EFFECTS OF ARBUSCULAR-MYCORRHIZAL FUNGAL COLONIZATION ON MANAGEMENT OF SALINE LANDS

Hamid Reza Asghari

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

The University of Adelaide

Faculty of Sciences

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

The University of Adelaide

South Australia

August, 2004

-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
SUMMARY	XV
PUBLICATION FROM THE THESIS	xvii
DECLARATION	xviii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xix
CHAPER 1 - REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Salinization	
1.2.1 Definition of soil salinization	
1.2.2 Importance of secondary salinization	
1.2.3 Classification and significance of salt-affected soils	
1.2.4 Effects of salinity on soil structure	7
1.2.5 Effects of salinity on plant growth	
1.2.6 Revegetation of salt-affected lands	
1.2.7 Potential for use of mycorrhizas	
1.3 Mycorrhizal fungi	
1.3.1 Mycorrhizal symbiosis	
1.3.2 Arbuscular mycorrhizas	
1.4 Summary	
1.5 Aims of study	
CHAPTER 2 - GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	
2.1 Soils	
2.2 AM inoculum sources	
2.3 Seed sources	
2.4 Surface sterilization of seeds	
2.5 Seed germination	

2.6 Seedling production and transplantation	
2.7 Growth conditions	
2.8 Harvesting	
2.9 Root clearing and staining	
2.10 Assessment of colonization	
2.11 Measurement of external hyphae	
2.12 Plant tissue phosphorus (P) determination	
2.13 Assessment of soil available phosphorus	
2.14 Assessment of total phosphorus in soils	
2.15 Statistical analysis	

CHAPTER 3 - MYCORRHIZAL POTENTIAL IN SEEDLING

ESTABLISHMENT OF TRIFOLIUM SUBTERRANEUM UNDER SALINE
CONDITIONS
3.1 Introduction
3.2 Materials and Methods
3.2.1 Experiment 1. Soil selection
3.2.2 Experiment 2. Production of matched seedlings for transplantation
3.2.3 Experiment 3. Effects of Glomus intraradices on Trifolium
subterraneum seedling growth after transplanting at different salinity levels 46
3.2.4 Experiment 4. Effects of Glomus intraradices and P application on
Trifolium subterraneum seedling growth after transplanting to different
salinity levels
3.3 Results
3.3.1 Results of Experiment 1. Soil selection
3.3.2 Results of Experiment 2. Production of matched seedlings for
transplantation
3.3.3 Results of Experiment 3. Effects of Glomus intraradices on Trifolium
subterraneum seedling growth after transplanting at different salinity levels 56
3.3.4 Results of Experiment 4. Effects of Glomus intraradices and P
application on Trifolium subterraneum seedling growth after transplanting to
different salinity levels
3.4 Discussion

CHAPTER 4 - EFFECTS OF MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI ON NUTRIEN	T
UPTAKE AND ESTABLISHMENT OF A NON-RESPONSIVE	
MYCORRHIZAL PLANT IN SALINE CONDITIONS	86
4.1 Introduction	86
4.2 Materials and Methods	87
4.2.1 Experiment 1. AM responsiveness of Festuca arundinacea and Lolium	
multiflorum	87
4.2.2 Experiment 2. Effects of Glomus intraradices on Festuca arundinacea	
nutrient uptake and seedling establishment at different salinity levels	88
4.3 Results	90
4.3.1 Experiment 1. AM responsiveness of Festuca arundinacea and Lolium	
multiflorum	90
4.3.2 Experiment 2. Effects of Glomus intraradices on Festuca arundinacea	
nutrient uptake and seedling establishment at different salinity levels	94
4.4 Discussion	104
CHAPTER 5 - EFFECTS OF MYCORRHIZAL INOCULATION ON	
COLONIZATION AND GROWTH RESPONSES OF ATRIPLEX	
NUMMULARIA IN SALINE CONDITIONS	108
5.1 Introduction	108
5.2 Materials and Methods	110
5.2.1 Field survey. The occurrence of mycorrhizal colonization in Atriplex	
nummularia grown in Kalibar soil (Monarto)	110

