

# **Genes for sodium exclusion in wheat**

Caitlin Siobhan Byrt  
B. Sc (Hons) Plant Science

A dissertation submitted to the University of Adelaide  
in accordance with the requirements of  
the degree of PhD  
in the Faculty of Science,  
School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

October 2008

**Declaration**

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I acknowledge that copyright of published works contained within this thesis as listed below resides with the copyright holders of those works.

Signed:.....Date:.....

## **Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my supervisors, Rana Munns (CSIRO) and Mark Tester (University of Adelaide), for all their help, advice and support throughout my PhD, and for being fantastic supervisors. I would like to thank Richard James, Wolfgang Spielmeier, Shaobai Huang, Evans Lagudah, Matthew Gilliham and Steve Tyerman for their help and guidance.

I am very grateful to have had the opportunity to work at Plant Industry in the Crop Adaptation building with so many brilliant people and good role models. I am thankful for the support of friends both at CSIRO and ACPFG.

I would like to thank Paul and my family for their constant support throughout my PhD.

## List of publications from this thesis

### Journal publications

- Byrt CS, Platten JD, Spielmeier W, James RA, Lagudah ES, Dennis ES, Tester M, Munns R (2007) HKT1;5-like cation transporters linked to Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion loci in wheat, *Nax2* and *Kna1*. *Plant Physiology*. 143:1918-1928
- Byrt CS, Munns R (2008) Living with salinity. *New Phytologist* 179:903-905.

### Patents

- Byrt CS, James RA, Lagudah ES, Munns R, Platten JD, Spielmeier W (2008) Polynucleotides and methods for enhancing salinity tolerance in plants. Wipo Patent WO/2008/006169
- Byrt CS, Huang S, James RA, Lagudah ES, Munns R, Spielmeier W (2006) Salt tolerant plants. Australian provisional application No. 20069047 filed 31/9/06

### Conference posters

- Byrt CS, Platten JD, Spielmeier W, James RA, Lagudah ES, Dennis ES, Tester M, Munns R (2006) Is *Nax2* an HKT transporter? Gordon Research Conference, Salt and water stress in plants. Sept 3-7<sup>th</sup>, Oxford, UK.
- Byrt CS, Platten JD, Spielmeier W, James RA, Lagudah ES, Dennis ES, Tester M, Munns R (2007) Is *Nax2* an HKT transporter? 4<sup>th</sup> Annual ACPFG Research Symposium: The Genomics of Drought. 22-24 October, Adelaide, South Australia.
- Abbasov M, Byrt C, Spielmeier W, Street K, Shavrukov, Aliyev R, Tester M, Munns R (2008) Screening diploid wheats with various eco-graphic distributions for two salt tolerance genes. 5<sup>th</sup> International Crop Science Congress. April 13-18, ICC Jeju, Korea.

### Oral presentations

- Hare RA, James RA, Huang S, Byrt CS, Lagudah ES, Lindsay EP, Spielmeier W, Rathjen AJ, Munns R (2008) Breeding for salt tolerance in durum wheat. International durum wheat symposium: From Seed to Pasta, The Durum Wheat Chain. June 30 – July 3, Bologna, Italy.
- Byrt CS, James RA, Spielmeier W, Munns R, Gilliam M, Tester M (2008) Investigation of the function of a sodium transporter from wheat, HKT1;5. ComBio 2008, 22-25 September, Canberra, Australia.

