $50-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diameter crowded in the centre of the face; tubercles mostly higher than broad (Fig. 15) | Numerous shallow tubercles 35-75 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diameter crowded in the centre of the face; tubercles broader than high (Fig. 14) |
| Ridges | Commissural and triradiate ridges irregularly corrugate (Figs 13, 15) | Commissural and triradiate ridges almost smooth (Figs 12, 14) |

Microspores. No microspores of ssp. macrotuberculata have been observed, but they have occasionally been found in ssp. coromandelina. Microspores of this latter subspecies have previously been recorded as smooth (Pfeiffer 1922) or rugose to papillate (Knox 1950). Those examined in the scanning electron microscope during this study (Khandala, C. McCann, BLAT) were found to be covered with somewhat rough, conical spines (Fig. 17) and were pale in colour although Pfeiffer (1922) recorded the microspores of $I$. coromandelina as being sometimes reddish brown.

Figs 2-7. Scanning electron micrographs of large megaspores of $I$. coromandelina. Scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 2. Ssp. coromandelina, side view. (Dabra, Goswami Dab/Gos 1973.) Fig. 3. Ssp. macrotuberculata, side view. (Dunlop 3474.) Fig. 4. Ssp. coromandelina, proximal faces. (Dabra, Goswami Dab/Gos 1973.) Fig. 5. Ssp. macrotuberculata, side view. (Holotype.) Fig. 6. Ssp. coromandelina, distal face. (Kalvari, DD 99422.) Fig. 7. Ssp. macrotuberculata, distal face. (Isotype, AD.)

Ligule and labium. Pfeiffer (1922) recorded the ligule in I. coromandelina as 'conspicuous, very wide and short, often appearing truncate in older leaves but pointed in young. In this description, it is likely that Pfeiffer referred to the labium, which covers most of the ligule (Figs 23, 24), rather than to the ligule itself. The ligule in both subspecies is generally lanceolate and much narrower than the labium. The ligule is often lost or damaged on mature leaves, although this tendency is less apparent in ssp. coromandelina.

Internal hairs of the leaves. Internal hairs as described by Hall (1971) were observed on the walls of each of the four lacunae in both subspecies of I. coromandelina (Fig. 18). These hyaline cells (Fig. 20) arise directly from the chlorenchymatous


Figs 8-11. Scanning electron micrographs of ultrastructure of distal face of large megaspores of I. coromandelina.

Fig. 8. Ssp. coromandelina, surface structure between tubercles. Scale $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (Dabra, Goswami Dab/Gos 1973.) Fig. 9. Ssp. macrotuberculata, surface structure between tubercles. Scale $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (Isotype, AD.) Fig. 10. Ssp. coromandelina, apex of tubercle. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (Dabra, Goswami Dab/Gos 1973.) Fig. 11. Ssp. macrotuberculata, apex of tubercle. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (Isotype, AD.)


Figs 12-15. Scanning electron micrographs of smaller megaspores of $I$. coromandelina. Scale $=$ $150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 12. Ssp. coromandelina, proximal face. (Dabra, Goswami Dab/Gos 1973.) Fig. 13. Ssp. macrotuberculata, proximal face. (Holotype.) Fig. 14. Ssp. coromandelina, distal face. (Kalvari, DD99422.) Fig. 15. Ssp. macrotuberculata, distal face. (Isotype, AD.)
Fig. 16. Scanning electron micrograph of 'double' megaspore of I. coromandelina ssp . macrotuberculata, distal face. Scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. (Holotype.)
Fig. 17. Scanning electron micrograph of microspore of $I$. coromandelina ssp. coromandelina. Scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \quad$ (Khandala, C. McCann, BLAT.)
tissue of the leaves but themselves lack chloroplasts. The side and outer walls of the hair cells are heavily thickened (Fig. 20) and bear conspicuousl, sometimes curved, spines on the projecting parts. The function of these cells is unknown. They


Figs. 18-24. I. coromandelina ssp. macrotuberculata.
Fig. 18. T.S. leaf (from middle of leaf) with peripheral fibre strands ( $p f s$ ), stele ( $l s$ ), internal hairs (ih) and the four lacunae (la). Fig. 19. Two cells of a translacunar diaphragm with acicular spines ( $s p$ ) projecting into the air spaces ( $a s$ ). Fig. 20. Internal hair with thick walls and spines on projecting part of cell. Fig. 21. Sporangial wall cells. Fig. 22. Epidermal cells and stomata (s) from apical part of leaf. Figs 23, 24. Base of outer and inner sporophylls respectively, showing rounded sporangium (s), ligule ( $l i$ ), labium (lab), and broad membranous wings ( $w$ ).
apparently do not serve a support function (Hall 1971) and the thickening of the cell walls suggests that they are not involved in absorption or gas exchange.

