

Molecular characterisation of differentially expressed genes in the interaction
of barley and *Rhynchosporium secalis*

Submitted by

Jafar Sheikh Jabbari, B.Sc., M.Sc.

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy

Discipline of Plant and Food Science

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine

Faculty of Sciences

University of Adelaide, Waite Campus

Glen Osmond, SA, 5064

Australia

STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

This work contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution. This thesis does not contain any material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying.

Jafar Sheikh Jabbari

July 2009

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my supervisors, Professor Peter Langridge and Dr Klaus Oldach for their supervision, support, discussion and in the preparation of this thesis.

I wish to express my gratitude to Drs Alexander Johnson and Stuart Roy for their help in capturing confocal images, Dr Yuri Shavrukov for his help in setting up salt experiment. Many thanks to Drs Neil Shirley and Paul Bogacki for conducting Q-PCR analysis on many cDNAs samples and Dr Rohan Singh and Ms Konstanze Beck-Oldach for stable transformation of barley.

My thanks also extend to Dr Thorsten Schnurbusch for helpful discussions on drought stress experiment, Dr Ute Bauman for bioinformatics and Dr Juan Juttner for advice on protein expression experiments. I wish to thank Dr Hugh Wallwork for providing *Rhynchosporium secalis* isolates, Dr Andrew Jacobs for providing Gateway enabled binary vector and Dr Alexander Yephremov for providing *Arabidopsis fiddlehead* mutant seeds and collaboration in complementation experiments.

Thanks are due to Dr Ursula Reimold-Langridge for taking good care of my plants in the glasshouse and management staff at ACPFG Adelaide node specially Jan Nield and David Harris. Many thanks to staff and students in Langridge lab for lending me their lab skills.

Finally special thanks goes to my mother for her encouragement and Elsden family and specially Joanne for their understanding, endless patience and support.

Publications

Conference Presentations:

- **Sheikh Jabbari**, J.; Langridge, P. and Oldach, K. (2006) Characterisation of a galactinol synthase and a Fiddlehead-like gene from barley. Proceedings of XV Federation of European Societies of Plant Biology Congress, Lyon/ France, 17-21st July 2006.
- **Sheikh Jabbari**, J.; Shirley, N.; Langridge, P. and Oldach, K. (2006) Characterisation of stress responsive genes from barley. Proceedings of the 8th International Congress of Plant Molecular Biology, Adelaide/Australia, August 20-25th 2006.
- **Sheikh Jabbari**, J.; Langridge, P. and Oldach, K. (2005). Characterization of differentially expressed genes in the interaction of barley and *Rhynchosporium secalis*. Proceedings of the 2nd ACPFG Annual Symposium, Clare Valley, SA/Australia 7-10th November 2005

List of Abbreviations

3'- or 5'-RACE	3' or 5' rapid amplification of cDNA ends
3'UTR	3' untranslated region
<i>Avr</i>	Avirulence gene
bp	Base pair(s)
BLAST	Basic Logical Alignment Search Tool
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
°C	Degree Celsius
cDNA	Complementary DNA
Ci/mmol	Curies per millimole
CP	Cycling program
d	Day(s)
Da	Dalton
dCTP	Deoxycytidine triphosphate
DNA	Deoxyribose nucleic acid
DNase	DNA hydrolase
dNTP	Deoxynucleotide triphosphate
dsRNA	Double stranded RNA
DTT	Dithiothreitol
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid
<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i>
EST	Expressed sequence tag
Fdh	Fiddlehead
x g	Unit of relative centrifugal force
gDNA	Genomic DNA
G(s)OI	Gene(s) of interest
GolS	Galactinol synthase
GFP	Green fluorescent protein
<i>Gus</i>	β-glucuronidase gene
h	Hour(s)

hpi	Hours post-inoculation
HR	Hypersensitive response
INA	Iso-nicotinic acid
IPTG	Isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactosidase
ISR	Induced systemic resistance
JA	Jasmonic acid
kb	Kilobase pairs
KDa	Kilodalton(s)
l	Litre(s)
LB	Luria-Bertani
LBA	Luria-Bertani agar
LiBA	Lima bean agar
M	Molar
MCS	Multiple cloning site
ml	Milliliter
min	Minute(s)
mg	Milligram(s)
nm	Nanometer
MOPS	3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid
mRNA	Messenger RNA
Ni-NTA	Nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid
OD ₆₀₀	Optical density at 600 nm
SDS-PAGE	SDS-Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
pI	Isoelectric point
Poly A ⁺	Polyadenylated
PR(s)	Pathogenesis related protein(s)
PVP	Polyvinyl pyrrolidone
QTL	Quantitative trait loci
Q-PCR	Real-time Reverse Transcript PCR
RNA	Ribonucleic acid

