# Evaluation of Normal Tissue Complication Probability and Risk of Second Primary Cancer in Prostate Radiotherapy

Rungdham Takam

Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in

The School of Chemistry and Physics, The University of Adelaide

> Supervisors A/Prof. Eva Bezak Prof. Eric E. Yeoh Dr. Guilin Liu



April 2010

### Contents

Abstract	XX
Signed statement	xxv
Acknowledgements	xxvi
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Prostate cancer and radiotherapy	1
1.2 Evaluation of radiation treatment plans	3
1.3 Thesis outline	6
2. Introduction to prostate cancer and radiation treatment	9
techniques	
2.1 Introduction	9
2.1.1 Risk factors	10
2.1.2 Staging system for prostate cancer	11
2.1.3 Treatment options for prostate cancer	13
2.2 Prostate cancer radiation treatment techniques	17
2.2.1 Three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT)	18
2.2.2 Brachytherapy	19
2.3 Prostate radiotherapy complications	21
2.4 Second primary cancers after prostate cancer	27
2.5 Conclusion	33
3. Normal Tissue Complication Probability	36
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 NTCP models	37
3.2.1 Lyman model	37
3.2.1.1 Lyman and Wolbarst DVH reduction scheme	42
3.2.1.2 Kutcher and Burman DVH reduction scheme (Effective	
Volume)	45

3.2.2 Relative Seriality (RS) model	49
3.2.3 Other NTCP models	53
3.3 Physical dose conversion	56
3.3.1 Biologically Effective Dose ( $BE_{ff}D$ ) and Relative Effectiveness (RE) .	57
3.3.2 Equivalent Dose ( $D_{eq}$ )	63
4. Normal Tissue Complication Probability (NTCP) following	66
prostate cancer radiotherapy: differential Dose-Volume	
Histograms (DVHs) analysis using NTCP models	
4.1 Introduction	66
4.2 Prostate cancer radiation therapy techniques	69
4.2.1 Standard fractionated 4-field 3D-CRT	69
4.2.2 Hypofractionated 4-field 3D-CRT	69
4.2.3 4-field 3D-CRT to total dose of 70 Gy and 74 Gy	70
4.2.4 5-field 3D-CRT	70
4.2.5 Low-Dose-Rate (LDR) Brachytherapy (BT) monotherapy	71
4.2.6 High-Dose-Rate (HDR) Brachytherapy (BT) monotherapy	71
4.2.7 Combined-modality treatment	72
4.3 Differential DVHs of Organs-At-Risk	73
4.3.1 Rectal differential DVHs	76
4.3.2 Bladder differential DVHs	84
4.3.3 Urethral differential DVHs	89
4.3.4 Femoral heads differential DVHs	91
4.4 NTCP of organs-at-risk	94
4.4.1 Rectum	94
4.4.2 Bladder	97
4.4.3 Urethra	99
4.4.4 Femoral heads	101
4.5 Dependence of relative seriality NTCP model on variable parameters	102

4.5.1 Dependence of relative seriality NTCP model on $lpha/eta$ ratio of the	
rectum	103
4.5.2 Dependence of relative seriality NTCP model on "s" parameter of the	
rectum	106
4.5.3 Dependence of relative seriality NTCP model on "m" parameter of	
the rectum	107
4.5.4 Dependency of the relative seriality NTCP model on variable	
parameters of other organs	110
4.6 Discussion	115
4.7 Conclusion	126
5. Second primary cancer associated with prostate cancer	131
radiotherapy	
5.1 Introduction	131
5.2 Evaluations of the second primary cancer risk	133
5.2.1 Epidemiologic studies on incidence of second primary cancers	
following prostate cancer radiotherapy	133
5.2.2 Estimation of second primary cancer risks using radiation	
dosimetric data and risk models	144
5.3 Method – risk estimation	152
5.3.1 Differential DVHs of organs-at-risk	154
5.4 Results – estimated risks of SPC in organs-at-risk	157
5.4.1 Rectum	157
5.4.2 Bladder	159
5.4.3 Urethra	160
5.5 Discussion	161
5.6 Conclusion	165
6. Enriched lithium-6 and lithium-7 LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod	168
thermoluminescence dosimeters and out-of-field radiations	
dosimetry	
6.1 Introduction	168

6.2 <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P & <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod thermoluminescence dosimeters	
and calibrations	175
6.2.1 Enriched <sup>6</sup> Li and <sup>7</sup> Li LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs	175
6.2.1.1 Calibrations of <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs	179
6.2.1.2 Calibrations of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs	182
6.2.2 NRC RemRad AN/PDR-70 portable neutron survey meter	184
6.2.3 CR-39 etch-track detector in Landauer <sup>®</sup> Luxel <sup>®</sup> + dosimeter	185
6.3 Measurements of out-of-field radiations	187
6.3.1 Out-of-field radiation doses at points-of-interest	187
6.3.1.1 Determination of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs readout due to neutron	
dose only	189
6.3.1.2 Determination of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD corrected readout due to	
total and fast neutrons	190
6.3.1.3 Determination of readout-to-neutron dose conversion factor	
for <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs	191
6.4. Results	192
6.4.1 Calibration results of <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs	192
6.4.2 Calibration results of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs	196
6.4.3 Readout-to-neutron dose conversion factor for <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs	
using CR-39 etch-track detectors	198
6.4.4 Out-of-field radiations measurements	201
6.5 Discussion	205
6.6 Conclusion	209
7. Peripheral photon and neutron doses from prostate cancer	211
irradiation and risk of second primary cancers	
7.1 Introduction	211
7.2 Materials and methods	221
7.2.1 Anthropomorphic Rando phantom	221
7.2.2 <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P and <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs	223
7.2.3 Rando phantom irradiations	224
7.3 Determination of doses from TLD readouts	225

