

**FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE
DEUBIQUITYLATING ENZYME FAT FACETS IN
MOUSE IN PROTEIN TRAFFICKING**

MARK PRODOEHL

School of Molecular and Biomedical Sciences (Biochemistry)

University of Adelaide

September 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Abstract</i>	iv
<i>Declaration of Originality</i>	vi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
<i>Abbreviations:</i>	viii
<i>List of Tables</i>	xiii
<i>List of Figures</i>	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
The Ubiquitin Proteasome Pathway	2
<i>The Importance of Protein Half-life Regulation</i>	2
<i>Ubiquitin and the Proteasome</i>	2
<i>Regulation of Protein Substrates by the 26S Proteasome and the Need for Substrate Specificity</i>	4
Regulation of Protein Trafficking By Ubiquitylation	6
<i>The Endocytic/Endosomal Pathway</i>	6
<i>Signals for Internalisation</i>	10
<i>Ubiquitylation at the Early Endosome</i>	13
<i>Ubiquitylation at the Late Endosome/Multivesicular Body</i>	15
<i>The Role of the ESCRT Complexes in Endosomal Sorting</i>	16
<i>Sorting on the Biosynthetic Pathway</i>	17
<i>Targeting Proteins to the Lysosome</i>	22
<i>Ubiquitin on the Biosynthetic Pathway</i>	28
<i>The Dual Roles of Ubiquitin in Protein Trafficking</i>	29
<i>The β-Grasp Fold – Ubiquitin-Like Modifiers, Ubiquitin-Domain Proteins, and Their Functions</i>	31
Deubiquitylation	35
<i>Classes of Deubiquitylating Enzyme</i>	35
Fat Facets, a Deubiquitylating Enzyme of the Usp Class	40
<i>Fat Facets and Fat Facets in Mouse</i>	40
<i>FAM and its Substrates</i>	43
Aims and Hypotheses	58
<i>Hypotheses</i>	58
<i>Specific Aims and Objectives</i>	58
CHAPTER 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS	60
Materials and Antibodies	61
<i>Cell Culture Materials:</i>	61
<i>Antibodies:</i>	61
Plasmids	62
<i>Vector Construction:</i>	62
<i>Plasmid Preparation:</i>	68
Yeast Strains:	68
<i>MAV203 Genotype:</i>	68
<i>Control Strains:</i>	68
Bacterial Strains:	69
<i>Cloning:</i>	69
<i>Protein Expression:</i>	69
Yeast Transformation and Growth:	69
<i>Preparation and transformation of Competent Yeast:</i>	69
<i>Growth and selection of transformants:</i>	69
Bacterial Protein Induction:	70
Tissue Culture Techniques:	70
<i>Cell Culture and Transfection of HEK 293T Cells</i>	70
<i>Cell Culture and Transfection of COS-1 Cells</i>	71
Dual Luciferase Assays:	71
Protein Electrophoresis and Western Blotting:	72
Immunoprecipitation:	73
Antibody Purification Procedures:	73
<i>Protein Immobilisation</i>	73
<i>Purification</i>	74
GST-Affinity Chromatography:	74
<i>Purification of GST-Tagged Proteins on Glutathione Sepharose</i>	74
<i>GST-Affinity Chromatography:</i>	75