Translacunar diaphragms. Hall (1971) also noted, in some species of Isoetes, minute acicular spines projecting from walls of the cells which make up the diapgragms traversing the lacunae at intervals along the leaves. Similar spines were observed in both subspecies of I. coromandelina (Fig. 19).

Leaf bases. Leaves of I. coromandelina are expanded at the base into membranous wings, which narrow at about the level of the ligule and gradually taper over several centimetres along the leaf. These wings are much broader on the first-formed leaves of each season (Fig. 23) than on later leaves (Fig. 24); a correspending change occurs in shape of sporangia from orbicular to obovate.

Corms. Although the corms of ssp. coromandelina are usually trilobed, plants with four or five lobes have been recorded. Only plants with trilobed corms have been thus far recorded for ssp. macrotuberculata.

## Subspecific relationships

The ornamentation of megaspores has been widely used in taxonomy of Isoetes. Pfeiffer (1922) subdivided the genus into four sections, Tuberculatae, Echinatae, Cristatae and Reticulatae, to which a fifth section, Psilatae, was added by De Vol (1972).
I. coromandelina, which Pfeiffer (1922) placed in section Tuberculatae, varies slightly in megaspore morphology within each of the two subspecies, mainly in number and, to a lesser extent, size of the tubercles. Nevertheless, the ornamentation of the megaspores for each subspecies has been found to be consistently distinct (Figs 2-7, 12-15; Table 1).

In contrast to differences in overall ornamentation, the similarity in surface ultrastructure of the megaspores (Figs 8-11) probably indicates a close relationship between the two subspecies.

It is on the basis of differences in megaspore ornamentation contrasting with similarities in megaspore surface ultrastructure and general morphology that subspecific rank has been given to the Australian material of I. coromandelina. The geographic isolation of the Australian and Indian localities supports this conclusion.
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# MORPHOLOGICAL VARIATION AND TAXONOMY OF ISOETES MUELLERI A. BR. 

Craigh R. Marsden<br>Botany Department, University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia, 5000.


#### Abstract

Characters used in Isoetes L. taxonomy are examined for the I. muelleri A. Br. complex. The characters examined in detail include general morphology (based on field studies as well as dried specimens) stomata megaspore form, size and ornamentation, sporangial characteristics and cytology Three classes of megaspore types are defined for species of Isoetes producing polymorphic megaspores. A polyploid series in I. muelleri was noted with somatic chromosome numbers of 22,44 , and 55 recorded. This species is considered to be apomictic. These studies indicate that $I$. muelleri is an exceptionally variable species occurring in a wide range of habitat, throughout Australia. I. stuartii A. Br. is shown to be synonomous with I. muelleri.


## Introduction

Isoetes muelleri was described by Alexander Braun in 1868 on the basis of a collection from near Rockhampton, Queensland. This species remained almost unknown, except for the original description, until Aston (1973) recorded it from the Northern Territory, South Australia, Victoria, Western Australia and Queensland. However, Aston did not discuss this or other Australian species in detail, and since Braun (1868) there has been no critical review of the Australian taxa of Isoetes.
I. muelleri belongs to a small group of Australian species, which also includes $I$. humilior and I. stuartii, characterized by the presence of vela covering the sporangia. Within this group I. humilior F. Muell. ex A.Br. ( $=$ I. hookeri A. Br.) and I. stuartii A. Br. differ from I. muelleri in only a few features (Braun, 1868; Pfeiffer, 1922) and their taxonomic status is reviewed. In this paper, characters used in Isoetes taxonomy are examined for the $I$. muelleri complex.

## Materials and Methods

Both fresh and dried materials were examined. Collections and voucher specimens made during this study are lodged at AD. Plants were grown either submerged in a large glass tank or in a wet house with daily mist watering.

Megaspores were examined by light and scanning electron microscopy. Megaspore diameter measurements were made using dry spores, loose on microscope slides. Spores for scanning electron microscopy were fixed to small circular glass coverslips with a synthetic rubber adhesive, placed in an enclosed glass chamber and exposed to the fumes of $2 \%$ osmic acid solution overnight. This pretreatment helped reduce charging of specimens during examination (Pfefferkorn, 1970). The coverslips were then glued onto S.E.M. stubs and coated with pure gold in either an evaporative or sputter coating unit.

Specimens were examined and photographed using an ETEC Autoscan fitted with an NEC secondary X-ray detector and analyser.