RNase	RNA hydrolase
RNAi	RNA interference
rpm	Revolutions per minute
RT	Room temperature
RT	Reverse transcriptase
RT-PCR	Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction
SA	Salicylic acid
SAR	Systemic acquired resistance
Sarkosyl	N-lauroysarcosine
SDS	Sodium dodecyl sulphate
SDS-PAGE	SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
sec	Second(s)
TAE	Tris acetate EDTA
TE	Tris EDTA
T _m	Melting temperature
Tris	Tris[hydroxymethyl] amino methane
Tris-HCl	Tris (hydroxymethyl) amino methane hydrochloride
µg	Micrograms(s)
µl	Microliter(s)
UV	Ultraviolet
v/v	Volume/volume
w/v	Weight/volume
x	Times
x-gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside

Summary

The barley scald pathogen (*Rhynchosporium secalis*) causes extensive economic losses, not only through lost product and quality, but also due to costs associated with chemical control. Economic and environmental impacts and the emerging resistance to fungicides and dominant resistance genes are reasons to understand molecular defence responses in order to develop new strategies to increase resistance of barley to this pathogen. In most pathosystems, defence gene expression in susceptible or resistant genotypes commonly differs quantitatively. Thus, differentially expressed genes between genotypes contrasting for response to infection by pathogens are considered candidate genes that have a role in resistance. This thesis presents functional analysis of a subset of genes isolated from a Suppression Subtractive Hybridisation library. The library was previously established and enriched for differentially expressed genes in epidermis of resistant and susceptible near-isogenic barley cultivars inoculated with *R. secalis*. Functional characterisation involved both investigating their putative biochemical function as well as the genes' role(s) in biotic and abiotic stress responses.

Three cDNA clones from the library were selected based on the putative function of the encoded proteins and the full length of the clones and their homologues were isolated from cDNA and genomic DNA. One of the clones represented a member of the pathogenesis-related protein family 17 (PR-17). Southern hybridisation showed that a small multigene family encodes the barley PR-17 proteins. Three members were cloned with two of them being novel. The second clone was homologous to galactinol synthases (*GolS*) and Southern blot analysis indicated existence of two *GolS* genes in the barley genome and subsequently two *HvGolS* members were isolated. The last clone (a single gene) showed similarity to very long chain fatty acid elongases, which indicates its involvement in synthesis of cuticular waxes. A characterised Arabidopsis mutant named *fiddlehead* (*Atfdh*) was highly similar to this gene and it was named *HvFdh*.

Detailed expression analysis using Q-PCR, Northern blot analysis and publically available microarray data revealed that the isolated genes are regulated in response to a variety of abiotic and biotic stresses as well as different tissues during barley development. Under

some treatments expression patterns were consistent with their putative roles and in agreement with results of other studies. Nevertheless, in other treatments expression profiles were not in agreement with previous findings in other plants indicating potentially different stress adaptation mechanisms between species.

Further insight into the function of the encoded proteins was gained by their subcellular localisation using transient expression as GFP fusion proteins followed by confocal laser scanning microscopy. The results were in agreement with *in silico* predictions and their putative cellular function. In addition, a comprehensive list of homologous genes from other species was compiled for each gene by using public EST databases. Analyses of phylogenetic relationship and multiple sequence alignment of the homologues provided further clues to their function and conserved regions of the proteins.

HvPR-17 anti-fungal properties were investigated by heterologous protein expression in *E. coli* and subsequent *in vitro* bioassays using purified protein under different conditions against a number of phytopathogenic fungi. However, no anti-fungal activity was observed.