nummularia grown in Kalibar soil (Monarto) 110
5.2.2 Experiment 1. Effects of salinity stress on mycorrhizal colonization
(one fungus) in Atriplex nummularia in autoclaved Ferries McDonald soil 110
5.2.3 Experiment 2. Effects of salinity stress on mycorrhizal colonization
(mixture of six fungi) in Atriplex nummularia in autoclaved Ferries
McDonald soil
5.2.4 Experiment 3. Mycorrhizal inoculum potential in Kalibar soil 115
5.2.5 Experiment 4. Effects of salt stress on mycorrhizal colonization in
Atriplex nummularia and Trifolium subterraneum in Kalibar soil 116

5.3	Results	11	16	5
-----	---------	----	----	---

5.4 Discussion
Atriplex nummularia and Trifolium subterraneum in Kalibar soil
5.3.4 Experiment 4. Effects of salt stress on mycorrhizal colonization in
5.3.4 Experiment 3. Mycorrhizal inoculum potential in Kalibar soil 130
McDonald soil
(mixture of six fungi) in Atriplex nummularia in autoclaved Ferries
5.3.3 Experiment 2. Effects of salinity stress on mycorrhizal colonization
(one fungus) in Atriplex nummularia in autoclaved Ferries McDonald soil 118
5.3.2 Experiment 1. Effects of salinity stress on mycorrhizal colonization
nummularia grown in Kalibar soil (Monarto)116
5.3.1 Field survey. The occurrence of mycorrhizal colonization in Atriplex

CHAPTER 6 - EFFECTS OF MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI ON MOBILITY OF PHOSPHORUS DURING LEACHING OF REPACKED COLUMNS OF A SOIL WITH LOAMY SAND TEXTURE IN SALINE CONDITIONS

6.1 Introduction
6.2 Materials and methods 142
6.2.1 Soil properties
6.2.2 Experiment 1. Effects of mycorrhizal fungi on mobility of P under
leaching of repacked columns of a loamy sand soil in non-saline conditions 142
6.2.3 Experiment 2. Effects of mycorrhizal fungi on mobility of P under
leaching of repacked columns of a loamy sand soil in saline conditions
6.3 Results
6.3.1 Results of Experiment 1145
6.3.2 Results of Experiment 2154
6.4 Discussion

CHAPTER 7 - GENERAL DISCUSSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH168

7.1 Introduction	168
7.2 Discussion	168

7.2.1 Potential of inoculation with AM fungi to improve establishment of
non-halophytic plants in saline soils and mechanisms underlying any
improvement
7.2.2 Investigation of reports that increased salinity resulted in relatively high
AM colonization of the halophytic chenopod Atriplex nummularia and
potential consequences of this for plant establishment
7.2.3 Roles of plants and AM fungi in influencing P leaching in soil and
potential losses to ground water, under both non-saline and saline conditions 172
7.3 Potential advantages and constraints for application of AM fungi to
revegetation of saline environments174
APPENDICES 176

5
5

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig 1.1 Two different morphological types of AM fungi, a) <i>Arum</i> -type arbuscular mycorrhizal structures, b) <i>Paris</i> -type arbuscular mycorrhizal structures. Diagram by Dickson (1999).	17
Fig 3.1 Experiment 1. AM colonization of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> after 2, 4 and 6 weeks planted in six soils collected from the Monarto area. CH= Camel Hill soil, K= Kalibar soil, F1= Non-calcareous Ferries McDonald soil, P1= Premimma BK horizon soil, P2= Premimma C horizon soil, F2= Calcareous Ferries McDonald soil. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4.	53
Fig 3.2 Experiment 1. Shoot dry weight (SDW) of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> after 2, 4 and 6 weeks planted in six soils collected from the Monarto area. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4.	53
Fig 3.3 Experiment 2. AM colonization of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> seedlings at 6-24 days after planting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=8.	54
Fig 3.4 Experiment 2. Shoot dry weights of mycorrhizal (M) and non- mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> seedlings at 6-24 days after planting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=8	55
Fig 3.5 Experiment 2. Shoot P concentrations of mycorrhizal (M) and non- mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> seedlings at 6-24 days after planting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=8. Replicate plants harvested at times from 6 to 12 days were pooled for analyses.	55
Fig 3.6 Experiment 3. Colonization of roots of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> grown in different levels of salinity at 10 (A), 20 (B) and 30 (C) days after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3	57
Fig 3.7 Experiment 3. Total dry weights (TDW) of mycorrhizal and non- mycorrhizal <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> , at 10, 20 and 30 days (A, B and C respectively) after transplanting to different salinity levels. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=3.	58
Fig 3.8 Experiment 3. Percentage salinity responses (SR) in terms of total dry weight in mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at the third harvest (30 days). Calculations as in Equation 1.	59
Fig. 3.9 Experiment 3. Mycorrhizal growth response (MGR) of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum in terms of total dry weight at different salinity levels and different harvests. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=3. Calculations as in Equation 2.	60

