

09PH  
W2561



# **Contracting Participation Out of Union Culture:**

## **Patterns of Modality and Interactional Moves in a Labour Contract Settlement**

Maurice Norman Ward.

A Thesis

In the Discipline of Linguistics

Submitted to the Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences  
Adelaide University

In fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

December 2004

Copyright Maurice N. Ward

# Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	2
TABLE OF TABLES.....	5
TABLE OF FIGURES.....	6
ABSTRACT .....	7
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. ....	9
DECLARATION.....	11
LIST OF PRINCIPAL ABBREVIATIONS .....	12
LIST OF PRINCIPAL TRANSCRIPTION CONVENTIONS.....	13
THESIS OVERVIEW.....	14
<b>1 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>16</b>
1.1 THE CONTEXT OF UNION REFORM.....	16
1.1.1 <i>The Employment Contract Act – driving the need for membership participation in unions.</i> .....	16
1.1.2 <i>Research on the broad political and economic context of current unionism in New Zealand and Australia.</i> .....	17
1.1.3 <i>Union Reform</i> .....	20
1.1.4 <i>Reform and Democracy</i> .....	21
1.2 THE NEED FOR RESEARCH INTO THE LANGUAGE OF POWER INSIDE UNIONS.....	22
1.3 THE NEED FOR RESEARCH IN NDU AND AT THE WORK PLACE. ....	23
1.4 SUMMARY OF CHAPTER 1 .....	24
<b>2 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1 RESEARCH ON LANGUAGE AND POWER IN THE WORK PLACE IN THE LITERATURE.....	25
2.1.1 <i>Conversation Analysis Approaches to language in the workplace</i> .....	26
2.1.2 <i>The work of Drew and Heritage</i> .....	26
2.1.3 <i>Work Place New Zealand</i> .....	27
2.1.4 <i>Systemic Functional Grammar Approaches to the language of work.</i> .....	28
2.2 RESEARCH ON UNION LANGUAGE IN THE LITERATURE .....	29
2.2.1 <i>The struggle over symbols</i> .....	29
2.2.2 <i>Hull and Paull's study in language building worker collectivism</i> .....	30
2.2.3 <i>Discourse and collective consciousness</i> .....	30
2.2.4 <i>Nominalization contributing to alienation in unions</i> .....	31
2.2.5 <i>Pronouns of Power</i> .....	32
2.2.6 <i>Marxist Approaches to Discourse Analysis and Unionism</i> .....	33
2.3 RESEARCH ON DEMOCRACY AND UNION POWER STRUCTURES IN THE LITERATURE .....	36
2.3.1 <i>Allen's work in the 1950's</i> .....	37
2.3.2 <i>Fosh's research on participation in unionism</i> .....	37
2.3.3 <i>Paradigms of union power</i> .....	38
2.3.4 <i>Peetz's continuum of union power</i> .....	39
2.4 LINGUISTIC APPROACHES IN THE LITERATURE.....	39
2.4.1 <i>Turn taking</i> .....	39
2.4.2 <i>Pragmatics</i> .....	42
2.4.3 <i>Systemic Functional Grammar</i> .....	43
2.4.4 <i>SFG Casual Conversation Analysis</i> .....	59
2.4.5 <i>Discourse Analysis</i> .....	63
2.5 SUMMARY OF THE LITERATURE REVIEW .....	72
<b>3 CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>75</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	75
3.1.1 <i>Philosophical underpinnings</i> .....	75
3.1.2 <i>Complementary methods</i> .....	76