## Table of contents

<b>Declaration</b> .....	2
<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	3
<b>List of publications from this thesis</b> .....	4
<b>List of figures</b> .....	8
<b>List of tables</b> .....	9
<b>List of appendices</b> .....	9
<b>List of abbreviations</b> .....	11
<b>Abstract</b> .....	13
<b>Chapter 1: General introduction and literature review</b> .....	15
<b>1.1 Background on wheat and saline soils</b> .....	15
1.1.1 <i>Wheat production, globally and in Australia</i> .....	15
1.1.2 <i>Evolution and domestication of wheat</i> .....	15
1.1.3 <i>Growing cereals in saline soils</i> .....	16
<b>1.2 Wheat physiology, salt tolerance and the transport of Na<sup>+</sup> from soil to leaves</b> .....	18
1.2.1 <i>Salinity imposes an osmotic stress</i> .....	18
1.2.2 <i>Salinity imposes an ionic stress</i> .....	18
1.2.3 <i>Na<sup>+</sup> uptake into roots</i> .....	19
1.2.4 <i>Na<sup>+</sup> does not substitute for K<sup>+</sup></i> .....	20
1.2.5 <i>Na<sup>+</sup> transport in the xylem from root to shoot</i> .....	21
<b>1.3 Background and rationale for thesis</b> .....	23
1.3.1 <i>Genetic variation for Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion in wheat</i> .....	23
1.3.2 <i>Quantifying sodium exclusion in wheat</i> .....	23
1.3.3 <i>Aims and objectives</i> .....	25
<b>1.4 Thesis outline</b> .....	25
<b>Chapter 2: Mapping and cloning <i>Nax2</i>; a gene for sodium exclusion in wheat</b> .....	26
<b>2.1 Introduction</b> .....	26
<b>2.2 Materials and methods</b> .....	27
2.2.1 <i>Plant material</i> .....	27
2.2.2 <i>Phenotyping</i> .....	28
2.2.3 <i>Genotyping</i> .....	28
2.2.4 <i>Microsatellite markers</i> .....	29
2.2.5 <i>RFLP probe development</i> .....	29
2.2.6 <i>Isolation of <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i></i> .....	30
2.2.7 <i>Isolation of RNA, RT-PCR and isolation of <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i></i> .....	31
2.2.8 <i>Isolation of <i>HKT1;5</i> promoters from Bacterial Artificial Chromosomes</i> .....	32
<b>2.3 Results</b> .....	33
2.3.1 <i>Analysis of putative <i>Nax2</i> single gene lines</i> .....	33
2.3.2 <i>Genetics of <i>Nax2</i></i> .....	33
2.3.3 <i>Microsatellite markers on chromosome 5AL linked to <i>Nax2</i></i> .....	35
2.3.4 <i>Co-segregation of putative Na<sup>+</sup> transport gene, <i>HKT1;5</i>, with <i>Nax2</i></i> .....	37
2.3.5 <i>Wheat <i>HKT1;5</i> gene homologues</i> .....	39
2.3.6 <i><i>Nax2</i> is homoeologous to the major Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion locus in bread wheat, <i>Kna1</i></i> 41	
2.3.7 <i>Isolation of full length <i>HKT1;5</i> gene members</i> .....	43
2.3.8 <i>Expression of <i>HKT1;5</i></i> .....	45
2.3.9 <i>Isolation of wheat <i>HKT1;5</i> promoters from Bacterial Artificial Chromosomes</i> 45	
<b>2.4 Discussion</b> .....	47
2.4.1 <i>Mapping of <i>Nax2</i></i> .....	47