Purification of His-tagged Proteins on a Nickel Column:	75
Microscopy:	75
<i>Indirect Immunofluorescence</i>	76
Multiplex ELISA Analysis:	76
Solutions:	77
<i>Yeast Media:</i>	77
CHAPTER 3: INVESTIGATION OF THE PROTEIN-PROTEIN INTERACTIONS OF FAM/USP9X	79
Introduction and Chapter Summary	80
<i>Introduction</i>	80
<i>Chapter Summary</i>	82
Results	83
<i>Yeast Two-Hybrid:</i>	83
<i>Mammalian Two-Hybrid</i>	99
<i>GST Affinity Binding Assay</i>	100
<i>Characterisation of the FAM UBLD and Bacterial Expression</i>	110
<i>Identifying Interactors</i>	113
<i>FAMΔUBLD</i>	135
Discussion	139
<i>Yeast Two-Hybrid Analysis of Known Interactions</i>	139
<i>Mammalian Two-Hybrid</i>	140
<i>GST Affinity Chromatography</i>	141
<i>Identifying Interactors of FAM-UBLD</i>	142
<i>FAMΔUBLD</i>	147
<i>Concluding Remarks</i>	147
<i>Alternative Strategies to Investigate FAM Interactions:</i>	151
CHAPTER 4: INVESTIGATION OF THE ROLE OF FAM IN LYSOSOMAL PROTEIN TRAFFICKING	157
.....	157
Chapter Summary	158
Results	160
<i>Effect of Altered FAM Levels on Lysosomal Proteins – Multiplex ELISA Analysis</i>	160
<i>Sorted Cell Multiplex-ELISA analysis</i>	180
<i>Effects of Altered FAM Levels on Lamp1, ASA, I2S, and 4S</i>	196
<i>Immunofluorescence analysis of lysosomal protein localisation upon modulation of FAM levels</i>	198
Discussion	206
<i>Effect of Altered FAM Levels on Lysosomal Proteins – ELISA Analysis</i>	206
Conclusion	218
APPENDICES	220
Bibliography	243

Abstract

Fat facets in Mouse (FAM) or mUSP9x is a deubiquitylating enzyme of the USP class. Knockdown of FAM protein levels in mouse pre-implantation embryos by antisense oligonucleotides is known to prevent embryos from progressing to the blastocyst stage indicating an important role for FAM in early mammalian development. In mammals, the *Fam* gene is located on the X-chromosome. In mice, the Y homologue, *Dffry* or *usp9y*, is expressed exclusively in the testes and maps to the *Sxr^b* deletion (Brown et al., 1998). *Sxr^b* is associated with an early post-natal blockage of spermatogonial proliferation and differentiation leading to absence of germ cells (Bishop et al., 1988; Mardon et al., 1989). The human Y homologue of *Fam* is closely associated with oligozoospermia (Sargent et al., 1999; Sun et al., 1999) and the human X homologue has been linked to the failure of oocytes to pass through the first meiotic prophase in Turner syndrome (Cockwell et al., 1991; Speed, 1986). Despite these associations, the substrates and precise role of *Fam* and its homologues in these processes have not yet been defined. Due to the complex nature of *Fam* expression and the lack of data tying FAM to specific cellular functions, much attention has been paid in identifying interacting partners and cellular targets of FAM activity to aid in the definition of its role in the cell and development.

Three common molecular biology techniques were applied here in an attempt to further characterise known interactions of FAM, including interactions with the cell adhesion molecule β -catenin and the protein trafficking pathway proteins epsin-1 and itch. The aim of these investigations was to generate FAM mutants that could abolish individual interactions, enabling investigation of individual interactions in cellular function and development. These experiments failed to identify the amino acids of FAM that were critical for its interactions with β -catenin, epsin-1, or itch. Experiments aimed at characterising a novel ubiquitin-like domain located in the N-terminal half of the FAM protein, did however identify novel interactions of FAM with the three Golgi associated adaptor proteins GGA1, GGA2, and GGA3. Further investigations prompted by this interaction, examined the role of FAM in the trafficking of proteins from the Golgi apparatus. Cellular FAM protein levels were altered either by exogenous expression of FAM protein or knockdown of endogenous FAM using FAM specific shRNA triggers. The cellular protein levels and extent of post-translational modification of eleven lysosomal proteins were monitored in each case. It was found that increased FAM protein levels resulted in decreased cellular protein levels of five of the eleven lysosomal proteins studied. In contrast, a reduction in FAM protein levels was found to result

in an increase in the cellular protein levels of eight of the eleven lysosomal proteins. This study provides the first evidence of a deubiquitylating enzyme that is able to interact with the GGA proteins. It is also the first to describe a deubiquitylating enzyme that can affect the biosynthesis of lysosomal proteins and provides valuable new insight into the cellular function of FAM/USP9X.

