

The Efficiency and Safety of a Higher Protein Human Milk  
Fortifier on Growth for Preterm Human Milk-fed Infants.

Jacqueline Miller

This thesis is submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

School of Paediatrics and Reproductive Health

Faculty of Health Science

The University of Adelaide

May 2010

# Table of Contents

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>II</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	<b>VI</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	<b>VIII</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	<b>X</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	<b>XII</b>
<b>DECLARATION</b> .....	<b>XIV</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> .....	<b>XV</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>1.1 Preterm birth</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>1.2 Nutritional management of preterm infants</b> .....	<b>19</b>
1.2.1 Growth and neuro-development of preterm infants.....	20
1.2.2 Protein and energy requirements .....	24
1.2.3 Actual protein intakes.....	34
1.2.4 Current feeding practices.....	36
1.2.5 Protein content of human milk .....	38
1.2.6 Individualised fortification regimes.....	41
<b>1.3 Randomised controlled trials comparing human milk fortifiers with differing levels of protein</b> .....	<b>44</b>
1.3.1 Methods.....	44
1.3.2 Search Strategy.....	44
1.3.3 Criteria for selecting studies .....	45
1.3.4 Assessment of methodological quality .....	45
1.3.5 Data synthesis and analysis .....	45
1.3.6 Results .....	46
1.3.7 Meta-analyses of included studies (Table 1-4).....	53
1.3.8 Discussion .....	59
<b>1.4 Rationale for thesis</b> .....	<b>61</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2 MILK ANALYSER</b> .....	<b>63</b>
<b>2.1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>63</b>
<b>2.2 Validation of MilkoScan™ Minor for Human Milk Macronutrient Analysis</b> .....	<b>64</b>
2.2.1 Study aim.....	64
2.2.2 Principles of MilkoScan™ Minor .....	66
2.2.3 Participants .....	66
2.2.4 Milk samples .....	67
2.2.5 Linearity and Accuracy: Comparison of Methods Experiment .....	67

2.2.6	Precision .....	75
2.2.7	Quantitation Limit .....	78
2.2.8	Quality Control: Protein standards .....	78
2.2.9	Challenges .....	79
<b>2.3</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>80</b>

## **CHAPTER 3 METHODS OF A DOUBLE BLIND RANDOMISED CONTROLLED TRIAL OF PROTEIN FORTIFICATION OF HUMAN MILK**

### **81**

<b>3.1</b>	<b>Trial design .....</b>	<b>81</b>
3.1.1	Introduction .....	81
3.1.2	Objectives and Hypotheses.....	82
3.1.3	Outcomes.....	83
3.1.4	Participants .....	83
3.1.5	Randomisation.....	85
3.1.6	Blinding.....	87
3.1.7	Interventions.....	87
3.1.8	Feeding guidelines.....	90
3.1.9	Collection of trial data .....	90
3.1.10	Sample size .....	92
3.1.11	Statistical methods.....	92
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Anthropometric measurements .....</b>	<b>94</b>
3.2.1	Length .....	95
3.2.2	Weight .....	95
3.2.3	Occipital head circumference .....	96
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Clinical data.....</b>	<b>96</b>
3.3.1	Necrotising enterocolitis.....	96
3.3.2	Sepsis.....	97
3.3.3	Respiratory function .....	97
3.3.4	Retinopathy of prematurity.....	97
3.3.5	Brain injury .....	98
3.3.6	Tolerance.....	98
3.3.7	Other clinical data .....	98
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Biochemical data .....</b>	<b>99</b>
3.4.1	Serum urea nitrogen .....	99
3.4.2	Creatinine .....	100
3.4.3	Plasma albumin .....	100
3.4.4	Blood pH .....	101
3.4.5	Whole blood amino acids .....	101

## **CHAPTER 4 EFFICIENCY OF HIGHER PROTEIN FORTIFIER ON PRETERM INFANT GROWTH.....**

### **102**

<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Participant flow .....</b>	<b>102</b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Demographic and clinical characteristics at randomisation .....</b>	<b>104</b>
4.3.1	Maternal pregnancy and birth characteristics .....	104
4.3.2	Demographic characteristics of the parents at randomisation .....	105
4.3.3	Neonatal characteristics at randomisation .....	107
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Dietary intake and mode of feeding data .....</b>	<b>108</b>