7.4 Estimations of second primary cancer risk	226
7.5 Results	229
7.5.1 Distributions of photon and neutron doses in the Rando phantom	229
7.5.1.1 Photon doses	229
7.5.1.2 Neutron doses	231
7.5.2 Organs dose and risks of second primary cancer	233
7.5.2.1 Lungs' dose-volume histogram and risk of second primary	
cancer	233
7.5.2.2 Organs dose equivalents and risk of second primary cancer	235
7.6 Discussion	237
7.7 Conclusion	243
8. Summary and conclusions	248
8.1 Summary of findings of this thesis and major conclusion	248
8.2 Future directions	251
Bibliography	254

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

$BE_{ff}D$	Biologically Effective Dose
$D_{eq}$	Equivalent Dose
3D-CRT	Three-Dimensional Conformal Radiotherapy
3D-CRT/70 G	y 4-field Three-Dimensional Radiotherapy to total dose of 70 Gy
3D-CRT/74 G	y 4-field Three-Dimensional Radiotherapy to total dose of 74 Gy
A-bRFS	ASTRO-biochemical Relapse-Free Survival
BCF	Batch Correction Factor
BEIR	Biological Effects of Ionizing Radiations
bNED	Biochemical No-Evidence-of-Disease
СТ	Computed Tomography
CTV	Clinical Target Volume
DVH	Dose-Volume Histogram
EBRT	External Beam Radiotherapy
FFPF	Freedom From PSA Failure
FS	Field-Size
FSU	Functional Subunit
GI	Gastrointestinal
GTV	Gross Tumour Volume
GU	Genitourinary
HDR-BT	High-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy
ICRP	The International Committee on Radiation Protection
IMRT	Intensity-Modulated Radiotherapy
LDR-BT	Low-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy
Linac	Linear Accelerator
LQ	Linear-Quadratic
MOSFET	Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect Transistor
MU	Monitor Unit
N-bRFS	Houston nadir+2 biochemical Relapse-Free Survival

NCRP	National Council of Radiation Protection and Measurements
NTCP	Normal Tissue Complication Probability
OARs	Organs-At-Risk
OED	Organ Equivalent Dose
PPFS	PSA Progression-Free Survival
PSA	Prostate Specific Antigen
PSA-DFS	Prostate Specific Antigen-Disease-Free Survival
PTV	Planning Target Volume
RBE	Relative Biological Effectiveness
RE	Relative Effectiveness
RR	Relative Risk
RT	Radiotherapy
RTOG	Radiation Therapy Oncology Group
S.D	Standard Deviation
SSD	Source-to-Skin Distance
SCF	Sensitivity Correction Factor
SEER	Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Programs
SIR	Standardized Incidence Ratio
SPC(s)	Second Primary Cancer(s)
ТСР	Tumour Control Probability
<i>TD</i> <sub>5/5</sub>	The 5% probability of a complication within 5 years after treatment.
<i>TD</i> <sub>50/5</sub>	The 50% probability of a complication within 5 years after treatment
TLDs	Thermoluminescence Dosimeters
UNSCEAR	The United Nations Scientific Committee on Effects of Atomic Radiation

## List of Tables

	Page
Table 2.1. AJCC/UICC TNM staging system for prostate cancer (Zelefsky et al 2004).	12
Table 2.2. RTOG/EORTC grading criteria for acute effects (Khoo 2003)	22
Table 2.3. RTOG/EORTC grading criteria for late effects (Khoo 2003)	23
<b>Table 2.4</b> . Examples of reports on the incidence and risks of second cancers after      prostate cancer radiotherapy.	30
Table 3.1. End points of normal tissues and model parameters (Burman et al 1991).	39
<b>Table 3.2.</b> Radiobiological data corresponding to relative seriality model (Kallman <i>et al</i> 1992). $D_{50}$ in this table approximates the $TD_{50}/5$ years.	49
<b>Table 4.1.</b> Main treatment parameters for LDR-BT monotherapy using radioactive I-125 seeds (Marcu & Quach 2006).	71
<b>Table 4.2.</b> Rectal dosimetric data in various prostate cancer treatment techniques. Equivalent doses were calculated using $\alpha/\beta$ ratio of 5.4 Gy (Dasu <i>et al</i> 2005) and reference dose ( $d_{ref}$ ) of 2 Gy.	83
<b>Table 4.3.</b> Dosimetric data of bladder in various prostate cancer treatment techniques. Equivalent doses were calculated using $\alpha/\beta$ ratio of 7.5 Gy (Dasu <i>et al</i> 2005) and reference dose ( $d_{ref}$ ) of 2 Gy.	88
<b>Table 4.4.</b> Dosimetric data of urethra in various prostate cancer treatment techniques. Equivalent doses were calculated using $\alpha/\beta$ ratio of 7.5 Gy and reference dose ( $d_{ref}$ ) of 2 Gy.	91
<b>Table 4.5.</b> Dosimetric data of femoral heads in various treatment techniques for      prostate cancer.	94
<b>Table 4.6.</b> The default values of the relative seriality model parameters for rectum.	94

