



Laplace-Domain Analysis of Fluid Line Networks with Applications to Time-Domain Simulation and System Parameter Identification

by

Aaron C. Zecchin

B.E. (Civil) (Hons), B.Sc.

Thesis submitted to The University of Adelaide
School of Civil, Environmental & Mining
Engineering in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Laplace-Domain Analysis of Fluid Line Networks with Applications to Time-Domain Simulation and System Parameter Identification

By:

Aaron C. Zecchin, *B.E. (Civil) (Hons), B.Sc.*

Supervised by:

Angus R. Simpson, *Ph.D., B.E. (Civil) (Hons), P.E.*
Professor, School of Civil, Environmental & Mining Engineering,
The University of Adelaide

Martin F. Lambert, *Ph.D., B.E. (Civil) (Hons)*
Professor, School of Civil, Environmental & Mining Engineering,
The University of Adelaide

Langford B. White, *Ph.D., B.E. (Elec.) (Hons), B.Sc. (Hons)*
Professor, School of Electrical & Electronic Engineering,
The University of Adelaide

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil, Environmental & Mining Engineering
Faculty of Engineering, Computer and Mathematical Sciences
The University of Adelaide
North Terrace, Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia
Telephone: +61 8303 4323
Facsimile: +61 8303 4359
Web: www.ecms.adelaide.edu.au/civeng
Email: aaron.zecchin@adelaide.edu.au

Abstract

Networks of closed conduits containing pressurised fluid flow occur in many different instances throughout the natural and man made world. The dynamics of such networks are dependent not only on the complex interactions between the fluid body and the conduit material within each fluid line, but also on the coupling between different lines as they influence each other through their common junctions. The forward modelling (time-domain simulation), and inverse modelling (system parameter identification) of such systems is of great interest to many different research fields. An alternative approach to time-domain descriptions of fluid line networks is the Laplace-domain representation of these systems. A long standing limitation of these methods is that the frameworks for constructing Laplace-domain models have not been suitable for pipeline networks of an arbitrary topology. The objective of this thesis is to fundamentally extend the existing theory for Laplace-domain descriptions of hydraulic networks and explore the applications of this theory to forward and inverse modelling. The extensions are undertaken by the use of graph theory concepts to construct network admittance matrices based on the Laplace-domain solutions of the fundamental pipeline dynamics. This framework is extended to incorporate a very broad class of hydraulic elements. Through the use of the numerical inverse Laplace transform, the proposed theory forms the basis for an accurate and computationally efficient hydraulic network time-domain simulation methodology. The compact analytic nature of the network admittance matrix representation facilitates the development of two successful and statistically based parameter identification methodologies, one based on an oblique filtering approach combined with maximum likelihood estimation, and the other based on the expectation-maximisation algorithm.

Statement of Originality

I Aaron C. Zecchin hereby declare that this work contains no material that has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution. To the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by any other person, except where due reference is made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the Universitys digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

SIGNED: DATE:

Acknowledgement

I express my gratitude and thanks to my supervisors Professor Martin F. Lambert, Professor Angus R. Simpson and Professor Langford B. White. To Martin for his lateral perspective, creativity and generous nature. To Angus for his unwavering attention to detail and ability to get to the *crux* of an issue. To Lang for his significant technical knowledge and insight. I am deeply indebted to them all.

I would like to acknowledge the school staff and postgraduate students. To the office staff for their often unsung efforts at keeping the school running and keeping me organised! To Dr. Stephen Carr for his tireless assistance with the computational aspects of my research, and his seemingly limitless ability to answer the most obscure programming questions. To my postgraduate friends and colleagues: the technical discussions, the camaraderie and the light hearted distractions have created the colour and richness of my candidacy. I would also like to thank Dr. John P. Vítovský for the provision of his extremely useful electronic literature data base.

I would like to acknowledge the support of my family and friends. To my mother and father for their love and support. To my wife's parents for their generosity and love. To my sisters, my brother, and my friends for their love, friendship, fun times and inquisitiveness into the bizarre world of my research. All these relationships have helped to sustain me.

Lastly I express my deep gratitude to my wife, and my children. To Ethan and Aerial, their love and playfulness has helped to keep me sane, grounded and given me a continual source of joy. To Eleanor, her love, patience, encouragement and strength have been of unmeasurable value. This dissertation is as much an indirect product of her as it is a direct product of me. I cannot express the full depth of my thanks.

