

# Achieving High Organisational Performance: An Examination of the Importance of Formulation-Implementation Balance, Receptive Culture and Proactive Capabilities

by

Hock-Tee Koh

Thesis submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Business School, the University of Adelaide October 2010

### **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents	ii
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	V
Abstract	vi
Declaration	viii
Acknowledgement	ix
Chapter 1 – Introduction	1
1.1 Research Background	
1.2 Research Objectives	
1.3 Significance of the Study	6
1.4 Organisation of Chapters	
Chapter 2 – Review of Literature	8
2.1 Organisational Performance	
2.1.1 Measures of Organisational Performance	
2.2 Formulation-Implementation Balance	
2.2.1 The Strategy Implementation Perspective	
2.2.2 Organisational Dimensions in Strategy Implementation	
2.3 Receptive Culture	
2.3.1 The Organisational Culture Perspective	
2.3.2 Factors of Receptive Culture	25
2.3.3 Importance of Receptive Culture Factors: Autonomous Orientation and	
Improvement Orientation	
2.4 Proactive Capabilities	
2.4.1 The Dynamic Capabilities Perspective	
2.4.2 Factors of Proactive Capabilities	41
2.4.3 Importance of Proactive Capabilities: Adaptive Capability, Innovative	
Capability and External Intelligence Capability	
2.5 Chapter Conclusion	
Chapter 3 – Methodology	
3.1 Method	
3.2 Sampling Frame	
3.2.1 Singapore1000 Listing	
3.2.2 Target Respondents	
3.3 Ethics	
3.4 Survey Sample	
3.5 Development of Measures	
3.5.1 Organisational Performance	
3.5.2 Formulation-Implementation Balance	
3.5.3 Receptive Culture	
3.5.4 Proactive Capabilities	
3.6 Development of Survey Instrument	
3.7 Data Collection Procedure	
3.7.1 Profile of Survey Respondents and Their Respective Organisations	
3.8 Data Preparation and Assumption Testing	84

3.8.1	Test for Significant Difference between Responses from Online Survey	
	and those from Postal Mail Survey	84
3.8.2	Test for Significant Difference between Early Responses and Late	
	Responses	
3.8.3	Test for Outliers and Normality	86
3.8.4	Addressing Multicollinearity Problem and Sample Size Requirement	86
3.9 Mea	asurement Properties of the Constructs for the HOP Model	
3.9.1	Measurement Model for the HOP Model	87
3.9.2	Reliability and Validity Assessment	94
3.9.3	Goodness of Fit Assessment	96
3.9.4	Inter-correlation Assessment	.100
3.10 Cha	pter Conclusion and Implications	.104
Chapter 4 –	Results and Findings	.106
	ng Structural Equation Modelling for Hypotheses Testing	
4.2 Mo	del Specification	.107
	del Assessment	
4.3.1	Assessment for Model 1	.110
4.3.2	Assessment for Model 2	
4.4 Tes	ting for the Effects of the Control Factors on Organizational Performance	.124
	pter Conclusion	
-	Discussion and Conclusion	
	plications of the Study's Findings	
	nitations of the Study	
	ections for Future Research	
		.135
	A1: A Summary of Measures Used to Operationalise the Factor	
-	anisational Performance	.146
	A2: A Summary of Measures Used to Operationalise the Factor	
	mulation-Implementation Balance	.147
	A3: A Summary of Measures Used to Operationalise the Factor	
	onomous Orientation	
	A4: A Summary of Measures Used to Operationalise the Factor	
		.149
	A5: A Summary of Measures Used to Operationalise the Factor Adaptive	
	ability	
	A6: A Summary of Measures Used to Operationalise the Factor Innovative	
	ability	.151
	A7: A Summary of Measures Used to Operationalise the Factor External	
	elligence Capability	
	B1: Semi-structured Questions for Research Interview	
	B2: Survey Questionnaire (8 Pages)	.154
	C1: T-tests Outcome for Assessing Significance Difference between	
	ponses from Online Survey and Those from Postal Mail Survey	
	C2: T-tests Outcome for Assessing Significance Difference between Early	
	ponses and Late Responses	
	D1: Composite Reliability Value Test	
	D2: Average Variance Extracted Test	
	E1: LISREL Output for Comprehensive-2 Model Estimation (14 Pages)	
Appendix	E2: LISREL Output for Parsimonious-2 Model Estimation (13 Pages)	.182

