

Computational aspects of generalized continua based on moving least square approximations

Sebastian Skatulla

Thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

September, 2006

School of Mechanical Engineering
The University of Adelaide

Abstract

In recent years, current engineering technology lead to a renewed interest in generalized continuum theories. In particular, generalized continua are able to address fundamental physical phenomena which are related to the underlying microstructure of the material. Specifically scale-effects are of special interest.

In this work a generalized deformation formulation is developed which allows to incorporate material information from the microscopic and the macroscopic space into an unified constitutive model. The approach is based on a theory developed by Sansour (1998) which was originated in theoretical considerations of Ericksen and Truesdell (1957) and later on Eringen and his co-workers (Eringen 1999). The basic idea is to construct a generalized continuum consisting of macro- and micro-continuum and subsequently to compose the generalized deformation by a macro- and micro-component. This procedure results in a generalized problem formulation. Furthermore, new strain measures as well as corresponding field equations can be identified. Here, it is assumed that the deformation field can only be varied within the macro-continuum so that the balance equations are established for the macro-space. The constitutive law is defined at the microscopic level and the geometrical specification of the micro-continuum is the only material input which goes beyond those needed in a classical description.

A special detail of this approach is that it involves first order strain gradients which are expressed by second order derivatives of the displacement field. It allows to address relative motion between micro- and macro-space without adding extra degrees of freedom. In order to model this formulation this work makes use of a meshfree method based on moving least squares (MLS) which is able to provide the required higher order continuity (Lancaster and Salkauskas 1981).

Examples of meshfree methods are the diffuse element method (Nayroles, Touzot, and Villon 1992), the element-free Galerkin method (Belytschko, Lu and Gu 1994), the reproducing kernel particle method (Liu and Chen, 1995), the partition of unity method (Melenk and Babuska 1997) and the hp-cloud method (Duarte and Oden 1996), just to name a few. It was demonstrated that these kind of methods can deal especially well with problems which are characterized by large deformation or changing domain geometry. The potential in modelling formulations involving higher order derivatives has not been widely recognized yet, with the exception of a few one- respectively two-dimensional case studies (Tang *et al.*, 2003).

This work now aims to illustrate the excellent applicability of the proposed generalized deformation formulation in combination with MLS by modelling elastic and plastic problems which are proven to exhibit size-scale effects (Yang and Lakes 1981; Fleck *et al.* 1994; Aifantis 1999; Lam *et al.* 2003). Furthermore, a large-scale case study on underground excavation design reveals the potential and adaptivity of this theory with respect to heterogeneous material such as rock.

Statement of originality

This work contains no material which has been accepted to the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available in all forms of media, now or hereafter known.

Student's Signature

Date

Acknowledgements

Prof. Carlo Sansour encouraged me to take up an academic career and gave me the opportunity to study at the University of Adelaide. During my Master as well as my PhD studies he provided me with continuous guidance and advice on the directions this thesis should pursue. His sharp editorial eye and constructive criticism helped me to do my very best in writing this thesis. Furthermore, I very much appreciated his support and friendship which I found to be invaluable. In particular, he introduced me to the magical world of Tango Argentino.

Dr. Suzanne Hunt never lost belief in me. Her support was decisive to receive the extension of my scholarship which made it possible for me to successfully finish my PhD-studies.

Prof. Peter Behrenbruch, I also want to thank for his support, encouragement and interest he took on my research.

Prof. Colin Hansen helped me to adapt and feel comfortable in the School of Mechanical Engineering after having left the Australian School of Petroleum. He always had good advice for me and supported my leave to the University of Nottingham to finish my thesis there.

Dr. Bassam Dally who accepted my supervision, when I became a student in the School of Mechanical Engineering. I valued his interest in my research progress.

My charming partner Aphrodite for her emotional support, patience and understanding putting up with me during the last stages of my PhD-studies.

