

09PH
F 293

**DIFFERENTIAL FUNCTION AND REGULATION OF THE HYPOXIA
INDUCIBLE FACTORS IN THE RAT PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA
CELL LINE PC12**



Submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Anthony Olindo Fedele, BBiotech (Hons)

School of Molecular and Biomedical Sciences (Biochemistry), University of Adelaide

December 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
THESIS SUMMARY	x
CANDIDATE'S DECLARATION	xii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xiii
CHAPTER 1	2
INTRODUCTION	2
1.1. HIF-1α AND HIF-2α	2
1.1.1. HYPOXIA	2
1.1.2. DISCOVERY OF HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α	2
1.1.3. HIF-2 α	3
1.1.4. HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α ARE bHLH/PAS PROTEINS	4
1.1.5. TRANSCRIPTION OF HYPOXIA INDUCIBLE GENES BY HIF- α	5
1.2. STABILISATION OF HIF-α	5
1.2.1. HIF- α LEVELS ARE CONTROLLED POSTTRANSLATIONALLY	5
1.2.2. INVOLVEMENT OF pVHL IN THE DEGRADATION OF HIF- α	6
1.2.3. OXYGEN DEPENDENT PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLATION OF HIF- α	7
1.2.4. DISCOVERY OF THE HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASES	8
1.2.5. SUBSTRATE REQUIREMENTS OF THE HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASES	10
1.3. TRANSCRIPTIONAL ACTIVATION OF HIF-α	14
1.3.1. HIF- α ACTIVITY IS CONTROLLED POSTTRANSLATIONALLY	14
1.3.2. OXYGEN DEPENDENT ASPARAGINYL HYDROXYLATION OF HIF- α	15
1.3.3. DISCOVERY OF THE HIF- α ASPARAGINYL HYDROXYLASE	16
1.3.4. CHARACTERISATION OF THE HIF- α ASPARAGINYL HYDROXYLASE	18
1.3.5. LOCALISATION OF THE HIF- α ASPARAGINYL HYDROXYLASE	19
1.3.6. REGULATION OF THE HIF- α ASPARAGINYL HYDROXYLASE	20
1.4. SUMMARY OF THE HIF-α HYDROXYLASES	21
1.5. OTHER FORMS OF OXYGEN DEPENDENT HIF-α REGULATION	21
1.5.1. REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES AND HIF- α	21
1.5.2. NITRIC OXIDE AND HIF- α	22
1.5.3. PHOSPHORYLATION OF HIF- α	23
1.5.4. p53 AND HIF- α	24
1.5.5. ARD1 AND HIF- α	25
1.6. THE ROLE OF HIF-α IN DISEASE	26
1.7. DIFFERENTIAL FUNCTIONS OF HIF-1α AND HIF-2α	29
1.7.1. HIF- α TARGET GENES	29
1.7.2. HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α ARE NOT FUNCTIONALLY REDUNDANT	30

1.7.3. DIFFERENTIAL DNA BINDING ACTIVITY OF HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α	31
1.7.4. HIF-2 α AS A TUMOUR PROMOTER	31
1.7.5. CYTOPLASMIC ENTRAPMENT OF ENDOGENOUS HIF-2 α	32
1.7.6. PROPOSED HIF-2 α TARGET GENES	33
1.7.7. SELECTIVE EXPRESSION OF HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α AS A MODEL TO DETERMINE THEIR DIFFERENTIAL REGULATION AND ROLES	33
1.8. PC12 CELLS	33
1.8.1. SIMILARITY OF PC12 CELLS TO THE TYPE I CAROTID BODY	33
1.8.2. PC12 CELLS AS A MODEL FOR DETERMINING DIFFERENTIAL ROLES OF HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α	35
1.9. AIMS AND APPROACH	36
CHAPTER 2	38
METHODS AND MATERIALS	38
2.1. ABBREVIATIONS	38
2.2. MATERIALS	38
2.2.1 GENERAL MATERIALS	38
2.2.2 CHEMICALS AND REAGENTS	39
2.2.3 KITS	41
2.2.4 ENZYMES	41
2.2.5. RADIOCHEMICALS	41
2.2.6. ANTIBODIES	41
2.2.7. BUFFERS AND SOLUTIONS	42
2.2.8. PLASMIDS	43
2.2.8.1. CLONING VECTORS	43
2.2.8.2. MAMMALIAN EXPRESSION VECTORS	44
2.2.8.3. MAMMALIAN REPORTER VECTORS	45
2.2.9. OLIGONUCLEOTIDES	45
2.2.9.1. OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR GENERATION OF PROBES FOR NORTHERN ANALYSIS	45
2.2.9.2. OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR QUICKCHANGE MUTATION	49
2.2.9.3. OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR ANNEALING AND DIRECT CLONING INTO MAMMALIAN EXPRESSION VECTORS FOR RNA INTERFERENCE	49
2.2.9.4. OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR SEQUENCING	52
2.2.10. PROKARYOTIC STRAINS	52
2.2.11. PROKARYOTIC MEDIA	52
2.2.12. EUKARYOTIC LINES	52
2.2.13. EUKARYOTIC MEDIA	52
2.2.14. MISCELLANEOUS	52
2.3. GENERAL METHODS	53
2.3.1. PREPARATION OF COMPETENT BACTERIA	53