Large root-tips from short, young, unbranched roots were used for chromosome preparations. The root-tips were pretreated with 20 ppm chloro-I.P.C. for 4 hours at
room temperature. This caused chromosome contraction in the same way as described for I.P.C. (Storey and Mann, 1967). Colchicine was found to be ineffective on the Isoetes species studied.

The pretreated root-tips were fixed in 3:1 absolute ethanol: glacial acetic acid for 20 minutes, and transferred to a mixture of approximately $0.2 \%$ cellulase and $0.5 \%$ pectinase in phosphate buffer at pH 5.2 , and left overnight to soften the cell walls and intercellular pectins. This facilitated squashing of the root-tip cells. After a brief wash in $45 \%$ acetic acid, squash preparations were made from the root-tips in lacto-propionic orcein (Dyer, 1963). This procedure yielded well stained chromosomes with less cytoplasmic and background staining than with aceto-orcein or aceto carmine stains.

## General Morphology

I. muelleri is variable in form (fig. la-g), ranging from tall, erect, flaccid, aquatic plants (fig. la) to small amphibious plants (fig. lg) with spreading, usually turgid leaves. Between these extremes a wide range of intermediates can be found, including tiny grasslike plants (fig. lf) which grow in dense clumps. All plants shown in figures la-f bore sporangia containing mature megaspores.

The size of plants, however, varies within individual populations. Figure 2a-d shows a range of plants collected from within a few centimetres of each other. Each plant bore mature sporangia, and most of the variation in size between them appeared to be due to age differences rather than environmental effects since all plants were found growing together in the centre of a shallow swamp.

Culturing of plants has shown that leaf habit varies under differing growth conditions. Terrestrial plants normally have only spreading leaves, whilst aquatic plants mostly have erect, flaccid leaves. When plants with spreading leaves were grown in water, new leaves grew erect. At Naas Creek in the Snowy Mountains spreading plants (Marsden $178 B$ ) were found growing on the banks of the stream, whilst erect specimens (Marsden 178 A ) of apparently the same species were growing below permanent water level. When plants from each habitat were cultured together in the laboratory they were indistinguishable except for size, the plants from the banks being generally smaller. Small grass-like specimens of I. muelleri from rock pools in central and southern Australia also grew erect when submerged and rather spreading when grown in wet soil.

Despite this morphologic plasticity, at least some of the variation between populations appears to be genetically based. Plants from ephemeral shallow rock pools in central Australia (e.g. fig. le) and plants from ephemeral swamps in south-eastern Australia remained distinct from plants from permanent water in the Snowy Mountains and Tasmania, even when grown under the same conditions for two years. Those from less permanent water remain smaller, with fewer, more slender leaves. These plants grow from late autumn to spring and die off to a resting stage in the corm during summer. Those from permanent waters remain green all year round, shedding the old sporophylls as they are pushed off by new growth. Plants from the ephemeral conditions can be kept greenallyear round if submerged in permanent water, although they usually lose most of their leaves during the late summer and autumn.

Despite the differences between the extremes of form shown by plants included in $I$. muelleri, there is almost complete intergradation from one extreme to the other (fig. lag).

Fig. 1 Variation in plant size and habit of $I$. muelleri from several localities, scale $=10 \mathrm{~cm}$. . Marsden 177; b. Beauglehole 47901 B; c. Marsden 178B; d. Marsden 39; e. Beauglehole 45893; f. Beauglehole 36218; g. Marsden 150.


## Lobing of the corm

The corm-like stems of Isoetes usually bear 2 or 3 (occasionally 4 or more) deep furrows along their length resulting in a lobing of the corm.

In the type description (Braun, 1868), I. muelleri was described as having a three lobed corm. However, in this study populations of I. muelleri have been found to contain from $5-50 \%$ bilobed plants. Taxonomic use of this character has thus led to considerable confusion in the classification of this species and bilobed specimens of I. muelleri have often been misidentified as $I$. humilior. Clute (1905) noted similar variation in lobing of some unspecified North American species. However, Pfeiffer (1922) considered the number of lobes of the corm to be characteristic for each species, with only a low frequency of deviation within species from the typical number of lobes.

Number of corm lobes was one of the key characteristics used by Braun (1868) to distinguish between I. muelleri, I. stuartii and I. humilior, but in view of the evidence for corm variation in I. muelleri, this feature is less distinctive than considered by Braun.

## Stomata

Presence or absence of stomata on leaves of Isoetes is a character which has been traditionally correlated with habitat. Terrestrial and amphibious species always possess stomata whilst they are generally lacking in aquatic species, although there are some exceptions to this latter case (Pfeiffer, 1922). Consequently emergent and submerged plants of I. muelleri might be expected to possess and lack stomata respectively.