Contents

List of figures	X
List of tables	XI
Notation and list of symbols	XII
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Thesis motivation, aim and objectives	10
1.3 Layout of the thesis	13
2 Foundations of continuum mechanics	15
2.1 Kinematics and geometry	15
2.2 Stress measures	18
2.3 Balance Laws of Continuum Mechanics	19
2.3.1 Conservation of mass	19
2.3.2 Linear momentum principle	20
2.3.3 Angular momentum principle	22
3 Meshfree methods	24
3.1 Meshfree approximation based on MLS	24
3.1.1 Moving Least Square Method	24
3.1.2 Weight function	30
3.1.3 Basis polynomial	35
3.2 MLS-approximation characteristics	37
3.3 Details of a MLS implementation	50
3.3.1 Numerical integration	51

3.3.2	Enforcement of essential boundary conditions	53
4	Classical Green strain tensor-based formulation	60
4.1	A modified variational principle	60
4.2	A stabilized modified variational principle	62
4.3	Numerical examples	64
4.3.1	Study on essential boundary condition enforcement	64
4.3.2	Shell deformation examples	69
5	Cosserat continuum	74
5.1	Overview	74
5.2	Strain measures of the Cosserat continuum	74
5.3	Variation of the rotation group	76
5.4	The weak form and its corresponding equilibrium equations	77
5.5	Multiplicative updating of the rotation field	80
5.6	Enforcement of displacement boundary conditions	83
5.7	Numerical examples	83
6	Generalized Continua	90
6.1	Generalized deformation	90
6.2	Generalized continuum based on a triade of normal vectors \mathbf{n}_α	93
6.2.1	Generalized Cauchy-Green deformation tensor	94
6.3	Generalized continuum involving the macroscopic basis vectors \mathbf{g}_α	96
6.3.1	Generalized Cauchy-Green deformation tensor	97
6.3.2	A generalized variational formulation and its corresponding equilibrium equations	98
6.3.3	Numerical examples	102
6.4	Generalized micropolar continuum involving the macroscopic rotation tensor \mathbf{R}	118
6.4.1	Generalized micropolar strain measures	119
6.4.2	The generalized variational formulation and its corresponding equilibrium equations	120
6.4.3	Numerical examples	123

7 Experiments in mixed and modified formulations with higher order derivatives	127
7.1 Overview	127
7.2 Variational principle with independent displacement and stress field	128
7.2.1 First version	128
7.2.2 Second version	129
7.2.3 Numerical applicability	130
7.3 Variational principle with independent displacement, rotation and stress field	133
7.4 Modified variational principle inspired by the <i>Hu-Washizu</i> functional	135
7.4.1 Variational formulation	135
7.4.2 Numerical experiments	137
7.5 Integral form of the equilibrium equations	140
7.6 Summary	141
8 Conclusion	142
9 Future work	145
A Customizing a spline with a specific continuity	146
B Parallelization	150
C Iterative stabilization parameter determination algorithm	158
D Some definitions and relations of tensor calculus	160
Bibliography	163

List of Figures

3.1	<i>domain covering</i>	30
3.2	<i>cubic spline $\Phi = w(x) w(y)$</i>	32
3.3	<i>first order derivative of the cubic spline $\Phi_{,y} = \frac{1}{\varrho} w w_{,y}(y)$</i>	32
3.4	<i>second order derivative of the cubic spline $\Phi_{,xy} = \frac{1}{\varrho^2} w_{,x}(x) w_{,y}(y)$</i>	32
3.5	<i>second order derivative of the cubic spline $\Phi_{,xx} = \frac{1}{\varrho^2} w(x) w_{,yy}(y)$</i>	32
3.6	<i>quartic spline $\Phi = w(x) w(y)$</i>	33
3.7	<i>first order derivative of the quartic spline $\Phi_{,y} = \frac{1}{\varrho} w(x) w_{,y}(y)$</i>	33
3.8	<i>second order derivative of the quartic spline $\Phi_{,xy} = \frac{1}{\varrho^2} w_{,x}(x) w_{,y}(y)$</i>	33
3.9	<i>second order derivative of the quartic spline $\Phi_{,yy} = \frac{1}{\varrho^2} w(x) w_{,yy}(y)$</i>	33
3.10	<i>Gaussian spline $\Phi = w(x) w(y)$</i>	34
3.11	<i>first order derivative of the Gaussian spline $\Phi_{,y} = \frac{1}{\varrho} w(x) w_{,y}(y)$</i>	34
3.12	<i>second order derivative of the Gaussian spline $\Phi_{,xy} = \frac{1}{\varrho^2} w_{,x}(x) w_{,y}(y)$</i>	34
3.13	<i>second order derivative of the Gaussian spline $\Phi_{,yy} = \frac{1}{\varrho^2} w(x) w_{,yy}(y)$</i>	34
3.14	<i>shape function distribution for a zero order basis polynomial $\varrho = 0.51$</i>	37
3.15	<i>shape function distribution for a first order basis polynomial $\varrho = 1.01$</i>	37
3.16	<i>shape function distribution for a second order basis polynomial $\varrho = 2.01$</i>	38
3.17	<i>first order shape function derivative distribution for a second order basis polynomial $\varrho = 2.01$</i>	38
3.18	<i>second order shape function derivative distribution for a second order basis polynomial $\varrho = 2.01$</i>	38
3.19	<i>shape function distribution for a third order basis polynomial $\varrho = 3.01$</i>	38
3.20	<i>first order shape function derivative distribution for a third order basis polynomial $\varrho = 3.01$</i>	39