Fig. 3.10 Experiment 3. Mycorrhizal P response (MPR) of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum shoots (A) and roots (B) at different salinity levels and different harvests. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=3. Calculations as in Equation 3
Fig. 3.11 Mycorrhizal K response (MKR) of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> shoots (A) and roots (B) at different salinity in three harvests. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=3
Fig 3.12 Experiment 4. Survival of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), grown 40 days after transplanting in soil with 2.2 (S1), 12 (S2) and 15 (S3) dS/m salinity in 3 replicates (dead plants are highlighted by circles)71
Fig 3.13 Experiment 4. Colonization of roots of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P) and mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), grown at low and high salinity levels (2.2 and 12 dS/m, respectively) at 20 (H1) and 40 (H2) days after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3
Fig 3.14 Experiment 4. Total dry weights (TDW) of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P) and non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P), at 20 (A) and 40 (B) days after transplanting in low (S1) and high (S2) salinity levels. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3
Fig 4.1 Experiment 1. Total dry weights in AM inoculated and non- inoculated <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> (A) and <i>Lolium multiflorum</i> (B) after 40 and 60 days. Numbers at top of the M bars show percentages AM colonization. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4
Fig 4.2 Experiment 1. Shoot P concentrations in AM inoculated and non- inoculated <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> (A) and <i>Lolium multiflorum</i> (B) after 40 and 60 days. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=493
Fig 4.3 Experiment 2. Colonization in roots of <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> grown in different levels of salinity at 20 (A) and 40 (B) days after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4
Fig 4.4 Experiment 2. Total dry weights of mycorrhizal and non- mycorrhizal <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> at 20 (A) and 40 (B) after transplanting in different salinity levels. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=4
Fig. 4.5 Experiment 2. Mycorrhizal P response (MPR) of <i>Festuca</i> <i>arundinacea</i> shoots (A) and roots (B) at different salinity levels and different harvests. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=4101

Fig. 4.6 Experiment 2. Mycorrhizal K response (MKR) of <i>Festuca</i> <i>arundinacea</i> shoots (A) and roots (B) at different salinity levels and different harvests. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=4102
Fig 5.1. Field survey. Roots of <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> showing AM colonization in August (A), November (B) and February (C) collected from the field at Kalibar, in the Monarto area
Fig 5.2 Experiment 1. Shoot dry weight of AM inoculated (M) and non- inoculated (NM) <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> at high and low levels of salinity at 3, 6 and 9 weeks (A, B and C respectively). Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3
Fig 5.3 Experiment 1. Ribosomal intergenic spacer amplification (RISA) agarose gel of rhizosphere communities of AM inoculated and non- inoculated in <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> grown at low (S1) and high (S2) salinity levels. $S = Bacterial$ standard mix (Pure cultures of <i>Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens</i> and <i>B. subtilis</i>)
Fig 5.4 Experiment 2. Root of inoculated <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> showing internal hyphae at 6 weeks and arbuscules 10 weeks after planting122
Fig 5.5 Experiment 2. Shoot dry weight of AM inoculated (M) and mock- inoculated (NM) <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> at low or high salinity at 6 (A) and 10 (B) weeks after planting. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=4
Fig 5.6. Experiment 2. Ordination plot of bacterial rhizosphere communities of inoculated and mock-inoculated <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> at low or high salinity generated by principal component analysis of 16S rDNA RISA banding patterns at 6 weeks (A) and 10 weeks (B) weeks after planting129
Fig 5.7 Experiment 3. Roots of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> colonized by AM fungi grown in non-autoclaved Kalibar soil pots at 8 weeks after planting131
Fig 5.8 Experiment 3. A single spore of AM fungi (<i>Glomus sp.</i>), trapped in a pot culture of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> in Kalibar soil at 8 weeks
Fig 5.9 Experiment 4. Vesicles and hyphae in roots of <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> planted in non-autoclaved Kalibar soil at high salinity level
Fig 5.10 Experiment 4. Shoot dry weight of <i>Atriplex nummularia</i> and <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at low and high levels of salinity at 10 weeks. Numbers at top of the bars shows percentages of AM colonization. Vertical bars represent standard errors of the means, n=5
Fig 6.1 Diagram of core set-up (see text for extended description of layers and method of construction)143

