



ATLANTIS:
A TOOL FOR LANGUAGE DEFINITION
AND INTERPRETER SYNTHESIS

Michael John Oudshoorn, B.Sc.(Hons.)

A THESIS SUBMITTED FOR THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
IN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE

August 1992

Abstract

Programming language semantics are usually defined informally in some form of technical natural language, or in a very mathematical manner with techniques such as the Vienna Definition Method (VDM) or denotational semantics. One difficulty which arises from serious attempts to define language semantics is that the resulting definition is generally suitable for a single limited kind of reader. For example, the more formal kind of definition may suit a compiler writer or a language designer, but will be less convenient for other potential classes of reader, such as programmers. The latter frequently make use of some completely separate description (e.g., an introductory text book on the language); not surprisingly, inconsistencies between these separate descriptions and the language definition are commonplace.

This thesis develops a technique for the definition of programming language semantics which is suitable for a wide range of potential readers. This technique employs an operational semantic model which is based on the algebraic specification of abstract data types; the semantic model manipulates multi-layer descriptions of language semantics and supports multiple passes in these descriptions.

The semantic technique described in this thesis lends itself to the semi-automatic generation of an interpreter from the language definition, a fact which acts as an

incentive to language designers to produce a formal definition of any new programming language, since the prototype implementation allows experimentation with new language features and their semantics. The system which generates an interpretive implementation from a language definition is called ATLANTIS, A Tool for LANguage definiTion and Interpreter Synthesis, and is also described in this thesis.

Declaration

This is to certify that this thesis contains no material which has previously been accepted for the award of any degree or diploma in any University. To the best of my knowledge and belief it contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text of the thesis.

If this thesis is accepted for the award of the degree, permission is granted for it to be made available for loan and photocopying.

Michael Oudshoorn

August 1992

Acknowledgments

I wish to acknowledge all the help and encouragement that I have received throughout my candidature as a Ph.D. student. In particular, I am indebted to my supervisor, Prof. Chris Marlin, for his advice, thoughts, guidance and encouragement; and to Dr. David Bover who acted as my supervisor whilst Prof. Marlin was on study leave during the second half of 1988 and early 1989. I also wish to express my thanks to Prof. Peter King and Dr. Brian Molinari for their comments on this thesis. I am also indebted to my wife, Jo, and my son, Daniel, for having to tolerate many late nights, and often having to come second behind my study. Many thanks to my parents, Bep and Nick, who supported and encouraged my study.

I also wish to thank the other members of the staff, the postgraduate students and visiting speakers, who have all contributed to this thesis in many small ways. Of particular note in this regard are Michael McCarthy and Ali Mili. I also wish to acknowledge the contribution of Keith Ransom, who developed the Ada code in Section 5.5 and carried out the experiments referred to in Section 5.7.

I would also like to thank all those companies that allowed me time on their machines and gave me access to their Pascal compilers to obtain the results discussed in Chapter 1; I have been asked by many of these companies not to publish their names.

Contents

Abstract	ii
Declaration	iv
Acknowledgments	v
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Descriptions of Syntax	2
1.2 Descriptions of Semantics	3
1.2.1 The Informal Approach	3
1.2.1.1 Historical Changes to the Pascal Definition	6
1.2.1.2 Problems within the Current Pascal Definition	8
1.2.2 Formal Definitions	14
1.3 The Model Presented in this Thesis	18
2 Algebraic Specification of Abstract Data Types	22
2.1 An Example of an Abstract Data Type	23
2.2 Axiomatizations	24
2.3 Error Conditions	31
2.4 Verifying the Specification	39

2.4.1	Consistency	39
2.4.2	Sufficient-Completeness	44
2.4.3	An Alternative Approach	47
2.5	The Use of Algebraic Specification Techniques in this Thesis	49
3	Describing Sequential Languages	51
3.1	Introduction	51
3.2	The Structure of the Multi Layered Model	53
3.3	A Model of Data Control	58
3.3.1	Embedding Semantics Within a Syntactic Description	59
3.3.2	Abstract Data Type Definitions – the Formal Foundation	62
3.3.3	The Information Structures and Their Operations	63
3.3.4	High Level Operations	70
3.3.5	The Semantic Descriptions	73
3.3.5.1	Local Declarations	73
3.3.5.2	Scope Rules	76
3.3.5.3	Parameters	80
3.4	Observations	87
4	A Tool for Language Definition and Interpreter Synthesis	89
4.1	Introduction	89
4.2	General	92
4.3	Lexical Analysis	93
4.4	The Syntactic Component	101
4.5	The Semantic Component	103
4.6	Abstract Data Type Definitions	108