3.21	<i>second order shape function derivative distribution for a third order basis polynomial $\varrho = 3.01$</i>	39
3.22	<i>shape function using a first order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	39
3.23	<i>shape function using a first order basis polynomial and the C^3 weight function for different ϱ</i>	39
3.24	<i>first order shape function derivative using a first order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	40
3.25	<i>first order shape function derivative using a first order basis polynomial and the C^3 weight function for different ϱ</i>	40
3.26	<i>shape function using a second order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	40
3.27	<i>first order shape function derivative using a second order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	40
3.28	<i>second order shape function derivative using a second order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	41
3.29	<i>shape function using a third order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	41
3.30	<i>first order shape function derivative using a third order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	41
3.31	<i>second order shape function derivative using a third order basis polynomial and a constant weight function for different ϱ</i>	41
3.32	<i>shape function distribution for a zero order basis polynomial $\varrho = 1.51$</i>	42
3.33	<i>first order shape function derivative distribution for a zero order basis polynomial $\varrho = 1.51$</i>	42
3.34	<i>second order shape function derivative distribution for a zero order basis polynomial $\varrho = 1.51$</i>	42
3.35	<i>shape function distribution for a first order basis polynomial $\varrho = 1.75$</i>	42
3.36	<i>first order shape function derivative distribution for a first order basis polynomial $\varrho = 1.75$</i>	43
3.37	<i>second order shape function derivative distribution for a first order basis polynomial $\varrho = 1.75$</i>	43
3.38	<i>function based on a tenth-order polynomial</i>	43
3.39	<i>first order derivative of the function based on tenth-order polynomial</i>	43
3.40	<i>second order derivative of the function based on a tenth-order polynomial</i>	44

3.41	<i>MLS-approximation of a tenth-order polynomial using a zero order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	44
3.42	<i>MLS-approximation of the first order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a zero order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	44
3.43	<i>MLS-approximation of the second order derivative using a tenth-order polynomial using a zero order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	44
3.44	<i>MLS-approximation of a tenth-order polynomial using a first order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	45
3.45	<i>MLS-approximation of the first order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a first order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	45
3.46	<i>MLS-approximation of the second order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a first order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	45
3.47	<i>MLS-approximation of a tenth-order polynomial using a second order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	45
3.48	<i>MLS-approximation of the first order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a second order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	46
3.49	<i>MLS-approximation of the second order derivative using a tenth-order polynomial using a second order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	46
3.50	<i>MLS-approximation of a tenth-order polynomial using a third order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	47
3.51	<i>MLS-approximation of the first order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a third order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	47
3.52	<i>MLS-approximation of the second order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a third order basis polynomial for various ϱ</i>	47
3.53	<i>MLS-approximation of a tenth-order polynomial using a first order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 2.51$</i>	47
3.54	<i>MLS-approximation of the first order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a first order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 2.51$</i>	48
3.55	<i>MLS-approximation of the second order derivative using a tenth-order polynomial using a first order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 2.51$</i>	48
3.56	<i>MLS-approximation of a tenth-order polynomial using a second order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 3.01$</i>	48
3.57	<i>MLS-approximation of the first order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a second order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 3.01$</i>	48