A construct with the *AtFdh* promoter driving the coding region of barley Fiddlehead was used for complementation of the Arabidopsis *fiddlehead* mutant to investigate functional orthology between these genes from dicots and monocots. The Arabidopsis *fiddlehead* mutant phenotype that shows contact-mediated organ fusion, germination of spore on epidermis and reduced number of trichomes was completely reverted by *HvFdh*.

Finally, more than fifty transgenic barley lines were regenerated over-expressing or suppressing one of the three genes. The analyses of the transgenic progeny exhibited some interesting developmental phenotypes and resistance to scald and drought tolerance. These lines are awaiting further experiments to investigate the effect of altered expression in conferring resistance to other pathogens and abiotic stress tolerance as well as biochemical analysis.

Collectively, in this work six barley genes were cloned and characterised by a variety of *in silico* techniques, temporal and transient expression analyses, subcellular localisation, *in vitro* bioassays and mutant complementation in Arabidopsis and loss- and gain-of-function

transgenic barley plants. This work has provided insight into the function of these gene families in barley. Furthermore, the data suggest that they are regulated by the defence response to pathogenic fungi as well as drought, salinity and frost in barley.

CHAPTER 1: GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	2
1.2 Plant defence against pathogens	2
1.2.1 Preformed defence mechanisms	4
1.2.2 Inducible defence responses	5
1.2.3 Difference in compatible and incompatible interactions	8
1.3 Barley–<i>Rhynchosporium</i> pathosystem.....	8
1.3.1 <i>Rhynchosporium secalis</i>	9
1.3.2 Infection process and changes in host tissue	11
1.3.3 Histopathology of infection in susceptible and resistant barley cultivars	13
1.3.4 Factors affecting disease symptoms	14
1.3.5 Resistance genes	15
1.3.6 Biochemical and molecular studies	17
1.3.7 Problems controlling scald	19
1.4 Candidate gene identification and characterisation	20
1.4.1 Candidate gene identification	20
1.4.2 Expression analysis for gene characterisation	21
1.4.3 Gain- and loss-of-function.....	22
1.4.4 Protein subcellular localisation	23
1.4.5 Heterologous protein expression	23
1.5 Objectives	24
CHAPTER 2: GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	28
2.1 Introduction	29
2.2 Materials	29
2.2.1 Plant materials	29
2.2.2 Fungus isolates	29
2.2.3 Interaction of barley cultivars and <i>R. secalis</i> isolates.....	29

2.3 Plant growth condition	30
2.4 Initiation of fungal cultures and preparation of spores	30
2.5 Inoculations and disease symptom assessment.....	31
2.6 Drought experiment.....	31
2.7 Salt experiment	33
2.8 Frost experiment	35
2.9 Measurement of ion concentration	35
2.10 Extraction and purification of nucleic acids	35
2.11 PCR amplification	38
2.12 Synthesis of cDNAs and RACE	38
2.13 Real-time reverse transcript PCR (Q-PCR).....	39
2.14 Ligation of DNA fragments into plasmid vectors.....	40
2.15 Preparation of competent cells and transformation of <i>E. coli</i>	41
2.16 Extraction of plasmid DNA.....	41
2.17 DNA sequencing	42
2.18 Preparation of [α-³²P]-radio labelled DNA probes	42
2.19 Southern blot analysis.....	42
2.20 Northern blot analysis.....	42
2.21 Construction of over-expression and antisense vectors	43
2.22 Construction of dsRNAi vectors	44
2.23 Construction of GFP fusion vectors	45
2.24 Transient expression by microprojectile bombardment	45