Fig 6.2 Experiment 1. Colonization of roots of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at different depths grown in cores with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P) and mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), 10 weeks after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3.	146
Fig 6.3 Experiment 1. Shoot (A) and root (B) dry weights of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum grown in cores with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), 10 weeks after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3	147
Fig. 6.4 Experiment 1. Root distribution of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at different soil depths with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P). Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3.	148
Fig 6.5 Experiment 1. Shoot (A) and root (B) P content of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum grown in cores with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM+P), 10 weeks after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3	149
Fig 6.6 Experiment 1. Volume of leachate collected from cores after 10 weeks growth of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), after irrigation with 2500 ml R.O. water in three steps (850, 1500 and 2500 ml) during 12 hours. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3	150
Fig 6.7 Experiment 1. Total dissolved P in leachate from cores after 10 weeks growth of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), after irrigation with 2500 ml R.O. water during 12 hours. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3.	151
Fig 6.8 Experiment 1. Soil available (A) and total (B) P in different soil layers from cores after 10 weeks growth of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), after irrigation with 2500 ml R.O. water. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=3. In P added treatments, P was added in the 10-13 cm layer.	153
Fig 6.9 Experiment 2. Percentage of root length colonized of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum at different depths grown in cores with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M) and mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), 8 weeks after transplanting at low (A) and high (B) salinity levels. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4.	156

Fig 6.10 Experiment 2. Length density of external hyphae associated with <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at different depth grown in cores with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M) and mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), 8 weeks after transplanting at low (A) and high (B) salinity levels. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4. In P added treatments, P was added in the 10-13 cm layer.	157
Fig 6.11 Experiment 2. Shoot (A) and root (B) dry weights of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> grown in cores with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), at low and high salinity levels, 10 weeks after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4.	158
Fig 6.12 Experiment 2. Shoot P content of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> grown in cores with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), at low and high salinity levels, 10 weeks after transplanting. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4.	159
Fig 6.13 Experiment 2. Total volume of leachate collected from cores after 8 weeks growth of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), in low and high salinity, irrigated with 2500 ml R.O. water during 12 hours. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4.	160
Fig 6.14 Experiment 2. Total dissolved P in leachate collected from cores after 8 weeks growth of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P) at low and high salinity, irrigated with 2500 ml R.O. water during 12 hours. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4.	161
Fig 6.15 Experiment 2. Available P in soil at different depths in cores after 8 weeks growth of <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P added (NM+P), in low (A) and high (B) salinity, after irrigation with 2500 ml R.O. water. Vertical bars represent standard error of the means, n=4. In P added treatments, P was added in the 10-13 cm layer.	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Estimate of global secondary salinization in the world's irrigated lands (Ghassemi et al. (1995) compiled from FAO data for 1987)	4
Table 1.2 Regional distribution of salt-affected soils, in million hectares (http://www.fao.org/ag/agl/agll/spush/topic2.htm)	6
Table 1.3 Previous reports of different effects of AM fungi on plant salinity tolerance	19
Table 1.4 Observation of mycorrhizal associations in different species of the Chenopodiaceae sampled in the field	27
Table 2.1 Digestion steps in programmed Tractor digestion block	
Table 3.1 Experiment 1. Some physical characteristics of six soils collected from the Monarto area (Chittleborough <i>et al.</i> , 1976)	51
Table 3.2 Experiment 1. Some chemical characteristics of six soils collected from the Monarto area (Chittleborough <i>et al.</i> , 1976)	52
Table 3.3 Experiment 3. Shoot and root nutrient concentrations of mycorrhizal (M) and non-mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at 10 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 3 replicates \pm standard error	62
Table 3.4 Experiment 3. Shoot and root nutrient concentrations of mycorrhizal (M) and non-mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at 20 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 3 replicates \pm standard error	63
Table 3.5 Experiment 3. Shoot and root nutrient concentrations of mycorrhizal (M) and non-mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at 30 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 3 replicates \pm standard error	64
Table 3.6 K/Na ratio in shoots and roots of mycorrhizal (M) and non- mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Trifolium subterraneum</i> at 10, 20 and 30 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 3 replicates ± standard error	68
Table 3.7 Experiment 4. Shoot and root nutrient concentrations of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P (NM+P), at 20 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 3 replicates \pm standard error	77