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Measures of Organizational Performance
Figure 2.2	Formulation-Implementation Balance for Achieving High Organizational
C	Performance
Figure 2.3	Measures of Formulation-Implementation Balance that Lead to High
0	Organisational Performance
Figure 2.4	'Autonomous Orientation' (A Factor of Receptive Culture) for Achieving
C	High Organisational Performance
Figure 2.5	Measures of Autonomous Orientation
Figure 2.6	'Improvement Orientation' (A Factor of Receptive Culture) for Achieving
	High Organisational Performance
Figure 2.7	Measures of Improvement Orientation
Figure 2.8	External Intelligence Capability Process
Figure 2.9	'Adaptive Capability' (A Factor of Proactive Capability) for Achieving
C	High Organisational Performance
Figure 2.10	Measures of Adaptive Capability
Figure 2.11	'Innovative Capability' (As a Factor of Proactive Capabilities) for
C	Achieving High Organisational Performance
Figure 2.12	Measures of Innovative Capability
Figure 2.13	External Intelligence Capability (as a Factor of Proactive Capabilities) for
C	Achieving High Organisational Performance
Figure 2.14	Measures of External Intelligence Capability
Figure 2.15	Proposed Research Model for Achieving High Organisational
C	Performance
Figure 3.1	HOP Measurement Model
Figure 3.2	Factor Structure for Organisational Performance101
Figure 3.3	Factor Structure for Formulation-Implementation Balance
Figure 3.4	Factor Structure for Autonomous Orientation
Figure 3.5	Factor Structure for Improvement Orientation
Figure 3.6	Factor Structure for Adaptive Capability
Figure 3.7	Factor Structure for Innovative Capability
Figure 3.8	Factor Structure for External Intelligence Capability
Figure 4.1	Conceptual Framework of Model 1 (Minor)
Figure 4.2	Conceptual Framework of Model 2 (Major)109
Figure 4.3	Equation Model Showing Standardised Coefficient Estimates for Model 1
	( <i>Minor</i> )
Figure 4.4	Conceptual Framework of Parsimonious-2 Model (Major)113
Figure 4.5	Structural Equation Model Showing Standardised Coefficient Estimates
2	for the Parsimonious-2 Model ( <i>Major</i> )117
Figure 4.6	Confirmed Framework of HOP Model

### List of Tables

Table 2.1	A Comparison of Organisational Dimensions in Strategy Implementation
	Models
Table 2.2	A Comparison of Some Studies on the Organisational Culture Model27
Table 2.3	A Comparison of Dynamic Capabilities Understanding Among Some
	Studies
Table 2.4	Some Business Issues Addressed by External Intelligence Capability49
Table 2.5	A Comparison of Some Studies that Relate to External Intelligence
	Capability
Table 3.1	Hypotheses Developed for this Study
Table 3.2	Outcomes of the Survey Administration
Table 3.3	Profile of Survey Respondents and their Respective Organisations
Table 3.4	Factor Loadings for HOP Measurement Model (contd.)
Table 3.4	Factor Loadings for HOP Measurement Model (contd.)90
Table 3.5	Confirmed Measures of Organisational Performance (OP)90
Table 3.6	Confirmed Measures of Formulation-Implementation Balance (FIB)90
Table 3.7	Confirmed Measures of Autonomous Orientation (AO)91
Table 3.8	Confirmed Measures of Improvement Orientation (IO)91
Table 3.9	Confirmed Measures of Adaptive Capability (AC)92
Table 3.10	Confirmed Measures of Innovation Capability (IC)
Table 3.11	Confirmed Measures of External Intelligence Capability (EIC)94
Table 3.12	Reliability and Validity Assessment for HOP Model
Table 3.13	CFA Results for the HOP Measurement Model and its Rival Models99
Table 3.14	Descriptive Statistics and Factor Inter-correlations
Table 4.1	Hypotheses Developed for this Study107
Table 4.2	Goodness of Fit Statistics for the Comprehensive-2 and Parsimonious-2
	Models (Major)116
Table 4.3	Results of the Hypotheses Testing Based on the Parsimonious-2 Model 118

#### Abstract

The study is concerned with why some organisations, when dealing with their dynamic external environmental conditions, can achieve high organisational performance, while many others failed to cope. The literature review emphasised the formulation-implementation balance rather than strategy formulation as an important organisational dimension. This dimension has been relatively neglected compared to the emphasis research and organisations have placed on strategy formulation. The literature review also emphasised a receptive culture and proactive capabilities as important organisational dimensions in the pursuit of high organisational performance. The study defined receptive culture to include the organisational factors autonomous orientation and improvement orientation. The study also defined proactive capabilities to include the organisational factors adaptive capability, innovative capability and external intelligence capability.

