

Glyphosate Resistance in Annual Ryegrass
(*Lolium rigidum* Gaud.) with
Multiple Resistance Mechanisms

Yazid Bostamam

Thesis submitted for the degree
of
Master in Agricultural Science

School of Agriculture, Food and Wine
Faculty of Sciences
University of Adelaide
Waite Campus

May 2010

ABSTRACT

Glyphosate (*N*-(phosphonomethyl)glycine) is a post-emergent, systemic and non-selective herbicide for the control of annual and perennial weeds. This herbicide has very low toxicity to the mammals. The target enzyme for glyphosate in plants is 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS). Glyphosate inhibits the biosynthesis of the aromatic amino acids phenylalanine, tyrosine and tryptophan in the plant. The first case of glyphosate resistance was reported in *Lolium rigidum* in Australia after 15 years of persistence use of this herbicide and the number weeds reported resistant to glyphosate has increased around the world. So far, two mechanisms known to be involved in resistance to glyphosate are target-site mutation and reduced herbicide translocation. Recently, two populations of *L. rigidum* from Australia have been discovered with very high levels of resistance to glyphosate. This project aims to determine the levels of glyphosate resistance in these populations, investigate glyphosate resistance mechanisms in the populations and finally assess the mode of inheritance of resistance.

In this project, four resistant (NLR70, SLR77, SLR80 and SLR88) and one susceptible (VLR1) *L. rigidum* populations were evaluated for their response to glyphosate. From the dose response experiments, the susceptible population of VLR1 was completely controlled with the recommended rate of glyphosate (450 g a.e ha⁻¹). In contrast, the resistant populations were not fully controlled by this herbicide rate. There was considerable variation between the populations in their resistance to glyphosate. In comparison to the susceptible population VLR1, SLR77 was 2.2 to 3.5 fold resistant to glyphosate, NLR70 was 3.7 to 8.4 fold resistant to glyphosate, SLR88 was 5.6 to 11.4 fold resistant to glyphosate and SLR80 was 8.2 to 76.7 fold resistant to glyphosate.

The mechanism of glyphosate resistance in the populations was investigated. ¹⁴C-glyphosate was used to determine the absorption and translocation of glyphosate among the populations. There was no significant difference on the absorption of ¹⁴C-glyphosate 48 hours after treatment in the population. However, the accumulation of ¹⁴C-glyphosate in the stem region was higher in the susceptible VLR1 population (25.9%) and in resistant SLR77 (25%) than the other three populations. The resistant populations NLR70, SLR88 and SLR80 had about half the amount of glyphosate

accumulating in the stem region. These three resistant populations appear to be resistant to glyphosate as a result of reduced translocation of glyphosate to the shoot meristem.

Part of the EPSP synthase gene of the susceptible and four resistant populations was amplified and sequenced to identify any changes in the nucleotide sequence. The predicted amino acid sequence from the susceptible population VLR1 was the same as the consensus sequence from other plant species in the conserved region sequenced. However, the resistant populations of NLR70, SLR77, SLR80 and SLR88 showed polymorphisms within the nucleotide sequence in this region. Single nucleotide substitutions of A for C at codon 106 were observed in the resistant populations SLR77 and SLR80. This nucleotide change is predicted to substitute threonine for proline at position 106. In the resistant population SLR88, a nucleotide substitution of T for C was observed at the same codon. This nucleotide substitution is predicted to change the amino acid from proline 106 to serine. Therefore, these three populations appear to be resistant to glyphosate as a result of a target-site mutation.

An inheritance study was conducted by cross pollinating the susceptible VLR1 and resistant SLR88 population. From the dose response, the parent susceptible was completely killed with the recommended rate of glyphosate and higher rates of glyphosate were required to control parental resistant and both F₁ progenies (maternal susceptible and resistant). Both F₁ progenies showed an intermediate response to glyphosate compared with the parental populations. This indicated that the resistance to glyphosate in population SLR88 is inherited by nuclear gene(s) through the transfer of pollen during the cross pollination.

It is suggested that SLR88 and SLR80 population contain both glyphosate resistant mechanisms due to the cross pollination between individuals with different resistant mechanisms. Having two resistant mechanisms results in populations being highly resistant to glyphosate compared to those with one resistance mechanism. The higher level of glyphosate resistance in these multiple glyphosate resistance populations will likely make them harder to manage.

DECLARATION

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree in any university or other tertiary institution and to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by any other person, except where due reference is made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis when deposited in the University Library, available for loan and photocopying.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Yazid Bostamam
20 May 2010

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge the many people who helped me throughout my study.

In particular, I would like to express my deep gratitude to my main supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Christopher Preston for his encouragement, supervision, guidance, advice and valuable input during the course of my master's degree. Thank you for your great patience and sharing your knowledge with me.

