

091A . HV
K71



**Investigation of the physiological basis of the
rind disorder oleocellosis in Washington navel
orange (*Citrus sinensis* [L.] Osbeck)**

Toby George Knight

B.Ag.Sc. (Hort.Sc.)

Submitted in fulfilment of requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Horticulture, Viticulture and Oenology
The University of Adelaide

June, 2002

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Declaration.....	iii
Acknowledgments.....	iv
List of Abbreviations.....	vi
List of Figures.....	viii
List of Plates.....	ix
List of Tables.....	x

Chapter 1

General Introduction.....	1
---------------------------	---

Chapter 2

Literature Review.....	5
2.1. The fruit.....	5
2.1.1. Botanical classification.....	5
2.1.2. Rind anatomy.....	5
2.2. The oil gland.....	7
2.2.1. Gland development.....	7
2.2.2. Gland anatomy.....	8
2.3. The rind oils.....	9
2.3.1. Oil synthesis and accumulation.....	9
2.3.2. Oil composition.....	10
2.3.3. Oil properties.....	10
2.4. Oleocellosis.....	11
2.4.1. Terminology.....	11
2.4.2. Cause.....	11
2.4.3. Symptoms.....	11
2.4.4. Artificial induction.....	13
2.4.5. Oleocellosis physiology.....	14
2.4.6. Fruit susceptibility to oleocellosis.....	15
2.4.7. Oleocellosis control.....	16
2.4.8. Fruit treatments affecting oleocellosis.....	18
2.5. Summary.....	20
2.6. Aims.....	21

Chapter 3

Oil gland development: structure and quantification.....	22
3.1. Introduction.....	22
3.1.1. Background.....	22
3.1.2. Aims.....	23

3.2. Materials and Methods.....	23
3.2.1. Plant material	23
3.2.2. Gland counts	23
3.2.3. Microscopy	24
3.2.4. Gland age survey.....	26
3.2.5. Image analysis.....	26
3.2.6. Statistical analysis.....	26
3.3. Results.....	27
3.3.1. Anatomical gland development	27
3.3.2. Timing of gland development.....	30
3.3.3. The mature gland	33
3.4. Discussion	36
3.5. Conclusion	40

Chapter 4

Rind oil visualisation: method development	41
4.1. Introduction.....	41
4.1.1. Background.....	41
4.1.2. Aims	42
4.2. Materials and Methods.....	43
4.2.1. Light microscopy (LM).....	43
4.2.2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	46
4.2.3. Fluorescence microscopy.....	47
4.3. Results.....	49
4.3.1. Light microscopy (LM).....	49
4.3.2. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)	51
4.3.3. Fluorescence microscopy	53
4.4. Discussion.....	58
4.4.1. Method development	58
4.4.2. Rind oil observations	63
4.5. Conclusion	64

Chapter 5

Inducing oleocellosis in the laboratory	65
5.1. Introduction.....	65
5.1.1. Background.....	65
5.1.2. Aims.....	66
5.2. Materials and Methods.....	67
5.2.1. Preliminary induction methods test	67
5.2.2. Oil method refinement	71
5.2.3. Penetrometer method refinement.....	72
5.3. Results.....	73
5.3.1. Preliminary induction methods test	73
5.3.2. Oil method refinement	78
5.3.3. Penetrometer method refinement.....	83

5.4. Discussion.....	86
5.4.1. Mechanical induction.....	86
5.4.2. Oil induction.....	89
5.5. Conclusion.....	91
Chapter 6	
Oleocellosis: macroscopic and microscopic development.....	92
6.1. Introduction.....	92
6.1.1. Background.....	92
6.1.2. Aims	93
6.2. Materials and Methods.....	94
6.2.1. Symptom time course.....	94
6.2.2. Microscopy	97
6.3. Results	101
6.3.1. Symptoms	101
6.3.2. Rind surface damage	105
6.3.3. Rind sub-surface damage.....	108
6.4. Discussion.....	124
6.4.1. Symptoms	124
6.4.2. Oleocellosis mechanism.....	125
6.4.3. Oil effects.....	128
6.4.4. The link between microscopic damage and symptoms.....	130
6.5. Conclusion.....	133
Chapter 7	
General Discussion.....	134
7.1. Addressing limitations of current control practices.....	134
7.2. More factors influencing fruit susceptibility to oleocellosis.....	136
7.3. Developing new strategies for oleocellosis control.....	139
7.4. Oleocellosis diagnosis.....	141
7.5. Summary.....	142
Appendix 1: Video Pro programs	143
Appendix 2: Publications	145
Appendix 3: Conference presentations	146
Appendix 4: Industry communication	151
Bibliography	152
Personal Communications.....	165

Abstract

Oleocellosis is a rind disorder of citrus fruit, which produces an unattractive surface blemish, and causes significant economic losses to the Australian citrus industry. It is caused by phytotoxic oils released from oil glands located in the rind, as a result of mechanical damage. In this study, microscopy investigations into the oil glands, localisation of the rind oils and the development of oleocellosis have been carried out in Washington navel orange fruit (*Citrus sinensis* [L.] Osbeck).

Changes in the structure, size and the number of oil glands located in the rind were assessed in the developing fruit from pre-anthesis to fruit maturity. Gland initiation was restricted to early fruit development, but glands continued to develop and reached maturity by fruit size 30 to 50 mm diameter. Mature glands continued to enlarge with fruit growth, but their final size and form varied within each fruit. Mature fruit had between 8,000 and 12,000 oil glands. Glands were found to develop from a cluster of cells adjacent to the fruit epidermis, into a structure consisting of a central cavity surrounded by several layers of epithelial cells. All glands were joined to the fruit epidermis by a 'stalk'. Gland cavity formation appeared to involve schizogeny.

Methods of tissue preparation were investigated in an effort to retain the essential oil contained within the glands. The modification of chemical fixation methods for improved rind oil retention gave limited success based on light microscopy observations. However, the examination of cryofixed material with scanning electron microscopy and fresh tissue with multi-photon microscopy were promising techniques for oil visualisation in orange rind tissue.

Oleocellosis was artificially induced in mature fruit under controlled conditions. This was achieved by mechanically damaging the fruit or applying rind oils to the fruit surface. Based on a range of criteria, penetrometer damage and d-limonene treatment were chosen as the optimal methods for inducing oleocellosis. These

methods were considered to simulate the two forms of naturally occurring oleocellosis; namely gland rupture and oil transfer between fruit.

Following induction, oleocellosis development was examined using a detailed time course assessment of surface symptoms and microscopic rind damage. Based on these observations, the events leading to oleocellosis blemish formation were proposed. Mechanical damage resulted in rupture of the epidermis above the glands and release of oil to the fruit surface. Surface oil appeared to infiltrate the rind via the cuticle, and via the ruptured epidermis in injured fruit. Once in the rind, the phytotoxic oils caused rapid cell content degeneration and cell collapse, with early stages of ultrastructural damage detected within six hours of induction. The resulting blemish, which was characterised by rind collapse and darkening, developed substantially within three days and was attributed to the sub-surface rind damage.