2.4.2	<i>Relationship of Nax2 to the major salt tolerance gene in hexaploid wheat, Kna1</i>	48
2.4.3	<i>Similarity of phenotype between sodium excluding genes in rice and wheat</i>	48
2.4.4	<i>Summary</i>	50
<b>Chapter 3: Diversity of two genes for sodium exclusion in diploid A genome wheat</b>		
<b>ancestors</b>		51
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	51
3.1.1	<i>Allelic variation in HKT1;5 genes</i>	51
3.1.2	<i>Aims</i>	53
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	53
3.2.1	<i>Plant material</i>	53
3.2.2	<i>Phenotyping method</i>	54
3.2.3	<i>Marker development, PCR and RT-PCR</i>	54
3.2.4	<i>PCR fragment analysis</i>	55
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Results</b>	56
3.3.1	<i>Presence of Nax2 in diploid species</i>	56
3.3.2	<i>Leaf Na<sup>+</sup> concentrations in the diploid accessions</i>	59
3.3.3	<i>Linkage with Nax1 and interpretation</i>	60
3.3.4	<i>Expression of Nax1 and Nax2 may correlate with the Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion phenotype</i>	60
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	63
3.4.1	<i>Trends within species and sub-species</i>	63
3.4.2	<i>Interpreting the results for different PCR markers</i>	63
3.4.3	<i>Expression of Nax1 and Nax2 correlates with Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion in T. monococcum</i>	65
3.4.4	<i>New genetic variation for Na<sup>+</sup> exclusion</i>	65
3.4.5	<i>Eco-graphic distribution of diploid accessions and Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation</i>	66
<b>Chapter 4: Transport properties of wheat HKT1;5 and related genes in Xenopus laevis</b>		
<b>oocytes</b>		67
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	67
4.1.1	<i>Heterologous expression in Xenopus laevis oocytes and electrophysiology</i>	67
4.1.2	<i>The function of HKT-type transporters in Xenopus laevis oocytes</i>	68
4.1.3	<i>Heterologous expression of HKT1;5 in Xenopus laevis oocytes</i>	69
4.1.4	<i>Aims for this study</i>	69
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b>	70
4.2.1	<i>Building constructs for expression in Xenopus laevis oocytes</i>	70
4.2.2	<i>Transcription of RNA</i>	70
4.2.3	<i>Injection of cRNA into Xenopus laevis oocytes and electrophysiology</i>	71
4.2.4	<i>Total moles of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> per oocyte measured using a flame photometer</i>	72
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Results</b>	74
4.3.1	<i>Transcription of RNA</i>	74
4.3.2	<i>Measurement of currents in water-injected oocytes</i>	75
4.3.3	<i>Measurement of currents in AtHKT1;1 injected oocytes</i>	79
4.3.4	<i>Measurement of currents in OsHKT1;5 injected oocytes</i>	82
4.3.5	<i>Measurement of currents in OsHKT2;2 injected oocytes</i>	87
4.3.6	<i>Measurement of currents in TaHKT1;5-D injected oocytes</i>	91
4.3.7	<i>Total amount of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> extracted from oocytes expressing TaHKT1;5-D</i>	100
4.3.8	<i>Oocytes injected with TmHKT1;5-A cRNA did not exhibit the expected Na<sup>+</sup> transport activity</i>	102

4.3.9	<i>Potassium transport by HKTs</i> .....	106
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b> .....	108
4.4.1	<i>Transcription of RNA encoding plant HKTs</i> .....	108
4.4.2	<i>Currents in water-injected oocytes</i> .....	108
4.4.3	<i>Currents in AtHKT1;1 and OsHKT1;5 injected oocytes</i> .....	108
4.4.4	<i>Absence of activity for Pokkali OsHKT1;5 and TmHKT1;5-A</i> .....	109
4.4.5	<i>Does HKT1;5 transport Na<sup>+</sup> only, or Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> ?</i> .....	112
4.4.6	<i>Summary</i> .....	113
<b>Chapter 5: RNA interference to induce silencing of TaHKT1;5-D</b> .....		114
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b> .....	114
5.1.1	<i>RNA interference in plants</i> .....	114
5.1.2	<i>Transformation of wheat by particle bombardment</i> .....	117
5.1.3	<i>Aims</i> .....	117
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Materials and Methods</b> .....	118
5.2.1	<i>Plasmid preparation</i> .....	118
5.2.2	<i>Gene delivery by particle bombardment</i> .....	119
5.2.3	<i>Tissue culture and selection of transformants</i> .....	120
5.2.4	<i>Phenotyping T<sub>1</sub> plants</i> .....	120
5.2.5	<i>DNA extraction and PCR</i> .....	121
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Results</b> .....	122
5.3.1	<i>Transformation efficiency and contamination in tissue culture</i> .....	122
5.3.2	<i>Analysis of primary transgenic wheat plants</i> .....	123
5.3.3	<i>Analysis of the T1 generation of putative transgenic wheat plants</i> .....	126
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Discussion</b> .....	138
<b>Chapter 6: General Discussion</b> .....		140
<b>6.1</b>	<b>HKT1;5 homoeologues in wheat</b> .....	140
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Mapping of Nax2 and Kna1</b> .....	140
<b>6.3</b>	<b>The bread wheat HKT1;5 transports Na<sup>+</sup></b> .....	141
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Further questions and future directions</b> .....	142
<b>6.5</b>	<b>Improving the salinity tolerance of wheat and other crops</b> .....	145
<b>References</b> .....		147
<b>Appendix</b> .....		158