	Page
<b>Table 4.7.</b> Average rectal NTCP following various prostate cancer treatmenttechniques calculated with relative seriality model (equivalent dose was used in riskcalculation).	95
<b>Table 4.8.</b> The default values of the relative seriality model parameters for bladder.	97
<b>Table 4.9.</b> Average bladder NTCP in various prostate cancer treatment techniquescalculated with relative seriality model and dosimetric parameters (equivalent dosewas used in risk calculation).	98
<b>Table 4.10.</b> The default values of the relative seriality model parameters for theoesophagus applied to the urethra.	100
<b>Table 4.11.</b> Average urethral NTCP in various prostate cancer treatment techniquescalculated with relative seriality model (equivalent dose was used in risk calculation).	101
<b>Table 4.12.</b> Average NTCP of the femoral heads for various treatment techniques forprostate cancer (equivalent dose was used in risk calculation).	102
<b>Table 4.13.</b> Summary of radiation treatment techniques for prostate carcinoma atRoyal Adelaide Hospital, Radiation Oncology Department, South Australia, which wereinvolved in this thesis.	129
<b>Table 5.1.</b> The competitive risk model parameters used for estimation of the SPC riskfollowing various radiation treatment techniques for prostate (Dasu <i>et al</i> 2005).	153
<b>Table 5.2.</b> Average estimated risk of rectal SPC associated with various prostatecancer treatment techniques applying the competitive risk model to dosimetricparameters.	158
<b>Table 5.3.</b> Average estimated risk of bladder carcinogenesis associated with variousprostate cancer treatment techniques applying the competitive risk model to	160

dosimetric parameters.

	U
<b>Table 5.4.</b> Average estimated risk of urethra SPC associated with various prostatecancer treatment techniques applying the competitive risk model to dosimetricparameters.	161
<b>Table 6.1.</b> Neutron weighting factors ( $W_R$ ) as a function of incident neutron energy ( $E_n$ ) obtained using equation (6.1).	172
<b>Table 6.2.</b> General properties of enriched <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P and <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs (Shanghai Renri Radiation Protection Equipment Co., Ltd.).	177
<b>Table 6.3.</b> Neutron dose rates (mrem/hour) as a function of radiation field-size (cm <sup>2</sup> ) measured with AN/PDR-70 neutron survey meter at 50 centimeters from the isocentre on the patient plane.	188
<b>Table 6.4.</b> Calibration results of <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD rods using 1 Gy photons dose using 18 MV X-ray beam from Varian iX medical linac (10 x 10 cm <sup>2</sup> FS, 100 cm SSD).	193
<b>Table 6.5.</b> Calibration results of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD rods against 2 Gy dose using 18 MV beam from Varian iX linear accelerator (10 x 10 cm <sup>2</sup> FS, 100 cm SSD).	196
<b>Table 6.6</b> . Fast neutron and photon dose equivalents (mSv) obtained with Luxel <sup>®</sup> Ja Type dosimeters and corrected readouts of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs corresponding to 10 Gy dose irradiation using 6 &18 MV X-ray beams from Varian iX linear accelerator.	199
<b>Table 6.7</b> . The fast neutron dose equivalents measured with CR-39 etch-track detectors and corrected readout of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs ( $\mu$ C) due to fast neutron exposure only and the ratio of these two numbers at different distances from the isocentre.	202
<b>Table 6.8.</b> Ambient (in-air) photon and neutron dose equivalents (μSv) per MU measured with <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P and <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs, and CR-39 etch-track detector at different distances from isocentre in the patient plane corresponding to 10 Gy X-rays dose irradiation using 18 MV beam from Varian iX linear accelerator.	204

<b>Table 7.2</b> . Average peripheral photon dose equivalents ( $\overline{H_{ph}}$ ) in different organs of	229
the Rando phantom as a result of 80 Gy dose irradiation to pelvic using 18 MV 4-field	
3D-CRT technique from Varian iX linac measured with the 7LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs.	

**Table 7.3.** Average peripheral neutron dose equivalents ( $\overline{H_n}$ ) in different organs of232the Rando phantom as the result of 80 Gy irradiation to pelvic using 18 MV 4-field 3D-CRT technique from Varian iX linac.

**Table 7.4**. Dose-volume histogram of lungs reconstructed using differential volume234data based on CT images of the Rando phantom and dosimetric data obtained with TLDmeasurements and associated risk of lungs SPC.

**Table 7.5.** The total organ dose equivalent  $(H_{tot}^{BOGy})$  and per 1 Gy target dose  $(H_{tot}^{1Gy})$ 236corresponding to 80 Gy dose pelvic irradiation using 4-field 3D-CRT technique with 18NV X-ray beam from Varian iX linear accelerator. The risks of second primary cancerfollowing the full prostate treatment were calculated using the total dose equivalents.