I wish to thank my co-supervisor Dr Peter Boutsalis for his advice, support and assistance. It's great to find someone who shares my new interest in Australian football.

I also would like to thank Fleur Dolman for her excellent assistance with molecular biological techniques in the laboratory, especially on the target-site mutation experiment.

Special thanks to my office mate Robin St. John-Sweeting for his co-operation, assistance and understanding. Your great ideas inspired me to do more.

Many thanks to the other weed science team members: Jenna Malone, Sarah Morran, Patrick Krolikowski, Jake Wildeboer, William Paior and Jon Laforgia for their companionship and assistance; particularly Patrick for his kindness, friendship and constant help.

I gratefully acknowledge the Sarawak State Government of Malaysia for the financial support during my study and also to the Agriculture Department of Sarawak who gave me two years study leave.

Lastly, my deep gratitude to my wife, Asmah Salowi and my children Muhammad Nazran and Nazurah Hannah for their understanding, encouragement and support throughout the process of completing this thesis. I also thank my sister Saffinas Bustamam for coming to Australia to support my family.

This poem goes to you all.....

Pisang emas dibawa belayar,

Masak sebiji di atas peti,

Hutang emas boleh ku bayar,

Hutang budi ku bawa mati.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| DECLARATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| CHAPTER ONE: Literature Review | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 2 |
| 1.2 Evolution of Herbicide Resistance | 8 |
| 1.2.1 Initial Gene Frequency | 9 |
| 1.2.2 Selection Pressure | 9 |
| 1.2.3 Gene Flow | 10 |
| 1.2.4 Fitness | 11 |
| 1.3 Resistance Mechanisms | 11 |
| 1.3.1 Target-site Resistance | 12 |
| 1.3.2 Enhanced Herbicide Metabolism | 12 |
| 1.3.3 Reduced Herbicide Translocation | 13 |
| 1.4 Glyphosate | 13 |
| 1.4.1 Glyphosate Characteristics | 14 |
| 1.4.2 Mode of Action | 15 |
| 1.4.3 Weed Resistance to Glyphosate | 17 |
| 1.4.4 Mechanisms of Glyphosate Resistance | 19 |
| 1.4.4.1 Target-site Mutations | 19 |
| 1.4.4.2 Reduced Herbicide Translocation | 20 |
| 1.4.5 Inheritance of Glyphosate Resistance | 20 |
| 1.5 <i>Lolium rigidum</i> Gaud. | 20 |
| 1.5.1 <i>L. rigidum</i> Resistance to Herbicides | 21 |
| 1.6 Conclusion | 22 |
| 1.7 Objectives of the Project | 23 |

| | |
|--|--------|
| CHAPTER TWO: Screening of <i>L. rigidum</i> Populations for Resistance to Glyphosate | 24 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 25 |
| 2.2 Materials and Methods | 25 |
| 2.1.1 Plant Materials | 25 |
| 2.2.2 Seed Germination | 26 |
| 2.2.3 Herbicide Application | 26 |
| 2.2.4 Statistical Analysis | 27 |
| 2.3 Results | 27 |
| 2.4 Discussion | 38 |
| CHAPTER THREE: Glyphosate Translocation Patterns in Susceptible and Resistant Populations | 40 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 41 |
| 3.2 Materials and Methods | 42 |
| 3.2.1 Seed Germination | 42 |
| 3.2.2 Hydroponic Culture | 42 |
| 3.2.3 Glyphosate Treatment | 44 |
| 3.2.4 Radioactivity Determinations | 44 |
| 3.2.5 Statistical Analysis | 45 |
| 3.3 Results | 47 |
| 3.4 Discussion | 48 |
| CHAPTER FOUR: Target-site Mutations in EPSP Synthase in Resistant Populations | 50 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 51 |
| 4.2 Materials and Methods | 51 |
| 4.2.1 Seed Germination | 51 |
| 4.2.2 Plant DNA Extraction | 51 |
| 4.2.3 DNA Concentration Determination | 52 |
| 4.2.4 DNA Amplification | 53 |
| 4.2.5 Separation and Visualisation of DNA Fragments | 53 |
| 4.2.6 DNA Sequencing | 53 |
| 4.3 Results | 53 |
| 4.4 Discussion | 55 |

| | |
|---|----|
| CHAPTER FIVE: The Inheritance of Glyphosate Resistance in SLR88 | 57 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 58 |
| 5.2 Materials and Methods | 58 |
| 5.2.1 Generation of First Filial Generation (F ₁) | 58 |
| 5.2.2 Dose Response on F ₁ Progeny | 59 |
| 5.2.3 Statistical Analysis | 59 |
| 5.3 Results | 59 |
| 5.4 Discussion | 64 |
| | |
| CHAPTER SIX: General Discussion and Conclusion | 66 |
| 6.1 General Discussion | 67 |
| 6.2 Conclusion | 72 |
| | |
| REFERENCES | 74 |