

5, APSLEY ROAD,
OXFORD.

April 14th 1939.

My dear Fisher,

I thank you ever so
much for the paper returned,
together with your section and
letter: also for your letter following.
As a matter of fact I have only
just received these. I had an
unexpected invitation to spend
three days with an old friend
in the West and, being already
down here, it seemed a good
chance. So your letters were

returned to Oxford to await my arrival.

4
The kind way in which you speak of the work we did on Team is naturally a very real pleasure to me.

The Section you have supplied seems to me quite admirably clear. The analysis is so direct and free from artificialities: I had never hoped for anything like it. — There was no need to return Stevens' contribution: it was nice

I like to do it, but I don't
want that - nor that - I see how
the data can be treated!

Personally my inclination
is to leave the calculations without
further elaboration. Whether or not
it would be well to test by the
apparent rise towards the end of
August reaches significance, should
I think be a matter for you to decide.
— In addition, I don't of course
know how much labor that would
involve.

Provided you think the

paper with while (as you kindly suggest), it goes without saying that your name must appear as an author. So let me know ^{there is} if any point in the discussion, or elsewhere in the paper, that you are not satisfied with. Do you think alphabetical order the most reasonable with multiple authorship? This gives Donaldson, Fisher, and Ford. That is not fair really, for the paper would be nothing without your analysis.

The question of whether

has not been touched on and must-
be: for you rightly refer to it-
in your Section. It might perhaps
be fitted into the table: by the
way, That-trallis-like arrangements
is grand.

Perhaps it would be
best to meet and discuss this,
the Summary (which I will now
write), and any other points.
Thank you for your awfully kind
invitation to Herpenden. It
would be very nice to see you

all again. However, as time has
gone on, and you will be back
in London on the 17th, perhaps
it would be best to see you there.
I could come over to Harpenden
some time in the summer, if I may.

I could come to the
Laboratory on Tuesday (18th)
between 11:30 and 2:15, or on
Thursday (20th) between 11:30
and 5. If neither of these is
convenient, I will suggest other dates.

Yrs, I desire see you

Point- about- the advantage of the 1:1
ratio in the viability study.
I would like to talk that- work
over when we meet.

With my kind regards to
Mrs. Fisher,

Yours ever,
E. B. Ford