I acknowledge the Australian Research Council for their financial support of this dissertation through the Discovery Project scheme.

Contents

Abstract	v
Statement of Originality	vii
Acknowledgement	ix
Contents	xi
List of Figures	xvii
List of Tables	xxi
List of Abbreviations	xxiii
List of Symbols	xxv
List of Publications	xxxix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Objectives of Research	2
1.2 Outline of Thesis	3
1.3 Main Contributions of Research	6
2 Basic Fluid Line Equations	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 General 1-D Equations	10
2.2.1 Conservation of mass	11
2.2.2 Conservation of momentum	14

xi

2.2.3	Conservation of energy	14
2.3	Class of Linear 1-D Fluid Lines	16
2.3.1	Assumptions for linearity	17
2.3.2	Linear class of fluid lines	18
2.3.3	Passivity of the \mathcal{L} -class	24
2.4	Laplace-Domain Representations of 1-D Fluid Lines	26
2.4.1	Laplace representation of the linear class	27
2.4.2	Laplace transfer function models	31
2.5	Conclusions	33
3	Arbitrarily Configured Simple Node Networks	35
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Background	37
3.2.1	Classical methods for restricted types of pipe networks	37
3.2.2	Recent methods for modelling arbitrary networks	38
3.3	Network Equations	40
3.3.1	Preliminaries	40
3.3.2	Fluid line network equations	43
3.4	Network Admittance Matrix Formulation	44
3.5	Formulation of a Computable Model	55
3.6	Numerical Examples	59
3.7	Conclusions	67
4	Arbitrarily Configured Compound Node Networks	69
4.1	Introduction	69
4.2	Background	70
4.3	Network Equations	72
4.3.1	Compound node equations	73
4.3.2	Network equations	78
4.4	Framework for Compound Node	80
4.4.1	Laplace-domain representation of a compound node	81

4.4.2	The connections representation of a compound node	82
4.4.3	Decoupled compound node representation	85
4.4.4	Admittance representation of compound node	86
4.5	Network Formulation	88
4.5.1	Network matrix for a network with a single connection	88
4.5.2	Network matrix for a general compound node	90
4.6	Formulation of a Computable Model	97
4.7	Numerical Examples	100
4.8	Conclusions	105
5	Time-Domain Simulation via the Inverse Laplace Transform	109
5.1	Introduction	109
5.2	Background	111
5.2.1	Unsteady friction operator development	111
5.2.2	Transfer function analytic series expansion methods	112
5.2.3	Modal approximations of transfer functions	114
5.2.4	Direct numerical inversion of transfer functions	116
5.2.5	Discrete schemes based on Laplace inversion	116
5.3	Framework for Network Time-Domain Simulations	117
5.4	Numerical Inverse Laplace Transform	119
5.4.1	Numerical inversion procedure	121
5.4.2	Error analysis	122
5.5	Parametric Study for Single Lines	123
5.5.1	Pipeline test functions	123
5.5.2	Analysis approach	125
5.5.3	Numerical results	128
5.6	Application to Networks	132
5.6.1	Parameter preliminaries	134
5.6.2	Accuracy studies	135
5.6.3	Computational efficiency studies	145

5.6.4	Additional studies	152
5.7	Conclusions	160
6	Parameter Identification of Hydraulic Networks	163
6.1	Introduction	163
6.2	Background	164
6.2.1	Class 1: Parameter identification	165
6.2.2	Class 2: System detection	170
6.2.3	Class 3: System detection and identification	171
6.3	Framework for Detection and Identification	173
6.3.1	The \mathcal{M} -network and element parameterisation	174
6.3.2	Problem definitions	176
6.3.3	Nodal partitioning and measurement state model	179
6.3.4	Frequency-domain identification	186
6.4	Decoupled System and Maximum Likelihood Estimation	188
6.4.1	Decoupled network measurement model	189
6.4.2	Maximum likelihood estimation for decoupled system	197
6.4.3	Numerical examples	201
6.5	An Expectation-Maximisation Method	208
6.5.1	General statistical model	208
6.5.2	Expectation-maximisation \mathcal{M} -network model	215
6.5.3	Numerical examples	220
6.6	Conclusions	228
7	Conclusions	231
7.1	Thesis Outcomes	231
7.2	Scope for Future Work	233
	References	237
A	Admittance Representation for Transfer Matrix	261
A.1	Some Physical Properties	261

