

Phosphorus retention and metabolism: indicators of stream deterioration across a rural-urban gradient?

by

Kane Thomas Aldridge

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences,
The University of Adelaide
Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology

A thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2005



Contents

Contents	i
List of tables	iv
List of figures	vii
List of abbreviations	xi
Declaration	xii
Acknowledgements	xiii
Summary	xiii
Foreword	xvi
1 General introduction	1
1.1 Ecosystem services and functions and biological diversity.....	1
1.2 Impacts of changes in land-use on stream ecosystems.....	2
1.3 Stream resource interception and transformation	3
1.3.1 Nutrient retention	3
1.3.2 Stream metabolism.....	4
1.4 The project.....	5
2 Study site and general methods	9
2.1 Study site	9
2.1.1 Landscape	10
2.1.2 Channel structure.....	11
2.2 General methods	12
2.2.1 Filterable reactive phosphorus and dissolved organic carbon.....	12
2.2.2 Benthic organic matter and chlorophyll <i>a</i>	13
2.2.3 Phosphorus, nitrogen and carbon tissue concentrations	13
2.2.4 Rock surface area	14
2.2.5 Light intensity and water temperature	14
2.2.6 Hydrology	14
2.2.7 Day number	15
3 Phosphorus retention in stream reaches with varying channel structure across a rural-urban gradient.....	26
3.1 Introduction	26
3.2 Methods.....	28
3.2.1 Phosphorus-addition experiments	28
3.2.2 Solute transport modelling.....	29
3.2.3 Statistical analyses.....	31

3.3	Results.....	32
3.3.1	Environmental conditions.....	32
3.3.2	Solute behaviour.....	32
3.3.3	FRP retention properties.....	32
3.3.4	Causes of differences in FRP uptake and retention.....	34
3.4	Discussion.....	34
4	Abiotic and biotic benthic phosphorus uptake in stream reaches with varying channel structure across a rural-urban gradient.....	49
4.1	Introduction.....	49
4.2	Methods.....	51
4.2.1	Phosphorus uptake experiments.....	51
4.2.2	Statistical analyses.....	52
4.3	Results.....	53
4.3.1	Environmental conditions.....	53
4.3.2	Benthic organic matter.....	53
4.3.3	Benthic FRP uptake.....	53
4.3.4	Total benthic FRP uptake rates.....	54
4.3.5	Biotic benthic FRP uptake rates.....	55
4.3.6	Abiotic benthic FRP uptake rates.....	55
4.3.7	Summary.....	56
4.4	Discussion.....	56
5	Changes in metabolism of stream reaches with varying channel structure across a rural-urban gradient.....	71
5.1	Introduction.....	71
5.2	Methods.....	72
5.2.1	Stream metabolism.....	72
5.2.2	Statistical analyses.....	74
5.3	Results.....	75
5.3.1	Environmental conditions.....	75
5.3.2	Metabolic rates.....	75
5.3.3	Community respiration.....	75
5.3.4	Gross primary production.....	76
5.3.5	Net ecosystem production.....	76
5.4	Discussion.....	77

6	Scaling up chamber metabolic rates to stream reaches: changes across a rural-urban gradient	89
6.1	Introduction	89
6.2	Methods	90
6.2.1	Benthic metabolism	90
6.2.2	Scaling to level of the reach	91
6.2.3	Pelagic metabolism	91
6.2.4	Environmental conditions	91
6.2.5	Statistical analyses	92
6.3	Results	92
6.3.1	Environmental conditions	92
6.3.2	Reach metabolic rates	93
6.3.3	Rock-pelagic metabolic rates	93
6.3.4	Gravel-pelagic metabolic rates	94
6.3.5	Pelagic metabolic rates	94
6.4	Discussion	95
7	Restoration of two ecosystem functions in a degraded-urban stream following the addition of coarse particulate organic matter	109
7.1	Introduction	110
7.2	Methods	111
7.2.1	Coarse particulate organic matter	111
7.2.2	Stream metabolism	111
7.2.3	Phosphorus retention	112
7.2.4	Statistical analysis	113
7.3	Results	113
7.3.1	Stream metabolism	113
7.3.2	Phosphorus retention	114
7.4	Discussion	115
8	General discussion	129
8.1	Changes in ecosystem functions across a rural-urban gradient	129
8.2	Implications for management of ecosystem functions	130
8.3	Ecosystem services	131
8.4	Knowledge gaps	132
8.5	Conclusions	134
	Bibliography	137