## List of Figures

	Page
<b>Figure 2.1</b> . Dose-response relationship for radiation-induced carcinogenesis in human including the dose range with the best quantitative data and the dose ranges where there is considerable uncertainty (redrawn from figure presented in Hall 2006).	28
<b>Figure 3.1.</b> An example of differential DVH derived from irradiation of rectum from standard 4-field 3D-conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT) for prostate cancer.	41
<b>Figure 3.2.</b> A sample of cumulative DVH derived from irradiation of rectum from standard 4-field 3D-conformal radiotherapy (3D-CRT) for prostate cancer.	41
<b>Figure 3.3.</b> The experimental situation corresponding to one point on the <i>C</i> ( <i>D</i> , <i>V</i> ) surface for some particular fixed regimen of time, dose, fractionation, RBE, etc. (a) The fraction V of the organ receives dose D, and the rest receives none. (b) The corresponding dose-volume histogram (Lyman and Wolbarst 1987).	42
<b>Figure 3.4.</b> Graphic illustration of multi-step dose-volume histogram and the first two steps of the histogram-reduction process as proposed by Lyman and Wolbarst (1987).	43
<b>Figure 3.5.</b> Step function representation of a dose volume histogram (Kutcher & Burman 1989).	46
<b>Figure 3.6.</b> A schematic illustration of a serial-parallel structure (Kallman <i>et al</i> 1992). Figure 3.6a illustrates the organ with pure parallel ( <i>m</i> ) structure; Figure 3.6b shows the functional organization of a serial ( <i>n</i> ) organ and Figure 3.6c represents an organ with parallel as well as serial functional subunits.	51
<b>Figure 4.1.</b> Differential DVHs of rectum from standard fractionated 4-field 3D-CRT treatment plans (Pinnacle <sup>3</sup> 6.2b) for prostate cancer.	76
<b>Figure 4.2.</b> Differential DVHs of rectum from hypofractionated 4-field 3D-CRT treatment plans (Pinnacle <sup>3</sup> 6.2b) for prostate cancer.	77

<b>Figure 4.3.</b> Differential DVHs of rectum from 4-field 3D-CRT to total dose of 70 Gy treatment plans (Pinnacle <sup>3</sup> 6.2b) for prostate cancer.	78
<b>Figure 4.4.</b> Differential DVHs of rectum from 4-field 3D-CRT to total dose of 74 Gy treatment plans (Pinnacle <sup>3</sup> 6.2b) for prostate cancer.	79
<b>Figure 4.5.</b> Rectal differential DVHs from 5-field 3D-CRT treatment plans for prostate cancer.	80
<b>Figure 4.6.</b> Rectal differential DVHs from combined-modality (4-field 3D-CRT and HDR-BT) treatment technique for prostate cancer (first 5 differential DVHs were shown).	81
<b>Figure 4.7.</b> Rectal differential DVHs from HDR-BT as monotherapy treatment plans (Nucletron SWIFT <sup>™</sup> ) for prostate cancer.	82
<b>Figure 4.8.</b> Rectal differential DVHs retrieved from LDR-BT treatment live-plans (Nucletron SPOT PRO <sup>™</sup> ) for prostate cancer (first 10 differential DVHs were shown).	83
<b>Figure 4.9.</b> Differential DVHs of bladder from standard fractionated 3D-CRT treatment plans for prostate cancer.	84
<b>Figure 4.10.</b> Differential DVHs of bladder from hypofractionated 3D-CRT treatment plans for prostate cancer.	85
<b>Figure 4.11.</b> Differential DVHs of bladder from 4-field 3D-CRT to total dose of 70 Gy (3D-CRT/70 Gy) for prostate cancer.	86
<b>Figure 4.12.</b> Differential DVHs of bladder from 4-field 3D-CRT to total dose of 74 Gy (3D-CRT/74 Gy) for prostate cancer.	86
<b>Figure 4.13.</b> Differential DVHs of bladder from 5-field 3D-CRT (total dose of 70 Gy at 2 Gy/fraction) treatment plans for prostate cancer.	88
<b>Figure 4.14.</b> Urethral differential DVHs from HDR-BT treatment plans (Nucletron SWIFT <sup>TM</sup> ) for prostate cancer applied as monotherapy.	89

	Page
Figure 4.15. Urethral differential DVHs from LDR-BT treatment plans (Nucletron SPOT PRO™) for prostate cancer (first 10 DVHs were shown).	90
<b>Figure 4.16.</b> Differential DVHs of femoral heads the 3D-CRT to total dose of 70 Gy (DVH P1 – P10) and to total dose of 74 Gy (DVH P11 – P12) treatment plans for prostate cancer.	92
<b>Figure 4.17.</b> Differential DVHs of femoral heads from 5-field 3D-CRT treatment plans for prostate cancer.	93
<b>Figure 4.18a.</b> The relative seriality rectal NTCP model applied in hypofractionated 3D-CRT and HDR-BT techniques plotted against different values of $\alpha/\beta$ ratio of rectum (default value = 5.4 Gy).	105
<b>Figure 4.18b.</b> The relative seriality rectal NTCP model applied in LDR-BT technique plotted against different values of $\alpha/\beta$ ratio of rectum (default value = 5.4 Gy).	105
<b>Figure 4.19.</b> The relative seriality rectal NTCP model applied in standard and hypofractionated 3D-CRT, 4-field 3D-CRT/74 Gy, 5-field 3D-CRT, HDR-BT, and LDR-BT techniques plotted against different values of " <i>s</i> " parameter of rectum (default value = 0.75).	107
<b>Figure 4.20.</b> The relative seriality model for rectal NTCP for standard and hypofractionated 3D-CRT, 4-field 3D-CRT/74 Gy, and 5-field 3D-CRT techniques plotted against different values of " $m$ " parameter of rectum (default value = 0.15).	108
<b>Figure 4.21.</b> The relative seriality rectal NTCP model applied in HDR-BT and LDR-BT as monotherapy techniques plotted against different values of " <i>m</i> " parameter of rectum (default value = 0.15).	109
<b>Figure 4.22.</b> The relative seriality bladder NTCP model applied in hypofractionated 3D-CRT, standard fractionated 3D-CRT, 5-field 3D-CRT, and 4-field 3D-CRT/74 Gy techniques in study plotted against different values of " <i>s</i> " parameter of bladder	111

(default value = 1.3).