Table 3.8 Experiment 4. Shoot and root nutrient concentrations of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P (NM+P), at 40 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 3 replicates \pm standard error	78
Table 3.9 Experiment 4. K/Na ratio in shoots and roots of <i>Trifolium</i> subterraneum with different treatments; mycorrhizal (M-P), mycorrhizal with P added (M+P), non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P) and non-mycorrhizal with P (NM+P), at 40 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 3 replicates \pm standard error	79
Table 4.1 Experiment 1. Shoot/root ratio in mycorrhizal (M) and non- mycorrhizal (NM) Festuca arundinacea and Lolium multiflorum at 40 and 60 days after planting. Means of 4 replicates ± standard error	92
Table 4.2 Experiment 2. Shoot/root ratio in mycorrhizal (M) and non- mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> at 20 and 40 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 4 replicates ± standard error	97
Table 4.3 Experiment 2. Shoot and root nutrient concentrations of mycorrhizal (M) and non-mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> at 20 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 4 replicates \pm standard error	99
Table 4.4 Experiment 2. Shoot and root nutrient concentrations of mycorrhizal (M) and non-mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> at 40 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 4 replicates \pm standard error	100
Table 4.5 Experiment 2. K/Na ratios in shoots and roots of mycorrhizal (M) and non-mycorrhizal (NM) <i>Festuca arundinacea</i> at 20 and 40 days after transplanting to different salinity levels. Means of 4 replicates ± standard error	103
Table 5.1 Experiment 1. Shoot P concentration and content of AM inoculated and non-inoculated Atriplex nummularia at low or high salinity levels at 3, 6 and 9 weeks after planting. Means of 3 replicates ± standard error	120
Table 5.2 Experiment 2. Shoot nutrient concentration of AM inoculated andmock-inoculated Atriplex nummularia at low or high salinity levels at 6 and10 weeks after planting. Means of 4 replicates ± standard error	125
Table 5.3 Experiment 2. Shoot nutrient content of AM inoculated and mock-inoculated Atriplex nummularia at low or high salinity levels at 6 and 10 weeks after planting. Means of 4 replicates ± standard error	126

Table 5.4 Levels of significance of correlation between rhizosphere bacterial community composition and environmental variables in decreasing order of importance (eigenvalue) at 6 and 10 weeks in AM inoculated and mock-inoculated <i>Atriplex numularia</i> at low or high salinity levels, generated by Monte Carlo Permutation test	
	128
Table 5.5 Experiment 4. Shoot P concentration and content of Atriplexnummularia and Trifolium subterraneum planted in non-autoclaved Kalibarsoil at low or high salinity levels10 weeks after planting. Means of 5	100
replicates ± standard error	133
Table 6.1 Experiment 1. Available P budget in cores after 10 weeks growthof Trifolium subterraneum with different treatments; mycorrhizal without P(M-P) and non-mycorrhizal without P added (NM-P), after irrigation with	
2500 ml R.O. water during 12 hours.	152
Table 7.1 Mycorrhizal colonization in AM responsive (<i>T. subterraneum</i>) and non-responsive (<i>F. arundinacea</i>) species at low and high salinity levels in Farrier MaDanald sail (0 down after planting)	170
rerries wichonaid son ob days after planting	109

SUMMARY

EFFECTS OF ARBUSCULAR-MYCORRHIZAL FUNGAL COLONIZATION ON MANAGEMENT OF SALINE LANDS

The overall aim of the research presented in this thesis was to evaluate the importance of arbuscular-mycorrhizal (AM) colonization of plants in management of saline lands. Some aspects of application of AM fungi in revegetation of saline lands are also reported.