4.7	Environment Variables	116
4.8	High Level Operations	118
4.9	Predefined Abstract Data Types	124
4.10	Implementation of ATLANTIS	125
4.11	Observations	133
4.12	Difficulties	138
5	Describing Parallel Languages	139
5.1	Introduction	139
5.2	Mallgren's Approach to Shared Data Abstractions	140
5.3	The Ada Rendezvous	145
5.4	A Shared Data Abstraction Model for the Ada Rendezvous	151
5.4.1	Modelling Interface Objects and Communication Lists	156
5.4.2	Primitives	161
5.4.3	Communication Events	163
5.4.3.1	The Deterministic_Send Event	164
5.4.3.2	The Conditional_Send Event	166
5.4.3.3	The Deterministic_Receive Event	168
5.4.3.4	The Nondeterministic_Receive Event	169
5.4.4	Final Comments on Mallgren's Approach	171
5.5	An Alternative Approach to Shared Data Abstractions	172
5.5.1	Using Shared Data Abstractions	189
5.5.2	Critical Regions	192
5.5.3	The Waituntil Clause	193
5.5.4	The Do Clause	195

5.5.5	The Lock Clause	196
5.5.6	General Comments	200
5.6	An Alternative Model for the Ada Rendezvous	201
5.6.1	Abstract Data Types for Modelling the Rendezvous Mechanism of Ada	205
5.6.2	Deterministic Send	206
5.6.3	Conditional Send	208
5.6.4	Deterministic Receive	210
5.6.5	Conditional Receive	212
5.7	Summary	212
6	Summary and Conclusions	215
6.1	Summary	215
6.2	Conclusions	219
6.3	Future Work	220
A	Predefined ADT's within ATLANTIS	227
A.1	The Boolean Data Type	227
A.2	The Integer Data Type	228
A.3	The Floating Point Data Type	229
A.4	The Character Data Type	230
A.5	The String Data Type	231
A.6	The Location Data Type	233
B	ADT implementation	235
B.1	The Table Package	235

C ADT's for the Neptune Definition	244
C.1 The Stack Data Type	244
C.2 The Table Data Type	245
C.3 The Symbol_Table Data Type	246
C.4 The Block_Info Data Type	248
C.5 The Declaration Data Type	249
C.6 The Initial_Value Data Type	250
C.7 The Kind Data Type	250
C.8 The Operation Data Type	251
C.9 The Basic_Types Data Type	252
C.10 The Attributes Data Type	253
D HLO's from the Neptune Definition	256
D.1 Procedure Initialize	256
D.2 Procedure Reset_Environment	256
D.3 Procedure Check_Block	256
D.4 Procedure Create_Block	257
D.5 Procedure Enter_Block	257
D.6 Procedure Exit_Block	257
D.7 Procedure Leave_Block	257
D.8 Procedure Note_Identifier	257
D.9 Function Recall_Identifier	258
D.10 Procedure Note_Previous_Arguments	258
D.11 Procedure Recall_Previous_Arguments	258
D.12 Procedure Record_Type	258

D.13 Procedure Record_Op	258
D.14 Procedure Record_Integer	258
D.15 Procedure Record_Real	259
D.16 Procedure Record_Boolean	259
D.17 Procedure Record_String	259
D.18 Procedure Reverse_Ident_Stack	259
D.19 Procedure Add_To_Symbol_Table	259
D.20 Procedure Add_Procedure_To_Symbol_Table	260
D.21 Procedure Add_Function_To_Symbol_Table	260
D.22 Procedure Ensure_Within_Function	260
D.23 Procedure Ensure_Within_Procedure	260
D.24 Procedure Ensure_Within_Loop	260
D.25 Procedure Start_Loop	261
D.26 Procedure Finish_Loop	261
D.27 Procedure Inherit_Via_Scope_Rules	261
D.28 Procedure Record_Start_Of_Block	261
D.29 Procedure Return_To_Caller	261
D.30 Function Reverse_Type_Stack	262
D.31 Procedure Transfer_To_Argument_List	262
D.32 Procedure Check_Call_To_Procedure	262
D.33 Procedure Check_Call_To_Function	262
D.34 Procedure Check_Call_To_Variable	262
D.35 Procedure Check_Boolean_Type	262
D.36 Procedure Check_Numeric_Type	263
D.37 Procedure Negate_Expression	263

D.38 Procedure Not_Expression	263
D.39 Procedure Determine_Exit_Statement	263
D.40 Procedure Determine_Branch	263
D.41 Function Compatible_Types	263
D.42 Procedure Equality_Test	264
D.43 Procedure Inequality_Test	264
D.44 Procedure And_Operation	264
D.45 Procedure Or_Operation	264
D.46 Procedure Less_Than_Operation	264
D.47 Procedure Greater_Than_Operation	265
D.48 Procedure Less_Or_Equal_Operation	265
D.49 Procedure Greater_Or_Equal_Operation	265
D.50 Procedure Plus_Operation	265
D.51 Procedure Minus_Operation	265
D.52 Procedure Mult_Operation	265
D.53 Procedure Divide_Operation	266
D.54 Procedure Evaluate_Expression	266
D.55 Procedure Perform_Output	266
D.56 Procedure Perform_Input	266
D.57 Procedure Perform_Assignment	266
D.58 Procedure Invoke_Block	266
D.59 Procedure Invoke_Block_Or_Variable	267