However, plants of I. muelleri have always been found to bear some stomata, at least on the apical portion of the leaves, even when growing permanently submerged. When emergent plants were transferred to aquatic conditions, the stomatal frequency was observed to diminish on the new leaves produced underwater.

The presence of stomata in I. muelleri and their absence in I. stuartii and I. humilior was another feature used by Braun (1868) to separate the species. However, plants recently collected from Tasmania (Morris, Elizabeth River) which otherwise corresponded to the description of I. stuartii were found to possess a few stomata on the apical portions of the leaves. Hence this feature also appears to be inconsistent and of doubtful taxonomic use for the separation of this species from I. muelleri. I. humilior appears consistently to lack stomata.

## Megaspores

Megaspore ornamentation has been one of the most widely used taxonomic characters in Isoetes. The large size of these spores enables observation of gross ornamentation features using only relatively low magnifications such as are available with a hand lens. The advent of scanning electron microscopy has revolutionized examination of such spores, facilitating not only observations of gross ornamentation, but also study of the ultra-structure of the outer spore walls.

Polymorphism of megaspores within individual sporangia has been well documented for species from America (Jeffery, 1937), India (Pant and Srivastava, 1962; Goswami and Arya, 1970) and Africa (Hall, 1971). Goswami and Arya (1970) described the different spore forms as large, medium and small or, in the case of dimorphic spores, as large and small. Similar notation for megaspores was used by Hall (1971) and Marsden (1976). This terminology can lead to considerable confusion when discussing megaspores and can be misleading as the small megaspores of dimorphic types are analogous with the medium spores of a trimorphic type. Also the small megaspores of one species may be about equal in diameter to the large megaspores of another species which has normally diminutive spores.
Fig. 2. Range in plant size within a single population of $I$. muelleri; Marsden 30 , scale $=5 \mathrm{~cm}$.
Fig. 3. Young sporelings growing from within sporangium freshly removed from plant of I. muelleri, Marsden 177, scale $=3 \mathrm{~mm}$.


The need is thus indicated for the adoption of acceptable terminology to define megaspore type and to ensure clarity in description. The following grouping system is proposed:

## Type I megaspores (fig. 4)

Almost spherical in shape, nucleate and containing large quantities of fats and oils and other storage products; usually fertile.

## Type IIA megaspores (fig. 4)

Somewhat flattened and usually triangular in outline, enucleate and almost totally devoid of storage compounds; infertile.

## Type IIB megaspores (fig. 4)

Flattened and triangular in outline, enucleate, and lacking any storage compounds; infertile. (So far, recorded for only two species, I. pantii Goswami and Arya, and I. indica Pant and Srivastava).

## Type III megaspores (fig. 4)

Irregular, dumb-bell shaped megaspores, usually appearing like parts of two Type I megaspores fused or joined together by one or more tubular connections, probably binucleate, and containing other storage products; possibly fertile. (Occur only in very low frequencies in sporangia containing Type I and Type IIA megaspores).

Type I megaspores are larger than, and quite distinct in shape from Type IIA megaspores whilst in any one species these are larger in turn than Type IIB megaspores Approximate relative sizes of the different megaspore classes are shown in figure 4. The actual size of Type I and Type IIA megaspores, however, varies greatly between species, e.g. the Type IIA megaspores of I. coromandelina L.f. (Marsden, 1976) may be as large as some of the Type I megaspores of I. muelleri.

Type IIA and Type IIB megaspores differ mainly in size and nature of the spore wall layers (Goswami and Arya, 1970). The size range of these spore types may, however, overlap in different species, e.g. Type IIB megaspores of I. indica may reach $380 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in diameter (Goswami and Arya 1970) while Type IIA megaspores from other species may also be in this size range. When the contents of individual sporangia from I. indica or $I$. pantii were examined it was found that the Type IIB megaspores occur as a distinct size group. Because of the similarities between the two smaller megaspore size groups trom these species, they are classified as subgroups of one type (Type II) of megaspores. In species with only one megaspore type. this corresponds to the Type I megaspore group of species with polymorphic megaspores. Possible origins of the different megaspore types are discussed later in this paper.

Pfeiffer (1922), in her monograph on Isoetes, divided the genus into four sections Tuberculatae Pfeiffer, Cristatae Pfeiffer, nom. illegit.*, Echinatae Pfeiffer, Reticulatae Pfeiffer - on the basis of megaspore ornamentation. De Vol (1972) proposed a fifth section, Psilatae De Vol, for species with smooth megaspores, but this name has not been validated by a Latin description as required under Article 35 of ICBN. Pfeiffer appears to have referred only to those megaspores classified here as Type I megaspores in her discussion as the size ranges given for some species, now known to have dimorphic megaspores, do not include the size range of the Type II megaspores (e.g. I. coromandelina and I. muelleri).