2.3.2. DNA MANIPULATION	53
2.3.2.1. TRANSFORMATION OF BACTERIA WITH INTACT PLASMID	53
2.3.2.2. TRANSFORMATION OF BACTERIA WITH A LIGATION MIXTURE	53
2.3.2.3. ALKALINE LYSIS PROTOCOL FOR PLASMID PREPARATION	53
2.3.2.4. AMMONIUM ACETATE PROTOCOL FOR PLASMID PREPARATION	54
2.3.2.5. RESTRICTION DIGESTION OF PLASMID DNA	55
2.3.2.6. PURIFICATION OF REQUIRED DIGESTION FRAGMENTS	55
2.3.2.7. GENERATION OF INSERT DNA VIA PCR WITH PFU POLYMERASE	55
2.3.2.8. GENERATION OF INSERT DNA VIA PCR WITH TAQ POLYMERASE	55
2.3.2.9. QUICKCHANGE MUTAGENESIS	55
2.3.2.10. SEQUENCING	56
2.3.2.11. PHOSPHORYLATION AND ANNEALING OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDES FOR DIRECT SUBCLONING	56
2.3.2.12. LIGATION OF INSERT DNA WITH VECTOR	56
2.3.2.13. LABELLING OF DNA PROBES WITH ³² P-dATP	56
2.3.3. RNA MANIPULATION	57
2.3.3.1. GENERAL INFORMATION	57
2.3.3.2. TOTAL RNA	57
2.3.3.3. POLY A RNA	57
2.3.3.4. RNA FOR MICROARRAY STUDIES	58
2.3.3.5. cDNA SYNTHESIS FROM RNA	59
2.3.4. AGAROSE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS FOR DNA ANALYSIS	59
2.3.4.1. AGAROSE/TBE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS	59
2.3.5. AGAROSE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS FOR RNA ANALYSIS	60
2.3.5.1. GENERAL INFORMATION	60
2.3.5.2. AGAROSE/TBE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS	60
2.3.5.3. AGAROSE/MOPS/FORMALDEHYDE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS	60
2.3.5.4. NORTHERN TRANSFER ANALYSIS	60
2.3.6. POLYACRYLAMIDE GEL ELECTROPHORESIS FOR PROTEIN ANALYSIS	61
2.3.6.1. DENATURING SDS PAGE	61
2.3.6.2. DENATURING TRIS TRICINE PAGE	62
2.3.6.3. IMMUNOBLOTTING	62
2.3.7. TISSUE CULTURE	63
2.3.7.1. PC12 CELL LINE MAINTENANCE	63
2.3.7.2. TRANSFECTION OF PC12 CELLS	63
2.3.7.3. HYPOXIC TREATMENT OF PC12 CELLS	63
2.3.7.4. LUCIFERASE ASSAY OF PC12 CELLS	64
2.3.7.5. WHOLE CELL EXTRACTION	64
2.4. ESTABLISHMENT OF MONOCLONAL SELECTIVELY INDUCIBLE HIF-1α AND HIF-2α PC12 CELL LINES	64
2.4.1. CONSTRUCTION OF EXPRESSION PLASMIDS	64