3.1.3	<i>Overview of Methods</i> .....	77
3.2	QUALITATIVE ASPECTS OF THE METHODOLOGY.....	78
3.2.1	<i>Introduction to qualitative methods</i> .....	78
3.2.2	<i>Observer participant</i> .....	79
3.2.3	<i>An empowering approach</i> .....	80
3.2.4	<i>Action research</i> .....	81
3.2.5	<i>Ethical standards</i> .....	84
3.2.6	<i>Data collection</i> .....	85
3.2.7	<i>Collecting data from union participants</i> .....	85
3.2.8	<i>Collecting data from company participants</i> .....	86
3.2.9	<i>Data collectors</i> .....	86
3.2.10	<i>Observers' "Paradox"</i> .....	87
3.2.11	<i>Participant observers</i> .....	87
3.2.12	<i>Recording the data</i> .....	89
3.2.13	<i>Field notes</i> .....	90
3.2.14	<i>Transcription</i> .....	93
3.2.15	<i>Methodology and notation</i> .....	94
3.2.16	<i>Analysis – from theory to practice</i> .....	99
3.2.17	<i>Qualitative analysis as empowering</i> .....	99
3.3	QUANTITATIVE ASPECTS OF THE METHODOLOGY.....	100
3.3.1	<i>Introduction to the quantitative aspects</i> .....	100
3.3.2	<i>Computational corpus analysis</i> .....	100
3.3.3	<i>Corpora in research</i> .....	101
3.3.4	<i>Corpus and discourse analysis</i> .....	102
3.3.5	<i>Constructing the corpus</i> .....	103
3.3.6	<i>The corpus data</i> .....	104
3.3.7	<i>The software</i> .....	105
3.3.8	<i>The hardware</i> .....	108
3.3.9	<i>Data formatting</i> .....	109
3.3.10	<i>Quantitative analysis as enriching</i> .....	109
3.4	SUMMARY OF METHODOLOGY CHAPTER.....	110
4	CHAPTER 4: UC5: A CL-INTER INSTITUTIONAL TEXT ANALYSIS.....	111
4.1	INTRODUCTION .....	111
4.2	NEGOTIATION DISCOURSE STRUCTURES .....	112
4.2.1	<i>UC5: a CL-inter example</i> .....	114
4.2.2	<i>Phases of UC5</i> .....	115
4.3	ANALYSIS OF UC 5 MOOD .....	135
4.3.1	<i>The system network for Mood</i> .....	137
4.3.2	<i>Mood in UC5</i> .....	141
4.3.3	<i>Mood and union participants</i> .....	176
4.4	UC5 SOCIAL ANALYSIS.....	180
4.5	SUMMARY OF THE RELATIONS AMONG THE UNIONISTS IN THE MODAL AND SOCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE CL-INTER UC5.....	224
5	CHAPTER 5: THE ROLES OF THE UNION NEGOTIATORS IN THE CL-INTRA N3 .....	226
5.1	N3 DISCOURSE ROLES .....	226
5.1.1	<i>Overview of Table N3 Discourse-roles Text and Union-reps</i> .....	226
5.1.2	<i>Phil's discourse-role in N3</i> .....	229
5.1.3	<i>Billy's discourse-role in N3</i> .....	230
5.1.4	<i>Steve's discourse-role in N3</i> .....	231
5.1.5	<i>Trevor's discourse-role in N3</i> .....	231
5.2	N3 MOOD .....	233
5.2.1	<i>Overview of Table N3 Mood and Union-reps</i> .....	233
5.2.2	<i>Mood in Phil's clauses in N3</i> .....	235
5.2.3	<i>Mood in Billy's clauses in N3</i> .....	236
5.2.4	<i>Mood in Steve's clauses in N3</i> .....	237
5.2.5	<i>Mood in Trevor's clauses in N3</i> .....	238
5.3	SUMMARY OF THE DISCOURSE AND MOOD ANALYSIS OF N3 .....	238
5.4	COMPARING UC5 AND N3 DISCOURSE.....	240

