

PERFORMANCE OF PHOTONIC  
OVERSAMPLED  
ANALOG-TO-DIGITAL CONVERTERS

by

Bradley Clare

Thesis submitted for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

University of Adelaide

School of Chemistry and Physics

September, 2006

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any University or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

SIGNED: .....

DATE: .....

Supervisors: Prof. Jesper Munch and Dr. Kerry Corbett.

# Acknowledgments

A PhD in many respects is like an apprenticeship in science. As such, a significant proportion of the education is gained from supervisors and mentors. It is in this capacity that I would like to thank my external supervisor, Dr. Kerry Corbett for her guidance, insight and willingness to help with problems. I would also like to thank my supervisor from Adelaide University Prof. Jesper Munch and Dr. Ken Grant from DSTO for their support and guidance. Further thanks is extended to Dr. Ken Grant and Dr. Warren Marwood from the Secure Communications Branch of the Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance Division at DSTO for giving management and financial support to the project.

As with any work in a high-technology field, support is required from experts in the fabrication process. For growth, fabrication and fruitful discussion I thank Professor Chennupati Jagadish, Dr. H. Hoe Tan and Dr. Lan Fu from the Department of Electronic Materials Engineering of the Australian National University. Thanks must also go to the staff at the microengineering division of Scientific and Engineering Services at DSTO, specifically Geoff Bennett for preparation of devices and to Petar Atanackovic for supply of devices grown by MBE.

Finally I would like to thank my parents for their support throughout this endeavour.

# Abstract

In an increasingly digital world, the need for high speed and high fidelity analog-to-digital (A/D) converters is paramount. Performance improvements in electronic A/Ds have not kept pace with demand, hence the need to consider alternative technologies. One such technology is photonics, as it takes advantage of optical sampling, high speed optical switches and low cross-talk interconnects. Optical sampling derives its advantage from the application of ultra low timing jitter (<100fs) mode locked lasers utilised to provide high speed clock pulses.

**In this thesis the feasibility and simulated performance of three different types of photonic oversampled A/D converters was investigated.** The first, and simplest design is that of oversampled pulse-code-modulation (PCM), where a 2-level photonic comparator is used to sample the analog input at a frequency much greater than the Nyquist frequency. Subsequent low pass filtering produces a digital representation of the input. The other two architectures that were investigated are the first-order sigma-delta and error diffusion, which add one level of error correction to the PCM technique. These two architectures require the functional elements of a subtractor, comparator and delay. The photonic comparator and subtractor functionality was provided by Self-Electro-Optic Effect devices (SEED) based upon multiple quantum well (MQW) p-i-n devices.

To facilitate calculation of the performance of the different architectures and aid in device design, a simulation of SEED operation based upon experimental data was developed. The simulation's accuracy was demonstrated by agreement with the results from experimental S-SEED switching and optical subtraction. To emphasize the utility of the model, the simulation was subsequently used to demonstrate tristability of an S-SEED and critical slowing down in a bistable S-SEED. These effects were experimentally verified.

To provide enhanced comparator contrast ratio and subtractor dynamic range, resonantly enhanced microcavity multiple quantum well (MQW) p-i-n devices were designed and grown by MOCVD. The operation of the subtractor and comparator was experimentally demonstrated and utilising temperature tuning, optimised per-

formance was achieved with devices from the same wafer. Furthermore, the inclusion of gain was shown to improve the subtractor performance to that demanded by the sigma-delta.

The constraints on each architecture imposed by the unipolar nature of the light intensity were derived and the sigma delta architecture was shown to be superior to the error diffusion for a photonic implementation. Using the numerical simulation based upon experimentally derived data, the entire sigma delta architecture was simulated to calculate the expected performance. The signal-to-quantisation-noise ratio (SQNR) was calculated as a function input amplitude and a peak SQNR of 54dB was obtained for an oversampling ratio of 100.

# List of Symbols

Throughout this thesis, several symbols will be used repeatedly to represent specific quantities or parameters, the following is a list of these symbols and short descriptions for the readers convenience. This list is not exhaustive but every effort has been made to maintain conformity of symbols used here. Wherever possible standard symbols and notation have been used which appear in most texts.

$A/D$	...	Analog to Digital Converter
$AlAs$	...	Aluminium Arsenide
$AlGaAs$	...	Aluminium Gallium Arsenide
$AR$	...	Anti-Reflection
$a, L_w$	...	Width of quantum well
$a_0$	...	Bohr radius
$\alpha$	...	Absorption coefficient
$C$	...	Capacitance
$CR$	...	Contrast ratio
$c$	...	Speed of light in vacuum
$\Delta$	...	Hysteresis width
$E_g$	...	Bandgap in eV
$E_c$	...	Minimum conduction band energy
$E_v$	...	Maximum valence band energy
$E_b$	...	Exciton binding energy
$e$	...	Charge of an electron
$e_i$	...	Error in comparison operation
$\epsilon_0$	...	Permittivity of free space
$\epsilon_r$	...	Relative permittivity
$f_B, f_0$	...	Input bandwidth
$f_d$	...	Dither frequency
$f_s$	...	Sampling frequency
$GaAs$	...	Gallium Arsenide