4.4.1	Nutritional management of infants in the trial.....	108
4.4.2	Intake of trial human milk fortifiers .....	109
4.4.3	Enteral dietary intake.....	110
4.4.4	Mode of Feeding .....	113
4.4.5	Summary of dietary intake and mode of feeding data .....	114
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Primary outcome: Length gain .....</b>	<b>115</b>
4.5.1	Inter-observer variability study .....	115
4.5.2	Length gain.....	116
4.5.3	Proportion of infants SGA for length .....	118
4.5.4	Compliance analysis.....	119
4.5.5	Length gain: Exploratory subgroup comparisons.....	120
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Secondary efficacy outcomes.....</b>	<b>125</b>
4.6.1	Weight gain .....	125
4.6.2	Proportion of infants SGA for weight .....	127
4.6.3	Occipital head circumference .....	127
4.6.4	Proportion of infants SGA for head circumference .....	128
<b>4.7</b>	<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>CHAPTER 5 SAFETY RESULTS.....</b>		<b>141</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>141</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Statistical Analyses.....</b>	<b>142</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Biochemical markers of protein metabolism .....</b>	<b>143</b>
5.3.1	Urea.....	144
5.3.2	Plasma creatinine.....	147
5.3.3	Plasma albumin .....	148
5.3.4	Blood pH.....	151
<b>5.4</b>	<b>Whole blood amino acids.....</b>	<b>152</b>
5.4.1	Phenylalanine .....	153
5.4.2	Tyrosine.....	155
5.4.3	Branched chain amino acids .....	156
<b>5.5</b>	<b>Results Clinical Outcomes.....</b>	<b>157</b>
5.5.1	Gastrointestinal outcomes .....	157
5.5.2	Respiratory outcomes.....	158
5.5.3	Retinopathy of prematurity.....	159
5.5.4	Other clinical outcomes.....	159
<b>5.6</b>	<b>Discussion.....</b>	<b>160</b>
5.6.1	Biochemical data .....	160
5.6.2	Whole blood amino acid levels .....	162
5.6.3	Clinical outcomes .....	163
<b>5.7</b>	<b>Summary.....</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6 GENERAL DISCUSSION.....</b>		<b>167</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>167</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Key findings.....</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Study strengths and limitations .....</b>	<b>170</b>

<b>6.4</b>	<b>Reflections on this randomised controlled trial.....</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>6.5</b>	<b>Implications for practice.....</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>6.6</b>	<b>Future directions .....</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>6.7</b>	<b>Conclusion.....</b>	<b>176</b>
	<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>178</b>

## Abbreviations

AGA	Appropriate for gestational age
ANZNN	Australian & New Zealand Neonatal Network
AOAC	Association of Official Analytical Chemists
BCAA	Branch chain amino acids
BUN	Blood urea nitrogen
BW	Birth weight
CHO	Carbohydrate
CI	Confidence interval
CLD	Chronic lung disease
CNRC	Child Nutrition Research Centre
CONSORT	Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials
CPAP	Continuous positive airway pressure
CSUN	Corrected serum urea nitrogen
CV	Coefficient of variation
D/C	Discharge
DMAC	Data Management and Analysis Centre
EBM	Expressed breast milk
EDD	Estimated date of delivery
ELBW	Extremely low birth weight
FMC	Flinders Medical Centre
GA	Gestational age
GEE	Generalised estimating equations
GIT	Gastro-intestinal tract
HMF	Human milk fortifier
IQ	Intelligence quotient
IR	Infra-red
IUGR	Intra-uterine growth retardation
IV	Inverse variance
IVF	In-vitro fertilisation
IVH	Intraventricular haemorrhage

LBW	Low birth weight
MDI	Motor developmental indices
NATA	National Association of Testing Authorities
NEC	Necrotising enterocolitis
NED	Neonatal Early Discharge
NICU	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
NMI	National Measurement Institute
NNT	Number needed to treat
NPN	Non-protein nitrogen
OHC	Occipital head circumference
PDA	Patent ductus arteriosus
PDI	Psychomotor developmental indices
PMA	Post menstrual age
PVL	Periventricular leukomalacia
QL	Quantitation limit
RCT	Randomised controlled trial
ROP	Retinopathy of prematurity
SCBU	Special Care Baby Unit
SD	Standard deviation <i>or</i> Study day
SE	Standard error
SES	Socio-economic status
SGA	Small for gestational age
SUN	Serum urea nitrogen
TEM	Technical error of measurement
TGA	Therapeutic Goods Administration
VLBW	Very low birth weight
WCH	Women's and Children's Hospital
WHO	World Health Organisation
WMD	Weighted mean difference