List of tables

Table 2.1. Land-use of rural areas of First and Fourth Creek sub-catchments in 2003. Land-use Australia.....	16
Table 2.2. Geomorphic measurements of un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	17
Table 3.1. Dates, times and period of continuous flow during FRP addition experiments in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks in winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03) and winter 2004 (W04).	37
Table 3.2. Environmental parameters in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks in winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03) and winter 2004 (W04).	38
Table 3.3. Hydrological and FRP retention properties in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks in winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03) and winter 2004 (W04).	39
Table 3.4. Statistics and relationships obtained for effects of environmental parameters on percent FRP retention, uptake length and mass transfer coefficient.	40
Table 4.1. Dates, times and period of continuous flow in autumn-winter (AW), winter-spring (WS) and late spring (S) in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks during 2004.	59
Table 4.2. Environmental parameters in autumn-winter (AW), winter-spring (WS) and late spring (S) in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	60
Table 4.3. Benthic organic matter and benthic chlorophyll <i>a</i> on un-sterilised and sterilised rocks in autumn-winter (AW), winter-spring (WS) and late spring (S) in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	61
Table 4.4. Benthic total phosphorus (TP), total carbon (TC) and total nitrogen (TN) and molar ratios in autumn-winter (AW), winter-spring (WS) and late spring (S) in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	62
Table 4.5. P-values obtained for effects of reach, season and creek (and interactions) on total, abiotic and biotic benthic FRP uptake rates.	63
Table 4.6. Statistics and relationships obtained for influence of environmental parameters on benthic FRP uptake rates.	64
Table 5.1. Date and period of continuous flow at time of stream metabolism measurements in winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03), autumn-winter 2004 (AW04) and winter-spring 2004 (WS04) in un-modified (Un-mod) and degraded (Deg) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered (Eng) reach of Fourth Creek.	80

Table 5.2. Environmental parameters in winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03), autumn-winter 2004 (AW04) and winter-spring 2004 (WS04) in un-modified (Un-mod) and degraded (Deg) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered (Eng) reach of Fourth Creek.....	81
Table 5.3. P-values obtained for effects of reach, season and the interaction between reach and season on community respiration (CR), gross primary production (GPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP) in First and Fourth Creeks.	82
Table 5.4. Statistics and relationships obtained for the influence of environmental parameters on community respiration (CR), gross primary production (GPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP).	83
Table 6.1. Dates and period of continuous flow at time of measurements of stream metabolism in autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS) in un-modified (Un-mod) and degraded (Deg) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered (Eng) reach of Fourth Creek.....	98
Table 6.2. Morphological parameters during measurements of stream metabolism in autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS) in un-modified (Un-mod) and degraded (Deg) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered (Eng) reach of Fourth Creek.....	98
Table 6.3. Environmental parameters during measurements of rock, gravel and pelagic metabolism in autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS) in un-modified (Un-mod) and degraded (Deg) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered (Eng) reach of Fourth Creek.....	99
Table 6.4. P-values for the effect of reach (R), season (S) and the interaction between reach and season (R*S) on community respiration (CR), gross primary production (GPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP).....	101
Table 6.5. Percent of reach community respiration (CR) and gross primary production (GPP) attributed to rock-pelagic and gravel-pelagic CR and GPP in autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS) in un-modified (Un-mod) and degraded (Deg) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered (Eng) reach of Fourth Creek	102
Table 6.6. Statistics and relationships obtained for effects of environmental parameters on reach community respiration (CR), gross primary production (GPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP).	103
Table 6.7. Statistics and relationships obtained for effects of environmental parameters on rock-pelagic, gravel-pelagic and pelagic community respiration (CR), gross primary production (GPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP).	104
Table 7.1. Dates of stream metabolism and phosphorus-addition experiments and in the manipulated and control reaches.	118
Table 7.2. Environmental parameters during measurements of stream metabolism in manipulated and control reaches, before and after leaf addition.	119

Table 7.3. P-values obtained for effects of reach, time and reach*time on stream gross primary production, community respiration and net ecosystem production, following the addition of coarse particulate organic matter to a manipulated reach.....	120
Table 7.4. P-values obtained for effects of substrate, time and substrate*time on leaf and rock gross primary production, community respiration and net ecosystem production, following the addition of coarse particulate organic matter to a manipulated reach.....	120
Table 7.5. P-values obtained for effects of reach, time and reach*time on rock gross primary production, community respiration and net ecosystem production, following the addition of coarse particulate organic matter to a manipulated reach.....	120
Table 7.6. Environmental parameters during phosphorus-addition experiments in manipulated and control reaches, before and after leaf addition.....	121
Table 7.7. Hydrological and filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) retention properties during phosphorus-addition experiments in manipulated and control reaches of Fourth Creek before and after leaf addition.....	123
Table 7.8. Statistics and relationships obtained for effects of environmental parameters on FRP uptake length, FRP mass transfer coefficient and percent FRP retention.....	125