A.2	Inverse Laplace Transform For The \mathcal{L}_R -Class	263
B	The \mathcal{M}-Network	269
B.1	Introduction	269
B.2	Definitions	269
B.2.1	Network definitions	269
B.2.2	General systems concepts	272
B.3	Network Theorems	276
B.4	Kirchoff Network Equivalents	283
B.4.1	Kirchoff networks	284
B.4.2	Equivalent Kirchoff networks	286
B.5	Loop equations of a \mathcal{M} -Network	291
B.5.1	Loop construction 1	292
B.5.2	Loop construction 2	293
C	Extended Review of the Hydraulic Network Identification Literature	295
C.1	System Configuration	295
C.1.1	Hydraulic system type	296
C.1.2	Boundary conditions	296
C.1.3	Anomaly configuration	297
C.2	System Information	298
C.2.1	Sensor types and identifiability	299
C.2.2	Parameter uncertainty	300
C.2.3	Model uncertainty	301
C.2.4	Measurement uncertainty	302
C.3	Mode of Application	302
C.3.1	Continuous monitoring versus batch application	302
C.3.2	Flow regime and input type	304
C.4	Data Processing	305
C.4.1	Base model	305
C.4.2	Domain of diagnosis	308

D Numerical Example Details	311
E Computational Procedures	325
E.1 Hydraulic Elements	325
E.2 Hydraulic Network Solvers	328
E.3 Simulation Types	329

List of Figures

2.1	Thin disk \mathcal{K}_Δ of width Δ within a cylindrical conduit.	12
3.1	Example of a first order looped network without the dashed link, and a second order looped network with the dashed link.	38
3.2	The 7 pipe network from Example 3.1.	51
3.3	The pipes in series system from Example 3.2.	52
3.4	The branched network from Example 3.3.	54
3.5	Sinusoidal pressure amplitude response for 7-pipe network at node 6.	60
3.6	The 51 pipe network, adapted from <i>Vítkovský</i> [2001].	61
3.7	Pressure frequency response magnitude for the 51-pipe network with TSF pipes.	61
3.8	Pressure frequency response magnitude for the 51-pipe network with TUF pipes.	63
3.9	The 94-pipe network, adapted from <i>Datta and Sridharan</i> [1994]. . . .	64
3.10	Pressure frequency response magnitude for the 94-pipe network with TSF pipes.	65
3.11	Pressure frequency response magnitude for the 94-pipe network with TUF pipes.	66
3.12	Pressure frequency response magnitude for the 51-pipe network with LUF pipes.	67
4.1	Examples of compound nodes.	76
4.2	Example of a compound node consisting of a capacitive dead end branch and an offtake bounded by valves.	77

4.3	Example network-1 adapted from <i>Zecchin et al.</i> [2009], with controlled demand as node 1, a single valve at node 2, two valves at node 3 and capacitance branch at node 5.	91
4.4	Sinusoidal pressure amplitude response for network-1 at node 6.	102
4.5	Sinusoidal flow amplitude response for network-1 at node 1.	103
4.6	Example network-2, adapted from <i>Vítkovský</i> [2001], with compound nodes.	104
4.7	Pressure frequency response magnitudes for network-2 at node 14 subject to a pulse input.	105
4.8	Pressure frequency response magnitudes for network-2 at node 18 subject to a pulse input.	106
4.9	Pressure frequency response magnitudes for network-2 at node 14 subject to a step input.	107
4.10	Pressure frequency response magnitudes for network-2 at node 18 subject to a step input.	108
5.1	Plots of the magnitude and angle for the first three harmonics of the dimensionless pipeline test functions.	125
5.2	Plots of the real and imaginary components for the first three harmonics of the dimensionless pipeline test functions.	126
5.3	Time-domain representations of test functions for the dimensionless single lines.	127
5.4	Sensitivity analysis of the NILT parameters for the dimensionless LSF/linear TSF model.	129
5.5	Sensitivity analysis of the NILT parameters for the dimensionless LUF model.	130
5.6	Time-domain comparisons of the NILT with the MOC for the dimensionless LSF and LUF for varying the number of harmonics.	131
5.7	Time-domain comparisons of the NILT with the MOC for the dimensionless linear TSF and LUF for varying the harmonics discretisation.	132
5.8	Time-domain comparisons of the NILT with the MOC for the dimensionless linear TSF and LUF for varying the contour location.	133
5.9	The 11-pipe network adapted from <i>Pudar and Liggett</i> [1992].	133
5.10	The 35-pipe network adapted from <i>Pudar and Liggett</i> [1992].	134