5.4.1	<i>Discussion of Table 5-5 UC5 N3 Social Comparison Union &amp; Text</i> .....	240
5.4.2	<i>Comparing Phil's discourse roles in UC5 and N3</i> .....	244
5.4.3	<i>Comparing Billy's discourse roles in UC5 and N3</i> .....	247
5.4.4	<i>Comparing Steve's discourse roles in UC5 and N3</i> .....	250
5.4.5	<i>Comparing Trevor's discourse roles in UC5 and N3</i> .....	253
5.5	COMPARING UC5 AND N3 MOOD.....	256
5.5.1	<i>Discussion of Table 5-10 UC5 N3 Mood Comparison Union</i> .....	256
5.5.2	<i>Comparing Mood in Phil's discourse in UC5 and N3</i> .....	260
5.5.3	<i>Comparing Mood in Billy's discourse in UC5 and N3</i> .....	262
5.5.4	<i>Comparing Mood in Steve's discourse in UC5 and N3</i> .....	266
5.5.5	<i>Comparing Mood in Trevor's discourse in UC5 and N3</i> .....	268
5.6	CONCLUSIONS FROM COMPARISON OF DISCOURSE ROLES AND MOOD IN UC5 AND UC3 .....	271
<b>6</b>	<b>CHAPTER 6: THE ROLE OF THE UNION NEGOTIATOR IN D5.....</b>	<b>273</b>
6.1	D5 DISCOURSE ROLES .....	274
6.1.1	<i>Overview of Table 6-1 D5 Social Comparative Union-rep and Text</i> .....	274
6.1.2	<i>Discussion of Table 6-1 D5 Discourse-roles Comparative Union-reps and Text</i> .....	278
6.2	D5 MOOD.....	281
6.2.1	<i>Overview</i> .....	281
6.2.2	<i>Discussion of Table 6-2 D5 Mood and Union-reps Comparative</i> .....	281
6.3	SUMMARY OF THE DISCOURSE AND MOOD ANALYSIS OF D5 .....	285
6.4	COMPARISON BETWEEN ROLES IN UC5 AND D5 .....	285
6.4.1	<i>Comparing UC5 and D5 Discourse</i> .....	285
6.4.2	<i>Comparing UC5 and D5 Mood</i> .....	293
6.5	CONCLUSIONS FROM COMPARISON OF DISCOURSE ROLES AND MOOD IN UC5 AND D5 .....	300
<b>7</b>	<b>CHAPTER 7: THE ROLES OF THE UNION NEGOTIATORS IN M1 .....</b>	<b>302</b>
7.1	M1 DISCOURSE ROLES .....	303
7.1.1	<i>Overview of Table M1 Discourse-roles Text and Union-reps</i> .....	303
7.2	M1 MOOD .....	311
7.2.1	<i>Overview of Table M1 Mood and Union-reps</i> .....	311
7.3	SUMMARY OF THE DISCOURSE AND MOOD ANALYSIS OF M1 .....	318
7.4	COMPARISON BETWEEN ROLES IN UC5 AND M1 .....	321
7.4.1	<i>Comparing UC5 and M1 Discourse</i> .....	321
7.4.2	<i>Comparing UC5 and N3 Mood</i> .....	337
7.5	CONCLUSIONS FROM COMPARISON OF DISCOURSE ROLES AND MOOD IN UC5 AND M1 .....	348
<b>8</b>	<b>CHAPTER 8: DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS .....</b>	<b>351</b>
8.1	SUMMARY OF THE RELATIONS AMONG THE UNIONISTS ACROSS THE FOUR DISCOURSES .....	351
8.2	AN INITIAL OVERVIEW OF THE DATA: TURN TAKING IN THE TEXTS UC5, N3, M1 AND D5 .....	356
8.3	A HIERARCHY OF DISCOURSES: .....	358
8.4	WEAK CLASSIFICATION BETWEEN THE CONTRACT DISCOURSES .....	361
8.5	ELABORATED AND RESTRICTED ORIENTATIONS .....	365
8.6	INTERACTIONAL AND LOCATIONAL FEATURES OF THE CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS DISCOURSES .....	370
8.6.2	<i>Missing elements?</i> .....	372
8.6.3	<i>Summary of Discussion</i> .....	372
8.6.4	<i>Where to from here?</i> .....	374
8.6.5	<i>Review of the Chapters</i> .....	378
8.6.6	<i>Thesis Conclusion</i> .....	380
8.7	AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH .....	381
<b>APPENDIX 1:</b>	<b>TEXT UC5 .....</b>	<b>383</b>
<b>APPENDIX 2:</b>	<b>TEXT N3 .....</b>	<b>415</b>
<b>APPENDIX 3:</b>	<b>TEXT D5 .....</b>	<b>459</b>
<b>APPENDIX 4:</b>	<b>TEXT M1.....</b>	<b>470</b>
<b>REFERENCES:</b>		<b>503</b>

## **Abstract**

This thesis investigates how language and power interact to construct relationships within a trade union setting and whether or not the union discourse structures promote the participation of members in the organisation. Power is reproduced instantially in systemic ways across social interactions so investigating discourse foregrounds power relationships and the points at which changes in them might be realised should that be desirable.

Four texts from a labour contract settlement within a bread factory make up the research data. One records a meeting between the union negotiators and their company counterparts, a second records a meeting of the union negotiators only to appraise a previous meeting with the company and plan their response. The third records a union delegate reporting back to a member of the union and preparing her for a meeting of the whole branch on the contract negotiations. The last records this meeting of the union negotiators and 43 members from the factory.

Systemic Functional Linguistics (Halliday 1994) is used to analyse the Modality and the social moves of the four texts. Critical Discourse Analysis (Fairclough 1989) and Code (Bernstein 1990a) paradigms provide complementary understandings of the data. Both qualitative and quantitative computational analysis of the four texts is undertaken. How lexical and grammatical resources are used to position other participants, how interactants initiate topics of conversation and respond to the initiatives of others, how offers and demands realises institutional relations are investigated.

The analysis suggests the union prioritises a discourse that is successful in its interaction with the company but because of weak coding boundaries this style of interaction flows into other union interactions, and constrains members' ability to contribute. Consequently settling the labour contract seems to conflict with the union goals of increasing membership participation in branch affairs. Some alternative interaction frames are offered here but remain to be tested by the union.

The results of this thesis have immediate implications for unions and community based groups that wish to improve membership participation as well as wider implications for linguists, educators, social activists and those interested in democratic processes.