**Figure 4.23.** The relative seriality bladder NTCP model applied in hypofractionated 111 3D-CRT, standard fractionated 3D-CRT, 5-field 3D-CRT, and 4-field 3D-CRT/74 Gy techniques in study plotted against the value of "*m*" parameter of bladder (default value = 0.11).

**Figure 4.24.** The relative seriality urethral NTCP model applied in hypofractionated 112 3D-CRT, HDR-BT as monotherapy, and LDR-BT as monotherapy techniques in study plotted against different values of  $\alpha/\beta$  ratio of urethra (default value = 7.5 Gy).

Figure 4.25. The relative seriality urethral NTCP model applied in standard and113hypofractionated 3D-CRT and HDR-BT and LDR-BT as monotherapy techniquesplotted against different values of "s" parameter of urethra (default value = 1.0).

Figure 4.26. The relative seriality urethral NTCP model applied in standard and114hypofractionated 3D-CRT, and HDR-BT and LDR-BT monotherapy techniques plottedagainst different values of "m" parameter of urethra (default value = 0.11).

Figure 5.1. The plots show the predicted second primary cancer risks of rectum,151bladder, and urethra following prostate cancer standard (2 Gy) fractionated 3D-CRTusing the competitive risk model. The bell-shape dose-effect curve exhibits the resultof two competing processes, with increasing dose induction of DNA mutationdominating at low doses and the predominance of cell kill at higher doses.

Figure 5.2. Differential DVH of rectum taken from radiation treatment plans for 4-155field 3D-CRT to total dose 70 Gy or 74 Gy. Differential DVH P2 is an example of a planwhich results in irradiation of rectum to equivalent doses (3 – 6 Gy) where DNAmutations dominate resulting in higher risk of SPC compared with P4 and P6 (seeresults in section 5.4).

Figure 5.3. Differential DVH of rectum taken from radiation treatment plans for 5-155field 3D-CRT technique. Similar to 4-field 3D-CRT/70 or 74 Gy radiation treatmentplans, rectal differential DVHs in 5-field 3D-CRT plans which result in irradiation ofrectum to equivalent doses around 3 – 6 Gy (DVH P3, P5 and P9) are associated withhigher risks of SPC compared with P1 and P2 where equivalent doses are uniformlyspread across the range (see results in section 5.4).5-

Figure 5.4. Differential DVHs of bladder taken from radiation treatment plans for 4-156field 3D-CRT/70 Gy. In P2 and P12 the bladder received some equivalent dosesresulting in higher risks of SPC compared to high equivalent doses in P1, P7, and P9which were associated with lower risks of SPC (see results in section 5.4).

Figure 6.1. Neutron fluence spectra produced from interactions between different169incident electron beam energies and tungsten target in the head of electron linearaccelerators (Huang *et al* 2005). The spectra were calculated using FLUKA MonteCarlo simulation code.Carlo simulation code.

Figure 6.2. Free-in-air photon and neutron doses for 6 MV and 18 MV IMRT prostate170radiotherapy techniques with different field-sizes and angles (Vanhavere *et al* 2004).

Figure 6.3. (Top) Enriched <sup>6</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P (clear colour) and <sup>7</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P (green176colour) glass-rod TLDs obtained from Gammasonics Institute for Medical Research,Australia, (Bottom) TLD holder with cadmium filter installed.

Figure 6.4. Neutrons reaction cross-sections of 6Li177 $(n + {}^{6}Li \rightarrow \alpha(2.05 MeV) + {}^{3}H(2.73 MeV))$  and 7Li (total neutron absorption) as a177function of incident neutrons energy (Nuclear Data Evaluation Lab, Korea Atomic177Energy Research Institute, 2009).178

Figure 6.5. Total neutron cross-section of natural Cadmium (Nuclear Data178Evaluation Lab, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 2009).