The megaspores of $I$. muelleri were described by Braun (1868) as covered with numerous, low, uneven tubercles, some of which were fused together into confluent ridges. Thus this species was placed by Pfeiffer (1922) into the section Tuberculatae. Examination of a wide range of specimens has revealed that I. muelleri megaspores are always dimorphic in size, with Type I and Type IIA megaspores, in approximately equal numbers, and occasional Type III megaspores occurring within individual sporangia.
*Pfeiffer's sectional name Cristatae is illegitimate as it contains the type species of the genus and following Article 22 ICBN must be named sect. Isoetes.
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Fig. 4. Diagramatical representation of the relative sizes and shapes of Type I, Type IIA, Type IIB and Type III megaspores.

Type I megaspores, the only megaspore type previously described and discussed for this species, were found to be only very rarely tuberculate, with many specimens, including those from the nomenclatural type (fig. 11) showing only few tubercles, mainly on the proximal faces, and ridges of varying size and confluence (figs. 5, 7, 9, 11). Commissural and triradiate ridges of the spores are usually quite prominent, with the triradiate ridge usually somewhat broader and less raised than the commissural ridge. The range of Type I megaspores further includes spores showing irregularly confluent ridges only (fig. 13) through to others covered by a definite reticulate pattern (fig. 15). On the basis of this character alone, I. muelleri could be placed in any one of three different sections of the genus.

Similar infraspecific variation was recorded by Duthie (1929) for African species, and cases such as these cast serious doubt on the usefulness of this classification system for subdivision of Isoetes.

Type IIA megaspore patterning shows even wider variation than that of the Type I megaspores of I. muelleri (figs. 17-21) varying from almost smooth (except for the triradiate and commissural ridges) to closely reticulate.

Often there are wide differences between Type IIA megaspores from within individual sporangia of I. muelleri (figs. 19, 20).

Ornamentation of Type III megaspores (fig. 22) usually closely resembles that of Type I megaspores for that species.

## Perispore of megaspores

The possible taxonomic usefulness of perispore structure of Isoetes megaspores examined using scanning electron microscopy was first demonstrated by Wanntorp (1970). Wanntorp found differences in perispore structure between species of Isoetes from south-west Africa, while Taylor et al (1975) found it was possible to separate two closely related species from North America on the basis of perispore structure.

The siliceous perispore of I. muelleri Type I megaspores is most commonly covered with minute, twisted spines (fig. 14) usually present in very great numbers (fig. 12, 16). In plants of a few collections, and in specimens corresponding to Braun's description of $I$. stuartii, these spines are poorly developed (fig. 8,10), or scarcely present (fig. 6), however, an almost continuous range of variation between the two extremes has been found (see sequence figs. $6,8,10,12,14,16$ ).

In order to ensure that the observed variation is not simply due to megaspore age differences, a range of megaspores of different ages has been examined.

Normally when megaspores for scanning electron microscopy were chosen, they were initially examined using a light microscope, prior to preparation, and only mature spores were used. Immature spores either collapse when dried or have a pale translucent appearance when viewed in transmitted light. Therefore, a range of megaspores. from the youngest which did not collapse when dried but which were still obviously immature, to the oldest megaspores present on the plant, were examined from both plants which normally show few spines on the Type I megaspores as well as plants which have dense spinulose megaspore perispore surfaces. Results of this study are shown in figures 23-28.

Immature spores from plants with Type I megaspores showing poorly developed spines (C. Marsden 177) were examined and found to have an amorphous outer coating, which was shown by secondary X-ray analysis to contain considerable silica. Type 1 megaspores from sporophylls only two positions sequentially further out from the immature sporangia, were also examined. Although these megaspores were only slightly older they were found to have a perispore structure (fig. 25) almost identical with that of the oldest Type I megaspores on the plant.

Similar results were observed for specimens (Beauglehole 52587) which normally have spiny perispore surfaces. Figure 26 shows an immature spore with the early stages of formation of the small spines (fig. 27) visible in the perispore structure. The next oldest sporangium on the plant contained Type I megaspores with almost completely developed spines already present (fig. 28).

Since numerous leaves are produced and shed each season, age differences between sequential sporangia formed would be expected to be, at the most, only a few weeks. Thus the perispore patterning is apparently laid down very rapidly, after which almost no further perispore development takes place.

