Hydroxyapatite formed on titanium via a self-assembled monolayer and its *in-vitro* behaviour

by DAPENG LIU

School of Chemical Engineering The University of Adelaide

A thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Engineering Science This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Signed:

Date:

Summary

Hydroxyapatite (HA) coatings are widely used on metal implant devices to improve biocompatibility, enhance bonding strength and to shorten bonding aging between the implant and natural bones. Current coating methods share a common drawback: coatings produced by these processes are not crystalline and further heat treatment must be performed at high temperature (700 $^{\circ}$ C). Unfortunately, this treatment often reduces the bonding strength between the coating layer and the metal substrates and may cause chemical degradation of the HA.

Recently, a biomimetic coating method has been developed. The strategy adopted in this method is to induce formation of the HA layer by coating implant surfaces with biologically inspired functional groups. This attempts to simulate the natural mineralization process occurring in the human body and it is hoped that the low crystallinity problem may be overcome. Unfortunately, industrial-scale production is not attractive due to the slow growth rate of the crystalline layer. Several weeks are required to produce a detectable thickness of HA and the deposited layer's *in-vivo* performance has not been quantified. As a consequence, further studies elucidating the key factors influencing formation of the HA layers and its *in-vivo* performance are desirable.

In this study, self-assembled monolayers (SAM layers) with different functional groups were produced on titanium substrates and characterized. The titanium substrates were immersed in simulated body fluid (SBF) to synthesize HA coatings. After measuring the chemical compositions, crystallinity, morphology and growth rates of those coatings, the optimal SAM for HA formation was determined. In addition, the influences of key variables such as temperature, pH, ionic concentrations and functional groups on HA formation were investigated. The goal of this work was to accelerate the growth of the HA coatings for industrial scale production. Finally, human bone cells behaviors on HA coated titanium were observed to confirm an improvement in the biocompatibility and bioconductivity.

It was found that SAMs significantly enhanced the formation of HA coatings on titanium surface. The optimum functional group for the SAM was –COOH. This functional group produced the fastest rate of formation and a HA coating with morphological attributes and crystallinity most like natural bone. Significant factors

affecting HA formation on –COOH SAM were temperature, calcium concentration and Ca/P ratio in SBF. Significantly, it was discovered that higher temperatures and calcium concentration in SBF substantially increased rate of growth of the HA coating whilst appearing not to adversely affect crystallinity. This could reduce time for producing SAM induced HA coatings on titanium implants from a month to a week. Finally, the HA coating formed at 37 °C on –COOH SAM substantially enhanced the growth of human bone cells on the titanium surface.

Acknowledgments

Many individuals and several organizations have contributed to this project. I would like to acknowledge my supervisors: Dr. Chris Colby, A/Prof. Brian O'Neill, Dr. Yung Ngothai and Prof. Peter Majewski (IWRI, Uni. SA) for their helpful guidance and kind encouragement. Their significant efforts in this project are highly appreciated and I will never forget their help. I am also grateful to the staff and students in the School of Chemical Engineering, The University of Adelaide. Their friendship, assistance and encouragement lightened the heavy load of postgraduate studies.

I wish to thank Prof. Majewski for providing experimental facilities, chemicals and his technical guidance on SAMs and formation of HA coatings. I would also like to acknowledge Dr. Gerald Atkins (IMVS) for providing key background information and the use of his laboratory to perform the "human bone-cell culture" experiment.

Last but not least, I am grateful to my parents for their financial support during my postgraduate studies and my girl friend, Wenzhuo, for her love and daily life care.

Publications

D. P. Liu, P. Majewski, B. K. O'Neill, Y. Ngothai , C. B. Colby, Performance of different functional groups for HA coating of Ti implants using SAMs, J. Biomed. Mater. Res. (Part A). (Submitted)