A research model of factors associated with high organisational performance was developed and research hypotheses were advanced with particular emphasis on the importance of the formulation-implementation balance, receptive culture and proactive capabilities. Structural Equation Modelling was used. The research targeted organisations based in Singapore.

The study found that a receptive culture, as a particular type of organisational culture, has an important strategic implementation role for high organisational performance. The results showed that autonomous orientation in isolation might not be useful to an organisation, but would help an organisation to perform when working in combination with improvement orientation, adaptive capability or innovative capability. The results also showed that organisations would stand a better chance of achieving organisational high performance when improvement orientation is nurtured.

The study also found that proactive capabilities, as a particular set of dynamic capabilities, have an important strategic implementation role for high organisational performance. The results showed that the chance of achieving high organisational performance improves with the employment of adaptive capability. The research found that employing innovative capability in isolation did not affect organisational performance, but innovative capability would help an organisation to perform when

used in combination with adaptive capability. The results further showed that the employment of external intelligence capability would need to be coupled with improvement orientation, adaptive capability or innovative capability in order for it to be useful to organisations. However, the study did not support the importance of formulation-implementation balance for high organisational performance.

Overall, the study demonstrated that organisational performance can be explained by the type of organisational culture and capabilities on which managers place emphasis. For achieving high organisational performance, organizations need to place an emphasis on nurturing a receptive culture in order to manage a state of readiness that helps them in coping with their changing external environment. Organisations need to emphasise the development of proactive capabilities in order to be adaptive, innovative and to continuously monitor and interpret prospective change-patterns in the external environment.

### Declaration

This work contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution to Hock-Tee Koh and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made in the text.

I give consent to this copy of my thesis, when deposited in the University Library, being made available for loan and photocopying, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968. I also give permission for the digital version of my thesis to be made available on the web, via the University's digital research repository, the Library catalogue, the Australasian Digital Theses Program unless permission has been granted by the University to restrict access for a period of time.

Hock-Tee Koh

#### Acknowledgement

#### 'I can do everything through him who gives me strength' Philippians 4:13

Completing this PhD journey would not have been possible without the enabling power of God Almighty, the loving grace of Lord Jesus Christ and the spiritual guidance from the Holy Spirit.

I would like to express my profound gratitude to my outstanding supervisors. My principal supervisor, Professor Graham Hubbard for his invaluable guidance, detailed and constructive comments, and especially for helping me through the difficult periods of the PhD study. My second supervisor, Associate Professor Pi-Shen Seet for his wide knowledge, guidance and especially for helping me to understand how to adapt my study to a conference paper. Special thanks to his family for extending their friendship to my family when we were in Adelaide in 2007 to start my PhD journey. My remote supervisor, Associate Professor Joo-Seng Tan for his encouragement and sound advice especially on statistics and data analysis.

My utmost thanks to my wife Sock Tian and my children Jared, Jolyn and Jewel for their unconditional love, prayers and patience; my parents Kah-Oon Kor and Tin-Kiow Yong and parents-in-law Hang-Meng Tan and Bak-Eng Koh for their support and assistance rendered to my family.

My special thanks go to statistics expert Dr Star Soh who had helped me through my struggles with the structural equation modelling software LISREL; mentor-friend Prof. Thian-Ser Toh for his personal guidance; Dr Lip-Chai Seet and Prof. Teng-Kee Tan for making it possible for me to start off this PhD journey; the professional editor for his help. My heartfelt thanks are extended to the fellow men of the Bible Church: Hok Leong, Alan Ong, Kok Yam, Francis Lim and Steven Lee for their continual prayers and encouragements.

I also appreciate the friendship and support of my fellow post-graduate colleagues, especially Thomas Coles, Zhiqiang, Angelina Zubac (now Dr Zubac), Riku Laanti (now Dr Laanti), Liu Zhi, Guan Chong and Chen Wei.