



Development of novel wine yeast strains using adaptive evolution

By

Colin Michael McBryde

**A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
in the Faculty of Sciences**

**School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
The University of Adelaide
Australia**

November 2006



Thesis Summary

Throughout the course of the wine fermentation, yeast are exposed to several simultaneous and sequential stresses, including hyperosmotic shock, nutrient limitation and ethanol accumulation, which are thought to contribute to the development of stuck or sluggish fermentations. Apart from the extended processing time, fermentations in this state can also produce unfavourable aromatic and flavour compounds, collectively leading to increased manufacturing costs and reduced quality. As a consequence there is demand from winemakers for yeast which can overcome these problems, by assuring the rapid completion of fermentation with minimal production of undesirable metabolites. The aim of this study was to produce and characterise more robust strains and address this demand.

Utilising a sequential batch fermentation system we have used adaptive evolution to generate variants of the commercial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine strain L-2056 and a haploid derivative thereof, C911D, which have superior fermentative performance and/or altered metabolite yields. Thus, both evolved cultures produce more glycerol than the respective parent, with the evolved haploid (FM5) also producing a reduced final ethanol concentration and the evolved diploid (FM16) being able to complete fermentation within a shorter duration. Ten clonal isolates were randomly chosen from each of the haploid and diploid evolved cultures and examined in order to determine the degree of heterogeneity of the evolved cultures. In both cases, 9 of the 10 clonal isolates produced similar fermentation phenotypes to the mixed culture from which they were derived.

Transcriptome analysis of mixed cultures and clonal cultures revealed large changes in transcript abundance (magnitude and number of genes) at several time points across fermentation in reference to the parent strains. A commonality noted in a high proportion of genes found to be differentially expressed was the occurrence of a *GCR1* binding site in their promoter regions. Sequencing of the *GCR1* promoter region in the evolved clone FM16-7

revealed several base pair differences compared to the parent L-2056. We propose that these changes may have led to an altered pattern of expression of *GCR1*-regulated genes and in turn the improved fermentation phenotype evident in the evolved diploid cultures.

To further investigate the significance of alterations in the expression of a specific selection of these genes, deletion strains were constructed in the appropriate parental strain background. Fermentation trials conducted with these deletants revealed fermentation phenotypes which approximated those of the evolved cultures. That is the deletion of either *HXT1*, *HXT3*, *GLC3*, *ALD6* and *INO1* in C911D or *ALD6*, *GPH1* and *RCK1* in L-2056 yielded phenotypes similar to the evolved haploid and diploid respectively. No one deletant strain completely matched the magnitude of the improvement in fermentation of the respective evolved culture. As such it is postulated that a number of mutations are responsible for the acquired phenotypes in the evolved cultures.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------|-----|
| Thesis Summary | i |
| Declaration of authorship | iii |
| Acknowledgments | iv |
| Abbreviations | vi |

Chapter 1 Literature review

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Fermentation | 3 |
| 1.3 | Stresses encountered during wine fermentation | 5 |
| | 1.3.1 <i>Nutrient limitation</i> | 8 |
| | 1.3.2 <i>Ethanol toxicity</i> | 11 |
| 1.4 | Oenologically important metabolites | 11 |
| 1.5 | The development of optimised wine yeast strains | 12 |
| | 1.5.1 <i>Methods of strain improvement</i> | 13 |
| 1.6 | Conclusions | 21 |

Chapter 2 Materials and methods

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 2.1 | Yeast strains and maintenance | 23 |
| 2.2 | Bacterial strains and maintenance | 23 |
| 2.3 | Culture media | 23 |
| | 2.3.1 <i>Media for yeast cultures</i> | 23 |
| | 2.3.2 <i>Chemically defined grape juice media</i> | 23 |
| 2.4 | Growth and fermentation | 24 |
| | 2.4.1 <i>Laboratory scale anaerobic fermentations</i> | 24 |
| | 2.4.2 <i>Dry cell weight determination</i> | 24 |
| | 2.4.3 <i>Determination of glucose and fructose</i> | 24 |
| | 2.4.4 <i>Determination of key metabolites by HPLC</i> | 25 |
| | 2.4.5 <i>Sterol determination</i> | 26 |
| 2.5 | Yeast classical genetics | 27 |
| | 2.5.1 <i>Yeast mating</i> | 27 |
| | 2.5.2 <i>Yeast sporulation</i> | 27 |
| | 2.5.3 <i>Ascus microdissection</i> | 27 |
| 2.6 | Nucleic acid isolation | 28 |
| | 2.6.1 <i>Isolation of genomic DNA from S. cerevisiae</i> | 28 |
| | 2.6.2 <i>RNA preparations from yeast</i> | 28 |
| | 2.6.3 <i>Determination of DNA or RNA concentration</i> | 29 |
| | 2.6.4 <i>Plasmid preparation from E. coli</i> | 29 |
| 2.7 | Molecular cloning techniques | 30 |
| | 2.7.1 <i>Restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA</i> | 30 |
| | 2.7.2 <i>Dephosphorylation of vector DNA</i> | 30 |
| | 2.7.3 <i>Ligation of DNA into plasmid</i> | 31 |
| | 2.7.4 <i>Preparation and use of competent E. coli cells for transformation</i> | 31 |
| | 2.7.5 <i>Self cloning over-expression strains</i> | 32 |