3.58	<i>MLS-approximation of the second order derivative using a tenth-order polynomial using a second order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 3.01$</i>	49
3.59	<i>MLS-approximation of a tenth-order polynomial using a third order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 3.51$</i>	49
3.60	<i>MLS-approximation of the first order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a third order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 3.51$</i>	49
3.61	<i>MLS-approximation of the second order derivative of a tenth-order polynomial using a third order basis polynomial for various spline weight functions with $\varrho = 3.51$</i>	49
4.1	<i>problem definition</i>	65
4.2	<i>boundary enforcement</i>	65
4.3	<i>displacement diagram</i>	65
4.4	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter $p = 30$</i>	65
4.5	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter $p = 50$</i>	66
4.6	<i>problem definition</i>	66
4.7	<i>displacement diagram</i>	66
4.8	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 13.5×10^4</i>	66
4.9	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 69.0×10^4</i>	67
4.10	<i>problem definition</i>	67
4.11	<i>displacement diagram of the midpoint deflection - linear material</i>	67
4.12	<i>displacement diagram of the midpoint deflection - non-linear material</i>	67
4.13	<i>problem definition</i>	70
4.14	<i>displacement diagram</i>	70
4.15	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 8.78</i>	70
4.16	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 53.95</i>	70
4.17	<i>problem definition</i>	71
4.18	<i>displacement diagram</i>	71
4.19	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 0.015×10^6</i>	71
4.20	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 0.189×10^6</i>	71
4.21	<i>problem definition</i>	72

4.22	<i>displacement diagram</i>	72
4.23	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 0.008×10^6</i>	72
4.24	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 0.439×10^6</i>	72
5.1	<i>problem configuration</i>	84
5.2	<i>load displacement with diagram $l = 21 \mu m$ and $\nu = 0.3$</i>	84
5.3	<i>load displacement diagram with $l = 25 \mu m$ and $\nu = 0$</i>	85
5.4	<i>load displacement diagram with $l = 25 \mu m$ and $\nu = 0.3$</i>	85
5.5	<i>problem configuration</i>	86
5.6	<i>displacement diagram with displacement boundary conditions</i>	86
5.7	<i>displacement diagram with displacement boundary conditions</i>	87
5.8	<i>displacement diagram with displacement and rotation boundary conditions</i>	87
5.9	<i>displacement diagram with displacement and rotation boundary conditions</i>	87
5.10	<i>problem configuration</i>	88
5.11	<i>diagram: normalized torsion vs. cross-section size</i>	88
5.12	<i>diagram: normalized torsion vs. twist</i>	89
6.1	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_3 = 42 \mu m$ and $\nu = 0.3$</i>	103
6.2	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 42 \mu m$ and $\nu = 0.3$</i>	103
6.3	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_3 = 42 \mu m$ and $\nu = 0$</i>	104
6.4	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_1 = l_2 = l_3 = 42 \mu m$ and $\nu = 0$</i>	104
6.5	<i>load-deflection diagram</i>	105
6.6	<i>load-deflection diagram</i>	105
6.7	<i>problem configuration</i>	106
6.8	<i>diagram: normalized torsion vs. cross-section size</i>	106
6.9	<i>diagram: normalized torsion vs. twist</i>	106
6.10	<i>problem definition</i>	108
6.11	<i>maximum principal stress plotted along a line between point A and point B</i>	108
6.12	<i>minimum principal stress plotted along a line between point A and point B</i>	108
6.13	<i>shear stress plotted along a line between point A and point B</i>	108
6.14	<i>absolute value of displacement vector - classical solution [m]</i>	109

