

09 PH
H 8741



Development of a DNA Microarray for Detection of Aneuploidy in Single Blastomeres

Dong Gui Hu, MD, M.Sc. (China)

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
The University of Adelaide
The Queen Elizabeth Hospital
Woodville S.A., 5011, Australia

A thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in fulfilment of the
requirements for admission to the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

January 2004

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Chapter 1 | 1 |
| Literature Review | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Biopsy procedures for PGD | 4 |
| 1.2.1 Polar body biopsy | 5 |
| 1.2.2 Cleavage-stage biopsy | 6 |
| 1.2.3 Blastocyst biopsy | 7 |
| 1.3 PGD tests for single-gene defects | 9 |
| 1.3.1 Single-gene defects detectable by PGD | 9 |
| 1.3.2 Single-cell PCR for the PGD of single-gene defects | 9 |
| 1.3.2.1 Allele drop-out (ADO) and preferential amplification | 11 |
| 1.3.2.2 Strategies of PGD for single-gene defects | 11 |
| 1.3.2.3 Genetic analysis after single-cell PCR of the biopsy sample | 13 |
| 1.3.2.4 Contamination of single-cell PCR | 13 |
| 1.3.2.5 Amplification failure of single-cell PCR | 14 |
| 1.3.3 Misdiagnoses in PGD for single-gene defects | 15 |
| 1.4 PGD of chromosomal abnormalities | 17 |
| 1.4.1 Chromosomal abnormality in oocytes | 17 |
| 1.4.2 Chromosomal abnormality in preimplantation embryos | 19 |
| 1.4.2.1 Chromosomal abnormality in cleavage-stage embryos | 19 |
| 1.4.2.2 Chromosomal abnormalities in human blastocysts | 21 |
| 1.4.2.3 Causes of chromosome abnormality | 22 |
| 1.4.2.4 Consequences of chromosomal abnormalities | 22 |
| 1.4.3 Methodology of PGD for chromosomal abnormality | 23 |
| 1.4.3.1 Interphase FISH for PGD of aneuploidy screening | 24 |
| 1.4.3.2 Interphase FISH for PGD of structural abnormalities | 25 |
| 1.4.3.3 Limitations of interphase FISH analysis | 26 |
| 1.4.3.4 Interphase conversion | 27 |
| 1.4.4 Indications for PGD of chromosomal abnormality | 28 |
| 1.4.4.1 Reciprocal and Robertsonian translocations | 28 |
| 1.4.4.2 Advanced maternal age (AMA) | 29 |
| 1.4.4.3 Recurrent implantation failure (RIF) | 30 |
| 1.4.4.4 Recurrent miscarriage (RM) | 30 |
| 1.5 Comparative genomic hybridisation using metaphases | 33 |
| 1.5.1 CGH protocols | 34 |
| 1.5.2 CGH ratio profiles | 35 |
| 1.5.2.1 Ratio profiles of autosomes | 35 |
| 1.5.2.2 Ratio profiles of gonosomes | 35 |
| 1.5.2.3 Chromosomal region- or band-specific ratio fluctuations | 36 |
| 1.5.3 Resolution of CGH analysis | 37 |
| 1.5.4 Limitations of CGH analysis | 38 |
| 1.5.5 CGH combined with whole genome amplification | 39 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 1.5.5.1 Whole Genome Amplification (WGA) | 39 |
| 1.5.5.2 DOP-PCR-CGH for analysis of microdissected tumour tissues | 40 |
| 1.5.5.3 WGA-CGH for analysis of single cells from preimplantation embryos | 40 |
| 1.5.5.4 DOP-PCR-CGH in PGD of aneuploidy screening | 41 |
| 1.6 DNA microarray-based comparative genomic hybridisation | 43 |
| 1.6.1 Applications of array CGH | 43 |
| 1.6.2 DNA arrays used for Array CGH | 44 |
| 1.6.3 Normalization of array CGH data | 46 |
| 1.6.4 Transformation of array CGH data | 47 |
| 1.6.5 Ratio profiles of array CGH | 48 |
| 1.6.5.1 Ratio thresholds | 48 |
| 1.6.5.2 Ratios of X chromosome probes | 49 |
| 1.6.5.3 Detection of low-copy-number changes | 50 |
| 1.6.5.4 Detection of high-copy-number amplifications | 50 |
| 1.6.6 Replicas of probe spots | 51 |
| 1.6.7 Exclusion of spots from analysis | 51 |
| 1.6.8 Reverse labelling | 52 |
| 1.6.9 DOP-PCR array CGH | 52 |
| 1.7 Human chromosome-specific DNA libraries | 53 |
| 1.8 Hypothesis of this project | 54 |
| 1.9 Aims of this project | 54 |