Declaration of Originality

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Mark J Prodoehl

The Author acknowledges that copyright of published works contained within this thesis (as listed below) resides with the copyright holder/s of those works

Acknowledgements

To begin, I wish to thank Stephen Wood for his supervision and support which has kept me motivated for the past four and a half years. I also wish to thank all of the past and present members of the Wood lab including Lachlan Jolly, Susan Millard, Poon-Yu Khut, Michaela Scherer, and Suzanne Bresatz. Without your encouragement and support, the task of completing this PhD would have been that much harder. I especially wish to thank Suse for proving to me that it could be done.

I specifically acknowledge the contributions of the following people to this study. Poon-Yu Khut performed the partial proteolysis analysis of FAM which enabled the identification of the seven putative FAM domains described in Chapter 3. I had the pleasure of supervising Jess McIntyre for a third year research project in which she aided in the cloning and bacterial expression of the seven putative FAM domains described in chapter 3. Debbie Lang gave generously of her time to perform the multiplex analysis of all of the samples described in Chapter 4, specifically the data presented in Figures 4.2, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8, and 4.10.

I would also like to acknowledge those organisations that provided financial support and bench space throughout my PhD studies. My personal finances were generously supported for three and a half years by a Faculty of Sciences Divisional Scholarship through the University of Adelaide, and by the Child Health Research Institute. The Centre for the Molecular Genetics of Development (CMGD) also provided financial support for travel expenses to an international conference. I conducted all of the research described in this thesis at CHRI and wish to thank the institute for providing me with the space to undertake this study.

Some people that I would like give special thanks to are Assoc Prof Ray Rodgers for offering me employment and encouragement while I was still in the process of writing my thesis, and my friends for their understanding and patience while I undertook the difficult task of writing. To all of my family I give my heartfelt thanks. Without their love and support through the most difficult times I don't know that I could have completed this work.

Abbreviations:

4S	4-iduronate-sulphatase
α	anti
AC-LL	acidic di-leucine motif
AF-6	<i>ALL-I</i> fusion partner from chromosome 6
a-Gal	α -galactosidase
α -Gal	α -galactosidase
AP	adaptor protein complex
ASA	aryl sulphatase A
ASM	acid sphingomyelinase
ATP	adenosine tri-phosphate
b-Gal	β -galactosidase
β -Gal	β -galactosidase
bp	base pair
BSA	bovine serum albumen
$^{\circ}$ C	degrees Celsius
cb1	Casitas B-lineage lymphoma
CCV	clathrin coated vesicle
CD-MPR	cation dependent mannose-6-phosphate receptor
CI-MPR	cation-independent mannose -6-phosphate receptor
Dcx	doublecortin
DMEM	Dulbeco's Modified Eagle Medium
Doa4	dead on arrival 4
DUB	deubiquitylating enzyme
E1	ubiquitin activating enzyme
E2	ubiquitin conjugating enzyme
E3	ubiquitin ligase
E4	ubiquitin chain elongating factor
ECL	enhanced chemiluminescence

EGFP	enhanced green fluorescent protein
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EDTA	ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid
EE	early endosome
EGF	epidermal growth factor
EGFR	epidermal growth factor receptor
ENTH	epsin NH ₂ -terminal homology
Eps15	EGFR pathway substrate clone no 15
Epsin	Eps15 interacting protein
ER	endoplasmic reticulum
ES	embryonic stem
ESCRT	endosomal sorting complex required for transport
Faf	fat facets
FAM	fat facets in mouse (also used to refer to vertebrate homologues of FAM)
FAM CAT	region spanning murine FAM's catalytic domain (amino acids 1475-1918)
FBR	FAM-binding region
FCS	foetal calf serum
FD	FAM domain
GAA	acid alpha glucosidase
GAE	gamma adaptin ear-like
GAL4-DBD	DNA binding domain of the GAL4 transcription factor
GAL4-AD	activation domain of the GAL4 transcription factor
GAT	GGA and Tom-1
GFP	green fluorescent protein
GGA	Golgi-localised, γ -ear containing, ARF binding protein
gm	gram
GPC	Golgi to plasma membrane carrier
GTP	guanidine tri-phosphate
GSK3 β	glycogen synthase kinase 3 β
GST	glutathione S-transferase
GW	gateway