Perispore patterning of Type IIA and Type III megaspores of I. muelleri closely resembles that of the corresponding Type I megaspore in each individual plant.

## Megaspore size

Megaspore size is a character used extensively by Pfeiffer (1922) in her key to species. Again, only those megaspores equivalent to Type I megaspores were considered by her.

Variation in size ranges of diameters of both Type I and Type IIA megaspores from several populations of I. muelleri are shown in figures 29, with the arithmetic mean, standard deviation from the mean and absolute size ranges indicated.

Figs. 5-10. Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of $I$. muelleri.
Fig. 5. Distal face of megaspore, Marsden 178 A , scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 6. Detail of surface of megaspore in fig. 5 , scale $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 7. Distal face of megaspore, Marsden 133. scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 8. Detail of surface of megaspore in tig. 7, scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 9. Side view of megaspore. holotype $I$. stuartii, scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 10. Detail of surface of megaspore in fig. 9, scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Type I megaspores were found to vary from $560-750 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Marsden 177) down to $360-440 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Seppelt, Tassie Creek) in diameter whilst Type IIA megaspores varied from $380-520 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Marsden 133) to $250-320 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Seppelt, Tassie Creek) in diameter. Although the size range for Type I megaspores from one locality may fall within that of Type IIA megaspores from another, the differences in shape and contents are sufficient to distinguish between these spore types.

Megaspores of I. muelleri are much more variable in size than in any other species of Isoetes so far studied, e.g. megaspores of the two subspecies of I. coromandelina, are relatively similar, despite the occurrence of one subspecies in India and the other in Australia (Marsden, 1976). However, the continuous variation in size is indicated by the plot of size data in figure 29, with no discontinuities apparent.

## Microspores

Formation of microspores by I. muelleri is very rare. In the range of material examined during this study only one small specimen, a plant grown in culture, from the south-east of South Australia (Marsden 11) was found to produce microspores. Prior to being placed in culture the plant appeared to have produced only megaspores, but no megasporangia were evident once production of microsporangia had begun. Unfortunately no mature microspores were obtained from this plant as it was fixed for examination of meiosis at an early stage of growth.

## Velum

Braun (1868) described the velum of I. muelleri as complete and closed. However, whilst complete or almost complete coverage of each sporangium by a velum has been found most commonly, some specimens with only a half to a third of each sporangium covered have also been found.

In specimens with an incomplete velum, the extent of coverage of the sporangia was occasionally found to vary considerably on each plant, most often with narrower vela on the outer sporangia. Similar variation was also noted for a few specimens which corresponded to the description given for I. stuartii, which Braun (1868) described as having complete and closed vela. Plants identified as I. humilior were also found to have a complete velum in all specimens examined.

## Sporangia

Sporangia in I. muelleri vary greatly in size from small ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in some of the smallest plants, to moderate sized ( $9 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in very large plants. Small sporangia contain only about 20-30 megaspores whilst the larger ones may contain as many as 200 or more.

The sporangia vary in shape from orbicular in the smaller sporangia to elliptic or obovate in the largest. The sporangial shape does not vary as greatly within individual specimens of the two sub-species of I. coromandelina (Marsden 1976).

Cells of the sporangial wall of $I$. muelleri are only infrequently pigmented as described by Braun (1868). This pigmentation was another feature used by Braun to differentiate I. muelleri from I. stuartii in which there is little pigmentation.

Figs. 11-16 Scanning electron micrographs of Type I megaspores of I. muelleri.
Fig. 11. Side view of megaspore, lectotype 1 . muelleri, scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 12. Detail of surface of megaspore in fig. 11, scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 13. Distal face of megaspore, Marsden 35, scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 14. Detail of surface of megaspore in fig. 13, scale $=5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 15. Distal face of megaspore, Beauglehole 44864, scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 16. Detail of surface of megaspore in fig. 15, scale $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


## Cytology

Chromosome numbers published for Isoetes species have shown a remarkably constant base number of $\mathrm{n}=11$ with polyploids occurring in several species (Abraham and Ninan, 1958; Jermy, 1964; Pant and Srivastava, 1965; Matthews and Murdy, 1969; De Vol, 1972; Rychlewski and Jankun, 1972).

Chromosome counts have been made for several populations of $I$. muelleri and a partial polyploid series has been found. Diploid ( $2 n=22$ ) (Marsden 4), tetraploid ( $4 n=44$ ) (Marsden 177, 178A, 178B; Wollaston, Marcollate Rocks; Symons, Carrappee Hill) and pentaploid ( $5 \mathrm{n}=55$ ) Marsden 11, 31, 32, 39 Beaglehole 45893) populations of I. muelleri have been noted, this being the first known record of pentaploids in the genus.