Page

<b>Figure 6.6.</b> A picture of AN/PDR-70 (Snoopy NP-2) portable neutron survey meter (Nuclear Research Corporation, Warrington, Pennsylvania, USA.) used in this study.	185
<b>Figure 6.7.</b> A picture of the Landauer <sup>®</sup> Luxel <sup>®</sup> + Ja type dosimeter. This dosimeter is normally used as a personnel dosimeter at the Royal Adelaide Hospital, South Australia. The rectangular part (yellow circle) is the CR-39 etch-track detector used for neutrons measurement.	186
<b>Figure 6.8.</b> The typical glow-curve of <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs resulting from 1 Gy photon dose irradiation using 18 MV beam from Varian iX medical linear accelerator. The signal was obtained using Harshaw 3500 TLD Reader and analyzed with WinREM software.	192
<b>Figure 6.9.</b> A plot showing average normalized readout of each <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD (•) and average readout of the whole batch (dash line).	193
<b>Figure 6.10.</b> Dose-response linearity of <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD rods irradiated to X-ray doses of up to 6 Gy using 18 MV beam from Varian iX linear accelerator.	195
<b>Figure 6.11.</b> The typical glow-curve of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD rods following 2 Gy photon doses irradiation using 18 MV X-ray beam from Varian iX linear accelerator. The signal was obtained using Harshaw 3500 TLD Reader and analyzed with WinREM software.	197
<b>Figure 6.12.</b> A plot showing average normalized readout of each <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD (•) and average readout of the whole batch (—). The above and below broken lines represent batch average readout plus and minus 1 S.D respectively.	198
<b>Figure 6.13</b> . A plot shows the ratio of measured fast neutron dose equivalents (mSv) from CR-39 etch-track detector to corrected readouts ( $\mu$ <i>C</i> ) of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLDs due to fast neutron exposure as a function of distance (cm) from the isocentre.	201

Figure 7.1. (Left) The anthropomorphic Rando phantom consisting of 35 section	222
slices. The phantom sections are individually numbered and all sections are	
assembled in the clamping device. <b>(Right)</b> A section of the Rando phantom. The area	
of the lower density material (darker color enclosed by the green line) simulates the	
natural human lung tissue is displayed. The grid holes with white Mix D plugs are	
also shown. The rib cage bones made of true human skeleton can also be seen in this	
phantom section (yellow circle).	
Figure 7.2. A picture shows the a TLD plug made from a piece of the tissue	223
equivalent wax and a pair of <sup>6</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P and <sup>7</sup> LiF:Mg,Cu,P TLD rods. A permanent	
ink marking pen is used to mark the number of the TLD plug.	
Figure 7.3. The TLD-loaded Rando phantom is placed on the treatment couch of the	224
Varian iX linear accelerator. The laser beams were used to set up the phantom on the	
couch using the coordinates marked on the phantom for pelvic irradiation.	
Figure 7.4. Predicted risk (%) of radiation-induced second malignancy as a function	227
of fractionated radiation dose.	
Figure 7.5. Average peripheral photon dose equivalent per 1 Gy isocentre dose in	231
the Rando phantom measured at different distances from the isocentre. The dose is a	
result of exposure to external (out-of-field) leakage as well as internal scattered	
radiations (18 MV X-ray beam from Varian iX linear accelerator).	
Figure 7.6. Average peripheral neutron dose equivalent (mSv) per 1 Gy isocentre	233
dose in the Rando phantom as a function of distance (cm) from the isocentre (18 MV	

X-ray beam from Varian iX linear accelerator).

#### Abstract

The probabilities of developing radiation-induced normal tissue complications and second primary cancers were evaluated using dose-volume histograms as well as dose measurements covering a range of radiotherapy techniques including External Beam Radiotherapy (EBRT) and Brachytherapy (BT) for prostate cancer.

There are two major parts in this thesis. In the first part, the Dose-Volume Histograms (DVHs) of the Organs-At-Risk (OARs) such as rectum, bladder, urethra, and femoral heads were retrieved from the radiation treatment plans of 4-field standard fractionated (2 Gy/fraction) Three-Dimensional Conformal Radiotherapy (3D-CRT) to total dose of 64 Gy, 4-field hypofractionated (2.75 Gy/fraction) 3D-CRT to total dose of 55 Gy, 5-field 3D-CRT to total dose of 70 Gy, 4-field 3D-CRT to total dose of 70 and 74 Gy, Low-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy (LDR-BT) with I-125, High-Dose-Rate Brachytherapy (HDR-BT) with Ir-192, and combined-modality treatment (3D-CRT & HDR-BT) techniques. The DVHs of these normal organs/tissues were converted to Biologically Effective Dose based DVHs (  $B\!E_{\it ff}DV\!Hs$  ) and Equivalent Dose based DVHs  $(D_{ea}VHs)$  respectively in order to account for differences in radiation treatment modality and fractionation schedule. For assessment of the Normal Tissue Complication Probability (NTCP), the Lyman and Relative Seriality NTCP models were applied to the differential  $D_{eq}VHs$  of the OARs. For the assessment of risk of radiationinduced Second Primary Cancer (SPC), the Competitive Risk model was used. In total, 223 DVHs from 101 patients were analysed in this thesis.

In the second part, a radiation dosimetry technique was developed and used in measuring the doses delivered to distant organs/tissues (e.g. lungs and thyroid) as a result of prostate irradiation. In this case, simulation of prostate cancer radiotherapy was performed with the anthropomorphic Rando phantom using 4-field 3D-CRT technique to the total dose of 80 Gy with the 18 MV X-ray beam from Varian iX linear accelerator (linac). Radiation doses at different locations in the Rando phantom resulting from scattered and leakage photon and neutron radiations were measured using enriched <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod thermoluminescence dosimeters (TLDs).