2.4.1.1. PREPARATION OF PLASMIDS	64
2.4.1.2. CONSTRUCTION OF pEF/TetON/IRES/NEO	65
2.4.1.3. CONSTRUCTION OF pTR/HIF-2 α /DC/EYFP	65
2.4.1.4. CONSTRUCTION OF pTR/HIF-1 α /DC/EYFP	65
2.4.1.5. CONSTRUCTION OF pTR/HIF-1 α N803A/DC/EYFP	65
2.4.2. CHARACTERISATION OF PC12 CELLS	65
2.4.2.1. HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α PROTEIN EXPRESSION	65
2.4.2.2. HIF-2 α OVEREXPRESSION	66
2.4.3. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PC12/TetON/NEO CELL LINE	66
2.4.3.1. PREPARATION OF EXPRESSION VECTORS	66
2.4.3.2. TRANSFECTION OF PC12 CELLS WITH THE REVERSE TETRACYCLINE TRANSACTIVATOR	66
2.4.3.3. ANALYSIS OF PC12/TetON/NEO CELLS	67
2.4.4. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE INDUCIBLE HIF- α PC12 CELL LINES	68
2.4.4.1. PREPARATION OF EXPRESSION VECTORS	68
2.4.4.2. TRANSFECTION OF PC12/TetON/NEO CELLS WITH INDUCIBLE HIF- α	68
2.4.4.3. ANALYSIS OF STABLE TRANSFECTANTS	68
2.4.5. NORTHERN ANALYSIS OF HIF-1 α TARGET GENE EXPRESSION IN THE INDUCIBLE HIF- α PC12 CELL LINES	69
2.4.6. COMPARISON OF GENE EXPRESSION BETWEEN PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP AND PC12/TetON/HIF-1 α N803A/EYFP CELLS	70
2.4.6.1. MICROARRAY ANALYSIS	70
2.4.6.2. NORTHERN ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PUTATIVE HIF-2 α TARGETS	70
2.4.7. COMPARISON OF GENE EXPRESSION BETWEEN DOXYCYCLINE TREATED AND UNTREATED PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP CELLS	71
2.4.7.1. MICROARRAY ANALYSIS	71
2.4.7.2. RTPCR ANALYSIS OF SELECTED PUTATIVE HIF-2 α TARGETS	72
2.5. ANALYSIS OF HIF-1α AND HIF-2α EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS	72
2.5.1. CONSTRUCTION OF EXPRESSION PLASMIDS	72
2.5.1.1. PREPARATION OF PLASMIDS	72
2.5.1.2. CONSTRUCTION OF pEF/HisMycHIF-1 α /P402-564A/IRES/PURO	72
2.5.1.3. CONSTRUCTION OF pEF/ARD1/IRES/PURO	73
2.5.1.4. CONSTRUCTION OF pSUPER/SCR	73
2.5.1.5. CONSTRUCTION OF pSUPER/PHD2 112, pSUPER/PHD2 126, pSUPER/PHD2 542 AND pSUPER/PHD2 554	74
2.5.1.6. CONSTRUCTION OF pSUPER/ARD1 144, pSUPER/ARD1 150, pSUPER/ARD1 552 AND pSUPER/ARD1 627	74
2.5.2. HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α EXPRESSION AS A FUNCTION OF DURATION OF HYPOXIA	74
2.5.3. EFFECT OF IRON CHELATION ON HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α EXPRESSION	74
2.5.4. EFFECT OF 2-OXOGLUTARATE COMPETITION ON HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α EXPRESSION	75