HA	haemagglutinin epitope tag
HECT	homology to E6AP C-terminus
HEK 293T	human embryonic kidney 293T
hr	hour/hours
HRP	horse radish peroxidase
Hrs	hepatocyte growth factor-regulated tyrosine kinase substrate
HSC70	heat shock cognate protein 70
I2S	iduronate-2-sulphatase
IdUA	Iduronidase
I κ B	inhibitor of nuclear factor κ B
I κ BK	inhibitor of nuclear factor κ B kinase
IPTG	isopropyl-b-D-thiogalactopyranoside
kb	Kilobase pairs
kDa	KiloDalton
L	Litre
LAMP	lysosomal membrane associated protein
LB	luria broth
LE	late endosome
LEF	lymphoid enhancer factor
LIMP	lysosomal integral membrane protein
Lqf	Liquid facets
M	molar concentration
M6P	mannose-6-phosphate
M6PR	mannose-6-phosphate receptor
MDa	mega Dalton
mM	milli-molar concentration
μ M	micro-molar concentration
min	minute(s)
MVB	multivesicular body
Na ⁺	ionic sodium

NaCl	sodium chloride
NF- κ B	nuclear factor κ B
nM	nano-molar concentration
μ L	micro-litres
μ g	micro-grams
N-sulph	N-sulphatase
OD	optical density
orf	open reading frame
PAGE	polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PBS	phosphate buffered saline
PBST	phosphate buffered saline with 0.3% Tween 20
PBSTM	phosphate buffered saline with 0.3% Tween 20 and powdered milk (Diploma)
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PEST	praline (P), glutamic acid (E), serine (S), and threonine (T) – an amino acid sequence associated with rapid protein degradation
PMSF	phenyl methyl sulphonyl chloride
PVC	pre-vacuolar compartment
Rcf	relative centrifugal force
RING	really interesting new gene
RTK	receptor tyrosine kinase
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
sec	second(s)
Sap-C	saposin-C
STAM	signal transducing adaptor molecule
SUMO	small ubiquitin-related modifier
TCF	T-cell factor
TGN	<i>trans</i> -Golgi network
TGF	transforming growth factor
TEMED	N,N,N'N'-tetramethyl-ethenediamine
TKB	tyrosine kinase binding domain

TTBS	tris buffered saline with Tween 20
Ub	ubiquitin
UBA	ubiquitin associated
UBL	ubiquitin-like
UBLD	ubiquitin-like domain
UDP	ubiquitin domain protein
UBP	ubiquitin specific processing proteases
UEV	ubiquitin E2 variant
UIM	ubiquitin interacting motif
USP	ubiquitin specific processing proteases
UCH	ubiquitin C-terminal hydroxylase
V	Volts
VHS	Vps27/Hrs/Stam
Vol/vol	volume per volume
Vps	vacuolar protein sorting factor

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Primers used for cloning of pDONR201 vectors for prey cDNAs.....	63
Table 2.2: Primers used for cloning of pDONR201 vectors for FAM domain cDNAs	65
Table 3.1: Control yeast strains used in yeast two-hybrid experiments	84
Table 3.2: Most abundant protein in bands analysed by mass spectrometry.....	116
Table 4.1: Summary of results obtained from multiplex ELISA of transient transfections .	181
Table 4.2: Summary of results obtained from multiplex ELISA of sorted cells	197