## List of figures

### Chapter 2:

Figure 2.1: Genotype of <i>Nax2</i> single gene lines .....	33
Figure 2.2: Segregation of the Na <sup>+</sup> exclusion gene, <i>Nax2</i> .....	34
Figure 2.3: Microsatellite markers linked to <i>Nax2</i> .....	36
Figure 2.4: Cosegregation of <i>HKT1;5</i> with <i>Nax2</i> .....	38
Figure 2.5: Chromosomal location of the <i>HKT1;5</i> fragments .....	40
Figure 2.6: Association of <i>HKT1;5</i> with <i>Kna1</i> .....	42
Figure 2.7: Gene structures of <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> , <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> and <i>OsHKT1;5</i> .....	44
Figure 2.8: Expression of <i>HKT1;5</i> in wheat analysed using RT-PCR .....	46

### Chapter 3:

Figure 3.1: Screening diploid accessions for <i>Nax2</i> with gene specific primers .....	58
Figure 3.2: Expression of <i>Nax1</i> and <i>Nax2</i> in selected diploid accessions .....	62
Figure 3.3: Wheat ancestors and wheat evolution .....	64

### Chapter 4:

Figure 4.1: RNA <i>in vitro</i> transcribed from pGEMHE .....	74
Figure 4.2: Currents over time in H <sub>2</sub> O injected oocytes exposed to a range of solutions with varying Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	76
Figure 4.3: Current-voltage curves for oocytes injected with water, in solutions with different cations and different Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	77
Figure 4.4: Current-voltage curves for oocytes injected with water, in solutions with 10 mM Na <sup>+</sup> and with different pH, calcium concentrations and channel blocking solutions .....	78
Figure 4.5: Currents over time in <i>AtHKT1;1</i> injected oocytes exposed to a range of solutions with varying Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	80
Figure 4.6: Current-voltage curves for <i>AtHKT1;1</i> expressing oocytes in solutions with different Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	81
Figure 4.7: Currents over time in <i>OsHKT1;5</i> (Nipponbare) injected oocytes exposed to a range of solutions with varying Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	83
Figure 4.8: Current-voltage curves for <i>OsHKT1;5</i> (Nipponbare) expressing oocytes in solutions with different Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	84
Figure 4.9: Current-voltage curves for oocytes expressing <i>OsHKT1;5</i> (Nipponbare), in solutions with various cations, different pH, and channel blocking solutions .....	85
Figure 4.10: Current-voltage curves for oocytes injected with cRNA products transcribed from pGEMHE containing the cDNA for <i>OsHKT1;5</i> (Pokkali) .....	86
Figure 4.11: Currents over time in <i>OsHKT2;2</i> injected oocytes exposed to a range of solutions with varying Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	88
Figure 4.12: Current-voltage curves for <i>OsHKT2;2</i> (Pokkali) expressing oocytes in solutions with different Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	89
Figure 4.13: Current-voltage curves for oocytes expressing <i>OsHKT2;2</i> (Pokkali), in solutions with various cations .....	90
Figure 4.14: Currents over time in <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> injected oocytes exposed to a range of solutions with varying Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	93
Figure 4.15: Cation selectivity of oocytes injected with <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> cRNA .....	94
Figure 4.16: Current-voltage curves for oocytes expressing <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> in solutions with different Na <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	95