5.11	Comparison of MOC and the NILT for the laminar 51-pipe network from Example 5.1.	137
5.12	Comparison of MOC and the NILT for the turbulent (steady friction) 51-pipe network from Example 5.2.	138
5.13	Comparison of MOC and the NILT for the laminar (unsteady friction) 51-pipe network from Example 5.3.	140
5.14	Comparison of MOC and the NILT for the turbulent (unsteady friction) 51-pipe network from Example 5.4.	141
5.15	Comparison of MOC and the NILT for the viscoelastic 51-pipe network from Example 5.5.	142
5.16	Computational times versus simulation time for the TSF 51-pipe network from Example 5.6.	147
5.17	Computational times versus simulation time for the 51-pipe network from Example 5.6 with TUF pipes.	149
5.18	Comparison of the pressure response computed by the MOC and the NILT for the turbulent-unsteady-friction (TUF) networks with step inputs.	154
5.19	Comparison of the coarsely discretised MOC with the finely discretised MOC and the NILT for the LUF 35-pipe network from Example 5.11.	158
5.20	Comparison of the coarsely discretised MOC with the finely discretised MOC and the NILT for the LUF 51-pipe network from Example 5.12.	159
6.1	The 11-pipe network from Examples 6.5 and 6.6	201
6.2	Boxplots of relative error estimates for the decoupled MLE method applied to the 11-pipe network with TSF pipes.	203
6.3	Boxplots of relative error estimates for the decoupled MLE method applied to the 11-pipe network with TUF pipes.	205
6.4	The extended 11-pipe network from Examples 6.7 and 6.8.	221
6.5	Box plots of the relative errors of the parameter estimates from Example 6.7.	223
6.6	Box plots of the relative errors of the parameter estimates from Example 6.8.	226

B.1	Example of an \mathcal{M} -element.	270
B.2	Example of an \mathcal{M} -network.	273

List of Tables

4.1	Compound element parameters for numerical studies for networks 1 and 2.	100
4.2	Compound node element details.	101
5.1	The relative L_∞ norms for the MOC and the L_∞ errors for the NILT applied to the 11-pipe network.	143
5.2	The relative L_∞ norms for the MOC and the L_∞ errors for the NILT applied to the 35-pipe network.	144
5.3	The relative L_∞ norms for the MOC and the L_∞ errors for the NILT applied to the 51-pipe network.	144
5.4	The relative L_∞ norms for the MOC and the L_∞ errors for the NILT applied to the 94-pipe network.	145
5.5	Relative computational times for the 11-pipe NILT case study.	150
5.6	Relative computational times for the 35-pipe NILT case study.	151
5.7	Relative computational times for the 51-pipe NILT case study.	152
5.8	Relative computational times for the 94-pipe NILT case study.	153
6.1	The \mathcal{M} -network nodal partitioning and associated state classifications from Definition 6.6	181
6.2	The \mathcal{M} -network nodal partitioning for Examples 6.5 and 6.6 from Definition 6.6.	202
6.3	Summary statistics of relative error of estimates for the decoupled MLE method applied to the 11-pipe network with TSF pipes.	204
6.4	Summary statistics of relative error of estimates for the decoupled MLE method applied to the 11-pipe network with TUF pipes.	206
6.5	Order of operation count for the EM algorithm terms.	215

6.6	The \mathcal{M} -network nodal partitioning for the 13-pipe network from Example 6.7.	220
6.7	Summary statistics of relative errors of pipe parameter estimates for Example 6.7.	224
6.8	Summary statistics of relative errors of pipe parameter estimates for Example 6.8.	227
D.1	Nodal properties for the 11-pipe network.	312
D.2	Link properties for the 11-pipe network.	312
D.3	Nodal properties for the 35-pipe network.	313
D.4	Link properties for the 35-pipe network.	314
D.5	Nodal properties for the 51-pipe network.	315
D.6	Link properties for the 51-pipe network.	316
D.7	Node properties for the 94-pipe network.	318
D.8	Link properties for the 94-pipe network.	321

