

Tuesday.

(4 December 1942)

My dear Ron.

You ask for the numbers of clavata,
medionigra and limacina for each date of
collection for the years 1941, 1942 and 1944.
It is a great pleasure to send you these data
herewith. I am sorry for a day or two of delay;
but am still struggling with the situation due to an
ill Zookeeper, and it is difficult to get much time.

Only two points, I think, call for
comment. We discussed them carefully together at
the time, but it will be helpful to draw attention
to them now.

(1). Out of the 1941 total of 461, there
are 116 red specimens. As these are the products of
random collected larvae, picked up here and there
all over the area, we decided to include them in
the total for the frequency of the gene. No two
larvae are taken from the same plant. Although
these red specimens do not contribute to the

data you require, I have included them (carefully distinguished) so that you will see exactly how the 1941 sample, as here given, accords with the total for 1941 in the Table 1 on p. 14 of our paper.

(2). In 1942 you will see in our recapture triangle an entry of 4 specimens (one being a recapture) for the date July 14^t. These are omitted from the gene-frequency data. These 4 specimens were caught by school boys, who told me about them the next day. There were three boys and they had caught, killed, and taken home the specimens. They all agreed that 3 were unmarked, but that one had a red mark on the right forewing (which had greatly interested them). You and I felt that these boys, who seemed keen, could hardly mistake such marking, which had particularly attracted their attention. They might, however, quite easily miss the difference between dominula and medionigra, so we omitted the 3 unmarked insects. They caught from our gene-frequency data.

Yours ever, Hewitt