<i>GSPS</i>	...	Giga Samples per Second
<i>G</i>	...	Gain
<i>GPIB</i>	...	General Purpose Interface Bus
$\hbar$	...	Planck's constant
$\eta$	...	Quantum efficiency
<i>I</i>	...	Current
$\varphi$	...	Phase
$\varphi_n$	...	Wavefunction of quantum well
<i>k</i>	...	Extinction coefficient
<i>l</i>	...	Geometric pathlength in a laser crystal
$\lambda$	...	Wavelength
$m^*$	...	Effective mass
<i>MSPS</i>	...	Mega Samples per Second
<i>MOCVD</i>	...	Metal-Organic Chemical Vapour Deposition
<i>MBE</i>	...	Molecular Beam Epitaxy
<i>MQW</i>	...	Multiple Quantum Well
<i>n</i>	...	Refractive index
<i>N</i>	...	Number of quantum wells
$n_{3D}$	...	Density of states in for a particle in 3 dimensions
$n_{2D}$	...	Density of states in for a particle in 2 dimensions
<i>NID</i>	...	Non intentionally doped
<i>ND</i>	...	Neutral Density

$OSR$	...	Oversampling ratio
$P$	...	Power
$P_{\theta L}$	...	Lower bound of hysteresis
$P_{\theta H}$	...	Upper bound of hysteresis
$P_{\theta}$	...	Midpoint of hysteresis width
$PCM$	...	Pulse Code Modulation
$q_i$	...	Output signal of A/D comparator
$Q_L$	...	Low output of comparator
$Q_H$	...	High output of comparator
$QCSE$	...	Quantum Confined Stark Effect
$R$	...	Reflectivity
$REAM$	...	Reflection Electro-Absorption Modulator
$S$	...	Responsivity
$SFDR$	...	Spur Free Dynamic Range
$SEED$	...	Self-Electro-Optic Effect Device
$S - SEED$	...	Symmetric Self-Electro-Optic Effect Device
$SQNR$	...	Signal to Quantisation Noise Ratio
$t$	...	Transmission
$u_i$	...	Input signal to A/D comparator
$V_0$	...	Applied SEED voltage
$x$	...	Input signal to A/D



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Thesis Overview . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Background</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	A/D overview . . . . .	13
2.1.1	Optical A/D review . . . . .	20
2.2	Self-Electro-Optic Effect Device . . . . .	26
2.2.1	Background . . . . .	27
2.2.2	Functionality . . . . .	36
<b>3</b>	<b>Model Development and Verification</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1	Description of Optical Switching . . . . .	40
3.2	Experiment Development . . . . .	45
3.3	Simulation Method . . . . .	54
3.4	Results . . . . .	60
3.4.1	Experimental Setup . . . . .	61
3.4.2	Comparison of Simulation and Experiment . . . . .	62
3.5	Critical Slowing Down . . . . .	66
3.5.1	Results . . . . .	67
3.6	Multi-State Switching . . . . .	76
3.7	Summary . . . . .	81
<b>4</b>	<b>Photonic A/Ds Employing S-SEED Comparators</b>	<b>83</b>
4.1	PCM Quantiser . . . . .	84

4.1.1	PCM Simulations . . . . .	89
4.1.2	PCM Experiment . . . . .	92
4.1.3	PCM Results . . . . .	94
4.2	First-Order Architectures . . . . .	97
4.2.1	Sigma-Delta Architecture . . . . .	99
4.2.2	Error Diffusion Architecture . . . . .	101
4.2.3	Sigma-Delta Simulations . . . . .	104
4.2.4	Sigma-Delta Results . . . . .	105
4.3	Summary . . . . .	109
<b>5</b>	<b>Microcavity Device Design</b>	<b>111</b>
5.1	Design Considerations . . . . .	111
5.2	Calculations . . . . .	114
5.2.1	Bragg Mirror Design . . . . .	114
5.2.2	Quantum Well Absorption Coefficient and Refractive Index . .	120
5.2.3	Fabry-Perot Reflectivity . . . . .	124
5.2.4	Anti-Reflection Coating Design . . . . .	126
5.3	Expected Performance . . . . .	127
5.3.1	Photon Lifetime . . . . .	133
5.4	Results . . . . .	135
5.4.1	Experiment . . . . .	135
5.4.2	Characterisation Results . . . . .	137
<b>6</b>	<b>Performance of the Sigma-Delta Quantiser</b>	<b>153</b>
6.1	Components . . . . .	154
6.1.1	Subtractor . . . . .	154
6.1.2	Comparator . . . . .	168
6.1.3	Optical Clock . . . . .	175
6.1.4	Gain and Delay . . . . .	176
6.2	Architecture . . . . .	177

6.2.1	Implementation . . . . .	182
6.3	Results . . . . .	184
6.3.1	Operating Frequency Scaling . . . . .	196
6.4	Summary and Future Work . . . . .	198
<b>7</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusions</b>	<b>201</b>
7.1	Contributions . . . . .	204
<b>A</b>	<b>Proofs of Subtractor Constraints</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Publications</b>	<b>213</b>
B.1	Publications . . . . .	213
<b>C</b>	<b>Table of Wafers Used</b>	<b>215</b>