

6.X.32

Dear Fisher,

I was pleased to have reprints of your last three publications and of the bibliography. Congratulations on the success of "Statistical Methods". I saw copies of the 4th edition a few days ago, and finding several points of difference from the 2nd edition, acquired one. It was interesting to see that "h" statistics have now been introduced to the lay-reader.

Is there a satisfactory measure of association in a 4-fold table, so that the extents of association in different tables can be compared? I suppose contingency coefficients or tetrachoric correlation coefficients (about which I must confess I know nothing) are adequate for large samples, but is there anything to replace them in small sample work? Term begins on Saturday, so life is strenuous again.

Yours very sincerely,

A.R. Clepham.