| | |
|------------------|-----------|
| Chapter 2 | 57 |
|------------------|-----------|

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Materials and Methods I: General methods | 57 |
|---|-----------|

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 2.1 Materials | 57 |
| 2.1.1 Ordered reagents | 57 |
| 2.1.2 Prepared solutions | 59 |
| 2.1.3 Equipments | 60 |
| 2.2 Methods | 61 |
| 2.2.1 Preparation of single cells | 61 |
| 2.2.1.1 Preparation of single lymphocytes | 61 |
| 2.2.1.1.1 Isolation of lymphocytes from peripheral blood | 61 |
| 2.2.1.1.2 DNase I treatment of plasma | 62 |
| 2.2.1.1.3 Single lymphocyte sorting | 62 |
| 2.2.1.2 Preparation of single amniocytes | 64 |
| 2.2.1.3 Preparation of single fibroblasts | 64 |
| 2.2.2 DNA Extraction | 66 |
| 2.2.3 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis | 66 |
| 2.2.4 Purification of DNA probes from an agarose gel | 67 |
| 2.2.5 Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) | 68 |
| 2.2.6 Single-cell array CGH | 69 |
| 2.2.6.1 Single-cell lysis | 69 |
| 2.2.6.2 First round of DOP-PCR for random amplification of single cells | 69 |