List of Abbreviations

1-D	one dimensional
2-D	two dimensional
BIBO	bounded input/bounded output
DFT	discrete Fourier transform
EM	expectation-maximisation
FDI	fault detection and identification
FDM	finite difference method
FFT	fast Fourier transform
FT	Fourier transform
FVM	finite volume method
I/O	input/output
IFFT	inverse fast Fourier transform
IFT	inverse Fourier transform
ILT	inverse Laplace transform
ILTM	inverse Laplace transform method
IPREM	impulse response method
IQR	interquartile range
ITM	inverse transient method
LSF	laminar-steady-friction
LT	Laplace transform

LUF	laminar-unsteady-friction
MLE	maximum likelihood estimation
MOC	method of characteristics
NILT	numerical inverse Laplace transform
ODE	ordinary differential equation
PDE	partial differential equation
PDF	probability density function
PSO	particle swarm optimisation
R-P-V	reservoir-pipe-valve
WDS	water distribution system
TSF	turbulent-steady-friction
TUF	turbulent-unsteady-friction
VE	viscoelastic
WKB	Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin

List of Symbols

Common symbols used throughout the thesis are listed below. Subscripted versions are only given for cases where the subscripted symbols have a particular meaning as distinct from being an indexed version of the plain symbol.

$\mathbf{0}$	Zero matrix
a	Contour location of integration within the Fourier-Crump numerical inverse Laplace transform
A	Pipe cross-sectional area
A_o	Nominal pipe cross-sectional area
\mathcal{A}	Set of nodes for which neither the nodal pressure and flow are known, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{A}_4$
\mathcal{A}_1	Set of nodes for which both the nodal pressure and flow are measured
\mathcal{A}_2	Set of nodes for which only the nodal pressure is measured
\mathcal{A}_3	Set of nodes for which only the nodal flow is measured
\mathcal{A}_4	Set of nodes for which neither the nodal pressure and flow are measured
\mathcal{B}	Set of nodes for which the nodal flow is known, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$
\mathcal{B}_1	Set of nodes for which the nodal pressure is measured and the nodal flow is known
\mathcal{B}_2	Set of nodes for which the nodal pressure is unmeasured and the nodal flow is known
c	Pipeline wavespeed
c_o	Nominal pipeline wavespeed
$c(t)$	Compliance impulse response function in the compliance operator $\mathcal{C}(\cdot)$
$c(s)$	Laplace transform of $c(t)$
C_0	Compliance operator coefficient
$C(s)$	Laplace transform of pipeline compliance operator
\mathcal{C}	Set of compound node functions (Chapter 4), and set of nodes for which the nodal pressure is known, $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_2$ (Chapter 6)
\mathcal{C}_1	Set of nodes for which the nodal flow is measured and the nodal pressure is known

\mathcal{C}_2	Set of nodes for which the nodal flow is unmeasured and the nodal pressure is known
$\mathcal{C}(\cdot)$	\mathcal{L} -class compliance operator
\mathbb{C}	The set of complex numbers
\mathbb{C}_+	The set of complex numbers in the closed right hand plane
D	Pipe diameter
D_o	Nominal pipe diameter
e	Pipe wall thickness
E	Young's modulus
f	Darcy-Weisbach friction factor
f_o	Nominal Darcy-Weisbach friction factor
g	Gravitational acceleration
$\mathbf{G}(s)$	Transfer matrix of the decoupled measured-state system
$\mathbf{G}_m(s)$	Transfer matrix acting on the measured states
$\mathbf{G}_u(s)$	Transfer matrix acting on the unmeasured states
$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}, \Lambda)$	Graph comprised of nodes \mathcal{N} and links Λ
$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}, \Xi)$	Multi-graph comprised of nodes \mathcal{N} and multi-links Ξ
$\mathbf{h}(t)$	Impulse response matrix for the input/output network model
$\mathbf{H}(s)$	Transfer function matrix for the input/output network model
i	Imaginary unit
\mathbf{I}	Identity matrix
$\text{Im}\{\cdot\}$	Imaginary number function
J	Viscoelastic material compliance function
J_i	Viscoelastic material compliance coefficient for i^{th} Kelvin-Voigt element
l	Pipeline length
$\mathbf{L}(s)$	Network decoupling filter
$\mathbf{L}_X(s)$	Network decoupling filter acting on the nodal set $X = \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3, \mathcal{A}_4, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{C}_1$ and \mathcal{C}_2
\mathcal{L}	Laplace transform operator
\mathcal{L}^{-1}	Inverse Laplace transform operator
\mathbb{M}	Mach number
\mathcal{M}	Set of \mathcal{M} -element functions
$\mathbb{M}\mathfrak{R}$	Dissipation number for dimensionless fluid line
n_c	Number of compound nodes
n_d	Number of demand (controlled flow injection) nodes
n_D	Number of nodes for which pressure is the free nodal variable
n_r	Number of reservoir (pressure controlled) nodes
n_{si}	Number of simple connections for compound node i

