

DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY  
THE UNIVERSITY  
OXFORD

A. G. TANSLEY, M.A., F.R.S., *Shevardian Professor*  
A. H. CHURCH, M.A., D.Sc.(LOND.), F.R.S., *University Lecturer*  
W. H. WILKINS, M.A., F.L.S., *University Demonstrator*  
W. O. JAMES, B.Sc.(LOND.), PH.D.(CAMB.), *University Demonstrator*

16. 7. 31.

Dear Fisher,

Many thanks for your letter  
of the 7<sup>th</sup>. I have been thinking about  
this problem of species frequencies, but can't  
claim to have got very far with it.

Most of Bonelli's contributions to the  
subject are in Swedish, unfortunately, but  
in view of the interest of this paper in  
ecology, I think it would worth while  
to have them translated. I was most  
interested in the three assumptions upon  
which he bases his "theoretical"  $F_{10}$  curves,  
particularly in the assumption that "every  
species is adapted to a definite site". By  
"definite" I suppose he means a definite  
range of intensities of the site factor: otherwise

it would be a gross simplification of the actual state of affairs. (Or does he mean that the species attain its modal frequency about an intensity of the site-factor which is constant over the whole area occupied by the species?)

Whatever the exact meaning of the assumption, I take it as equivalent to assuming that the ~~chance for that species~~ probability that a given fact shall occur within a sample area does not depend merely on the size of the area, as would be the case if individual plants were distributed at random.

I followed without difficulty your conclusion from the formula

$$F = 1 - e^{-A/k}$$

I cannot derive the formula. I should be much obliged if you would explain it — and if you can spare so much time I should also like to see a demonstration of your conclusion that with several independent environmental factors, and for certain size of quadrat, there may be concentration

DEPARTMENT OF BOTANY  
THE UNIVERSITY  
OXFORD

A. G. TANSLEY, M.A., F.R.S., *Sherardian Professor*  
A. H. CHURCH, M.A., D.Sc.(LOND.), F.R.S., *University Lecturer*  
W. H. WILKIN, M.A., F.L.S., *University Demonstrator*  
W. O. JAMES, B.Sc.(LOND.), Ph.D.(CAMB.), *University Demonstrator*

3.

not near  $F = 100$  but at some other high value such as 100.

It would be most valuable for ecologists if the Raunkiaer presence-absence method can be made to give really useful information, since it is so much less laborious than other methods.

Ought it not to be possible to determine a quadrat size for each species which would enable direct comparisons to be made not only within of the frequency of the same species in different areas, but also of the frequency of different species? It should be possible to draw a graph

A  $F^2$  against quadrat size for each sp.,  
and thence to deduce the size which gives  
some definite multiple,  $K F_0$ , of the "true"  
frequency ~~of the species~~ - Perhaps the  
size would not be constant over the whole  
area covered by the species.

Yours sincerely,

A. R. Clapham.