

Copper Availability in Biosolids

Ian William Oliver

In fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

A thesis submitted to

Soil and Land Systems

School of Earth and Environmental Sciences

The University of Adelaide

Australia

June, 2004

Table of Contents

Abstract	iv
Declaration	vi
Acknowledgements	vii
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	x
1. General Introduction and Literature Review	1
1.1 Generation and Disposal of Sewage Sludge (Biosolids)	1
1.2 Benefits of Biosolid Land Application	2
1.3 Land Application of Biosolids: Potential Detrimental Effects	3
1.3.1. Pathogens, Nutrients and Organic Contaminants	3
1.3.2. Heavy Metals	4
1.4 Biosolid Copper	6
1.4.1 Sources of Biosolid Copper	6
1.4.1.1 Copper Plumbing Systems	6
1.4.1.2 Other Sources	8
1.4.2 Copper Concentrations in Biosolids	9
1.5 Background Levels and Regulations	9
1.6 Copper Associations in Biosolids and Soils	10
1.6.1 Inorganic Forms	10
1.6.1.1 Solution Inorganic Copper	10
1.6.1.2 Solid Phase Inorganic Forms	12
1.6.2 Organic Forms	13
1.6.2.1 Solid and Solution Phase Organic Forms	15
1.7 Copper in Plants & Ecosystems	16
1.7.1 Copper Essentiality in Plants	16
1.7.2 Plant Uptake	18
1.7.3 Copper Toxicity	22
1.7.4 Food Chain Effects	25
1.7.5 Mobility in the Environment	26
1.8 Copper Bioavailability & Controlling Factors	28
1.8.1 pH	29
1.8.2 Organic Matter	31
1.8.3 Biological Activity	34
1.8.4 Time	34
1.8.5 Other metals	38
1.9 Copper Bioavailability Measurement	38
1.9.1 Plant Uptake Studies	39
1.9.2 Chemical/Solution Extraction of Metals	41
1.9.2.1 Single Extractants	41
1.9.2.2 Sequential Extraction Schemes	44
1.9.3 Solution Ion Concentration/Activity	46
1.9.4 Isotopic Tracer Techniques	49
1.10 Aims & Objectives	52
2. Biosolids Characterisation and Cu Availability	53
2.1 Introduction	53
2.2 Methods	53
2.2.1 Biosolid Preparation	54
2.2.2 General Properties	54

2.2.3 CaCl ₂ -Extractable Elements	55
2.2.4 Isotopically Exchangeable Cu (E-values)	55
2.2.5 Effect of pH adjustment on Isotopically Exchangeable Cu.....	56
2.2.6 Copper Ion Activity (pCu ²⁺).....	57
2.2.7 Statistical Analysis	58
2.3 Results and Discussion.....	59
2.3.1 General Biosolid Properties.....	59
2.3.2 CaCl ₂ -Extractable Elements.....	63
2.3.3 Isotopically Exchangeable Copper (CuE).....	68
2.3.4 Effect of pH adjustment on Isotopically Exchangeable Cu.....	73
2.3.5 Copper Ion Activity (pCu ²⁺).....	77
2.4 Conclusions	82
<i>3. Temporal Trends of Total and Potentially Available Element Concentrations in Sewage Biosolids: A Comparison of Biosolid Surveys Conducted 18 Years Apart</i>	<i>83</i>
3.1 Introduction	83
3.2 Methods and Materials	83
3.3 Results and Discussion.....	85
3.3.1 All Biosolids – Total Element Concentrations.....	85
3.3.2 All Biosolids – Calcium Chloride Extractable Element Concentrations.....	87
3.3.3 Individual Treatment Plants – Total Concentrations.....	90
3.3.4 Individual Treatment Plants – Extractable Elements	91
3.4 Conclusions	92
<i>4. Effect of Incubation Time on Biosolid Properties and Cu Availability.....</i>	<i>93</i>
4.1 Introduction	93
4.2 Methods	94
4.2.1 Biosolid Preparation and Incubation Treatments	94
4.2.2 Measures of pH, Carbon content, and Abiotic determinations of Bioavailability	97
4.2.3 Biosolid Fractionation and Cu Distribution	98
4.2.4 Plant Uptake Study	99
4.3 Results and Discussion.....	102
4.3.1 Carbon	102
4.3.2 pH	107
4.3.3 Isotopically Exchangeable Cu.....	108
4.3.4 CaCl ₂ -Extractable Metals	114
4.3.5 EDTA -Extractable Metals.....	118
4.3.6 Cupric Ion Activity (pCu ²⁺).....	122
4.3.7 Biosolid Fractionation and Cu Distribution	124
4.3.8 Plant Uptake	128
4.4 Conclusions	138
<i>5. Distinguishing Between pH and OC Effects by pH Normalisation.....</i>	<i>140</i>
5.1 Introduction	140
5.2 Methods	140
5.3 Results and Discussion.....	142
5.4 Conclusions	153
<i>6. Cu Partitioning Amongst Biosolid Fractions – What Binds the Cu?</i>	<i>154</i>
6.1 Introduction	154
6.2 Methods	155