n_λ	Number of links
N_H	Number of harmonics included within the numerical inverse Laplace transform
N_Δ	Discretisation of harmonics for the numerical inverse Laplace transform
\mathbf{N}_d	Incidence matrix for downstream nodes
\mathbf{N}_u	Incidence matrix for upstream nodes
\mathbf{N}_{di}	Compound node incidence matrix for downstream nodes
\mathbf{N}_{ui}	Incidence matrix for upstream nodes
\mathcal{N}	Set of nodes
\mathcal{N}_c	Set of compound nodes
$\mathcal{N}_c(\cdot, \cdot)$	Complex Gaussian distribution
\mathcal{N}_d	Set of demand (controlled flow injection) nodes
\mathcal{N}_D	Set of nodes for which pressure is the free nodal variable
\mathcal{N}_i	Set of connections for compound node i
\mathcal{N}_J	Set of junctions
\mathcal{N}_o	Set of nodes for the simple node expanded network
\mathcal{N}_r	Set of reservoir (pressure controlled) nodes
\mathcal{N}_s	Set of simple nodes
\mathbb{N}	The set of natural numbers
$p(x, t)$	Fluid line pressure (taken throughout the majority of the thesis as transient pressure fluctuations about an initial state)
$\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, t)$	Vector of fluid line pressures
$P(x, s)$	Laplace transform of fluid line pressure
$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}, s)$	Vector of Laplace transform of fluid line pressures
$\mathbf{P}_{ci}(s)$	Vector of Laplace transform of connection pressures for compound node i
$\mathbf{P}_d(s)$	Vector of pipeline pressures at upstream point
$\mathbf{P}_u(s)$	Vector of pipeline pressures at downstream point
\mathcal{P}	Set of pipeline functions
$q(x, t)$	Fluid line axial flow rate (taken throughout the majority of the thesis as transient flow fluctuations about an initial state)
$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t)$	Vector of fluid line axial flow rates
$Q(x, s)$	Laplace transform of fluid line axial flow rate
$\mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{x}, s)$	Vector of Laplace transform of fluid line axial flow rates
$\mathbf{Q}_{ci}(s)$	Vector of Laplace transform of connection flows for compound node i
$\mathbf{Q}_d(s)$	Vector of pipeline axial flow rates at upstream point
$\mathbf{Q}_u(s)$	Vector of pipeline axial flow rates at downstream point
r	Radius

r_0	Steady-state resistive coefficient
$r(t)$	Resistive impulse response function for unsteady friction component of pipeline resistive operator
$r(s)$	Laplace transform of $r(t)$
R_0	Coefficient for resistive operator
\mathcal{R}	\mathcal{L} -class resistive operator
\mathbb{R}	The set of real numbers
\mathbb{R}_+	The set of positive real numbers
$\text{Re}\{\cdot\}$	Real number function
Re	Reynolds number
s	Laplace variable
t	Time
$\mathbf{u}_i(t)$	Controlled internal nodal states for compound node i
$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i(t)$	Response internal nodal states for compound node i
$\mathbf{U}_i(s)$	Laplace transform of $\mathbf{u}_i(t)$
$\tilde{\mathbf{U}}_i(s)$	Laplace transform of $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i(t)$
v	Axial velocity
x	Axial spatial coordinate of fluid line
\mathbf{x}	Vector of axial spatial coordinates
$\mathbf{Y}(s)$	Network admittance transfer matrix
$\mathbf{Y}_j(s)$	Admittance transfer matrix for hydraulic element j
$\mathbf{Y}_{ci}(s)$	Admittance matrix operating on the compound node connection pressures for canonical form of compound node dynamics
$\mathbf{Y}_{ui}(s)$	Admittance matrix operating on the compound node controlled states for canonical form of compound node dynamics
$\mathbf{Y}_o(s)$	Network admittance matrix for simple node expanded network
$\mathbf{Y}_{XY}(s)$	Partition of network admittance transfer matrix for nodal set X to nodal set Y
$\mathcal{Y}(t)$	Network admittance matrix impulse response function
$\mathcal{Y}_j(t)$	Admittance impulse response function for hydraulic element j
$Z_c(s)$	Fluid line series impedance
α	Pipe axial restraint coefficient
$\Gamma(s)$	Fluid line propagation operator
$\mathbf{\Gamma}(s)$	Fluid line propagation operator matrix for hydraulic network
ϵ_r	Retarded circumferential strain
$\theta(t)$	Nodal flows (flow injections)
$\theta_d(t)$	Nodal flows at demand nodes
$\boldsymbol{\theta}(s)$	Vector of Laplace transformed network nodal flows
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_d(s)$	Vector of Laplace transformed network demand node flows