Results indicated that with hypofractionated 3D-CRT (20 fractions of 2.75-Gy fraction and 5 times/week to total dose of 55 Gy) NTCP of rectum, bladder and urethra were less than those for standard fractionated 3D-CRT using 4-field technique (32 fractions of 2-Gy fraction and 5 times/week to total dose of 64 Gy) and dose-escalated 3D-CRT. Rectal and bladder NTCPs (5.2% and 6.6% respectively) following the dose-escalated 4-field 3D-CRT (2 Gy per fraction to total dose of 74 Gy) were the highest amongst the analysed treatment techniques. The average NTCP for rectum and urethra were 0.6% and 24.7% for LDR-BT and 0.5% and 11.2% for HDR-BT. Although brachytherapy techniques resulted in delivering larger equivalent doses to normal tissues, the corresponding NTCPs were lower than those of external beam techniques except in the case of urethra due to much smaller volumes irradiated to higher doses. Amongst normal tissues analysed, femoral heads were found to have the lowest probability of complications as most of their volume was irradiated to lower equivalent doses compared to other tissues. The average estimated radiation-induced SPC risk was no greater than 0.6% for all treatment plans corresponding to various treatment techniques but was lower for either LDR or HDR brachytherapy alone compared with any EBRT technique. For LDR and HDR brachytherapy alone, the risk of SPC for rectum was approximately 2.0 x 10<sup>-4</sup> % and 8.3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> % respectively compared with 0.2% for EBRT using 5-field 3D-CRT to total dose 74 Gy. Treatment plans which deliver equivalent doses of around 3 – 5 Gy to normal tissues were associated with higher risks of development of cancers.

Results from TLDs measurements in the Rando phantom indicated that photon doses were highest close to the irradiation volume and the photon dose equivalent ratio (dose equivalent per unit of target dose) decreases proportionally with the distance from the isocentre (e.g. 6.5 mSv/Gy for small intestine to 0.2 mSv/Gy for thyroid). In contrast, the dose equivalent ratio of neutrons in the Rando phantom was observed to be constant at approximately 5.7 mSv/Gy for up to 50 centimeters from the edge of the treatment field (from pancreas to oesophagus).

The total dose equivalent (photon and neutron) for each organ/tissue approximated for the 4-field standard fractionated 3D-CRT technique to total dose of 80 Gy using 18 MV X-ray beam from Varian iX linac ranged between 323.0 mSv (for thyroid) and 1203.7 mSv (for colon). Based on the competitive risk model and on the assumptions that the dose equivalents were uniformly distributed in the volumes of these organs/tissues, the estimated risks of SPC range from 1.5% (in thyroid) up to 4.5% (in colon).

Different radiation treatment techniques for prostate cancer are associated with different probabilities of developing radiation-induced normal tissue complications and second primary cancers. In the case of brachytherapy for prostate cancer, due to

xxiii

its specific dose-volume characteristics in addition to not having the leakage or neutron radiation associated with external beam radiotherapy, this treatment modality is associated with a reduced risk of NTCP and SPC compared with EBRT techniques for both organs situated close to and organs situated at a distance from the treatment field.

In this current work, the radiation dosimetry technique based on the <sup>6</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P and <sup>7</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs was developed to determine the radiation doses received by organs/tissues positioned away from the irradiation field due to scattered and leakage photons and neutrons. This radiation measurement technique enables the evaluation of the prostate radiation treatment plan to include the assessment of organs/tissues of interest in both high and low dose regions.

It was demonstrated in this thesis that the relative seriality (NTCP) and the competitive risk (SPC) are useful models which can be used for the purpose of relative comparison and evaluation of prostate radiation treatment plans even though they may need to be further verified and fine tuned against clinical data.

#### **Declaration**

Rungdham Takam PROGRAM: Doctor of Philosophy (Medical Physics)

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968.

I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines, unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.



#### Acknowledgements

Firstly, I wish to thank the Royal Thai Government and the Office of Atoms for Peace (OAP), the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), who give me an opportunity to come to study at the University of Adelaide under the Thai Government (MOST) scholarship. Without their support and generosity, I would not be able to fulfill my childhood dream in achieving the highest formal education a person can obtain. Now, I shall use my knowledge to serve my fellow Thai people and my beloved country and to express my appreciation for giving me this education opportunity.

I would like to express my gratitude to my principal supervisor A/Prof. Eva Bezak for her persistent professional support throughout my candidature. I am very fortunate to be accepted as her Ph.D. student at the Medical Physics Department, Royal Adelaide Hospital. It could have been extremely difficult for non-medical physics background student like myself to achieve this success but excellent supervision and great advice from A/Prof. Eva Bezak made such a tough challenge become undoubtedly achievable. I would like to also thank her for her enthusiasm and willingness to provide support and guidance in performing the experiments, writing this thesis, helping me to attend several conferences and presenting my research. I certainly agree with Paul Reich (a fellow Ph.D. student who graduated in 2008) that she is a true professional and a great leader whom I have tremendous respect for as physicist and individual. I also owe my gratitude to my co-supervisor Prof. Eric Yeoh from the Radiation Oncology Department, Royal Adelaide Hospital. His support and advices in the field of radiation oncology are invaluable. I would like to also express my sincere appreciation to his tireless works on correcting my research papers and thesis. Without his contributions, it would be really difficult to obtain this achievement. It is also important to thank him for the support from his department for funding the trip to Rome, Italy, in June 2007 to present my work at the international conference.