## List of Tables

TABLE 1-1 SMALL FOR GESTATIONAL AGE (SGA) RATES AT BIRTH & DISCHARGE AT WCH	22
TABLE 1-2 SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDED NUTRIENT REQUIREMENTS FOR PRETERM INFANTS	25
TABLE 1-3 CHARACTERISTICS OF EXCLUDED STUDIES	47
TABLE 1-4 SUMMARY OF TRIALS INCLUDED IN META-ANALYSES OF HIGHER VS LOWER PROTEIN FORTIFIER FOR PROMOTING GROWTH IN PRETERM INFANTS	52
TABLE 2-1 MILKOSCAN™ MINOR INTRA-ASSAY MEANS, STANDARD DEVIATIONS AND COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION	76
TABLE 2-2 MILKOSCAN™ MINOR INTER-ASSAY MEANS, STANDARD DEVIATIONS AND COEFFICIENTS OF VARIATION	77
TABLE 2-3 PERFORMANCE SUMMARY MILKOSCAN™ MINOR	80
TABLE 3-1. COMPOSITION OF TRIAL FORTIFIERS	89
TABLE 4-1 REASONS GIVEN FOR EXCLUSION FROM TRIAL	103
TABLE 4-2 MATERNAL AGE, PREGNANCY AND BIRTH CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDY PARTICIPANTS	105
TABLE 4-3 DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS OF PARENTS IN THE STUDY	106
TABLE 4-4 NEONATAL CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIAL PARTICIPANTS	108
TABLE 4-5 NUTRITIONAL MANAGEMENT OF STUDY INFANTS	109
TABLE 4-6. PROTEIN INTAKE FROM HMF	110
TABLE 4-7 PROTEIN, ENERGY AND VOLUME OF INTAKE FOR THE FIRST 4 WEEKS OF THE STUDY	112
TABLE 4-8 ESTIMATED WEEKLY LENGTH GAIN OF STUDY INFANTS BY GROUP	118
TABLE 4-9. SGA LENGTH STATUS OF STUDY INFANTS AT BIRTH AND DISCHARGE BY GROUP	118
TABLE 4-10 ESTIMATED WEEKLY LENGTH GAIN FOR COMPLIANT INFANTS	120
TABLE 4-11 ESTIMATED WEEKLY LENGTH GAIN: SEX SUBGROUP	123
TABLE 4-12. ESTIMATED WEEKLY LENGTH GAIN: GA SUBGROUP	125
TABLE 4-13. ESTIMATED MEAN DAILY WEIGHT GAIN OF STUDY INFANTS	126
TABLE 4-14. SGA WEIGHT STATUS OF STUDY INFANTS AT BIRTH AND DISCHARGE	127
TABLE 4-15. ESTIMATED MEAN WEEKLY OHC GROWTH OF STUDY INFANTS	128
TABLE 4-16. SGA OHC STATUS OF STUDY INFANTS AT BIRTH AND D/C	129
TABLE 5-1. LEAST SQUARE MEANS OF PHENYLALANINE BY TREATMENT GROUP	154
TABLE 5-2. LEAST SQUARE MEANS OF TYROSINE BY TREATMENT GROUP	156
TABLE 5-3. LEAST SQUARE MANES OF BRANCH CHAIN AMINO ACIDS	157



TABLE 5-4. GASTROINTESTINAL OUTCOMES	158
TABLE 5-5. INCIDENCE OF RETINOPATHY OF PREMATUREITY	159
TABLE 5-6 CLINICAL OUTCOMES	160