6.2.1 Isolation of inorganic fraction	155
6.2.2 Isolation of organic fraction	157
6.2.3 Determination of partition coefficients (K _d).....	157
6.3 Results and Discussion.....	158
6.4 Conclusions	163
7. <i>Copper Availability in 7 Soils from Israel incubated with and without biosolids</i>	164
7.1 Introduction	164
7.2 Methods	165
7.2.1 Soils and Biosolids	165
7.2.2 Incubation procedure	167
7.2.3 Changes in Physical Properties and Copper Availability.....	167
7.2.4 Statistical Analysis	168
7.3 Results and Discussion.....	169
7.3.1 Changes in Soil Organic C and pH.....	169
7.3.2 Isotopically Exchangeable Cu	172
7.3.3 Changes in Cu ²⁺ Ion Activities.....	174
7.4 Conclusions	175
8. <i>Conclusions and Future Directions</i>	177
9. <i>References</i>	181

Abstract

Biosolids from sewage treatment plants present both problems and opportunities for society. They are rich in organic matter, nutrients and trace elements and so can be effective soil conditioners, potentially improving both soil structure and fertility. However, they commonly contain high concentrations of heavy metals, which can accumulate to toxic levels in soils receiving frequent or high dose applications. Copper (Cu) is one of the metals of chief concern because it often has high concentrations in biosolids and is capable of exerting a toxic effect on soil microbes. Limits are placed on the amounts of biosolids that can be applied to land to prevent soil accumulation of metals, but these regulatory limits are based on the total metal concentrations in soils and biosolids rather than on the portion that is ecologically active. Therefore, current regulations do not take into account the fact that much of the metal content is bound up in a way that renders it non-active, and thus poses no threat to the environment. A more environmentally relevant regulatory system would set its limits using the available portion of metals. Therefore it is important to quantify this available fraction, and to establish a method by which it can be consistently measured. To do this the nature of biosolids needs to be better understood, and the factors controlling the available fraction need to be identified. Also, it is important to determine how the available fraction may change with time.

This PhD project surveyed 24 biosolids from around Australia and characterised them in terms of chemical and physical properties. Available Cu was measured using radio isotopic techniques (^{64}Cu), a Cu^{2+} ion selective electrode, solution extraction, and other methods. A model for predicting available Cu was produced, using the total Cu concentration and the Cu^{2+} ion activity in solution extracts:

$$\text{Available Cu (mg/kg)} = 281.5 \text{ Log Total Cu} - 14.9 \text{ pCu}^{2+} - 459 \quad (\text{R}^2 = 0.806)$$

where 'logTotal Cu' is \log_{10} total biosolid Cu concentration (mg/kg).

A 21-month incubation experiment was conducted to monitor Cu availability over time, with the conclusion that it will remain constant if pH is maintained. Biosolid/soil pH had a strong effect on available Cu, hence a regulatory system based on the available Cu fraction that incorporates a pH protection index is proposed. Mineralisation of organic matter did not lead to increases in available Cu, thus no evidence for the time bomb hypothesis was found. However, organic matter was found to be important for Cu sorption in some biosolids, indicating that over a longer term effects of organic mineralisation on Cu availability may be seen, and therefore longer trials (*i.e.* > 10 years) are needed to determine the long-term fate of biosolid Cu.