2.25 Fluorescence and confocal microscopy.....	47
2.26 Subcellular localisation of GFP-fusion protein	48
2.27 Stable transformation of barley	48
2.28 Genetic analysis of transgenic lines	48
2.29 Phenotyping transgenic plants	48
2.30 Sequence analysis.....	49
2.31 Homology searches and extension of sequences	49
2.32 Online analysis tools	50
2.33 Transcript profiling using Barley1 22k GeneChip	51
2.34 Phylogenetic analysis	52
CHAPTER 3: CHARACTERISATION OF BARLEY PR-17 FAMILY	53
3.1 Introduction	54
3.2 Materials and methods	58
3.2.1 Isolation of full length cDNA and genomic sequences of barley PR-17 members	58
3.2.2 Heterologous expression, purification and desalting	58
3.2.3 Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	59
3.2.4 Western blot.....	59
3.2.5 Bioassay	60
3.3 Results	61
3.3.1 Isolation of three genes encoding barley PR-17 family members	61
3.3.2 Sequence analysis of the barley <i>HvPR-17</i>	69
3.3.3 Similarity search and phylogenetic analysis	69
3.3.4 Prediction of PR-17c secondary structure and post-translational modifications.....	73
3.3.5 DNA gel blot analysis.....	77
3.3.6 Analysis of <i>PR-17</i> expression under biotic stresses.....	77
3.3.7 Expression analysis under abiotic stresses and development	81
3.3.8 Bioassay	87

3.3.9 Subcellular localisation.....	92
3.3.10 Generation of transgenic lines.....	92
3.3.11 Expression and phenotypic analysis of transgenic lines	97
3.4 Discussion	106
3.4.1 Cloning and analysis of <i>HvPR-17</i> genes	106
3.4.2 Phylogenetic analysis	108
3.4.3 Expression profiling under biotic and abiotic stresses	108
3.4.4 Subcellular localisation.....	111
3.4.5 Do <i>HvPR-17</i> s have a role in resistance	112
CHAPTER 4: CHARACTERISATION OF N194.....	115
4.1. Introduction	116
4.2 Materials and Methods.....	119
4.2.1 Isolation of full-length cDNA and genomic DNA of <i>HvGoS1</i> and <i>HvGoS2</i>	119
4.2.2 Heterologous expression and purification of recombinant <i>HvGoS1</i>	119
4.3 Results	120
4.3.1 Isolation of two barley <i>GoS</i> family members	120
4.3.2 Sequence analysis	120
4.3.3 Similarity search and phylogenetic analysis	129
4.3.4 Subcellular localisation.....	130
4.3.5 Expression analysis.....	130
4.3.6 Recombinant <i>HvGoS1</i> expression	143
4.3.7 Generation and analysis of transgenic lines	143
4.4 Discussion	158
4.4.1 Cloning and analysis of <i>HvGoS</i> genes	158
4.4.2 Transcript profiling	159
4.4.3 Phylogenetic analysis	163
4.4.4 Transgenic plants	164
CHAPTER 5: CHARACTERISATION OF D1057 CLONE.....	166
5.1 Introduction	167

5.2 Materials and Methods.....	172
5.2.1 Isolation of barley <i>Fiddlehead</i> cDNA and genomic DNA	172
5.2.2 Construction of vectors for expression in Arabidopsis	172
5.2.3 Transient transformation of pAtFd़h::GFP	173
5.2.4 PCR based genotyping of <i>AtFd़h</i> alleles	173
5.3 Results	174
5.3.1 Isolation and analysis of barley <i>Fiddlehead</i>	174
5.3.2 Subcellular localisation.....	175
5.3.3 Prediction of HvFd़h secondary structure and post-translational modifications	175
5.3.4 Phylogenetic analysis	183
5.3.5 Analysis of <i>HvFd़h</i> expression under biotic and abiotic stresses.....	183
5.3.6 Complementation of the Arabidopsis <i>fiddlehead</i> mutant	200
5.3.7 Producing and analysis of transgenic barley lines	200
5.4 Discussion	213
5.4.1 Analysis of the <i>Fdh</i> gene	213
5.4.2 Evidence for function	213
5.4.3 Development of an <i>AtFd़h</i> genotyping technique and promoter analysis.....	216
5.4.4 Expression analysis.....	217
5.4.5 Transgenic plants	218
CHAPTER 6: SUMMARY AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS.....	222
6.1 Summary of experimental results	223
6.2 Future work	226
6.3 Concluding remarks	226
APPENDICES.....	229
Appendix A: Cycling programs	230
Appendix B: Hybridization probes' sequences	239
Appendix C: Primer sequences	242
Appendix D: Sequences of vector derived primers	245

Appendix E: Abiotic stress general results	246
Appendix F: Control genes' values and normalisation factors	248
Appendix G: Vector maps	252
REFERENCES:	263