## List of Figures

FIGURE 1-1 PRETERM GROWTH CURVES COMPARED WITH INTRA-UTERINE GROWTH ADAPTED FROM EHRENKRANZ	21
FIGURE 1-2. SUMMARY OF STUDIES AUDITING PROTEIN INTAKES IN NEONATAL UNITS	34
FIGURE 1-3: ENERGY AND PROTEIN INTAKES FOR INFANTS <33 WKS GESTATION	36
FIGURE 1-4. SUMMARY OF STUDIES MEASURING TOTAL PROTEIN CONTENT OF PRETERM MILK	39
FIGURE 1-5 PROTEIN CONTENT OF EBM & FORTIFIED EBM OVER TIME VS REQUIREMENTS	40
FIGURE 1-6 META-ANALYSIS FOREST PLOT SHOWING MEAN DIFFERENCE IN WEIGHT GAIN (G/KG/DAY) FOR PRETERM INFANTS FED HMF WITH HIGHER VERSUS LOWER PROTEIN CONTENT	54
FIGURE 1-7 META-ANALYSIS FOREST PLOT SHOWING MEAN DIFFERENCE IN LENGTH GAIN (CM/WEEK) FOR PRETERM INFANTS FED HMF WITH HIGHER VERSUS LOWER PROTEIN CONTENT	55
FIGURE 1-8 META-ANALYSIS FOREST PLOT SHOWING MEAN DIFFERENCE IN HEAD CIRCUMFERENCE GAIN (CM/WEEK) FOR PRETERM INFANTS FED HMF WITH HIGH VERSUS LOW PROTEIN CONTENT	56
FIGURE 2-1 MILKOSCAN™ MINOR IR TECHNOLOGY ADAPTED FROM FOSS	65
FIGURE 2-2 PROTEIN: REFERENCE (KJELDHAL) VS MEASURED (MILKOSCAN™ MINOR)	68
FIGURE 2-3 FAT: REFERENCE (GRAVIMETRIC) VS MEASURED (MILKOSCAN™ MINOR)	70
FIGURE 2-4 LACTOSE: MILKOSCAN VS REFERENCE	72
FIGURE 2-5 CARBOHYDRATE: REFERENCE (BY DIFFERENCE) VS MEASURED (MILKOSCAN)	74
FIGURE 4-1 PARTICIPANT FLOW THROUGH THE STUDY	104
FIGURE 4-2 VOLUME OF MEASURED ENTERAL INTAKE DURING STUDY	113
FIGURE 4-3 MEAN NUMBER OF DAILY BREAST FEEDS DURING STUDY	113
FIGURE 4-4 BREAST MILK AS A PROPORTION OF MEASURED ENTERAL INTAKE OF INFANTS DURING STUDY	114
FIGURE 4-5 UNADJUSTED LENGTH FOR EACH GROUP DURING THE STUDY	116
FIGURE 4-6 ESTIMATED MEAN LENGTH OF INFANTS IN EACH GROUP OVER THE STUDY	117
FIGURE 4-7. ESTIMATED MEAN LENGTH OF COMPLIANT INFANTS BY GROUP	120
FIGURE 4-8. ESTIMATED MEAN LENGTH, FEMALE SUBGROUP	122
FIGURE 4-9. ESTIMATED MEAN LENGTH, MALE SUBGROUP	122
FIGURE 4-10. ESTIMATED LENGTH OF INFANTS BORN <28 WEEKS GESTATION	124

FIGURE 4-11. ESTIMATED LENGTH OF INFANTS BORN 28 - 30 WEEKS GESTATION	124
FIGURE 4-12. ESTIMATED MEAN WEIGHT OF STUDY INFANTS	126
FIGURE 4-13 ESTIMATED MEAN OCCIPITAL HEAD CIRCUMFERENCE OF STUDY INFANTS	128
FIGURE 4-14 COMPARISON OF TRIALS: LENGTH GAIN IN RESPONSE TO PROTEIN CONCENTRATION OF HMF	132
FIGURE 4-15. META-ANALYSIS OF LENGTH GAIN OF PRETERM INFANTS IN TRIALS OF HMF WITH DIFFERING PROTEIN BUT SAME ENERGY CONCENTRATIONS	134
FIGURE 5-1 UNADJUSTED WEEKLY MEAN SERUM UREA LEVELS DURING THE TRIAL	144
FIGURE 5-2 ADJUSTED SERUM UREA CONCENTRATIONS DURING THE STUDY	146
FIGURE 5-3 UNADJUSTED WEEKLY CREATINE LEVELS	147
FIGURE 5-4 ADJUSTED SERUM CREATININE LEVELS DURING STUDY	148
FIGURE 5-5 UNADJUSTED WEEKLY ALBUMIN LEVELS	149
FIGURE 5-6 ADJUSTED ALBUMIN LEVELS DURING THE STUDY	150
FIGURE 5-7 UNADJUSTED PH LEVELS DURING STUDY	151
FIGURE 5-8 ADJUSTED PH LEVELS DURING STUDY	152
FIGURE 5-9 UNADJUSTED PHENYLALANINE LEVELS DURING THE STUDY	153
FIGURE 5-10 UNADJUSTED TYROSINE LEVELS DURING THE STUDY	155
FIGURE 5-11 SUM OF BRANCHED CHAIN AMINO ACIDS (LEUCINE, ISOLEUCINE AND VALINE) DURING THE STUDY	156