Effects of AM pre-inoculation on mycorrhiza-responsive and non-responsive plant growth and establishment were evaluated under glasshouse conditions. The advantages of mycorrhizal fungal inoculation in increasing plant salinity tolerance and establishment in saline conditions were related to the responses of host species to AM fungi. Pre-inoculation with *Glomus intraradices* increased plant growth, nutrient uptake and establishment of mycorrhiza responsive *Trifolium subterraneum* in saline conditions, but non-mycorrhiza responsive *Festuca arundinacea* did not get growth benefits from AM in saline conditions.

The main mechanism underlying increased plant growth and establishment in saline conditions in mycorrhiza responsive plants was increased plant nutrient uptake, particularly phosphorus (P), at an early growth stage. The improvement could be explained by higher soil volume exploration by hyphae and/or roots, faster nutrient uptake and microbial changes in the soil rhizosphere.

AM inoculation and P application effects on salinity tolerance were compared in *Trifolium subterraneum*. Application of P increased plant growth and salinity tolerance in saline conditions, but AM inoculation increased nutrient uptake and plant salinity tolerance more efficiently than P application.

Effects of salinity on AM colonization of chenopods were investigated under glasshouse conditions. Salinity had no effects on AM colonization of *Atriplex nummularia*, but AM inoculation increased plant growth and nutrient uptake. The growth improvement was attributed to benefits from low AM colonization, and changes in bacterial community composition in the rhizosphere.

Roles of AM fungi in influencing P leaching from soil were investigated in experiments with repacked cores under both non-saline and saline conditions. Increased plant size via AM inoculation significantly decreased P leaching in the soil profile under both non-saline and saline conditions in low P soils. Increased root volume and extension external hyphal network were the main effects of AM fungi in increasing plant size under saline and non-saline conditions, which led to scavenging more P and depleting more soil available P, thereby decreasing P losses via leaching. Application of P increased plant size and decreased P leaching, but on the other hand increased soil available P and decreased AM colonization.

PUBLICATION FROM THE THESIS

Asghari H R, Smith S E, Chittleborough D J, Smith F A. 2003. The effects of mycorrhizal fungi on plant establishment in saline conditions. *Fourth International Conference of Mycorrhizas (ICOM 4), Canada, conference proceedings*, p 107. (Oral presentation)

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution. To the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of this thesis.

I consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University library, being made available for loan or photocopying.

August, 2004

Signed

Hamid Reza Asghari

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My deepest thank to Sally Smith, David Chittleborough and Andrew Smith for their supervision, encouragement and support throughout the entire period of this research. I really enjoyed their constructive comments, criticisms and discussions. I would like also to express my special thank to Petra Marschner for her teaching and technical support in this study.

I particularly want to thank Colin Rivers and Debbie Miller for their excellent technical assistances. I thank other member of soil biology group of Soil and Land Systems of School of Earth and Environmental Sciences at the University of Adelaide for many valuable discussions and their friendship. Special thanks to Timothy Cavagnaro, Sandy Dickson and Jan Jansa for their technical assistance and discussions during my research.

I would like to acknowledge the University of Adelaide and Sally Smith for their financial support and providing me the opportunity to travel to Canada to attend ICOM 4 conference and travel to Spain to discuss my work with other scientists.

I gratefully acknowledge Ministry of Science, Research and Technology of Iran, and Iranian Research Organization for Science and Technology for scholarship grants.

Thankyou my family, I appreciate your patience and support during my study in Australia.

Finally, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my wife, Maryam for her assistance in the lab and understanding and support during my study. Without your help I never would have been able to do this research.

To my wife