Figure 4.17: Permeability of TaHKT1;5-D to Na <sup>+</sup> .....	96
Figure 4.18: Permeability of TaHKT1;5-D to K <sup>+</sup> .....	96
Figure 4.19: Current-voltage curves for TaHKT1;5 expressing oocytes in solutions with different Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> concentrations .....	98
Figure 4.20: Current-voltage curves for oocytes expressing TaHKT1;5-D in solutions of 10 mM Na <sup>+</sup> and differing pH, Ca <sup>2+</sup> and channel blockers .....	99
Figure 4.21: K <sup>+</sup> /Na <sup>+</sup> ratio and total moles of Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> extracted from oocytes expressing <i>TaHKT1;5</i> .....	101
Figure 4.22: Current-voltage curves for oocytes injected with cRNA products transcribed from pGEMHE containing the cDNA for <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> .....	104
Figure 4.23: K <sup>+</sup> /Na <sup>+</sup> ratio and total moles of Na <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>+</sup> extracted from oocytes injected with different concentrations of <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> <i>in vitro</i> -transcribed RNA products .....	105
Figure 4.24: Current-voltage curves for oocytes expressing different HKTs in solutions with 30 mM K <sup>+</sup> .....	107
Figure 4.25: Structural model of OsHKT1;5 .....	111

## Chapter 5:

Figure 5.1: A simplified diagram of the pSTARGATE vector for RNAi-induced gene silencing in monocotyledonous plants .....	116
Figure 5.2: Diagram of the fragments incorporated into the silencing construct in relation to the <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> gene .....	119
Figure 5.3: Growth of calli in tissue culture and subsequent transfer to soil .....	124
Figure 5.4: Amplification by PCR of a fragment specific to the RNA interference constructs from DNA from putative transgenic plants .....	125
Figure 5.5: Putative transgenic T <sub>1</sub> plants growing in hydroponics .....	127
Figure 5.6: Leaf three Na <sup>+</sup> concentrations of Bob White non-transgenic individual plants (A) and transgenic plants segregating for the empty pSTARGATE vector (B) from four different tanks .....	129
Figure 5.7: Leaf three Na <sup>+</sup> concentration of putative transgenic plants compared to Bob White controls grown in Tank 1 (A) and Tank 2 (B) .....	132
Figure 5.8: Leaf three Na <sup>+</sup> concentration of putative transgenic plants compared to Bob White controls grown in Tank 3 (A) and Tank 4 (B) .....	133
Figure 5.9: K <sup>+</sup> /Na <sup>+</sup> ratio of leaf 3 from putative transgenic plants of interest, from the first screen, compared to control Bob White plants from the respective tank .....	134
Figure 5.10: Leaf three Na <sup>+</sup> concentrations (A) and K <sup>+</sup> to Na <sup>+</sup> ratio (B) of putative transgenic plants of interest, in the second screen, compared to Bob White controls .....	136
Figure 5.11: Amplification by PCR of a fragment specific to the RNA interference constructs from DNA from individual T <sub>1</sub> plants of the plants of interest .....	137

## List of tables

Table 3.1: Average leaf Na <sup>+</sup> concentrations in selected <i>Triticum</i> spp. ....	57
--	----

## List of appendices

Appendix Table 2.1: Agarose gel electrophoresis results from PCR with microsatellite markers on chromosome 5AL .....	158
Appendix Table 3.1: Accession numbers of diploid wheat material .....	160
Appendix Table 3.2: Key to <i>HKT1;5</i> B gene members from wheat .....	160