List of figures

Figure 1.1. Conceptual diagram of solute processes in streams.	7
Figure 1.2. Conceptual diagram of resource dynamics in un-modified and developed catchments (Brookes <i>et al.</i> In press).	8
Figure 2.1. Location of South Australia within Australia (A), Torrens River Catchment within South Australia (B) and studied stream reaches within First and Fourth Creeks (C, modified from Tonkin Consulting (2002)).....	18
Figure 2.2. Photo of the un-modified reach of First Creek.....	19
Figure 2.3. Photo of the degraded reach of First Creek.....	19
Figure 2.4. Photo of the engineered reach of First Creek.....	20
Figure 2.5. Photo of the stream bed (aerial view) of the engineered reach of First Creek.....	20
Figure 2.6. Photo of the un-modified reach of Fourth Creek.....	21
Figure 2.7. Photo of the degraded reach of Fourth Creek.	21
Figure 2.8. Photo of the engineered reach of Fourth Creek.....	22
Figure 2.9. Photo of the stream bed (aerial view) of the engineered reach of Fourth Creek.....	22
Figure 2.10. Photo of one of three pools within the engineered reach of Fourth Creek.....	23
Figure 2.11. Photo of the upstream end of the urban reach of Fourth Creek studied in chapter seven (looking west).....	23
Figure 2.12. Photo of the downstream end of the urban reach of Fourth Creek studied in chapter seven (looking east).	24
Figure 2.13. Daily flow in the (A) un-modified reach of First Creek and (B) downstream of the engineered reach of First Creek during 2003.	25
Figure 3.1. Influence of changes in (A) stream velocity, v , and (B) dispersion, D , on the modelled fit of observed conductivity in the un-modified reach of First Creek, winter 2004.	41
Figure 3.2. Influence of changes in (A) retardation factor, R , (B) production rate, ρ , and (C) decay coefficient, λ , on the modelled fit of observed FRP concentrations in the un-modified reach of First Creek, winter 2004.	42
Figure 3.3. Expected and observed filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) concentrations in (A) un-modified, (B) degraded, and (C) engineered reaches of First Creek during winter 2003. Black observed-FRP concentrations at 35 m (triangles) and 100 m (squares).....	43
Figure 3.4. Expected and observed filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) concentrations in (A) un-modified, (B) degraded, and (C) engineered reaches of Fourth Creek during winter 2003.....	44
Figure 3.5. Two-dimensional NMS ordination of FRP retention properties.....	45
Figure 3.6. Percent filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) retention and uptake length at 100 m in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	46

Figure 3.7. Influence of background dissolved organic carbon (DOC) to filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) molar ratio on percent FRP retention at 100 m in un-modified, degraded and engineered reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	46
Figure 3.8. Influence of period of continuous flow on percent filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) retention at 100 m in un-modified, degraded and engineered reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.....	47
Figure 3.9. Influence of contact time on percent filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) retention at 100 m in un-modified, degraded and engineered reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.....	47
Figure 3.10. Influence of background filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) concentration on FRP uptake length in un-modified, degraded and engineered reaches of First and Fourth Creeks...	48
Figure 3.11. Conceptual model of phosphorus cycling in the (A) un-modified and (B) impacted reaches of First Creek.	48
Figure 4.1. Total, abiotic and biotic benthic FRP uptake in First Creek during autumn-winter in (A) un-modified, (B) degraded and (C) engineered reaches.	65
Figure 4.2. Total, abiotic and biotic benthic FRP uptake in Fourth Creek during autumn-winter in (A) un-modified, (B) degraded and (C) engineered reaches.	66
Figure 4.3. Two-dimensional NMS ordination of total, abiotic and biotic benthic FRP uptake rates.	67
Figure 4.4. Total benthic FRP uptake rates in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks during autumn-winter (dark shaded), winter-spring (un-shaded) and late spring (light shaded).	68
Figure 4.5. Biotic benthic FRP uptake rates in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks during autumn-winter (dark shaded), winter-spring (un-shaded) and late spring (light shaded).	68
Figure 4.6. Abiotic benthic FRP uptake rates in un-modified (Un-mod), degraded (Deg) and engineered (Eng) reaches of First and Fourth Creeks during autumn-winter (dark shaded), winter-spring (un-shaded) and late spring (light shaded).	69
Figure 4.7. Influence of background dissolved organic carbon (DOC) to filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) molar ratio on total benthic FRP uptake rate in un-modified, degraded and engineered reaches of First and Fourth Creeks	69
Figure 4.8. Influence of period of continuous flow on biotic benthic FRP uptake rate in un-modified, degraded and engineered reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	70
Figure 4.9. Influence of benthic total carbon to total phosphorus molar ratio on abiotic benthic FRP uptake rate in un-modified, degraded and engineered reaches of First and Fourth Creeks.	70
Figure 5.1. Change in dissolved oxygen levels in a re-circulating benthic chamber after sunset in the un-modified reach of First Creek, spring 2003.....	84

