## IOWA STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND MECHANIC ARTS AMES, IOWA

Statistical Laboratory December 8, 1938

Dear Dr. Fisher:

z are

I have been lecturing here on some of the uses of the semi-invariants, and worked through a simplified case of the approximation to the z-distribution for high nl, n2, as given in your paper with Cornish: "Moments and Cumulants in the Specification of Distributions". It occurred to me that the formulae which you give in "Statistical Methods" and in the Tables for the 1% and 0.1% values of z might be improved.

$$z = \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{L_{-1}}} - \left(\frac{\xi^{2}+2}{\zeta}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}, \frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)$$

where \$ is a normal deviate with unit S.E.

This is approximately  $\gamma = \frac{3}{\sqrt{k}} + \frac{3}{2k\sqrt{k}} - \frac{(3+2)}{6}(\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{4})$ The term % have is an approximation to the term  $(\xi^3 + 33)/2 k\sqrt{k}$ 

in the more accurate expression given on p. 14 of your paper with Cornish. The approximation will be good if \$ 4 3 is near 6.

Thus the term  $\frac{1}{2}$  whose progressively too small. A better approximation would be to take (h-1.4) instead of (h-1) for the 1% and (h-2) for the 0.1% point.

For  $n_1 = 24$ ,  $n_2 = 60$ , the respective values of

The improvement is I think worth having, as both formulae are equally easy to calculate.

Dr. Fisher December 8, 1938

I am having a very interesting time here. The weather has been glorious. I understand that it's the finest autumn within living memory.

My best wishes to you all for an enjoyable Xmas.

Yours sincerely,

Wybochon.