Table of Contents

Sı	ummar	у	iii
A	cknow	edgments	v
Pı	ublicati	ons	vi
L	ist of F	igures	ix
L	ist of T	ables	xi
1	Intr	oduction	1
2	Lite	rature Review	3
2			
	2.1	Composition and Structure of Natural Bones	
	2.2	Background of Implants	6
	2.3	Artificial Implant Materials	7
	2.4	Hydroxyapatite Coatings	8
	2.5	Current HA Coating Methods	10
	2.6	Biomimetic Techniques	13
	2.6. 2.6. 2.6.	2 Effects of surface properties and the surface modification	15
	2.7	Previous Studies of Cell Behaviour on Biomaterials	18
	2.8	Aims	19
3	Exp	erimental Procedures	20
	3.1	Introduction	20
	3.2	Preparation of Titanium Surfaces	
	3.3	SAM Formation and Functionalization	23
	3.4	Simulated Body Fluid and Hydroxyapatite Layer Formation	24
	3.5	Analysis of SAMs and HA Coatings	25
	3.5.	1 Water contact angle	25
	3.5.		
	3.5.		
	3.5. 3.5.		
	3.6	Effects of Various Reaction Conditions on HA Coating Formation	
		C C	
	3.6. 3.6.		
	3.6.	1 8	
		-	

3.7 N	Normal Human Osteoblast Cells Culture Experiment	
3.7.1	Sample preparation	
3.7.2	Cell culture	
3.7.3	Measurement of calcium levels	31
4 Result	ts and Discussion	
4.1 I	ntroduction	32
4.2 0	Characterization of SAMs	
4.2.1	Analysis of the water contact angle for the various SAMs	
4.2.2	Results of the TOF-SIMS analysis for various SAMs	
4.3 F	IA Formation in SBF	
4.3.1	Effects of gravity on the formation of hydroxyapatite coatings.	
4.3.2	Hydroxyapatite coatings formed on various SAMs	
4.3.3	Summary of finding on an optimal SAM	
4.4 E	Effect of Various Factors on Hydroxyapatite Coatings Formation	53
4.4.1	Data collection	53
4.4.2	Normal probability plot analysis	53
4.4.3	Regression model analysis	56
4.4.4	Discussion	58
4.4.5	Enhancement of the HA coat formation	59
4.5 N	Normal Human Osteoblast Cells Culture Experiment	64
4.5.1	The Standard curve for Ca ²⁺ concentration for the mineralizatio	n assav 64
4.5.2	Measurement of calcium levels	
5 Concl	usion	66
Appendix A	A: SEM Images	68
11	5	
Appendix I	B: Results of EDS Analysis	76
Appendix (C: Results of Osteoblast Cells Culture Experiment	81
References		

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Illustration of a cortical shell around a generic long bone joint. (Reproduced
from the Michigan University web site, www.engin.umich.edu)4
Figure 2.2: Cross section of osteonal cortical bone. (Reproduced from the Michigan
University web site)
Figure 2.3: Hierarchical levels of structural organization in a human long bone.
(Reproduced from the Michigan University web site)5
Figure 2.4: Illustration of trabeculae. (Reproduced from the Michigan University web
site)6
Figure 2.5: (a) SEM images of inorganic sol-gel method formed HA coating; (b) SEM
images of organic sol-gel method formed HA coating (Gan and Pilliar, 2004)11
Figure 2.6: Film-forming species dissolved in water
Figure 2.7: Illustration of crystal formed on substrates: (a) homogenous (HO) nucleation
(θ = 180°), (b) heterogeneous (HE) nucleation (180° > θ > 0°). [γ , γ _s and γ _{cs}
represent the interface energy of crystal/solution, substrate/solution and
crystal/substrate, respectively.]15
Figure 2.8: Illustration of SAM formation17
Figure 3.1: Silanization and functionalization processes
Figure 3.2: Wafers placed in SBF in vertical direction25
Figure 4.1: Drop images of different SAM surfaces(a) pure titanium; (b) hydroxylated
titanium; (c) titanium with -SH SAM; (d) titanium with -SO ₃ H SAM; (e) titanium
with $-CH=CH_2$ SAM; (f) titanium with $-OH$ SAM; (g) titanium with $-PO_4H_2$
SAM; (h) titanium with –COOH SAM
Figure 4.2: SEM and EDS analysis of HA coatings on the wafer oriented (A) vertically
and (B) horizontally40
Figure 4.3: Cross section SEM images of HA coatings formed on titanium with -COOH
SAM after placing in SBF for 20 days. Note the incident electron beam was tilted
by 75°42
Figure 4.4: SEM images at (1) 1 μm and (2) 10 μm and (3) EDS spectra of HA coating
after placing in SBF for 5 days: (A) titanium with -PO ₄ H ₂ SAM and (B) titanium
with -COOH SAM. (C) is the image of titanium metal with -COOH SAM prior to
immersion in SBF43
Figure 4.5: SEM images at (1) 30 μ m and (2) 1 μ m and (3) EDS spectra of HA coating
following immersion in SBF for 30 days: (A) titanium metal, (B) titanium with –

