

27th. November 1945.

My dear Henry,

In connection with the English Committee on Nomenclature and Notation in Human Genetics I am enclosing for your perusal and ultimate, I hope, approval a scored which Mather, Race and I took the opportunity of drafting when Mather was staying with us a week ago.

We have been and, I think you may agree, have had to be fairly rude in respect of Wiener's oscillations, one of which I have seen in typescript and which perhaps he has thought it better not to print. It claimed three  $\text{H}_2$  antibodies, obviously under the impression that our missing  $\text{e}$  had already been discovered, though giving the

impression that these three were a necessary consequence of his (Wiener's) views of the nature of the rheus and its factors.

Of course there are numerous points on which diversity of opinion is still possible and you may well feel that we have not arrived at the happiest wording. Perhaps you will try your hand at improving what we have done, I hope quite freely, remembering that I shall hope on receiving it back from you to be able to prepare a clean copy agreeable to all of us for transmission to the American Committee.

We have not yet any clear indication of their views, but I presume that Wiener's acquisitiveness has been noted west as well as east of the Atlantic.

Yours sincerely,