## **Abstract**

Preterm births represent approximately 8% of births in Australia, and this rate has been increasing over the last decade. Nutrition is a cornerstone of their medical management, yet very premature infants remain difficult to adequately nourish and growth failure is a common consequence of prematurity. Human milk is the preferred feed but has inadequate protein to meet their high requirements and must be fortified. Commercial fortifiers contain conservative amounts of protein and fail to compensate for the fall in the protein content of expressed breast milk over time. This thesis tested the hypothesis that preterm infants fed human milk with a higher protein fortifier (1.4 g/100 mL) would have greater length gain with no metabolic disturbances when compared to infants fed human milk fortified to standard levels (1.0 g protein/100 mL).

In a randomised controlled trial infants born <31 weeks gestation, whose mothers intended to provide breast milk for their infants, were randomly allocated to receive either the experimental fortifier containing 1.4 g protein or a fortifier equivalent to standard care, containing 1 g protein. The fortifiers were manufactured specifically for the study and were made isocaloric by adjusting the carbohydrate content. They were identical in appearance and mixing rates and all personnel involved in the trial were blinded to the allocation. Preterm formula was used if breast milk supply was inadequate. The intervention period was from the start of fortification to discharge or the infant's estimated due date, whichever came first. The primary outcome was length gain (cm/week) and secondary

outcomes included other growth measurements (weight and head circumference gain), biochemical markers (urea nitrogen, creatinine, albumin, pH, amino acids) and data describing their clinical course during the hospital admission.

There was a slight improvement in length gain in the higher protein group but this did not reach statistical significance (mean (95% CI) 1.15 (1.10-1.19) and 1.09 (1.05-1.13) cm/week in the higher and standard groups respectively,  $p = 0.08$ ). However, fewer infants were classified as small for gestational age for length at discharge in the higher protein group (49% versus 63% in the higher and standard protein groups, respectively,  $p = 0.04$ ). There were no differences in weight or head circumference gain between the groups. Serum urea nitrogen concentrations and whole blood amino acid levels were higher in the higher protein group but plasma albumin, creatinine and pH were not different between groups. There were no differences in clinical outcomes such as retinopathy of prematurity, sepsis, necrotising enterocolitis, number of infants requiring surgery or length of hospital stay.

A higher protein human milk fortifier appears to be well tolerated and safe to use in preterm human milk fed infants born <31 weeks gestation. The extra protein protects against a classification of small for gestational age for length at discharge and may improve length gain. Further studies directed toward comparisons between fortifiers with levels of protein >1 g/100 mL are required to determine the optimum protein concentration of fortifiers.

## **Declaration**

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text. I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program (ADTP) and also through web search engines.

Jacqueline Miller

## **Acknowledgements**

The idea for this thesis was conceived jointly by members of the Women's and Children's Hospital (WCH) Neonatal Research Group: Prof Maria Makrides, Prof Robert Gibson, Dr Carmel Collins and Dr Andrew McPhee. I thank them all for the opportunity to undertake my thesis in this area and for using their influence to make this project a reality.

The Neonatal Units at both WCH and Flinders Medical Centre (FMC) played an active role in this project. I am very grateful to all staff for their input; for welcoming me in to their specialised environment and allowing me to undertake this research. In particular, I would like to acknowledge Dr Andrew McPhee, Prof Ross Haslam, Dr Scott Morris, the research midwives Ros Lontis, Louise Goodchild, Cheryl Chambers and Milk Room staff.

Statistical analyses were undertaken by the Data Management and Analysis Centre (DMAC) at The University of Adelaide and I am very grateful to members of this department and in particular Tyman Stanford.

Amino acid blood analyses were performed by the WCH Neonatal Screening Laboratory and I would like to thank Enzo Ranieri and his team for their work in this area.

Financial support was provided in the form of an MS McLeod scholarship from the WCH Foundation and the Denis Harwood Grant-in-Aid. Trial products were generously donated by Nestlé.

On a personal note, there are a large number of people who have supported me during my candidature; far too many to list. To all of these friends, colleagues and family, thank you very much for your support and encouragement over this time.

I would also like to thank my supervisors, Prof Maria Makrides, Dr Carmel Collins and Prof Robert Gibson, for their expertise, guidance and considerable support.

Finally, I am enormously grateful to the families who participated in this study.

Without them, none of this would have been possible.