Appendix Figure 2.1: Wheat <i>HKT1;5</i> RFLP probe sequence (331 bp).....	161
Appendix Figure 2.2: Wheat <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> full length genomic sequence showing introns (shaded) and exons (GenBank accession DQ646342) and predicted amino acid sequence...	163
Appendix Figure 2.3: Wheat <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> full length genomic sequence showing introns (shaded) and exons (GenBank accession DQ646339) and predicted amino acid sequence...	165
Appendix Figure 2.4: Alignment of <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> and <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> genomic sequences ...	168
Appendix Figure 2.5: Alignment of predicted amino acid sequences for <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> and <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> .....	169
Appendix Figure 2.6: Alignment of predicted amino acid sequences for <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> , <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> and <i>OsHKT1;5</i> using CLUSTAL 2.0.3 multiple sequence alignment.....	170
Appendix Figure 2.7: <i>TmHKT1;5-A</i> promoter .....	171
Appendix Figure 2.8: <i>TaHKT1;5-D</i> promoter .....	172
Appendix Table 4.1: Replicates of oocytes used in various experiments .....	173

## List of abbreviations

ABARE	Australian Bureau of Agriculture and Resource Economics
ACPFPG	Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics
bp	base pair
cDNA	complementary DNA
CSIRO	Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation
cv.	cultivar
2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation
GUS	$\beta$ -glucuronidase
HKT	High-affinity potassium ( $K^+$ ) transporter
kb	kilobase
kDa	kilodalton
LB	Luria-Bertani medium
mRNA	messenger RNA
NIL	near isogenic line
NLWRA	National Land and Water Resources Audit
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PPM	Plant preservative mixture
QTL	quantitative trait loci
RFLP	restriction fragment length polymorphism
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RNAi	ribonucleic acid interference
RT-PCR	reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
SEM	standard error of the mean
spp.	species (plural)
ssp.	subspecies
TE	Tris-EDTA buffer
TRIS	tris(hydroxymethyl)methylamine
U	enzyme unit
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

## Nomenclature

The current nomenclature for HKT transporters as described by Platten et al. (2006) and Huang et al. (2008)

New	Old	QTL
<i>AtHKT1;1</i>	<i>AtHKT1</i>	
<i>OsHKT1;5</i>	<i>OsHKT8</i>	<i>SKC1</i> (Ren et al., 2005)
<i>OsHKT2;1</i>	<i>OsHKT1</i>	
<i>TaHKT2;1</i>	<i>TaHKT1</i>	
<i>TmHKT1;4</i>	<i>TmHKT7</i>	<i>Nax1</i> (Huang et al., 2006)
<i>TmHKT1;5</i>	<i>TmHKT8</i>	<i>Nax2</i> (Byrt et al., 2007)
<i>TaHKT1;5</i>	<i>TaHKT8</i>	<i>Kna1</i> (Byrt et al., 2007)

## Abstract

Salinity stress limits the growth and productivity of agricultural crops in many regions of the world. Whole plant tolerance to soil salinity involves numerous processes in many different tissues and cell types. For many cereals, sensitivity to salinity is due to the accumulation of sodium ( $\text{Na}^+$ ) to toxic concentrations in the leaves. This thesis investigates a mechanism of control of  $\text{Na}^+$  accumulation in leaves of wheat.

Bread wheat excludes sodium from the leaves better than durum wheat. Bread wheat is hexaploid (AABBDD) whereas durum wheat is tetraploid (AABB). The D-genome in bread wheat carries a major locus for sodium exclusion, *Knal*, which may contribute to the differences in sodium exclusion between bread wheat and durum wheat.