Figure 5.2. NMS ordination of community respiration (CR), gross primary production (GPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP) in un-modified (triangles), degraded (squares) and engineered reaches (circles) of First (shaded shapes) and Fourth Creeks (un-shaded shapes).....84

Figure 5.3. Community respiration (CR) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek during winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03), autumn-winter 2004 (AW04) and winter-spring 2004 (WS04).85

Figure 5.4. Influence of day number on community respiration (CR) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek.85

Figure 5.5. Influence of benthic chlorophyll *a* on community respiration (CR) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek.86

Figure 5.6. Gross primary production in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek during winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03), autumn-winter 2004 (AW04) and winter-spring 2004 (WS04).86

Figure 5.7. Influence of daily incident light on gross primary production (GPP) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek.87

Figure 5.8. Influence of benthic chlorophyll *a* on gross primary production (GPP) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek.....87

Figure 5.9. Net ecosystem production in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek during winter 2003 (W03), spring 2003 (S03), autumn-winter 2004 (AW04) and winter-spring 2004 (WS04).88

Figure 6.1. Reach community respiration (dark shaded), gross primary production (un-shaded) and net ecosystem production (light shaded) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek during autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS).106

Figure 6.2. A two-dimensional NMS ordination of reach (Tot) community respiration (CR), gross primary production (GPP) and net ecosystem production (NEP).106

Figure 6.3. Rock-pelagic community respiration (dark shaded), gross primary production (un-shaded) and net ecosystem production (light shaded) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek during autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS).....107

Figure 6.4. Gravel and pelagic community respiration (dark shaded), gross primary production (un-shaded) and net ecosystem production (light shaded) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek during autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS).....107

Figure 6.5. Pelagic community respiration (dark shaded), gross primary production (un-shaded) and net ecosystem production (light shaded) in un-modified and degraded reaches of First and

Fourth Creeks and engineered reach of Fourth Creek during autumn-winter (AW) and winter-spring (WS).....	108
Figure 7.1. Stream community respiration (dark shaded), gross primary production (un-shaded) and net ecosystem production (light shaded) in manipulated (M) and control (C) reaches, before, one day and eight days after the addition of leaf litter.....	126
Figure 7.2. Influence of total attached organic matter per unit rock surface area on community respiration in manipulated and control reaches of Fourth Creek following the addition of leaf litter.....	126
Figure 7.3. Rock and leaf community respiration (dark shaded), gross primary production (un-shaded) and net ecosystem production (light shaded) in control (C) and manipulated (M) reaches, one and eight days after the addition of leaf litter.....	127
Figure 7.4. Influence of number of days since leaf addition on FRP uptake length in manipulated reach.....	127
Figure 7.5. Percent FRP retention in manipulated (dark shaded) and control (un-shaded) reaches of Fourth Creek and the difference between manipulated and control reaches (light shaded) before and after the addition of leaf litter.....	128
Figure 7.6. Influence of leaf total phosphorus concentration on percent FRP retention in manipulated reach following the addition of leaf litter.....	128
Figure 8.1. Conceptual diagram of resource processing in pristine streams.....	135
Figure 8.2. Conceptual diagram of two phases of resource processing in degraded streams.....	136

List of abbreviations

Benthic organic matter	BOM
Coarse particulate organic matter	CPOM
Community respiration	CR
Decay coefficient	•
Dispersion coefficient	D
Dissolved organic carbon	DOC
Filterable reactive phosphorus	FRP
Fine particulate organic matter	FPOM
Gross primary production	GPP
Mass transfer coefficient	v_f
Net ecosystem production	NEP
Net primary production	NPP
Production rate	•
Retardation factor	R
Stream velocity	v
Total carbon	TC
Total nitrogen	TN
Total phosphorus	TP
Uptake length	S_w

Declaration

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I consent to the thesis being made available for photocopying and loan if accepted for the award of the degree.

.....

Kane Thomas Aldridge

27th October 2005

Acknowledgements

Thank you to the following institutions for financial support, which made this project possible; The Cooperative Research Centre for Freshwater Ecology; School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Adelaide; Department of Water, Land and Biodiversity Conservation, the Government of South Australia; The River Basin Management Society. Thanks also to National Parks and Wildlife, South Australia and the Botanic Gardens of Adelaide for access to field sites.