$\theta_r(s)$	Vector of Laplace transformed network reservoir flows
ϑ	Set of network parameters requiring estimation
$\widehat{\vartheta}$	Estimate of ϑ (<i>e.g.</i> the maximum likelihood estimate)
ϑ_ξ	Set of network parameters for hydraulic element ξ
λ	Graph or network link
Λ	Set of links within a graph or network
Λ_i	Set of links incident to node i
Λ_{di}	Set of links for which the downstream node is node i
Λ_{ui}	Set of links for which the upstream node is node i
ν	Kinematic viscosity
ν_o	Nominal kinematic viscosity
ξ	Hydraulic \mathcal{M} -element
Ξ	Set of \mathcal{M} -elements
ρ	Density of fluid
ρ_o	Nominal density of fluid
Σ_m	Covariance matrix of measured network states
Σ_u	Covariance matrix of unmeasured network states
τ	Pipe wall shear stress
Υ	Network parameter space, <i>i.e.</i> $\vartheta \in \Upsilon$
ϕ	Dynamics for compound node
$\Phi(s)$	Laplace transform of ϕ
χ	Spatial domain of fluid line
\mathcal{X}	Set of spatial domains of fluid lines within a network
$\psi(t)$	Nodal pressure
$\psi_r(t)$	Nodal pressure at reservoir node
$\Psi(s)$	Vector of Laplace transformed network nodal pressures
$\Psi_d(s)$	Vector of Laplace transformed network demand (flow control) node pressures
$\Psi_r(s)$	Vector of Laplace transformed network reservoir pressures
ω	Radial frequency

List of Publications

The following publications were produced from the research associated with the work presented within this dissertation.

Zecchin, A. C., A. R. Simpson, M. F. Lambert, L. B. White, and J. P. Vítkovský, Transient Modeling of Arbitrary Pipe Networks by a Laplace-Domain Admittance Matrix, *Journal of Engineering Mechanics-ASCE*, 135(6), 538-547, 2009.

Zecchin, A. C., A. R. Simpson, M. F. Lambert, and L. B. White, Frequency-domain modelling of transients in pipe networks with compound nodes using a Laplace-domain admittance matrix, *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering-ASCE*, (Accepted for publication), 2009.

Zecchin, A. C., M. F. Lambert, A. R. Simpson, and N. S. Arbon, Numerically efficient transient-state model for a pipeline based on the Fourier-Crump inverse Laplace transform, *33-rd IAHR World Congress*, Vancouver, Canada, 2009.

Zecchin, A. C., M. F. Lambert, and A. R. Simpson, Damage detection of operating transmission mains with measured boundary conditions, *2009 World Environmental and Water Resources Congress*, Kansas City, US, 2009.

Zecchin, A. C., A. R. Simpson, and M. F. Lambert, von Neumann stability analysis of a method of characteristics viscoelastic pipeline model, *Surge Analysis - System Design, Simulation, Monitoring and Control*, edited by S. Hunt, pp. 333-347, Edinburgh, UK, 2008.

Zecchin, A. C., M. F. Lambert, A. R. Simpson, and L. B. White, Laplace-domain comparison of Linear Models of a Reservoir-Pipe-Valve System with a Leak, *8th Annual International Water Distribution Systems Analysis Symposium*, Cincinnati, US, 2006.

Zecchin, A. C., L. B. White, M. F. Lambert, and A. R. Simpson, Frequency-domain hypothesis testing approach to leak detection in a single fluid line, *CCWI 2005, Water Management for the 21st Century*, vol. 2, edited by D. Savic, G. Walters, R. King, S. T. Khu, pp. 149-154, Exeter, UK, 2005.