2.5.5. EFFECT OF 2-OXOGLUTARATE COMPETITION ON HIF-1 α CAD ACTIVITY	75
2.5.6. RTPCR AND NORTHERN ANALYSIS OF HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE EXPRESSION	75
2.5.7. IMMUNOBLOTTING FOR HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE EXPRESSION	76
2.5.8. RNA INTERFERENCE OF HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE 2	76
2.5.9. EFFECT OF EXOGENOUS HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE ACTIVITY ON OVEREXPRESSED HIF-1 α EXPRESSION	77
2.5.10. EFFECT OF TYROSINE KINASE INHIBITION ON HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α EXPRESSION	77
2.5.11. EFFECT OF DEACETYLASE INHIBITION ON HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α EXPRESSION	78
2.5.12. RTPCR AND NORTHERN ANALYSIS OF ARD1 EXPRESSION	78
2.5.13. IMMUNOBLOTTING FOR ARD1 EXPRESSION	79
2.5.14. RNA INTERFERENCE OF ARD1 EXPRESSION	79
2.5.15. EFFECT OF EXOGENOUS ARD1 ACTIVITY ON HIF-1 α AND HIF-2 α EXPRESSION	79
CHAPTER 3	82
SCREENING FOR HIF-2α SPECIFIC TARGET GENES IN PC12 CELLS	82
3.1. INTRODUCTION	82
3.2. CHARACTERISATION OF PC12 CELLS	83
3.3. SELECTIVE EXPRESSION OF HIF-2α IN PC12 CELLS	83
3.4. ESTABLISHMENT OF MONOCLONAL TETRACYCLINE INDUCIBLE PC12 CELL LINES	84
3.4.1. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PC12/TetON/NEO CELL LINE	86
3.4.2. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PC12/TetON/EYFP CELL LINE	88
3.4.3. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP CELL LINE	89
3.4.4. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PC12/TetON/HIF-1 α /EYFP CELL LINE	90
3.4.5. ESTABLISHMENT OF THE PC12/TetON/HIF-1 α N803A/EYFP CELL LINE	91
3.5. ANALYSIS OF PUBLISHED HIF-1α TARGET GENE EXPRESSION	92
3.5.1. HIF-1 α TARGET GENES	92
3.5.2. NORTHERN ANALYSIS OF PUBLISHED HIF-1 α TARGET GENE EXPRESSION IN PC12/TetON/EYFP, PC12/TetON/HIF-1 α N803A/EYFP AND PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP CELLS	93
3.6. SCREENING FOR HIF-2α SPECIFIC TARGET GENES	94
3.6.1. COMPARISON BETWEEN DOXYCYCLINE TREATED PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP CELLS AND PC12/TetON/HIF-1 α N803A/EYFP	94
3.6.2. NORTHERN ANALYSIS OF GENES UPREGULATED BY DOXYCYCLINE TREATMENT OF PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP CELLS	96
3.6.3. COMPARISON BETWEEN DOXYCYCLINE TREATED AND UNTREATED PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP CELLS	98
3.6.4. RTPCR OF GENES UPREGULATED BY DOXYCYCLINE TREATMENT OF PC12/TetON/HIF-2 α /EYFP CELLS	99
3.7. GENERAL DISCUSSION	101
3.7.1. NOVEL HIF-2 α TARGET GENES	101

3.7.2. PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED HIF-2 α ARRAYS	105
3.7.3. VEGF AND ITS RECEPTORS	107
3.7.4. GLYCOLYTIC ENZYMES AND GLUCOSE TRANSPORTERS	108
3.7.5. ERYTHROPOIETIN	109
3.7.6. GENE EXPRESSION IN 786-O CELLS	111
3.7.7. GENE EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS	112
3.7.8. OTHER PUTATIVE HIF-2 α TARGET GENES	113
3.7.9. FURTHER ANALYSIS OF PUTATIVE HIF-2 α TARGET GENES	114
3.7.10. ALTERNATIVE METHODS	115
CHAPTER 4	118
<i>DIFFERENTIAL REGULATION OF HIF-1α AND HIF-2α IN PC12 CELLS</i>	118
4.1. INTRODUCTION	118
4.2. HIF-α EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS AS A FUNCTION OF DURATION OF HYPOXIA	120
4.3. EFFECT OF PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE INHIBITORS ON HIF-α EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS	120
4.3.1. IRON CHELATION STABILISES HIF-1 α BUT NOT HIF-2 α PROTEIN	120
4.3.2. 2-OXOGLUTARATE COMPETITION STABILISES NEITHER HIF-1 α NOR HIF-2 α PROTEIN	121
4.4. EFFECT OF HIF-α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASES ON HIF-1α EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS	126
4.4.1. HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE 1, 2 AND 3 mRNA ARE EXPRESSED IN PC12 CELLS	126
4.4.2. RNA INTERFERENCE OF HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE ACTIVITY STABILISES HIF-1 α PROTEIN IN PC12 CELLS	128
4.4.3. OVEREXPRESSED HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASES CAN INHIBIT THE ACCUMULATION OF OVEREXPRESSED HIF-1 α	130
4.4.4. IRON CHELATION BUT NOT 2-OXOGLUTARATE COMPETITION INHIBITS OVEREXPRESSED HIF- α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE MEDIATED DEGRADATION OF OVEREXPRESSED HIF-1 α	132
4.5. DISCUSSION OF HIF-α PROLYL-4-HYDROXYLASE ACTIVITY IN PC12 CELLS	133
4.6. EFFECT OF TYROSINE KINASE INHIBITORS ON HIF-α EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS	137
4.7. EFFECT OF DEACETYLASE INHIBITORS ON HIF-α EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS	138
4.8. EFFECT OF THE HIF-α ACETYLASE ARD1 ON HIF-α EXPRESSION IN PC12 CELLS	139
4.8.1. THE HIF- α ACETYLASE mRNA AND PROTEIN IS EXPRESSED IN PC12 CELLS	139
4.8.2. RNA INTERFERENCE OF THE HIF- α ACETYLASE ARD1 STABILISES NEITHER HIF- α PROTEIN IN PC12 CELLS	141