| | |
|---|--------|
| 2.2.6.3 Second round of DOP-PCR for Cy3/Cy5 labelling | 70 |
| 2.2.6.4 Purification of Cy3- and Cy5-labelled products | 70 |
| 2.2.6.5 Array CGH | 71 |
| 2.2.7 Array scanning and Data analysis | 72 |
| 2.2.7.1 Array scanning | 72 |
| 2.2.7.2 Data analysis | 73 |
| 2.2.7.3 Exclusion of dots for analysis..... | 73 |
| 2.2.7.4 Ratio Normalization | 74 |
| 2.2.8 Analyses of single blastomeres by array CGH and locus-specific PCR | 75 |
| 2.2.8.1 Array CGH analyses of single blastomeres | 75 |
| 2.2.8.2 Molecular analyses of single blastomeres using locus-specific PCR | 75 |
| 2.2.8.2.1 Random amplification of single blastomeres | 75 |
| 2.2.8.2.2 First round of locus-specific PCR | 76 |
| 2.2.8.2.3 Second round of locus-specific PCR | 76 |
| 2.2.8.2.4 Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (PAGE) | 78 |
| 2.3 Statistical analysis | 78 |
| Chapter 3 | 79 |
| Materials and Methods II: Manufacture of the DNA microarrays | 79 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 79 |
| 3.1.1 Terminology | 80 |
| 3.2 Manufacture of the first batch of arrays | 80 |
| 3.2.1 Array probes | 80 |
| 3.2.2 Amplification of the array probes by DOP-PCR | 80 |
| 3.2.3 Array spotting | 81 |
| 3.2.4 Post-processing of arrays | 81 |
| 3.2.5 Array CGH analysis | 82 |
| 3.2.6 Results from the first batch of arrays | 82 |
| 3.2.7 Discussion of the first batch of arrays | 84 |
| 3.3 Manufacture of the second batch of arrays | 85 |
| 3.3.1 Repeat-depleted chromosome-specific DNA paints (CSLs) | 85 |
| 3.3.2 Amplification of all CSLs by DOP-PCR | 86 |
| 3.3.3 Amplification of all CSLs by CAT ₄ DOP-PCR | 86 |
| 3.3.4 DOP-PCR amplification of genomic DNA | 86 |
| 3.3.5 Array spotting | 87 |
| 3.3.6 Results from the second batch of arrays | 87 |
| 3.4 Manufacture of the third batch of arrays | 90 |
| 3.4.1 Array probes | 90 |
| 3.4.2 Preparation of PCR-amplified fragments | 91 |
| 3.4.3 Small-scale preparations of modified CSLs for FISH | 91 |
| 3.4.4 Large-scale preparations of modified CSLs for array spotting | 92 |
| 3.4.5 Array spotting | 93 |
| 3.4.6 Results from the third of batch of arrays..... | 93 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 3.4.7 Discussion of the third batch of arrays | 100 |
| 3.5 Manufacture of the fourth batch of arrays | 102 |
| 3.5.1. Array Probes | 102 |
| 3.5.2 Array spotting of the 4 th batch of arrays | 102 |
| 3.5.3 Results from the fourth batch of arrays | 102 |
| 3.5.4 Discussion of the fourth batch of arrays | 105 |
| 3.6 Manufacture of the fifth batch of arrays | 106 |
| 3.6.1 Array probes | 106 |
| 3.6.2 Array spotting | 106 |
| 3.6.3 Post-processing of arrays | 107 |
| 3.6.4 Results from the fifth batch of arrays | 107 |
| 3.7 Summary of array manufacture | 108 |
| | |
| Chapter 4 | 109 |
| | |
| Detection of aneuploidy and gender determination in single cells by DNA microarray CGH using the 2nd batch of arrays | 109 |
| 4.1 Aims | 109 |
| 4.2 Results | 109 |
| 4.2.1 Amplification and labelling of the single cells by DOP-PCR | 109 |
| 4.2.2 Development of the single-cell array CGH approach..... | 111 |
| 4.2.3 Results of array CGH experiments using the second batch of arrays..... | 115 |
| 4.2.3.1 Array CGH of 46,XX(Cy3) versus 46,XY(Cy5) | 115 |
| 4.2.3.2 Array CGH of 46,XY(Cy3) versus 46,XX(Cy5) | 117 |
| 4.2.3.3 Array CGH of 47,XX,+13 (Cy3) versus 46,XY (Cy5) | 119 |
| 4.2.3.4 Array CGH of 47,XY,+18 (Cy3) versus 46,XX (Cy5) | 121 |
| 4.2.3.5 Array CGH of 47,XX,+21 | 123 |
| 4.2.4 Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) | 123 |
| 4.3 Discussion | 124 |
| 4.4 Conclusions | 127 |
| | |
| Chapter 5 | 128 |
| | |
| Detection of aneuploidy and gender determination in single cells by DNA microarray CGH using the 5th batch of arrays | 128 |
| 5.1 Introduction and aims | 128 |
| 5.2 Results..... | 129 |
| 5.2.1 Array CGH on normal male and female single lymphocytes..... | 129 |
| 5.2.2 Improvement of the ratio profiles with modified CSLs | 130 |
| 5.2.3 Improvement of the ratio profile with pooled normal male reference material | 131 |
| 5.2.4 Array CGH analysis on three trisomic cell lines | 133 |
| 5.2.5 Effects of photomultiplier tube gains on ratio profiles | 139 |
| 5.2.6 Effects of rescanning on ratio profiles | 141 |
| 5.3 Discussion | 143 |
| 5.3.1 Reliability of single-cell array CGH analysis | 143 |
| 5.3.1.1 Reliability of array CGH for diagnosis for the X chromosome | 143 |

