

LEAF SCALD OF BARLEY

by

EMMANUEL NATHAN AYESU-OFFEI

B.Sc. (Lond.), M.Sc. (Ghana)

Department of Plant Pathology,
Waite Agricultural Research Institute,
University of Adelaide,
South Australia.

A dissertation submitted to the University of Adelaide in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 1971.

CONTENTS

	rake			
SUMMARY				
STATEMENT	viii			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix			
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1			
LITERATURE REVIEW				
1. Economic importance	4			
2. Distribution and host range	6			
3. Epidemiology				
4. Physiological specialization	9			
5. Infection processes				
6. Symptoms	15			
MATERIALS AND GENERAL METHODS				
1. Materials				
2. General methods				
a. Isolation of the fungus	16			
b. Media	18			
c. Storage of cultures	19			
d. Sterilization of media and culture filtrates	19			
e. Preparation of inoculum	20			
f. Inoculation methods	20			
g. Preparation of seedlings	21			

	h.	Incul	pation of inoculated plants	22	
	i.	Hist	ological methods	22	
	j.	Stat	istical analyses	23	
ΞX	PER:	LMENT:	S AND RESULTS		
1.	Ph	ysiol	ogical races of R. secalis		
	a.	Path	ogenicity and virulence	24	
	b.	Cult	ural characteristics of selected isolates	43	
	c.	Morpl	nology of leaf-borne conidia	47	
	d.	Compa	arative studies of growth rate of germ tubes	5 ¹	
2.	In:	fecti	on of barley leaves by R. secalis		
	a.	Mate	rials and methods	60	
	b. Results				
		i.	Conidial germination patterns	61	
		ii.	Modification of the cuticle	63	
		iii.	Penetration by hyphae		
			A. Penetration of the cuticle	63	
			B. Penetration of the epidermis	66	
		iv.	Formation of stromata	72	
		v.	Sporulation	72	
3.		seca press	lis toxin(s) and their role in symptom		
	a. Introduction				
	b. Materials and methods				
		i.	Preparation of culture filtrates	80	

		ii.	Treatment of seedlings with culture filtrates	80	
		iii.	Sectioning of leaves	81	
		iv.	Measurement of respiration	82	
	c.	Resul	lts	83	
4.	Ep	idemi	ology of leaf scald of barley		
	a.	Intro	oduction	90	
	b.	. Materials and methods			
		i.	Pattern of scald development	90	
		ii.	Air-borne conidia	93	
		iii.	Estimation of number of conidia produced in lesions	94	
		iv.	Wind tunnel studies	95	
	c,	Resu	lts	96	
5•			tive studies of processes in the infection of ultivars of barley by R_{\bullet} secalis		
	a.	Intro	oduction	105	
	b .	b. Methods			
		i.	Using the smear method to inoculate Clipper, Atlas 46 and Osiris	105	
		ii.	Using leaf-borne conidia to inoculate Clipper, Atlas 46 and Osiris	106	
		iii.	Using the spray method to inoculate Osiris	107	
	c.	Resu	lts	108	

6. Rhynchosporium orthosporum causing leaf scald of Dactylis glomerata	
a. Introduction	120
b. Description	120
c. Pathogenicity	123
GENERAL DISCUSSION	124
APPENDIX	
Tables 21 - 28	142
Publication	150
BIBLIOGRAPHY	151

SUMMARY

Barley (Hordeum vulgare) and barley grass (Hordeum leporinum) are the only known hosts of Rhynchosporium secalis in South

Australia. Thirty-five isolates from the two hosts were separated into 20 races on the basis of pathogenicity to 12 barley cultivars.

Each of 8 isolates from barley grass infected most barley cultivars but only 2 of 5 barley isolates attacked barley grass. An isolate of Rhynchosporium orthosporum from Dactylis glomerata did not infect barley or barley grass.

Cultural characteristics, morphology of leaf-borne conidia and growth rate of germ tubes were not related to pathogenicity but there were some similarities in pathogenicity between isolates from the same geographic area.

In some cases conidia germinated on barley leaves to produce short germ tubes and appressoria, and penetration of the cuticle was initiated from a proportion of these appressoria. However, more than 50% of conidia that effected penetration had not formed superficial germ tubes or appressoria. Penetration was aided by chemical modification of the cuticle.

Hyphae in the subcuticular position penetrated between collapsed epidermal cells to establish both intercellular and intracellular mycelia in the mesophyll which collapsed before being

reached by the hyphae.

Subcuticular stromata formed on inoculated sides of leaves and substomatal stromata formed on the opposite sides in substomatal cavities. Conidia, which were formed on both types of stromata, protruded through the cuticle above subcuticular stromata and were extruded through stomatal pores from substomatal stromata.

Symptoms similar to those shown by infected leaves occurred in leaves of barley seedlings whose cut stems had been immersed in cell-free culture filtrates of R. secalis. Barley leaves sprayed with culture filtrates developed dark brown spots similar to the dark brown margins of R. secalis lesions and the dark brown hypersensitive spots on barley leaves. Culture filtrates also induced some scald disease symptoms in wheat, cats and Dactylis glomerata which are not hosts to the pathogen. The toxicity of culture filtrates was not affected by dialysis or autoclaving.

Leaf-borne conidia smeared on leaves of susceptible Clipper barley adhered to the leaves for longer periods than those smeared on leaves of the more resistant barley cultivars, Atlas 46 and Osiris. Inoculum derived from potato-sucrose-peptone adhered to the leaves of the three cultivars longer than did leaf-borne inoculum. Germ tubes were produced at the same rate on leaves of the three cultivars, but frequency of penetration of the cuticle was

significantly higher on Clipper. Growth of subcuticular hyphae which formed in Atlas 46 and Osiris appeared to be inhibited by some fungitoxic material(s).

In the field, the disease progressed mainly between adjacent plants, but pockets of infection sometimes appeared at isolated positions several metres away from the nearest source of inoculum. Sporulation occurred when free water was available and conidia were caught in a spore trap during rainfall or irrigation. Some conidia were trapped under windy but rainless conditions. Conidia were trapped at any time of the day or night but few were obtained at any one time.