All chromosome counts have been based on observations of mitotic divisions. Mieiosis has been observed only once in I. muelleri from a single pentaploid specimen which had been cultured in the laboratory (Marsden 11). At metaphase univalents, bivalents, and multivalents were clearly visible.

Formation of Type I, Type IIA and Type III megaspores in all plants of I. muelleri, even in diploids, indicates that meiosis probably follows an irregular pattern such as that elucidated for I. coromandelina from India (Verma, 1960; 1961; Pant and Srivastava, 1965). This irregular meiosis leads to the production of chromosomally unreduced Type I megaspores and enucleate Type IIA megaspores from a mitotic-like division followed by a second cytokinesis (Verma, 1960; 1961). The origin of Type III megaspores has been discussed by Jeffery (1937) who considered that these dumb-bell shaped spores were the result of an abortive second division of meiosis. These spores would be binucleate. Pant and Srivastava (1965) described a possible origin for Type III megaspores which would result in one part being nucleate and the other part enucleate, i.e. much like a Type I and a Type II megaspore fused together. The exact nature of these spores in I. muelleri is not understood as only a limited amount of live material has been available, and cells undergoing meiosis have been difficult to find. If the large dumb-bell spores are binucleate, and in rare instances underwent fusion of these nuclei, germination of these spores could be a possible source of polyploids.

Type I, Type IIA and Type III megaspore production in diploid, as well as in polyploid plants of $I$. muelleri indicates that some mechanism besides polyploidy is inducing irregular meiosis and irregular spore production.

Apomictic germination of diploid Type I megaspores has been described by Jeffery (1937) and Pant and Srivastava (1965) for other species of Isoetes. Similar growth of Type I megaspores occurs in I. muelleri with numerous sporelings from the previous year's megaspores, often appearing in the soil around the base of mature plants at the start of each growth season, apparently with total lack of microspores. Growth of these sporelings could explain the origin of the very dense colonies of I. muelleri sometimes found in rock pools (e.g. on the summit of Ayers Rock in Central Australia).

Occasionally Type I megaspores may commence growth whilst enclosed within sporangia which are still attached to living plants (fig. 3). This is probably similar to the apomixis recorded by Sadebeck (1902) for I. lacustris L. and I. echinospora Dur. Germination of such spores in I. muelleri has only been noted in aquatic specimens, and it is noteworthy that both I. lacustris and I. echinospora are also aquatic species.

Figs. 17-22 Scanning electron micrographs of Type IIA and Type III megaspores of 1 . muelleri.
Fig. 17. Proximal faces of Type 11A megaspore, Marsden 178 A , scale $=150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 18. Distal face of Type IIA megaspore, I. muelleri lectotype, scale $=150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Figs. 19, 20. Side views of type IIA megaspores, Marsden 35, scale $=150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 21. Proximal faces of Type IIA megaspores, Beauglehole 44864, scale $=150 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 22. Proximal faces of Type III megaspores, Marsden 178A, scale $=300 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


The occurrence of polyploidy and apomixis in I. muelleri may largely explain the variation observed in this species, and the wide range of habitats colonized including cold sub-alpine waters, temperate, seasonal swamps in southern Australia, and ephemeral rock pools on granite outcrops in arid regions of central Australia.

## Conclusions

Throughout the range of characters studied, I. muelleri shows very wide variation. However, no distinct infraspecific groups are apparent. Each character examined shows a more or less continuous range of variation which for many features exceeds the limits normally associated with individual species of Isoetes.

At one extreme of form of $I$. muelleri are large aquatic plants (fig. la) which have large sporangia and the largest megaspores, the perispore of which bear only a few spines (fig. 5, 6). At the other extreme are rather small, amphibious plants which have small to medium sized sporangia, contain small, or moderately sized megaspores reticulately ornamented (fig. 15) and densely covered with minute spines (fig. 16). The type specimen of I. muelleri fits between these two extremes.
I. humilior, is quite distinct from I. muelleri, having thick, rigid, dark leaves quite unlike any from the range of I. muelleri; corms of I. humilior have two rather elongated lobes whilst those of I. muelleri are compact and short; I. humilior produces only Type I megaspores, which are almost smooth, and also produces microspores; the leaf bases of $I$. humilior are thick and rigid, whilst those of I. muelleri are membranous and quite flexible. Thus, $I$. humilior on the basis of these features is retained as a distinct species.
I. stuartii, described by Braun (1868) in the same paper as I. muelleri, was distinguished on the basis of habitat, occurrence of stomata. lobing of the corm and colouring of the sporangial walls. All of these characters have been found to vary in I. muelleri as well as other features such as plant size and habit, sporangial characteristics and megaspore size and ornamentation. Thus I. stuartii is now considered to be conspecific with I. muelleri. The type of I. muelleri is more representative of the species than is that of I. stuartii. I.stuartii has also frequently been confused with I. humilior (Pfeiffer, 1922). Therefore, it is here proposed that I. stuartii be reduced to synonomy under I. muelleri.
I. muelleri A. Braun, Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 541, 1868; Pfeiffer, Ann. Mo. Bot. Gdn, 9, 126, 1922.