COOH SAM
Figure 4.6: FTIR transmission spectra of test wafer surfaces after immersion in SBF at
(i) 0, (ii) 1 and (iii) 10 days: (a) pure Ti, (b) titanium with -OH SAM, (c) titanium
with $-SO_3H$ SAM, (d) titanium with $-PO_4H_2$ SAM and (e) titanium with $-COOH$
SAM47
Figure 4.7: XRD analysis results of HA coatings formed on (a) carboxylic acid SAM, (b)
phosphate SAM, (c) sulfonic acid SAM, (d) hydroxyl SAM and (e) Ti control49
Figure 4.8: XRD analysis of a newly formed bone tissue (Savarino et al., 1993)50
Figure 4.9: Normal probability plot of effects for Ca/P ratio of HA coatings
Figure 4.10: Normal probability plot of effects for Ca content of HA coatings55
Figure 4.11: Cross section SEM images of HA coatings formed on titanium with $-$
COOH SAM under optimized conditions. Note the incident electron beam was
tilted by 75°60
Figure 4.12: EDX analysis of HA layer formed on carboxylic acid SAM (A) in 1.5 SBF
at 67 °C and (B) in SBF at 37 °C for 5 days61
Figure 4.13: FTIR results for HA coatings formed at (a) conventional conditions for 5
days and at (b) new conditions for 5 days
Figure 4.14: XRD results for HA coatings formed at (a) conventional conditions after 5
days and at (b) new conditions for 5 days
Figure 4.15: Standard curve of Ca concentration
Figure 4.16: Calcium concentrations on coated and uncoated Ti substrates after cell
culture for 4 and 6 weeks64

List of Tables

Table 3.1: Ionic concentrations of blood and SBF (mM)24
Table 3.2: Factors selected for 2 ⁴⁻¹ experiment design. 27
Table 3.3: Combinations [variables] used in the 2^{4-1} experiment design28
Table 3.4: Ionic concentrations and environmental parameters for various solutions28
Table 4.1: Measured water contact angles and literature values obtained for the same or
similar SAMs with Ti and Au
Table 4.2: Elemental ratios obtained by TOF-SIMS of Ti, hydroxylated Ti and different
functionalized SAMs
Table 4.3: Elemental compositions (mol %) and Ca/P ratios obtained by EDS of
surfaces of pure Ti and Ti with different functionalized SAMs after soaking in SBF
for 5 days45
Table 4.4: Elemental compositions (mol %) and Ca/P ratios obtained by EDS of
surfaces of pure Ti and Ti with different functionalized SAMs after soaking in SBF
for 30 days
Table 4.5: The EDS results of the one-half fractional factorial experiments
Table 4.5: The EDS results of the one-half fractional factorial experiments
-
Table 4.6: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca/P ratio of HA coatings. 54
Table 4.6: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca/P ratio of HA coatings.54Table 4.7: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca content of HA coatings.56
Table 4.6: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca/P ratio of HA coatings.54Table 4.7: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca content of HA coatings.56Table 4.8: Analysis of variance for significance of Ca/P ratio model.56
Table 4.6: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca/P ratio of HA coatings.54Table 4.7: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca content of HA coatings.56Table 4.8: Analysis of variance for significance of Ca/P ratio model.56Table 4.9: t values of Ca/P ratio variations.57
Table 4.6: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca/P ratio of HA coatings.54Table 4.7: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca content of HA coatings.56Table 4.8: Analysis of variance for significance of Ca/P ratio model.56Table 4.9: t values of Ca/P ratio variations.57Table 4.10: Analysis of variance for significance of the Ca ion concentration.57
Table 4.6: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca/P ratio of HA coatings.54Table 4.7: Analysis of variance for factors affecting Ca content of HA coatings.56Table 4.8: Analysis of variance for significance of Ca/P ratio model.56Table 4.9: t values of Ca/P ratio variations.57Table 4.10: Analysis of variance for significance of the Ca ion concentration.57Table 4.11: t test values of Ca content variations.58