I would like to also thank: (i) Dr. Guilin Liu for his assistance in irradiations and radiation dosimetry with the Rando phantom; (ii) John Lawson and Tom Chen for their assistance in brachytherapy treatment plans (iii) Daniel Ramm and Johan Asp for their supports on TLDs analysis and providing of personnel dosimeters for neutron dosimetry; (iv) John Schneider and Ahn Tran for their supports on engineering works; (v) Timothy Williams for his assistance in abstract correcting and (vi) Duncan Butler at ARPANSA, Melbourne, for his assistance in calibration of the TLDs.

Although I had an opportunity to work with her for a very short period at the beginning of my research, I would like to also thank Dr. Loredana Marcu for her support and advices in developing of this research especially in the field of radiobiology.

It may be a little strange to praise a fan of the Adelaide Crows since I am proudly supporter of Port Adelaide. But I am willing to express my appreciation to Christine Robinson for her assistance since day one of my arrival in Adelaide in 1998 to do my Master degree. Undoubtedly, she has been and always will be the best personal assistance I have ever known.

xxvii

I wish to thank my colleague at the Office of Atomic Energy for Peace, Miss Siriluck Lumjiactas who helped me with the financial management and other personal issues.

I would like to dedicate the success of all my hard work to my girlfriend, On-Anong Srasom (Nuchie), for giving me inspiration, companionship and constant support from the beginning of this candidature until the last minute of finishing this thesis.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my mother and younger brother who wait patiently and allow me to pursue my education success for a very long period of time. The fruitful results of this achievement may not yet come to be seen but I hope I can use this success to lead us to a better life.

### Publications in refereed journals

- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE, Marcu L. Assessment of normal tissue complications and second cancer risks following prostate cancer irradiation - a review. *Austral – Asian Journal of Cancer* 2008; 7: 171 – 184.
- 2. Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE. Risk of second primary cancers following prostate cancer radiotherapy. *Physics in Medicine and Biology* 2008; **54**: 611 625.

### Papers accepted for publication

- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE, Marcu L, "Assessment of normal tissue complications following prostate cancer irradiation: comparison of radiation treatment modalities using NTCP models" accepted for publication in Australian Physics and Engineering Sciences in Medicine.
- 2. Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE, Liu G, "In-phantom peripheral organ doses from prostate irradiation using 18 MV external beam radiotherapy measured with <sup>6</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P & <sup>7</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs" full paper accepted for publication in Proceeding of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering World Congress 2009.

### Papers submitted in refereed journals

- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE, Liu G, "Enriched <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod thermoluminescent dosimeters for out-of-field radiation dose measurements" submitted to Physics in Medicine and Biology.
- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE, Liu G, "Risk of second cancer in prostate radiotherapy due to peripheral photon and neutron doses" to be submitted to Physics in Medicine and Biology. (in preparation)

#### **Conference** presentations

#### International

- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE. Rectal normal tissue complication probability after prostate radiotherapy. 12<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Optimal Use of Advanced Radiotherapy in Multimodality Oncology. 2007. Rome, Italy.
- Takam R, Bezak E, Liu G, Yeoh EE. Risk of second primary cancer following prostate radiotherapy. 10<sup>th</sup> Biennial ESTRO Meeting on Physics and Radiation Technology for Clinical Radiotherapy. 2007. Barcelona, Spain.
- 3. Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE, Liu G. In-phantom peripheral organ doses from prostate irradiation using 18 MV external beam radiotherapy measured with <sup>6</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P & <sup>7</sup>LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs. *Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering World Congress*. 2009. Munich, Germany.

#### National

- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE. Normal tissue complications and risk of second primary cancer following prostate radiotherapy. *Engineering and Physical Sciences in Medicine and the Australian Biomedical Engineering Conference*.
   2007. Fremantle, Australia.
- Takam R, Bezak E, Liu G, Yeoh EE. Mixed radiations dosimetry using <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs and measurements of out-of-field neutron doses.
  2<sup>nd</sup> Modelling of Tumour (MOT) Meeting. 2008. Adelaide, Australia.
- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE. Risk of second primary cancer following prostate radiotherapy. 2<sup>nd</sup> Modelling of Tumour (MOT) Meeting. 2008. Adelaide, Australia.
- 4. Takam R, Bezak E, Liu G, Yeoh EE. Photon and neutron dose measurements using <sup>6</sup>Li and <sup>7</sup>Li LiF:Mg,Cu,P glass-rod TLDs. *Engineering and Physical Sciences in Medicine and the Australian Biomedical Engineering Conference*. 2008. Christchurch, New Zealand.
- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE. Risk of second primary cancer following prostate cancer radiotherapy". *Australian Institute of Physics (AIP) 18<sup>th</sup> National Congress*. 2008. Adelaide, Australia.

#### **Other presentations**

- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE. Risk of second primary cancer following prostate radiotherapy". *Postgraduate Student Papers Night*. Adelaide, Australia. 2007. Sponsored by ACPSEM, SMBE and IEAUST (SA Branch).
- Takam R, Bezak E, Yeoh EE, Liu G. Out-of-field photon and neutron radiations and peripheral lung's dose in prostate cancer radiotherapy with high-energy medical linac". *Postgraduate Student Papers Night*. Adelaide, Australia. 2008. Sponsored by ACPSEM, SMBE and IEAUST (SA Branch).\*

\* Awarded First Place Medical Physics Prize Winner.