Thanks to the 'limnos' that I have worked and laughed with over the past 3 years. Special thanks to Todd (Widdles) for being a great resource for various techniques, Brian (Paddy) for hours of entertainment and for all of your last minute help in the field, Dave for your endless technical support, my G24a office mates Rudi and Paul, Jason for all the stories, Ben, Leon, Sue, Melissa and Sean. Also thanks to Nick, Alex, Brian, Todd, Ben, Sue and Alys for field/lab assistance. A very special thanks to Marilyn Saxon for her wonderful assistance that was always given with a smile. I would also like to acknowledge the founding members of the *EB men's club*; Matty, Scotte and Paddy, certainly a cause that has made significant improvements to society. Also thanks to *triple J*, for getting me through several repetitious tasks, such as wrapping my 'pet' rocks in aluminium foil.

A huge thanks to my supervisors, George Ganf and Justin Brookes. You have always encouraged me to keep learning and provided me with great insight and wisdom. George, I have always enjoyed our meetings, with your knowledge and broad perspective always challenging me to look beyond my initial thoughts. Justin, you give us all something to aim for and your enthusiasm for research is inspiring. Also, special thanks to Sebastien Lamontagne who provided me with many of his valuable hours and always responded to my cries for help.

Thank you to my friends and family for providing me with the support and outlet that I needed to get through my PhD. To my 'Bennett Street Team', thanks for providing me with plenty of good times. To the Payne family, thanks for providing me with the family life away from home. Your generosity is second to none. To my family, thanks for supporting me with whatever path I have decided to take and for being some of my closest friends, as well as family. And of course, Anna, thank you for reminding me what life is all about. Your love, support and approach to life are, and always will be an inspiration for me.

Summary

Much attention has been paid to the effects of anthropogenic impacts upon physical and chemical conditions in freshwater ecosystems. However, impacts upon the functioning of these ecosystems and services that they provide remain relatively unknown. The objective of this thesis was to examine the validity of the general hypothesis that the deterioration of ecosystems may be reflected in their capacity to process resources.

Changes in stream phosphorus retention and metabolism were investigated across a rural-urban gradient in the Torrens River Catchment, South Australia, where channel structures of rural reaches are less modified than urban reaches. In a stream with an intact upper rural catchment (First Creek), a reach with an un-modified channel structure retained $60\% \pm 12.1$ filterable reactive phosphorus (FRP) and had an average uptake length of $79 \text{ m} \pm 3.4$. In comparison, degraded and engineered reaches of First Creek retained less FRP and had longer uptake lengths. In Fourth Creek, which is influenced by agriculture, there were no differences in FRP retention between the reaches. Reduced FRP retention in impacted reaches were a result of decreased contact time, reduced period of continuous flow and increased nutrient availability. Although abiotic benthic FRP uptake rates (up to $6.8 \cdot \text{g m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 0.36$) were consistently greater than biotic uptake rates (up to $3.6 \cdot \text{g m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \pm 0.52$), decreased total benthic uptake rates in impacted reaches were mainly due to decreased biotic uptake.

Metabolic rates were measured within benthic chambers containing rocks and gravel and scaled up to the stream reach. At chamber and reach scales, metabolic rates in the un-modified reach of First Creek were consistently low (community respiration (CR) up to $113 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \pm 47.4$ and gross primary production (GPP) up to $234 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \pm 89.5$), with a positive net ecosystem production (NEP). In comparison, the degraded reach of First Creek switched between having a negative and positive NEP. Reaches of Fourth Creek also experienced considerable variation and had higher metabolic rates than First Creek (CR up to $371 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \pm 62.1$ and GPP up to $847 \text{ mg O}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1} \pm 66.1$). Increased metabolic rates in impacted reaches were attributed to increased light availability and reduced grazing by higher trophic levels, promoting autotrophic organisms.

The altered ecosystem functions were considered to reflect a reduced capacity of deteriorated streams to process resources. However, the addition of coarse particulate organic matter to a degraded-urban stream reach increased CR and reduced NEP to levels more akin to those experienced within pristine streams. Furthermore, percent FRP retention increased, primarily through increased demand for phosphorus of the microbial community.

Although this demonstrated that rehabilitation of in-stream attributes might restore important ecosystem functions in impacted streams, successful restoration will only be achieved if the over-riding causes of in-stream degradation are addressed.

Foreword

This thesis has been prepared as a series of chapters in a format that will be suitable for future publication in scientific journals. To maintain the sense of individual chapters, this has inevitably led to some repetition between chapters.