| | |
|--|----------------|
| 5.3.1.2 Reliability of array CGH for diagnosis for the autosomes compared with FISH | 143 |
| 5.3.1.3 Reliability of array CGH for diagnosis for the Y chromosome | 144 |
| 5.3.2 Improvement of the ratio profiles for single-cell array CGH analysis | 145 |
| 5.3.2.1 Deviant ratios found in single-cell array CGH analysis | 145 |
| 5.3.2.2 Effects on the ratio profiles using modified CSLs | 146 |
| 5.3.2.3 Improvement of the ratio profiles with pooled normal male reference material | 147 |
| 5.3.3 Sensitivity of single-cell array CGH analysis | 148 |
| 5.3.3.1 Ratio thresholds for detecting single-copy changes of chromosome | 148 |
| 5.3.3.2 Ratios of CSL _x for gender determination | 150 |
| 5.3.3.3 Ratios for diagnosis of aneuploidy | 151 |
| 5.4 Summary of Chapter 5 | 152 |
| Chapter 6 | 153 |
| Chromosomal and molecular analyses of single blastomeres of preimplantation embryos by single-cell array CGH and locus-specific PCR | 153 |
| 6.1 Introduction and aims | 153 |
| 6.2 Results | 154 |
| 6.2.1 Random amplification and labelling of single blastomeres by DOP-PCR | 154 |
| 6.2.2 Chromosomal analyses of single blastomeres using Array CGH | 157 |
| 6.2.3 Molecular analyses of single blastomeres using locus-specific PCR | 158 |
| 6.3 Discussion | 162 |
| 6.3.1 Chromosomal analyses of embryos using array CGH and metaphase CGH | 162 |
| 6.3.2 Application of metaphase CGH and array CGH for PGD aneuploidy screening | 163 |
| 6.3.3 Molecular analyses of single blastomeres using DOP-PCR followed by locus-specific PCR | 164 |
| 6.3.4 PGD for both chromosomal and single-gene defects using the same biopsied blastomeres | 166 |
| 6.4 Summary of Chapter 6 | 168 |
| Chapter 7 | 169 |
| Final discussion and future directions | 169 |
| 7.1 Major achievements of this study | 169 |
| 7.2 Novelty of this study | 170 |
| 7.3 Significance of using multiple dots of each probe in array CGH analysis | 171 |
| 7.4 Applications and limitations of single-cell array CGH analysis | 172 |
| 7.5 Technical considerations | 174 |
| 7.5.1 Array spotting conditions | 174 |
| 7.5.2 Labelling efficiency and handling the Cy3 or Cy5-dUTP products | 174 |
| 7.5.3 Significance of the use of freshly prepared single cells | 175 |
| 7.6 Conclusions and future directions | 176 |
| Bibliography | 178 |

Abstract

Comparative Genomic Hybridisation (CGH) using metaphase chromosome spreads to screen all human chromosomes for aneuploidy in preimplantation embryos is hindered by the time required to perform the analysis. It takes at least three days to analyse a single cell using metaphase CGH, resulting in the need for the embryos to be cryopreserved rather than being able to be transferred in the maternal cycle that created them. Array CGH can also detect aneuploidy and requires much shorter hybridisation times. Microarrays manufactured to date are not purported to be able to analyse the very limited amount of genetic material (around 6pg) in a single cell; generally they require a DNA sample (0.5-1.0µg) far in excess of that contained in a single cell.

This thesis describes the development of a novel approach to the manufacture of a DNA microarray for CGH for the detection of aneuploidy in single cells. Human chromosome-specific libraries, which were depleted of repetitive sequences, were spotted on glass slides. Array CGH experiments were conducted on these arrays using either single male and/or single female lymphocytes. For the autosomes, the mean normalized ratios were all close to the expected ratio of 1.0 with overall 97% of the normalized ratios falling within the expected range 0.75-1.25. It was possible to deduce the correct copy number of X chromosomes in 93% of separate array CGH experiments, but the Y chromosome in only 29%. Array CGH was initially performed on a single fibroblast from each of three cell lines containing a specific chromosome aneuploidy (trisomy 13, 15 or 18) and in each case this method was able to obtain a diagnosis based on the fact that the aneuploid chromosomes gave the highest ratios (1.32, 1.27 and 1.27 respectively) with the ratios of all other chromosomes falling within the range 0.75-1.25. Finally, a small number of blastomeres removed from human cleavage-stage

embryos were analysed using this method. Results suggest that some blastomeres had a normal karyotype, whereas others were aneuploid or chaotic. This array CGH approach produces results within 30 hours, making it potentially more suitable for PGD aneuploidy screening than metaphase CGH.