List of Tables

4.1	The syntactic categories for the definition of special lexical elements.	99
4.2	EBNF symbols used in ATLANTIS.	99
5.1	Call and return events for the infinite queue shared data abstraction.	142
5.2	The operations applicable to a synchronous communication port. . . .	155
5.3	The operations applicable to an asynchronous communication port. .	156
5.4	The operations applicable to an “In_Table_ADT” object.	158
5.5	The operations applicable to an “Out_Table_ADT” object.	159

List of Figures

1.1	Two of the test programs developed.	10
2.1	Algebraic specification of the abstract data type “Table”.	27
2.2	Collapsing the abstract data type “Table”.	32
2.3	The type “boolean”.	36
2.4	Revised abstract data type specification for “Table”.	40
3.1	Layering an operational semantic model.	53
3.2	Repetition (while-loop) defined in terms of selection.	56
3.3	Repetition (repeat-until) defined in terms of selection.	56
3.4	The form and definition of the for-loop.	57
3.5	Enhancing syntax with semantics.	60
3.6	A Pascal example.	61
3.7	Two-pass semantic description.	61
3.8	An abstract data type describing a FIFO list.	64
3.9	The abstract data type Link_Type.	65
3.10	A Pascal program and its static environment.	68
3.11	The dynamic environment for a Pascal program.	70
3.12	The high-level operation <code>member_of_symbol_table</code> .	71
3.13	The high-level operation <code>add_new_info</code> .	72

3.14 Local declarations.	75
3.15 Scope rules for Pascal – the first pass.	77
3.16 Scope rules for Pascal – the second pass.	79
3.17 Variable parameters.	81
3.18 Value parameters.	83
3.19 Procedural and functional parameters.	85
4.1 The keyword section of an ATLANTIS definition.	96
4.2 The operator specification within the definition of Neptune.	98
4.3 The special token definitions within an ATLANTIS definition.	100
4.4 The syntactic definition of a Pascal block.	102
4.5 ATLANTIS definition of a Pascal block with semantic definitions.	104
4.6 An identifier definition for ATLANTIS.	105
4.7 Flow chart illustrating syntax and semantics.	107
4.8 The definition of a table for input to ATLANTIS.	109
4.9 Assignment of ATLANTIS environment variables.	117
4.10 A procedure high level operation.	119
4.11 A function high level operation.	120
4.12 The if action within ATLANTIS.	121
4.13 Semantics of the exit action from within a loop.	122
4.14 Structure of the while loop.	122
4.15 Structure of the for loop.	123
4.16 Display the contents of an identifier stack.	123
4.17 Overall structure of ATLANTIS.	127
4.18 A simple language definition.	129
4.19 The parse tree for the input “ACC”.	130

4.20	The rules and the corresponding tree.	131
5.1	The shared data abstraction specification of an infinite queue.	141
5.2	A sample Ada program.	153
5.3	Communication paths of Ada tasks.	153
5.4	Types used in the description of Ada tasks.	158
5.5	Types used in the description of Ada tasks.	162
5.6	The “Deterministic_Send” event for Ada.	165
5.7	The “Conditional_Send” event for Ada.	167
5.8	The “Deterministic_Receive” event for Ada.	169
5.9	The “Nondeterministic_Receive” event for Ada.	170
5.10	Sequential and parallel access to an abstract data type object.	173
5.11	An example of the application of the object access rules.	175
5.12	An abstract data type representing a queue.	176
5.13	The specification of the queue data type.	177
5.14	The SDA envelope specification for the queue data type.	180
5.15	The package body for the shared data abstraction envelope for the queue abstract data type.	182
5.16	The specification of the “sda_monitor” package.	186
5.17	Example of the use of a shared data abstraction.	190
5.18	The textual form of a critical region.	193
5.19	Definition of a critical region in terms of semaphores.	193
5.20	A diagrammatic representation of a critical region.	193
5.21	The textual form of a waituntil clause.	194
5.22	Definition of the waituntil clause using semaphores.	194
5.23	A diagram representing the waituntil clause.	195

5.24 The textual form of a do clause.	196
5.25 Definition of the do clause using semaphores.	197
5.26 A diagrammatic view of the do clause.	198
5.27 Syntactic and diagrammatic representation of locked regions.	200
5.28 A record describing each Ada task.	202
5.29 Information associated with each entry point within a task.	202
5.30 An Ada program fragment.	203
5.31 The definition of a communication port.	205
5.32 The deterministic send event.	206
5.33 The conditional send event.	209
5.34 The deterministic receive event.	211
5.35 The conditional receive event.	213