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.7.6 | <i>High efficiency transformation of S. cerevisiae using lithium acetate</i> | 32 |
| 2.8 | Nucleic acid amplification procedures | 33 |
| 2.8.1 | <i>Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)</i> | 33 |
| 2.8.2 | <i>Sequencing reactions</i> | 33 |
| 2.8.3 | <i>PCR labelling of probes for Southern blot analysis</i> | 33 |
| 2.9 | Microarray analysis | 34 |
| 2.9.1 | <i>Labelling and hybridisation</i> | 34 |
| 2.9.2 | <i>Microarray data analysis</i> | 35 |
| 2.10 | Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA | 35 |

Chapter 3 Generation of novel yeast strains using adaptive evolution

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 37 |
| 3.2 | Results | 39 |
| 3.2.1 | <i>Chemostat selection and analysis of candidate mutants</i> | 40 |
| 3.2.2 | <i>Comparison of fermentation durations of C911D and isolates FM1-M through to FM5-M</i> | 41 |
| 3.2.3 | <i>Comparison of fermentation durations of L-2056 and isolates FM10-M through to FM16-M</i> | 41 |
| 3.3 | Conclusions | 41 |
| 3.4 | Discussion | 42 |

Chapter 4 Preliminary phenotypic and genotypic characterisation of adaptively evolved cultures FM5-M and FM16-M

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 47 |
| 4.2 | Results | 49 |
| 4.2.1 | <i>Characteristics of FM5-M and C911D during fermentation</i> | 49 |
| 4.2.2 | <i>Lipid quantitation of C911D and FM5-M</i> | 50 |
| 4.2.3 | <i>Characteristics of FM16-M and L-2056 during fermentation</i> | 50 |
| 4.2.4 | <i>Transcriptome analysis</i> | 52 |
| 4.3 | Conclusions | 57 |
| 4.4 | Discussion | 57 |
| 4.4.1 | <i>Metabolite biomass and lipid yields</i> | 57 |
| 4.4.2 | <i>Transcriptome analysis</i> | 61 |

Chapter 5 Phenotypic and transcriptional characterisation of clones isolated from FM5-M and FM16-M

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 69 |
| 5.2 | Results | 69 |
| 5.2.1 | <i>Comparison between FM5- cultures – biomass formation, fermentation duration and major metabolites</i> | 70 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 5.2.2 | <i>Comparison between FM16- cultures – biomass formation, fermentation duration and major metabolites</i> | 71 |
| 5.2.3 | <i>Further characterisation of FM16-5 and FM16-7</i> | 72 |
| 5.2.4 | <i>Transcriptional responses in FM16-7 relative to L-2056 under oenological conditions</i> | 73 |
| 5.2.5 | <i>Sequencing of the GCR1 promoter</i> | 74 |
| 5.2.6 | <i>Phenotype microarray analysis of FM16-7 and L-2056</i> | 75 |
| 5.3 | Conclusions | 76 |
| 5.4 | Discussion | 76 |

Chapter 6 Determination of the contribution of a sub-set of genes to the desirable adaptive evolution phenotype of FM5 and FM16

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 6.1 | Introduction | 86 |
| 6.2 | Results | 86 |
| 6.2.1 | <i>C911D deletion strains</i> | 87 |
| 6.2.2 | <i>Analysis of the fermentation duration, major metabolites and biomass produced of the C911D geneΔ strains</i> | 87 |
| 6.2.3 | <i>Construction of C911D over-expression strains</i> | 88 |
| 6.2.4 | <i>Analysis of the fermentation duration, major metabolites and biomass produced of the C911D over-expression strains</i> | 89 |
| 6.2.5 | <i>Construction of L-2056 knock-out strains</i> | 90 |
| 6.2.6 | <i>Analysis of the fermentation duration, major metabolites and biomass of the L-2056 geneΔ strains</i> | 90 |
| 6.3 | Conclusions | 90 |
| 6.4 | Discussion | 91 |

Chapter 7 General discussion and future directions 98

Appendix I Solutions 102

Bibliography 104