6.15	<i>absolute value of displacement vector - generalized solution with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [m]</i>	109
6.16	<i>max principal stress - classical solution [MPa]</i>	109
6.17	<i>max principal stress - generalized solution with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	109
6.18	<i>min principal stress - classical solution [MPa]</i>	110
6.19	<i>min principal stress - generalized solutions with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	110
6.20	<i>plane shear stress - classical solution [MPa]</i>	110
6.21	<i>plane shear stress - generalized solution with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	110
6.22	<i>problem definition</i>	111
6.23	<i>maximum principal stress plotted along a line between point A and point B</i> .	111
6.24	<i>minimum principal stress plotted along a line between point A and point B</i> .	111
6.25	<i>shear stress plotted along a line between point A and point B</i>	111
6.26	<i>absolute value of displacement vector - classical solution [m]</i>	112
6.27	<i>absolute value of displacement vector - generalized solution with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [m]</i>	112
6.28	<i>max principal stress - classical solution [MPa]</i>	112
6.29	<i>max principal stress - generalized solution with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	112
6.30	<i>min principal stress - classical solution [MPa]</i>	113
6.31	<i>min principal stress - generalized solutions with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	113
6.32	<i>plane shear stress - classical solution [MPa]</i>	113
6.33	<i>plane shear stress - generalized solution with a two-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	113
6.34	<i>absolute value of displacement vector - generalized solution with a one-dimensional micro-continuum [m]</i>	114
6.35	<i>max principal stress - generalized solution with a one-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	114
6.36	<i>min principal stress - generalized solutions with a one-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	114

6.37	<i>plane shear stress - generalized solution with a one-dimensional micro-continuum [MPa]</i>	114
6.38	<i>problem definition</i>	115
6.39	<i>load displacement diagram with $l_1 = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</i>	115
6.40	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_1 = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</i>	116
6.41	<i>load displacement diagram with $l_2 = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</i>	116
6.42	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_2 = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</i>	116
6.43	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter $q = 3.3$ with $l_1 = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</i> . .	116
6.44	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter $q = 3.3$ with $l_2 = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$</i> . .	117
6.45	<i>problem definition</i>	123
6.46	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 83.41 mN/mm^2 with $l_1 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	123
6.47	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 83.41 mN/mm^2 with $l_2 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	124
6.48	<i>deformed configuration at loading parameter 231.83 mN/mm^2 with $l_1 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$ and $l_2 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	124
6.49	<i>load displacement diagram with $l_1 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	124
6.50	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_1 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	124
6.51	<i>load displacement diagram with $l_2 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	125
6.52	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_2 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	125
6.53	<i>load displacement diagram with $l_1 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$ and $l_2 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	125
6.54	<i>load deflection diagram with $l_1 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$ and $l_2 = 21 \mu \text{ m}$</i>	125
7.1	<i>problem configuration</i>	137
7.2	<i>problem configuration</i>	137
7.3	<i>deformed configuration</i>	138
7.4	<i>deformed configuration</i>	138
A.1	<i>C^3 quartic spline $\Phi = w w$</i>	147
A.2	<i>first order derivative of the C^3 quartic spline $\Phi_{,y} = \frac{1}{\rho} w w_{,y}$</i>	147
A.3	<i>second order derivative of the C^3 quartic spline $\Phi_{,xy} = \frac{1}{\rho^2} w_{,x} w_{,y}$</i>	147
A.4	<i>second order derivative of the C^3 quartic spline $\Phi_{,yy} = \frac{1}{\rho^2} w w_{,yy}$</i>	147
B.1	<i>particles support across the partition boundary</i>	154

List of Tables

3.1	<i>particle support distribution for various ρ</i>	46
-----	--	----

Notation and list of symbols

In the following the general scheme of notation and list of frequently used symbols are assembled:

\mathbf{a}	roman lower-case bold-face letters denote vectors
\mathbf{A}	roman upper-case bold-face letters denote tensors
$\mathbf{a}_{,i}$, $\mathbf{A}_{,i}$	partial derivatives of a vector or tensor quantity are denoted by subscripted primed indices
\mathcal{A}	calligraphic upper-case letter denote sets
$\mathbb{E}(3)$	three-dimensional <i>Euclidian</i> vector space
\mathbb{R}	set of real numbers
$:=$	definition of equivalence
Grad	gradient operator with respect to the reference configuration
Div	divergence operator with respect to the reference configuration
$\det(\cdot)$	determinant of (\cdot)

Further notations are explained as they appear in the thesis. The used operations and relations of tensor calculus are specified in App. D.