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: The Ubiquitin-Proteasomal Degradation Pathway.....	3
Figure 1.2: The Endosomal Pathway and the Role of Ubiquitin.....	8
Figure 1.3: Models of Biosynthetic Trafficking from the Golgi-Apparatus	20
Figure 1.4: Domain organization of the three GGA (Golgi-associated, gamma-adaptin ear-like) proteins	25
Figure 1.5: Sequence alignments showing the conserved catalytic regions which define the five classes of deubiquitylating enzyme	36
Figure 1.6: Putative Domains and Motifs of the FAM Deubiquitylating Enzyme.....	44
Figure 1.7: The Dual Roles of β -catenin	47
Figure 3.1: Self activation and 3AT titration trial for β -catenin DBD	86
Figure 3.2: A Yeast two-hybrid assay between a β -catenin FBR-DBD fusion and FAM fragment-AD fusions failed to identify any interactions	89
Figure 3.3: A Yeast two-hybrid assay between an E-cadherin cytoplasmic domain-DBD fusion and full length FAM or FAM fragment-AD fusions failed to identify any interactions	92
Figure 3.4: A Yeast two-hybrid assay between an Epsin1 DBD fusion and full length FAM or FAM fragment-AD fusions failed to identify any interactions.....	95
Figure 3.5: A reverse epsin1 yeast two-hybrid and a yeast two-hybrid between an Itch-DBD fusion and full length FAM or FAM fragment-AD fusions failed to identify any interactions.	97
Figure 3.6: A mammalian two-hybrid assay system could not be successfully applied to the identification of an interaction between Af-6 or β -catenin, and FAM.	101
Figure 3.7: Bacterial Inductions of GST fusions of predicted FAM domains 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7 by the standard protocol either failed to produce any protein or failed to produce sufficient quantities of soluble protein.....	106
Figure 3.8: Sequence analysis of the putative FAM UBLD.....	111
Figure 3.9: Bacterial expression and purification of GST-tagged FAM-UBLD	114
Figure 3.10: GST Affinity Chromatography – Unbiased approach	115
Figure 3.11: GST-affinity binding experiment with twice bound fusion protein.....	118
Figure 3.12: Assessment of a-GST and a-GST-FAM-UBLD antibody titre and specificity	120
Figure 3.13: GST affinity chromatography – Best Candidate approach	122
Figure 3.14: GST affinity chromatography – Best candidate approach optimization.....	124

Figure 3.15: GST-Pulldowns with overexpressed GGA proteins	128
Figure 3.16: Assessing the ability of endogenous FAM and the GGA proteins to interact in vivo by co-immunoprecipitation.....	130
Figure 3.17: Domain organization of the three GGA (Golgi-associated, gamma-adaptin ear-like) proteins	132
Figure 3.18: Reverse GST-Pulldown to test for the ability of the GGA proteins to interact with endogenous FAM.....	133
Figure 3.19: The effect of overexpression of the GGA proteins on the cellular localisation of FAM.....	136
Figure 3.20: Test expression of FAMDUBLD	138
Figure 4.1: Optimisation of transient transfection conditions for exogenous FAM expression	162
Figure 4.2: Investigating the effect of transient exogenous expression of FAM on the total cellular and secreted protein levels of eleven lysosomal proteins	163
Figure 4.3: Determining the efficacy of shRNA triggers directed against FAM	166
Figure 4.4: Time course of FAM knockdown	167
Figure 4.5: Effect of reducing endogenous FAM levels on total cellular protein levels of eleven lysosomal proteins.....	169
Figure 4.6: Effect of reducing endogenous FAM levels on secreted protein levels of eleven lysosomal proteins	175
Figure 4.7: Exogenous expression of FAM protein in GFP sorted transient transfections ..	183
Figure 4.8: Effect of using a more homogeneous population of FAM overexpressing cells on the cellular protein levels of eleven lysosomal proteins	184
Figure 4.9: Determining the level of FAM knockdown in GFP sorted 1800 shRNA transfected cells.....	190
Figure 4.10: Effect of using a more homogeneous population of FAM shRNA treated cells on the cellular protein levels of eleven lysosomal proteins	191
Figure 4.11: Effects of altered FAM levels on maturity and processing of selected lysosomal proteins.....	199
Figure 4.12: Exogenous expression of FAM in HEK 293T cells has no effect on intracellular distribution or localisation of selected lysosomal proteins	202
Figure 4.13: Exogenous expression of FAM in HEK 293T cells has no effect on intracellular distribution or localisation of selected lysosomal proteins	207