4.8.3. OVEREXPRESSION OF THE HIF- α ACETYLASE ARD1 DOES NOT ALTER THE PROTEIN LEVELS OF EITHER HIF- α IN PC12 CELLS _____	142
4.9. DISCUSSION OF ALTERNATIVE MECHANISMS OF OXYGEN DEPENDENT HIF-2α REGULATION IN PC12 CELLS _____	143
4.10. SUMMARY _____	146
<i>CHAPTER 5 _____</i>	<i>149</i>
<i>GENERAL DISCUSSION _____</i>	<i>149</i>
5.1. INTRODUCTION _____	149
5.2. HIF-2α SPECIFIC TARGET GENES _____	149
5.3. DIFFERENTIAL REGULATION OF HIF-1α AND HIF-2α _____	151
5.4. CONCLUSION _____	153
<i>CHAPTER 6 _____</i>	<i>155</i>
<i>REFERENCES _____</i>	<i>155</i>

THESIS SUMMARY

Responses to hypoxia in mammals include enhanced erythropoiesis, angiogenesis and expression of enzymes responsible for glucose transport and glycolysis. Hypoxia inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α) and HIF-2 α are involved in many of the molecular and physiological responses to low oxygen levels. Both are members of the basic Helix-Loop-Helix/Per-ARNT-Sim (bHLH/PAS) protein family and form DNA binding heterodimers with the aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator (ARNT), another bHLH/PAS protein. In normoxia, HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α are degraded in the cytoplasm by a mechanism involving oxygen dependent hydroxylation of conserved prolines in their respective oxygen dependent degradation domains, which permits the recruitment of the von Hippel-Lindau E3 ligase and targeting of the protein for destruction via the ubiquitin proteasome pathway. Also, oxygen dependent hydroxylation of an asparagine in the carboxy terminal transactivation domain of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α results in the repression of their transcriptional activity. During hypoxia, HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α undergo protein stabilisation, derepression and translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, where they dimerise with the constitutively nuclear ARNT to bind to hypoxia response elements (HREs) and induce transcription of HRE associated genes.

Despite the biochemical similarities between the hypoxic regulation of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α , gene targeting experiments show major differences in developmental abnormalities between HIF-1 α ^{-/-} and HIF-2 α ^{-/-} mice and thus suggest distinct physiological roles and target gene specificities. A number of HIF-1 α specific target genes have been documented, but there are currently none that have been conclusively identified for HIF-2 α . The aim of this project was to determine specific gene targets and differential regulation of HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α in the rat pheochromocytoma cell line PC12.

Both HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α proteins are known to accumulate during hypoxia in PC12s. Furthermore, PC12s display a hypoxically inducible catecholamine synthesis and release pathway, a disruption of which is believed to contribute to the embryonic lethal phenotype of HIF-2 α null mice. Therefore, monoclonal lines were established in which either HIF-1 α or HIF-2 α could be selectively stabilised and activated. Northern analysis of these lines demonstrated that the mRNA levels of many known targets of HIF-1 α are induced by

HIF-1 α but not HIF-2 α . This suggests that HIF-2 α may have its own novel targets. Furthermore, DNA microarray analysis was employed using these inducible cell lines and has uncovered a number of novel putative HIF-2 α target genes which may relate to the physiological role of this transcription factor.

A surprising feature of these PC12 cell line derivatives is that the selective upregulation of HIF-1 α does not induce HIF-2 α , and *vice versa*. This suggests uncharacterised differences in their degradation mechanisms. For HIF-1 α , it was demonstrated that destruction is initiated by prolyl-4-hydroxylation, albeit without a requirement for 2-oxoglutarate, which is considered a cosubstrate of the HIF- α prolyl-4-hydroxylases. In contrast, it is shown that HIF-2 α levels are not mediated by prolyl-4-hydroxylation. Rather, preliminary data suggest that lysine acetylation is involved in regulating HIF-2 α protein stability, but by a mechanism which is independent of the function of the only known HIF- α lysyl acetylase, ARD1. This study clearly shows the differential regulation of endogenous HIF-1 α and HIF-2 α proteins in the model PC12 cell line and suggests that similar regulation *in vivo* may contribute to the distinct physiological roles of these factors.