Lectotype: Queensland, wet places near Rockhampton, P. O'Shanesy, 1867 (B!), (Syntype in K !)
Sỳn. I. stuartii A. Braun, Monatsber. K. Akad. Wiss. Berlin, 539, 1868
Holotype: Tasmania, South Esk River, C. Stuart. (MEL!)

Higs. 23-28. Scanning electron micrographs of developing Type I megaspores of I. muelleri.
Fig. 23. Immature Type I megaspore distal-face, Marsden 178A, scale $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 24. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 23 showing amorphous siliceous perispore, scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 25. Detail of surface of slightly older megaspore from same plant as figs. 23, 24, showing fully developed surface structure as in fig. 6 , scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 26. Immature Type I megaspore, proximal faces, Beauglehole 47901, scale $=200 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
Fig. 27. Detail of surface of spore in fig. 26 showing beginnings of development of spines on surface of perispore, scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
Fig. 28. Detail of surface of megaspore from next oldest sporangium than that in fig. 26 showing well developed spines on perispore surfaces, scale $=10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


## Diagnosis

I. muelleri is distinguishable from other Australian species by the presence of sporangial vela and occurrence of imorphic spores. In Australasian species of Isoetes, dimorphic spores are known only from I. coromandelina L. f. ssp. macrotuberculata C. Marsden (Marsden, 1976) and I. muelleri but I. coromandelina lacks vela covering the sporangia.

## Distribution

I. muelleri is the most widespread species of Isoetes in Australia occurring in all states and territories. A map showing the known distribution is given (Map 1).

## Representative collections examined

Details are only included for collections referred to in the text
SOUTH AUSTRALIA: S.E. of S.A. 1 km E. of Comaum Forest, 15.vi. 1973, Marsden // (AD); 19.xii. 1973 Marsden 32 (AD); S. edge of Comaum Forest, 18.xii. 1973, Marsden 30 (AD); W. edge Comaum Forest, 19.xii 1973. Marsden 35 (AD); S.E. of S.A., Wrattonbullie station, 19.xii. 1973, Marsden 39 (AD); S.E. of S.A. Marcollat Rocks, 19.x. 1974, E. M. Wollaston (AD); Eyre Peninsula, Tassie Ck., 23.viii. 1973, R. D. Seppelt (AD); Eyre Peninsula, Carrappee Hill, 12.ix. 1974, D. E. Symon 9052 (AD).
NORTHERN TERRITOR Y: Palm Valley. 25.vi, 1974, A. C. Beauglehole 45893 (MEL); MacDonnell Range. Trephina Gorge. I.vi. 1974, A. C. Beauglehole 44864 (MEL).
NEW SOUTH WALES: (incl. A.C.T.): Snowy Mountains, 1.7 km W. Kiandra, 19.i. 1975. Marsden 177 (AD): Snowy Mountains, Naas Creek. 25.i. 1975 Marsden 178A, I78B (AD).
VICTORIA: East Gippsland, Forlorn Hope Plain, 19.i. 1971, A. C. Beauglehole $362 / 8$ (ME1.).
TASMANIA: Shannon Lagoon, 30.xi. 1974, Marsden 133 (AD); 2.xii. 1974. Marsden 150 (AD): Elizabeth River at Campbelltown. 1973. D. Morris (ADU).
WESTERN AUSTRALIA: Mt Madden, 9.viii. 1975, Marsden 205 (AD); Kimberley's, Galvin's Gorge, 24.vii. 1974, A. C. Beauglehole 47901 (MEL).
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[^0]:    * Species names in parentheses indicate manuscript names only.

[^1]:    \# Classification follows Marsden (1976 b) viz. Type I megaspores spherical, nucleate and full of storage products; Type IIA megaspores usually flattened and triangular, sterile, lacking cellular contents; Type IIB as for Type IIA but smaller; Type III large "double" spores or spore pairs with cytoplasmic connection via short tubular connections, nucleate and containing storage products.

