Dear Darlington,

Thanks for your letter and the high compliment of inviting me for the Bateson Lecture. It seems a long way off, so I will accept, though I know at will be a chore when it comes to writing it. At the mement I am a little preoccupied with having undertaken to give the Eddington Lecture next November, and consequently, shall have to reflect on the semi-vitalistic or quasi or psuedo-vitalistic tradition through Bergson, to Smuts and so on. I hope it may be possible to disentangle some unnecessary confusions.

As to your consenguinity question, I do not think that it has been established as a fact that consenguineous marriages have fewer surviving boys, or what is a different thing, more male deaths. I say's different thing' because at would seem that nothing conduces more to surviving children than some diseaseshike hasmolytic jaundice which greatly increases the number of still-births and infantile deaths.

If the Galton Lab, were not committed to Communistic obsourantism
I should consult them, As it is, I should think Fracer Roberts would
be more likely than anyone to be willing to give time to sort out
what data are available.

Yours eineerely,