An unusual durum wheat, Line 149, excludes sodium to a similar degree as bread wheat. Line 149 was derived from a cross between a *Triticum monococcum* (accession C68-101; AA) and a durum wheat (*T. turgidum* ssp. *durum* cv. Marrocos; AABB). Line 149 had been found to contain two major genes for sodium exclusion, named *Nax1* and *Nax2*, which appeared to retrieve sodium from the xylem sap in the roots and so prevent it reaching the leaves. Line 149 had been crossed with the durum wheat cv. Tamaroi, which accumulates high concentrations of  $\text{Na}^+$  in the leaves, and near-isogenic single-gene mapping populations had been developed for *Nax1* and *Nax2*. *Nax1* had been located on chromosome 2A. The objective of this thesis was to map *Nax2* and identify a candidate gene.

*Nax2* mapped to chromosome 5AL based on linkage to microsatellite markers. A high-affinity potassium ( $\text{K}^+$ ) transporter (HKT)-like gene, *HKT1;5* was considered as a candidate gene for *Nax2*, based on similarity of the phenotype to a rice orthologue. Sequence information from a wheat *HKT1;5*-like expressed sequence tag in the public database was used to develop a probe for use in Southern hybridisation. A *HKT1;5*-like fragment was identified in Line 149 and *T. monococcum* C68-101, but was absent in Tamaroi. The *HKT1;5*-like gene, named *TmHKT1;5-A*, co-segregated with *Nax2* in the *Nax2* single-gene mapping population. The *HKT1;5* probe identified three putative *HKT1;5*-like genes on the long arm of chromosome 4B, and one *HKT1;5*-like gene on the long arm of chromosome 4D, in Langdon (*T. turgidum* ssp. *durum*) substitution lines, and in Chinese Spring (*T. aestivum*) ditelomeric lines. No A-genome *HKT1;5* like gene was identified in Langdon or Chinese Spring.

The D-genome *HKT1;5* gene, named *TaHKT1;5-D*, was found to co-locate with *Knal*, the gene for sodium exclusion in bread wheat, in Chinese Spring chromosome 4D deletion lines. *Nax2* (*TmHKT1;5-A*) was found to be homoeologous with the gene for sodium exclusion in bread wheat, *Knal* (*TaHKT1;5-D*). *TmHKT1;5-A* and *TaHKT1;5-D*, and their

promoters, were 94% identical, and both were expressed in the roots of wheat plants. This is consistent with the genes being located in the stele of the roots and retrieving  $\text{Na}^+$  from the xylem sap as it flows towards the shoot, and so excluding  $\text{Na}^+$  from the leaves.

A marker for *TmHKT1;5-A* was developed to track this gene in durum wheat breeding programs. A study of the *HKT1;5* gene in diploid ancestors of wheat indicated that this gene is present in most *Triticum monococcum* accessions, some *T. boeoticum* accessions, but not present in any *T. urartu* accessions. *T. urartu* is the likely A genome ancestor of modern wheat. This may explain the absence of *HKT1;5* in the A genome of modern wheat.

The protein encoded by *TaHKT1;5-D* transported sodium when expressed in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. The inward currents were specific to  $\text{Na}^+$ , but at particular mole fractions of  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{K}^+$  outward currents were observed that were consistent with outward  $\text{K}^+$  transport. These data were consistent with the putative physiological function, of retrieving  $\text{Na}^+$  from the xylem sap as it flows to the leaves, and resulting in a net exchange with  $\text{K}^+$ .

A construct designed to silence the expression of *TaHKT1;5-D* was introduced to bread wheat cv. Bob White. Nineteen putative transgenic plants were developed. The leaf  $\text{Na}^+$  concentrations and genotype of the  $T_1$  individuals were assayed. The data from two of the transgenic plants indicated that *TaHKT1;5-D* may have been silenced and that this may have lead to the increase in  $\text{Na}^+$  accumulation in the leaves. However, this data is not conclusive at this time.

The information gained from this study will assist the introduction of the  $\text{Na}^+$  exclusion trait into current durum cultivars, which are poor at excluding  $\text{Na}^+$  and are salt sensitive. This information will also contribute to the body of knowledge of ion transport in plants and salinity tolerance in wheat.